Approximated Solutions
of Generalized Linear Differential Equations

Zdeněk Halas and Milan Tvrdý [*]

March 18, 2009

Abstract. This contribution deals with systems of linear generalized linear differential equations of the form

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A(s)]\, x(s) + g(t) - g(a), \quad t \in [a, b],$$

where $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, A is an $n \times n$ -complex matrix valued function, g is an n -complex vector valued function, A and g have bounded variation on $[a, b]$. The integrals are understood in the Kurzweil-Stieltjes sense.

Our aim is to present some new results on continuous dependence of solutions to linear generalized differential equations on parameters and initial data. In particular, we generalize in several aspects the known result by Ashordia. Our main goal consists in a more general notion of a solution to the given system. In particular, neither g nor x need not be of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and, in general, they can be regulated functions.

The convergence effects studied in this contribution are, in some sense, very close to those described by Kurzweil and called by him R-emphatic convergence.

AMS Subject Classification. 34A37, 45A05, 34A30.

1 . INTRODUCTION

Starting with Kurzweil [10], generalized differential equations have been extensively studied by many authors, like e.g. Schwabik, Tvrdý and Vejvoda [17]–[19], [21]–[23], Ashordia [2], [3], Fraňková [5], [6]. Closely related and fundamental are also the contributions by Hildebrandt [8] and Hönig [9],

---

In particular, see the monographs [19], [17], [22] and [9] and the references therein. Moreover, during several recent decades, the interest in their special cases like equations with impulses or discrete systems increased considerably, cf. e.g. monographs [13], [25], [4], [16] or [1].

The importance of generalized linear differential equations with regulated solutions consists in the fact that they enable us to treat in a unified way both continuous and discrete systems and, in addition, also systems with fast oscillating data.

In the paper we keep the following notation:

As usual, $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of natural numbers $(\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\})$ and $\mathbb{C}$ stands for the set of complex numbers. $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is the space of complex matrices of the type $m \times n$, $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^1 = \mathbb{C}$. For a matrix

$$A = (a_{i,j})_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,m \\ j=1,2,\dots,n}} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n},$$

its norm $|A|$ is defined by

$$|A| = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{i,j}|.$$

In particular, we have $|x| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The symbols I and 0 stand respectively for the identity and the zero matrix of the proper type. For an $n \times n$-matrix A, $\det[A]$ denotes its determinant.

If $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, then $[a, b]$ and (a, b) denote the corresponding closed and open intervals, respectively. Furthermore, $[a, b)$ and $(a, b]$ are the corresponding half-open intervals. When the intervals $[a, a)$ and $(b, b]$ occur, they are understood to be empty.

For an arbitrary function $F \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ we set

$$\|F\|_\infty = \sup\{|F(t)| \colon t \in [a, b]\}.$$

The set $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subset [a, b]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is called a subdivision of the interval $[a, b]$, if

$$a = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_m = b.$$

The set of all subdivisions of the interval $[a, b]$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}[a, b]$. For $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathfrak{D}[a, b]$, we denote

$$|D| = \max_{\ell=1,2,\dots,m} (\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}).$$

If, for each $t \in [a,b)$ and $s \in (a,b]$, the function $F : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ possesses the limits

$$F(t+) := \lim_{\tau \to t} F(\tau), \quad F(s-) := \lim_{\tau \to s} F(\tau),$$

we say that the function F is regulated on the interval $[a,b]$. The set of all $m \times n$-matrix valued functions regulated on the interval $[a,b]$ is denoted by $G^{m \times n}[a,b]$. Furthermore, we denote

$$\Delta^+ F(t) = F(t+) - F(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [a,b), \quad \Delta^+ F(b) = 0,$$
$$\Delta^- F(s) = F(s) - F(s-) \quad \text{for} \quad s \in (a,b], \quad \Delta^- F(a) = 0$$

and

$$\Delta F(t) = F(t+) - F(t-) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in (a,b).$$

It is known that, for each $F \in G^{m \times n}[a,b]$, the set of all points of its discontinuity on the interval $[a,b]$ is at most countable. Moreover, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are at most finitely many points $t \in [a,b)$ such that $|\Delta^+ F(t)| \geq \varepsilon$ and at most finitely many points $s \in [a,b]$ such that $|\Delta^- F(s)| \geq \varepsilon$. Clearly, each function regulated on $[a,b]$ is bounded on $[a,b]$, i.e. $\|F\|_\infty < \infty$ for all $F \in G^{m \times n}[a,b]$.

For a function $F : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ we denote by $\mathrm{var}_a^b F$ its variation over $[a,b]$. We say that F has a bounded variation on $[a,b]$ if $\mathrm{var}_a^b F < \infty$. The set of $m \times n$-complex matrix valued functions of bounded variation on $[a,b]$ is denoted by $BV^{m \times n}[a,b]$ and $\|F\|_{BV} = |F(a)| + \mathrm{var}_a^b F$. By $AC^{m \times n}[a,b]$ we denote the set of functions $F : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ such that each component f_{ij}, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, of F is absolutely continuous on the interval $[a,b]$. Similarly, $C^{m \times n}[a,b]$ stands for the set of functions $F : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ that are continuous on $[a,b]$. Analogously to the spaces of functions of bounded variation, $AC^n[a,b] = AC^{n \times 1}[a,b]$, $G^n[a,b] = G^{n \times 1}[a,b]$ and $C^n[a,b] = C^{n \times 1}[a,b]$. Obviously,

$$AC^{m \times n}[a,b] \subset BV^{m \times n}[a,b] \subset G^{m \times n}[a,b] \text{ and } C^{m \times n}[a,b] \subset G^{m \times n}[a,b].$$

Finally, a function $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *finite step function on* $[a,b]$ if there is a subdivision $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathfrak{D}[a,b]$ of $[a,b]$ such that f is constant on every open interval (α_{j-1}, α_j), $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. The set of all finite step functions on $[a,b]$ is denoted by $S[a,b]$, $S^{m \times n}[a,b]$ is the set of all $m \times n$-matrix valued functions whose arguments are finite step functions

and $S^{n\times 1}[a,b] = S^n[a,b]$. It is known that the set $S^{m\times n}[a,b]$ is dense in $G^{m\times n}[a,b]$ with respect to the supremum norm, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and each } F \in G^{m\times n}[a,b] \\ \text{there is an } \widetilde{F} \in S^{m\times n}[a,b] \text{ such that } \|F - \widetilde{F}\|_\infty < \varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The integrals which occur in this paper are the Perron-Stieltjes ones. For the original definition, see A.J. Ward [24] or S. Saks [15]. We use the equivalent summation definition due to J. Kurzweil [10] (cf. also e.g. [12] or [19]). We call this integral the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral, in short the KS-integral. For the reader's convenience, let us recall the definition of the KS-integral.

Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. For a given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a division $\mathcal{D}$ of the integral $[a,b]$, $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathfrak{D}[a,b]$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m) \in [a,b]^m$, the couple $P = (D, \xi)$ is called a partition of $[a,b]$ if

$$\alpha_{j-1} \le \xi_j \le \alpha_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

The set of all partitions of the interval $[a,b]$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{P}[a,b]$.

An arbitrary positive valued function $\delta \colon [a,b] \to (0,\infty)$ is called a gauge on $[a,b]$. Given a gauge δ on $[a,b]$, the partition

$$P = (D, \xi) = \big(\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}, (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m)\big) \in \mathfrak{P}[a,b]$$

is said to be δ-fine, if

$$[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j] \subset (\xi_j - \delta(\xi_j), \xi_j + \delta(\xi_j)) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

The set of all δ-fine partitions of $[a,b]$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{A}(\delta; [a,b])$.

For functions $f, g \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$ and a partition $P \in \mathfrak{P}[a,b]$,

$$P = (\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}, (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_m)),$$

we define

$$\Sigma(f\,\Delta g; P) = \sum_{i=1}^m f(\xi_i)\,[g(\alpha_i) - g(\alpha_{i-1})].$$

We say that $I \in \mathbb{C}$ is the KS-integral of f with respect to g from a to b if

$$\begin{cases} \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is a gauge } \delta \text{ on } [a,b] \text{ such that} \\ |I - \Sigma(f\,\Delta g; P)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathfrak{A}(\delta; [a,b]). \end{cases}$$

In such a case we write

$$I = \int_a^b f \, \mathrm{d}[g] \quad \text{or} \quad I = \int_a^b f(t) \, \mathrm{d}[g(t)].$$

It is well known that the KS-integral $\int_a^b f \, \mathrm{d}[g]$ exists provided $f \in G[a,b]$ and $g \in BV[a,b]$. Taking into account [22, Theorem 2.3.8], we can formulate the following fundamental assertion.

1.1. Theorem. *If $f, g \in G[a,b]$ and at least one of the functions f, g has a bounded variation on $[a,b]$, then the integral $\int_a^b f \, \mathrm{d}[g]$ exists. Furthermore,*

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, \mathrm{d}[g] \right| \leq 2 \left(|f(a)| + \mathrm{var}_a^b f \right) \|g\|_\infty \quad \text{if} \;\; f \in BV[a,b] \;\; \text{and} \;\; g \in G[a,b],$$

(1.2)

and

$$\left| \int_a^b f \, \mathrm{d}[g] \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \, var_a^b g \qquad\qquad \text{if} \;\; f \in G[a,b] \;\; \text{and} \;\; g \in BV[a,b].$$

(1.3)

Furthermore, if $f \in BV[a,b]$ and $g, g_k \in G[a,b]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|g_k - g\|_\infty = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| \int_a^t f \, \mathrm{d}[g_k - g] \right\|_\infty = 0.$$

Further basic properties of the Perron-Stieltjes integral with respect to scalar regulated functions were described in [20] (see also [22]).

Given an $m \times q$-matrix valued function F and an $q \times n$-matrix valued function G defined on $[a,b]$ and such that all the integrals

$$\int_a^b f_{i,k}(t) \, \mathrm{d}[g_{k,j}(t)] \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \, ; \, k = 1, 2, \ldots, q; \, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$$

exist (i.e. they have finite values), the symbol

$$\int_a^b F(t) \, \mathrm{d}[G(t)] \quad \text{(or more simply} \quad \int_a^b F \, \mathrm{d}[G])$$

stands for the $p \times n$-matrix with the entries

$$\sum_{k=1}^q \int_a^b f_{i,k} \, \mathrm{d}[g_{k,j}], \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \, , \, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

The extension of the results obtained in [20] or [22] for scalar real valued functions to complex vector valued or matrix valued functions is obvious and hence for the basic facts concerning integrals with respect to regulated functions we will refer to the corresponding assertions from [20] or [22].

Next assertion seems not to be available in the literature.

1.2 . Lemma. *Let* $g, g_k \in G^n[a,b]$, $A, A_k \in BV^{n \times n}[a,b]$ *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Furthermore, let*

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|g_k - g\|_\infty = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\alpha^* := \sup \left\{ \operatorname{var}_a^b A_k \colon k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} < \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|A_k - A\|_\infty = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k]\, g_k - \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A]\, g \right\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By (1.1) and (1.4), we can find $u \in S^n[a,b]$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x - u\|_\infty < \varepsilon, \quad \|x_k - u\|_\infty < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|A_k - A\|_\infty < \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq k_0.$$

Furthermore, since $\operatorname{var}_a^b u < \infty$, using (1.2) we can see that for $t \in [a,b]$ and $k \geq k_0$ the relations

$$\left| \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k]\, x_k - \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A]\, x \right| = \left| \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k]\, (x_k - u) + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k - A]\, u + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A]\, (u - x) \right|$$

$$\leq \alpha^* \varepsilon + 2 \left(\operatorname{var}_a^b u \right) \varepsilon + \alpha^* \varepsilon = 2 \left(\alpha^* + \operatorname{var}_a^b u \right) \varepsilon$$

hold, wherefrom our assertion immediately follows. $\qquad \square$

2. GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Let $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a,b]$, $g \in BV^n[a,b]$ and $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider an integral equation

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A(s)]\, x(s) + g(t) - g(a). \tag{2.1}$$

We say that a function $x\colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is *a solution* of (2.1) on the interval $[a,b]$ if the integral

$$\int_a^b \mathrm{d}[A(s)]\, x(s)$$

has sense and equality (2.1) is satisfied for all $t \in [a,b]$. The equation (2.1) is usually called a *generalized linear differential equation*. Such equations with solutions having values in the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ of real n-vectors have been thoroughly investigated e.g. in the monographs [17] or [19]. The extension of the results presented therein to the complex case is mostly straightforward. In this section we will describe the basics needed later. Special attention is paid to the features whose extension to the complex case seems not to be so straightforward.

For our purposes the following property is crucial:

$$\det\left[I - \Delta^- A(t)\right] \neq 0 \quad \text{hold for each } t \in [a,b]. \tag{2.2}$$

(Recall that we put $\Delta^- A(b) = 0$.) Its importance is well illustrated by the next assertion which is a fundamental existence result for the equation (2.1).

2.1. Theorem. *Let* $A \in BV^{n,n}[a,b]$ *satisfy* (2.2). *Then, for each* $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *and each* $g \in G^n[a,b]$, *equation* (2.1) *has a unique solution* x *on* $[a,b]$ *and* $x \in G^n[a,b]$. *Moreover,* $x - g \in BV^n[a,b]$.

Proof follows from [21, Proposition 2.5].

Furthermore, analogously to [19, Theorem III.1.7] where $g \in BV^n[a,b]$, we have

2.2. Theorem. *Let* $A \in BV^{n,n}[a,b]$ *satisfy* (2.2). *Then*

$$c_A := \sup\left\{\left|[I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1}\right|\colon t \in [a,b]\right\} < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$|x(t)| \leq c_A\left(|\widetilde{x}| + 2\,\|g\|_\infty\right)\exp(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^t A) \text{ for } t \in [a,b], \tag{2.4}$$

holds for each $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $g \in G^n[a,b]$ *and each solution* x *of* (2.1) *on* $[a,b]$.

Proof. First, notice that for $t \in [a,b]$ such that $|\Delta^- A(t)| < \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\left|[I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1}\right| = \left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\Delta^- A(t))^k\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|\Delta^- A(t)|^k = \frac{1}{1 - |\Delta^- A(t)|} < 2.$$

Therefore, (2.3) follows from the fact that the set $\{t \in [a,b] \colon |\Delta^- A(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ has at most finitely many elements.

Now, let x be a solution of (2.1). Put $B(a) = A(a)$ and $B(t) = A(t-)$ for $t \in (a,b]$. Then, as in the proof of [19, Theorem III.1.7], we get

$$A - B \in BV^{n \times n}[a,b], \quad \text{var}_a^b B \leq \text{var}_a^b A$$

and

$$A(t) - B(t) = \Delta^- A(t), \quad \int_a^t \text{d}[A-B]\, x = \Delta^- A(t)\, x(t) \quad \text{for} \ \ t \in [a,b].$$

Consequently

$$x(t) = [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} \left(\widetilde{x} + g(t) - g(a) + \int_a^t \text{d}[B]\, x \right)$$

and

$$|x(t)| \leq K_1 + K_2 \int_a^t \text{d}[h]\, |x| \quad \text{for} \ \ t \in [a,b],$$

where

$$K_1 = c_A \left(|\widetilde{x}| + 2\, \|g\|_\infty \right), \quad K_2 = c_A \quad \text{and} \quad h(t) = \text{var}_a^t B \quad \text{for} \ \ t \in [a,b].$$

The function h is nondecreasing and, since B is left-continuous on $(a,b]$, h is also left-continuous on $(a,b]$. Therefore we can use the generalized Gronwall inequality (see e.g. [19, Lemma I.4.30] or [17, Corollary 1.43]) to get the estimate (2.4). $\qquad\square$

2.3. Corollary. *Let* $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a,b]$ *satisfy* (2.2). *Then for each* $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $g \in G^n[a,b]$ *and each solution* x *of* (2.1) *on* $[a,b]$, *the estimate*

$$\text{var}_a^b(x - g) \leq c_A \left(\text{var}_a^b A \right) \left(|\widetilde{x}| + 2\, \|g\|_\infty \right) \exp(c_A\, \text{var}_a^b A).$$

is true, where c_A *is defined by* (2.3).

Proof. By (2.4), we have

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq c_A \left(|\widetilde{x}| + 2\, \|g\|_\infty \right) \exp(c_A\, \text{var}_a^b A).$$

Therefore

$$\text{var}_a^b(x - g) \leq \left(\text{var}_a^b A \right) \|x\|_\infty$$

$$\leq c_A \left(\text{var}_a^b A \right) \left(|\widetilde{x}| + 2\, \|g\|_\infty \right) \exp(c_A\, \text{var}_a^b A). \qquad\square$$

2.4. Lemma. *Let* $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a,b]$ *satisfy* (2.2) *and let* c_A *be defined by* (2.3). *Then*

$$c_A = \left(\inf \left\{ \left| \left[I - \Delta^- A(t) \right] x \right| : t \in [a,b], \ x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ |x| = 1 \right\} \right)^{-1}. \qquad (2.5)$$

Proof. We have

$$c_A = \sup \left\{ |[I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1}| : t \in [a,b] \right\}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ \frac{|[I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1}| \, |[I - \Delta^- A(t)] x|}{|[I - \Delta^- A(t)] x|} : t \in [a,b], \ x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ |x| = 1 \right\}$$

$$\geq \sup \left\{ \frac{|x|}{|[I - \Delta^- A(t)] x|} : t \in [a,b], \ x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ |x| = 1 \right\}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|[I - \Delta^- A(t)] x|} : t \in [a,b], \ x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ |x| = 1 \right\}$$

$$= \left(\inf \left\{ |[I - \Delta^- A(t)] x| : t \in [a,b], \ x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ |x| = 1 \right\} \right)^{-1}.$$

Thus, it remains to prove that the inequality

$$c_A \leq \left(\inf \left\{ \left| \left[I - \Delta^- A(t) \right] x \right| : t \in [a,b], \ x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ |x| = 1 \right\} \right)^{-1} \qquad (2.6)$$

is true, as well. To this aim, first let us notice that for each $t \in [a,b]$ there is a $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|z| = 1$ and

$$\left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} \right| = \left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} z \right|. \qquad (2.7)$$

Indeed, let $t \in [a,b]$ and let $B = [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1}$. Let $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ be such that $|B| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{i_0,j}|$ and let $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $z_i = \mathrm{sgn}(b_{i_0,2})$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then $|z| = 1$. Furthermore,

$$|B z| = \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{i,j} z_j| = \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{i,j} \, \mathrm{sgn}(b_{i_0,j})|$$

$$\leq \max_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{i,j}| = |B|.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|B| = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{i_0,j}| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{sgn}(b_{i_0,j}) \, b_{i_0,j} \right| \leq |B z|.$$

Therefore, we can conclude that (2.7) is true.

Now, due to (2.2), there is $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $z = [I - \Delta^- A(t)] w$. Inserting this instead of z into (2.7), we get

$$\left\| [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} \right\| = \frac{\left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} [I - \Delta^- A(t)] w \right|}{\left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)] w \right|}$$

$$= \frac{|w|}{\left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)] w \right|} = \frac{1}{\left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)] \left(\frac{w}{|w|} \right) \right|}$$

$$\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)] x \right|} : x \in \mathbb{C}^n, |x| = 1 \right\}.$$

It follows that

$$c_A \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{\left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)] x \right|} : t \in [a, b], x \in \mathbb{C}^n, |x| = 1 \right\}$$

$$= \left(\inf \{ \left| [I - \Delta^- A(t)] x \right| : t \in [a, b], x \in \mathbb{C}^n, |x| = 1 \right)^{-1},$$

i.e. (2.6) is true. This completes the proof $\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

The next fundamental result on the continuous dependence of solutions of generalized linear differential equations on a parameter generalizes the result due to M. Ashordia [2, Theorem 1]. Unlike [2] and [3], we do not utilize the variation-of-constants formula and therefore we need not assume that, in addition to (2.2), also the condition

$$\det[I + \Delta^+ A(t)] \neq 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ t \in [a, b]$$

is satisfied. Furthermore, both the nonhomogeneous part of the equation and the solutions may be only regulated functions (not necessarily of bounded variation).

2.5. Theorem. *Let* $A, A_k \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b]$, $g, g_k \in G^n[a, b]$, $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *Assume* (1.5), (1.6), (2.2),

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|g_k - g\|_\infty = 0 \qquad\qquad (2.8)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \widetilde{x}_k = \widetilde{x}. \qquad\qquad (2.9)$$

Then equation (2.1) *has a unique solution* x *on* $[a, b]$. *Furthermore, for each* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *sufficiently large there exists a unique solution* x_k *on* $[a, b]$ *to the equation*

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x}_k + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k(s)]\, x(s) + g_k(t) - g_k(a) \qquad (2.10)$$

and

$$\lim \|x_k - x\|_\infty = 0. \qquad (2.11)$$

Proof. *Step* 1. As in the first part of the proof of [2, Theorem 1], we can show that there is a $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\det[I - \Delta^- A_k(t)] \neq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [a, b]$$

holds for all $k \geq k_1$. In particular, (2.10) has a unique solution x_k for $k \geq k_1$.

Step 2. For $k \geq k_1$, put

$$c_{A_k} := \sup\{ \big|[I - \Delta^- A_k(t)]^{-1}\big| : t \in (a, b] \}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(c_{A_k})^{-1} = \inf\left\{ \big|\big[I - \Delta^- A_k(t)\big]\, x\big| : t \in [a, b],\, x \in \mathbb{C}^n,\, |x| = 1 \right\}$$

$$\geq \inf\left\{ \big|\big[I - \Delta^- A(t)\big]\, x\big| : t \in [a, b],\, x \in \mathbb{C}^n,\, |x| = 1 \right\}$$

$$- \sup\left\{ \big|\big[\Delta^-(A_k(t) - A(t))\big]\, x\big| : t \in [a, b],\, x \in \mathbb{C}^n,\, |x| = 1 \right\}.$$

Since, due to the assumption (1.6),

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\Delta^- A_k - \Delta^- A\|_\infty = 0,$$

we conclude that there is a $k_0 \geq k_1$ such that

$$(c_{A_k})^{-1} \geq (c_A)^{-1} - (2\, c_A)^{-1} = (2\, c_A)^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq k_0.$$

To summarize,

$$c_{A_k} \leq 2\, c_A < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq k_0. \qquad (2.12)$$

Step 3. Set $w_k = (x_k - g_k) - (x - g)$. Then, for $k \geq k_0$,

$$w_k(t) = \widetilde{w}_k + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k]\, w_k + h_k(t) - h_k(a) \quad \text{for} \ \ t \in [a, b],$$

where

$$h_k(t) = \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k - A]\,(x - g) + \left(\int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k]\, g_k - \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A]\, g \right) \quad \text{for} \ \ t \in [a, b]$$

and

$$\widetilde{w}_k = (\widetilde{x}_k - g_k(a)) - (\widetilde{x} - g(a))\,.$$

By (2.8) and (2.9) we can see that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \widetilde{w}_k = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Furthermore, since $x - g \in BV^n[a, b]$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|A_k - A\|_\infty = 0$, by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k - A]\,(x - g) \right\|_\infty = 0$$

and, by Lemma 1.2,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A_k]\, g_k = \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A]\, g.$$

To summarize,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|h_k\|_\infty = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand, applying Theorem 2.2 and taking into account the relation (2.12), we get

$$\|w_k\|_\infty \leq 2\, c_A \left(|\widetilde{w}_k| + 2\, \|h_k\|_\infty\right) \exp(2\, c_A\, \alpha^*) \ \text{for} \ k \geq k_0,$$

wherefrom, by virtue of (2.13) and (2.14), the relation

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|w_k\|_\infty = 0$$

follows. Finally, having in mind the assumptions (2.8) and (2.9), we conclude that the relation

$$\lim \|x_k - x\|_\infty = 0$$

is true, as well. This completes the proof. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

2.6. Notation. Let $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b]$, $g \in G^n[a, b]$ and let

$$D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathfrak{D}[a, b]$$

be a subdivision of $[a, b]$. Then we define

$$A_D(t) = \begin{cases} A(t) & \text{if } t \in D, \\ A(\alpha_{i-1}) + \dfrac{A(\alpha_i) - A(\alpha_{i-1})}{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}} (t - \alpha_{i-1}) & \text{if } t \in (\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i), \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$g_D(t) = \begin{cases} g(t) & \text{if } t \in D, \\ g(\alpha_{i-1}) + \dfrac{g(\alpha_i) - g(\alpha_{i-1})}{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}} (t - \alpha_{i-1}) & \text{if } t \in (\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i). \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

We have

2.7. Lemma. *Assume that* $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b]$, $g \in G^n[a, b]$. *Let* $D \in \mathfrak{D}[a, b]$, $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$, *and let* A_D *and* g_D *be defined by* (2.15) *and* (2.16), *respectively. Then* $A_D \in AC^{n \times n}[a, b]$, $g_D \in AC^n[a, b]$ *and*

$$\mathrm{var}_a^b A_D \leq \mathrm{var}_a^b A \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_D\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty.$$

Proof. Obviously, $A_D \in AC^{n \times n}[a, b]$, $g_D \in AC^n[a, b]$.

Furthermore, for each $\ell = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and each $t \in [\alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell]$ we have

$$\mathrm{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_\ell} A_D = |A(\alpha_\ell) - A(\alpha_{\ell-1})| \leq \mathrm{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_\ell} A$$

and

$$|g_D(t)| = |g(\alpha_{\ell-1}) + \frac{g(\alpha_\ell) - g(\alpha_{\ell-1})}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}} (t - \alpha_{\ell-1})|$$

$$= |g(\alpha_{\ell-1}) \frac{\alpha_\ell - t}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}} + g(\alpha_\ell) \frac{t - \alpha_{\ell-1}}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}}| \leq \|g\|_\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{var}_a^b A_D = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \mathrm{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_\ell} A_D \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^m \mathrm{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_\ell} A = \mathrm{var}_a^b A \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_D\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty.$$

$\square$

2.8. Theorem. *Assume that* $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a,b] \cap C^{n \times n}[a,b]$ *and* $g \in C^n[a,b]$. *Let* $\widetilde{x}$ *and* $\widetilde{x}_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *be such that* (2.9) *holds. Furthermore, let the sequence* $\{D_k\} \subset \mathfrak{D}[a,b]$ *of subdivisions of the interval* $[a,b]$ *be such that*

$$D_{k+1} \supset D_k \quad for \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad and \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} |D_k| = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Finally, let the sequences $\{A_k\} \subset AC^{n \times n}[a,b]$, $\{g_k\} \subset AC^n[a,b]$ *be given by*

$$A_k = A_{D_k} \quad and \quad g_k = g_{D_k} \quad for \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.18}$$

where A_{D_k} *and* g_{D_k} *are defined as in* (2.15) *and* ((2.16).

Then the equation (2.1) *has a unique solution* x *on* $[a,b]$. *Furthermore, for each* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *the equation* (2.10) *has a solution* x_k *on* $[a,b]$ *and* (2.11) *holds.*

Proof. *Step* 1. Since A is uniformly continuous on $[a,b]$, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is a } \delta > 0 \text{ such that} \\ |A(t) - A(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ \text{holds for all } t, s \in [a,b] \text{ such that } |t-s| < \delta. \end{cases} \tag{2.19}$$

Let $|D_{k_0}| < \delta$ and let t be an arbitrary point of $[a,b]$. Furthermore, let

$$\alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell \in \mathcal{D}_{k_0} = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p_{k_0}}\} \text{ and } t \in [\alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_\ell].$$

Then

$$|\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}| < \delta$$

and, according to (2.15) and (2.17)–(2.19), we get for $k \geq k_0$

$$|A_k(t) - A(t)| \leq |A_k(t) - A_k(\alpha_{\ell-1})| + |A(\alpha_{\ell-1}) - A(t)|$$

$$= |A(\alpha_\ell) - A(\alpha_{\ell-1})| \left[\frac{t - \alpha_{\ell-1}}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}}\right] + |A(\alpha_{\ell-1}) - A(t)|$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

As k_0 was chosen independently of t, we can conclude that (1.6) is true.

Step 2. Analogously we can show that (2.8) is true, as well.

Step 3. By Lemma 2.7, (1.5) holds. Moreover, as A and A_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are continuous, the equations (2.1) and (2.10) have unique solutions by Theorem 2.1 and we can complete the proof using of Theorem 2.5. □

3. APPROXIMATED SOLUTIONS

In this section we will continue the consideration of the topics mentioned at the close of the previous section. Our aim is to disclose the relationship between solutions of generalized linear differential equation and limits of solutions of corresponding approximating sequences of linear ordinary differential equations.

We start by introducing the following notations.

3.1. Notation. For given $g \in G^n[a,b]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\mathfrak{U}_k^+(g) = \{t \in [a,b] \colon |\Delta^+ g(t)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}, \ \mathfrak{U}_k^-(g) = \{t \in [a,b] \colon |\Delta^- g(t)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\},$$

$$\mathfrak{U}_k(g) = \mathfrak{U}_k^+(g) \cup \mathfrak{U}_k^-(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{U}(g) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{U}_k(g).$$

Analogous symbols are used also for matrix valued function.

Of course, $\mathfrak{U}(g)$ is the set of points of discontinuity of the function g in $[a,b]$.

3.2. Definition. Let $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a,b]$, $g \in G^n[a,b]$ and let $P_k \in \mathfrak{D}[a,b]$ be a sequence of subdivisions of $[a,b]$ such that

$$|P_k| = (1/2)^k \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.1}$$

We say that the sequence $\{A_k, g_k\} \subset AC^{n \times n}[a,b] \times AC^n[a,b]$ is a *piecewise linear approximation* ($p\ell$-approximation) of (A, g) if there exists a sequence $\{D_k\} \subset \mathfrak{D}[a,b]$ of subdivisions of the interval $[a,b]$ such that

$$D_k \supset P_k \cup \mathfrak{U}_k(A) \cup \mathfrak{U}_k(g) \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.2}$$

and A_k, g_k are for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ defined by (2.15), (2.16) and (2.18).

3.3. Remark. Let $\{A_k, g_k\}$ be a $\mathcal{PL}$-approximation of (A, g). Then, by Lemma 2.7,
$$\text{var}_a^b A_k \leq \text{var}_a^b A \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty.$$

Furthermore, as A_k are continuous, due to (2.3), we have $c_{A_k} = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, Corollary 2.3 yields

$$\text{var}_a^b(x_k - g_k) \leq \alpha^* \, (|\widetilde{x}| + 2 \, \|g\|_\infty) < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and, by Helly's Theorem, there is a subsequence $\{k_n\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ and $y \in G^n[a,b]$ and such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (x_{k_n}(t) - g_{k_n}(t)) = y(t) + g(t) \quad \text{for each} \quad t \in [a,b].$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} x_{k_m}(t) = w(t) + g(t)$$

for all $t \in [a,b]$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{k_n}(t) = g(t)$.

Notice that if the set $\mathfrak{U}(g;[a,b])$ has at most a finite number of elements, then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} g_k(t) = g(t) \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [a,b].$$

3.4. Definition. Let $A \in BV^{n\times n}[a,b]$, $g \in G^n[a,b]$ and $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We say that $x^* \colon [a,b] \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is an *approximated solution* to equation (2.1) on the interval $[a,b]$ if there is a $\mathcal{PL}$-approximation $\{A_k,\, g_k\}$ of (A,g) such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k(t) = x^*(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [a,b] \tag{3.3}$$

holds for solutions x_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the corresponding approximating initial value problems

$$x'_k = A_k(t)\, x_k + g'_k(t), \quad x_k(a) = \widetilde{x}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.4}$$

3.5. Remark. Notice that, using the language of Definitions 3.2 and 3.4, we can translate Theorem 2.8 into the following form:

Assume that $A \in BV^{n\times n}[a,b] \cap C^{n\times n}[a,b]$ *and* $g \in C^n[a,b]$. *Then, the equation* (2.1) *has a unique approximated solution* x^* *on* $[a,b]$ *and* x^* *coincides on* $[a,b]$ *with the solution of* (2.1).

In the rest of this paper we consider the case when the set $\mathfrak{U}(A) \cup \mathfrak{U}(g)$ of discontinuities of the coefficients A, g is non empty. We will start with the simplest case $\mathfrak{U}(A) \cup \mathfrak{U}(g) = \{b\}$.

The next natural assertion will be useful for our purposes and, in our opinion, it is not available in literature.

3.6. Lemma. *Let* $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b]$. *Then*

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{s \to t-} \frac{1}{t-s} \left(\int_s^t \exp\left([A(t) - A(s)] \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \\ \quad = \int_0^1 \exp\left(\Delta^- A(t) \, (1 - \sigma) \right) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \quad \textit{if} \ \ t \in (a, b] \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{s \to t+} \frac{1}{s-t} \left(\int_t^s \exp\left([A(s) - A(t)] \frac{s-r}{s-t} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \\ \quad = \int_0^1 \exp\left(\Delta^+ A(t) \, (1 - \sigma) \right) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \quad \textit{if} \ \ t \in (a, b] \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. (i) Let $t \in (a, b]$, $s \in [a, t)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|A(t-) - A(s)| < \eta \quad \text{whenever} \ \ t - s < \delta.$$

Now, taking into account that

$$|\exp(C) - \exp(D)| \le |C - D| \exp(|C| + |D|) \quad \text{holds for all} \ \ C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$$

we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{t-s} \int_s^t \left[\exp\left([A(t) - A(s)] \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) - \exp\left(\Delta^- A(t) \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) \right] \, \mathrm{d}r \right|$$

$$\le \frac{1}{t-s} |A(t-) - A(s)| \int_s^t \exp\left(|\Delta^- A(t)| \right) \, \mathrm{d}r$$

$$= \varepsilon |A(t-) - A(s)| \exp\left(|\Delta^- A(t)| \right) \le \varepsilon \exp\left(|\Delta^- A(t)| \right)$$

for $t - s < \delta$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{s \to t-} \frac{1}{t-s} \left(\int_s^t \exp\left([A(t) - A(s)] \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right)$$

$$= \lim_{s \to t-} \frac{1}{t-s} \left(\int_s^t \exp\left(\Delta^- A(t) \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \quad \text{if} \ \ t \in (a, b].$$

Now, it is easy to see that the substitution $\sigma = 1 - \frac{t-r}{t-s}$ in the second integral yields (3.5).

(ii) Similarly we would justify the relation (3.6). $\qquad \square$

3.7. Lemma. *Let* $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b]$ *and* $g \in G^n[a, b]$ *be continuous on* $[a, b)$. *Let* $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ *and let* x *be a solution of* (2.1) *on* $[a, b)$.

Then equation (2.1) *has a unique approximated solution* x^* *on* $[a, b]$.

Moreover, x^* *is continuous on* $[a, b)$, $x^* = x$ *on* $[a, b)$ *and* $x^*(b) = v(1)$, *where* v *is a solution on* $[0, 1]$ *of the initial value problem*

$$v' = [\Delta^- A(b)] \, v + [\Delta^- g(b)], \quad v(0) = x(b-). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. *Step* 1. Let $\{A_k, g_k\}$ be an arbitrary $\mathcal{PL}$ -approximation of $\{A, g\}$ and let $\{D_k\}$ be the corresponding sequence of divisions of $[a, b]$ fulfilling (3.1) and (3.2). Notice that, under our assumptions, $D_k = P_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\tau_k = \max\{t \in P_k \colon t < b\}.$$

By (2.17) we have $b - \frac{b-a}{2^k} \leq \tau_k < b$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_k = b. \tag{3.8}$$

Now, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [a, b]$, let us define

$$\widetilde{A}_k(t) = \begin{cases} A_k(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, \tau_k], \\[2mm] A(\tau_k) + \dfrac{A(b-) - A(\tau_k)}{b - \tau_k}\,(t - \tau_k) & \text{if } t \in (\tau_k, b], \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{g}_k(t) = \begin{cases} g_k(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, \tau_k], \\[2mm] g(\tau_k) + \dfrac{g(b-) - g(\tau_k)}{b - \tau_k}\,(t - \tau_k) & \text{if } t \in (\tau_k, b]. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let

$$\widetilde{A}(t) = \begin{cases} A(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b), \\ A(b-) & \text{if } t = b, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{g}(t) = \begin{cases} g(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b), \\ g(b-) & \text{if } t = b. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

We have $\widetilde{A}_k \in AC^{n \times n}[a, b]$, $\widetilde{g}_k \in AC^n[a, b]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\widetilde{A} \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b] \cap C^{n \times n}[a, b]$ and $\widetilde{g} \in C^n[a, b]$.

Consider problems (2.1), (3.4) and

$$y_k' = \widetilde{A}_k'(t) \, y_k + \widetilde{g}_k'(t), \quad y_k(a) = \widetilde{x}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$y(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[\widetilde{A}]\, y + \widetilde{g}(t) - \widetilde{g}(a). \tag{3.11}$$

Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ be the sequences of solutions on $[a, b]$ of problems (3.4) and (3.10), respectively. We can see that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, y_k coincides with x_k on $[a, \tau_k]$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.1, equation (3.11) possesses a unique solution y on $[a, b]$, y is continuous on $[a, b]$ and $y = x$ on $[a, b)$. It's easy to see that the relations

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\widetilde{A}_k - \widetilde{A}\|_\infty = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \|\widetilde{g}_k - \widetilde{g}\|_\infty = 0$$

are true. Moreover, by Lemma 2.7,

$$\mathrm{var}_a^b\, \widetilde{A}_k \leq \mathrm{var}_a^b\, \widetilde{A} \leq \mathrm{var}_a^b\, A < \infty \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.5, we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|y_k - y\|_\infty = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Since $x_k = y_k$ on $[a, \tau_k]$, and, due to (3.8), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} y_k(t) = y(t) = x(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b). \tag{3.13}$$

Step 2. Next, we will prove that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(\tau_k) = y(b). \tag{3.14}$$

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\delta > 0$ be such that

$$|y(t) - y(b)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } t \in [b - \delta, b]$$

Further, by (3.12), there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\tau_k \in [b - \delta, b) \quad \text{and} \quad \|y_k - y\|_\infty < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{whenever } k \geq k_0.$$

Consequently,

$$|x_k(\tau_k) - y(b-)| \leq |x_k(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k)| + |y(\tau_k) - x(b-)|$$
$$= |y_k(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k)| + |y(\tau_k) - x(b-)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

holds for $k \geq k_0$. This completes the proof of (3.14).

Step 3.   On the intervals $[\tau_k, b]$, the equations from (3.4) reduce to the equations with constant coefficients

$$x_k' = B_k\, x_k + e_k, \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$B_k = \frac{A(b) - A(\tau_k)}{b - \tau_k} \quad \text{and} \quad e_k = \frac{g(b) - g(\tau_k)}{b - \tau_k}.$$

Their solutions x_k are on $[\tau_k, b]$ given by

$$x_k(t) = \exp\left(B_k\,(t - \tau_k)\right) x_k(\tau_k) + \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{t} \exp\left(B_k\,(t - r)\right) \mathrm{d}r\right) e_k.$$

In particular,

$$x_k(b) = \exp\left(A(b) - A(\tau_k)\right) x_k(\tau_k)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{b - \tau_k} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{b} \exp\left([A(b) - A(\tau_k)]\frac{b - r}{b - \tau_k}\right) \mathrm{d}r\right) [g_k(b) - g_k(\tau_k)].$$

By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{b - \tau_k} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{b} \exp\left([A(b) - A(\tau_k)]\frac{b - r}{b - \tau_k}\right) \mathrm{d}r\right) [g(b) - g(\tau_k)]$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{b - \tau_k} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{b} \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b)\frac{b - r}{b - \tau_k}\right) \mathrm{d}r\right) [g(b) - g(\tau_k)]$$

$$= \left(\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b)\,(1 - s)\right) \mathrm{d}s\right) \Delta^- g(b).$$

To summarize,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(b) = \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b)\right) y(b) + \left(\int_{0}^{1} \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b)\,(1 - s)\right) \mathrm{d}s\right) \Delta^- g(b),$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(b) = v(1), \tag{3.16}$$

where v is a solution to (3.7) on $[0, 1]$.

Step 4. Define

$$x^*(t) = \begin{cases} y(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b), \\ v(1) & \text{if } t = b. \end{cases}$$

Then $x^*(b-) = y(b)$ and $x^*(t) = \lim_{k\to\infty} x_k(t)$ for $t \in [a, b]$ due to (3.14) and (3.16). Therefore, x^* is a $\mathcal{PL}$ -approximated solution of (2.1). Since it does not depend upon the choice of the approximating sequence $\{A_k, g_k\}$, we can see that x^* is also the unique approximated solution of (2.1). This completes the proof. $\square$

The following assertion concerns a situation symmetric to that treated by Lemma 3.7. Similarly, to the proof of Lemma 3.7, we will deal with the modified equation

$$y(t) = \widetilde{y} + \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[\widetilde{A}] \, y + \widetilde{g}(t) - \widetilde{g}(a), \qquad (3.17)$$

where $\widetilde{y} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$\widetilde{A}(t) = \begin{cases} A(a+) & \text{if } t = a, \\ A(t) & \text{if } t \in (a, b] \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{g}(t) = \begin{cases} g(a+) & \text{if } t = a, \\ g(t) & \text{if } t \in (a, b]. \end{cases} \qquad (3.18)$$

3.8. Lemma. *Let $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b]$ and $g \in G^n[a, b]$ be continuous on $(a, b]$. Then, for each $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, equation (2.1) has a unique approximated solution x^* on $[a, b]$ and this approximated solution is continuous on $(a, b]$.*

Furthermore, let w be a solution of the initial value problem

$$w' = [\Delta^+ A(a)] \, w + [\Delta^+ g(a)], \quad w(0) = \widetilde{x} \qquad (3.19)$$

and let y be a solution on $[a, b]$ of the equation (3.17), where $\widetilde{y} = w(1)$. Then x^* coincides with y on $(a, b]$.

Proof. Step 1. Let $\{A_k, g_k\}$ be an arbitrary $\mathcal{PL}$ -approximation of $\{A, g\}$ and let $\{D_k\}$ be the corresponding sequence of divisions of $[a, b]$ fulfilling (2.15) and (2.16). As in the previous proof, $D_k = P_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\tau_k = \min\{t \in P_k \colon t > a\}.$$

By (2.17) we have $a + \frac{b-a}{2^k} \geq \tau_k > a$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \tau_k = a.$$

On the intervals $[a, \tau_k]$, the equations from (3.4) reduce to equations (3.15) with the coefficients

$$B_k = \frac{A(\tau_k) - A(a)}{\tau_k - a}, \quad e_k = \frac{g(\tau_k) - g(a)}{\tau_k - a}.$$

Their solutions x_k are on $[a, \tau_k]$ given by

$$x_k(t) = \exp(B_k\,(t-a))\,\widetilde{x} + \left(\int_a^t \exp\left(B_k\,(t-r)\right) \mathrm{d}r \right) e_k.$$

In particular,

$$x_k(\tau_k) = \exp\left(A(\tau_k) - A(a)\right) x_k(\tau_k)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\tau_k - a} \left(\int_a^{\tau_k} \exp\left([A(\tau_k) - A(a)] \frac{\tau_k - r}{\tau_k - a} \right) \mathrm{d}r \right) [g(\tau_k) - g(\tau_k)].$$

By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau_k - a} \left(\int_a^{\tau_k} \exp\left([A(\tau_k) - A(a)] \frac{\tau_k - r}{\tau_k - a} \right) \mathrm{d}r \right) [g(\tau_k) - g(a)]$$
$$= \left(\int_0^1 \exp(\Delta^+ A(a)\,(1-s))\, \mathrm{d}s \right) \Delta^+ g(a).$$

Thus, $\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(a) = w(1)$, where w is the solution of (3.19) on $[0, 1]$.

Step 2. Let $\{A_k,\, g_k\}$ be an arbitrary $\mathcal{PL}$-approximation of $\{A, g\}$ and let $\{\mathcal{D}_k\}$ be the corresponding sequence of divisions of $[a, b]$ fulfilling (2.15) and (2.16). Let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence of solutions of the approximating initial value problems (3.4) on $[a, b]$. Consider equation (3.17). By Theorem 2.1, it has a unique solution y on $[a, b]$, y is continuous on $[a, b]$ and, by an argument analogous to that used in *Step* 1 of the proof of Lemma 3.7, we can show that the relation

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t) = y(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in (a, b] \tag{3.20}$$

is true.

Step 3. Analogously to *Step* 4 of the proof of lemma 3.7, we can complete the proof by showing that the function

$$x^*(t) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{x} & \text{if } t = a, \\ y(t) & \text{if } t \in (a, b], \end{cases}$$

is the unique approximated solution of (2.1). $\qquad\qquad \square$

3.9. Remark. Let us notice that if $a < c < b$ and the functions x_1^* and x_2^* are respectively $p\ell$-approximated solutions to

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x}_1 + \int_a^t d[A]\, x + g(t) - g(a), t \in [a, c]$$

and

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x}_2 + \int_c^t d[A]\, x + g(t) - g(c), t \in [c, b],$$

where $\widetilde{x}_2 = x_1^*(c)$, then the function

$$x^*(t) = \begin{cases} x_1^*(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, c], \\ x_2^*(t) & \text{if } t \in (c, b] \end{cases}$$

is a $p\ell$-approximated solution to (2.1).

3.10. Theorem. *Assume that* $A \in BV^{n \times n}[a, b]$, $g \in G^n[a, b]$ *and*

$$\mathfrak{U}(A) \cup \mathfrak{U}(g) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\} \subset [a, b].$$

Then, for each $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, *there is exactly one approximated solution* x^* *of equation* (2.1) *on* $[a, b]$.

Moreover,

$$x^*(t) = w_\ell(1) + \int_{s_\ell}^t d[\widetilde{A}_\ell]\, x^* + \widetilde{g}_\ell(t) - \widetilde{g}_\ell(s_\ell)\ \textit{for}\ \ t \in [s_\ell, s_{\ell+1}),\ \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, m],$$

$$x^*(t) = v_\ell(1) \hspace{4.5cm} \textit{for}\ \ t = s_\ell,\ \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, m+1],$$

where $s_0 = a$, $s_{m+1} = b$, $w_0(1) = \widetilde{x}$ *and, for* $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, m]$,

$$\widetilde{A}_\ell(t) = \begin{cases} A(s_\ell+) & \textit{if }\ t = s_\ell, \\ A(t) & \textit{if }\ t \in (s_\ell, s_{\ell+1}], \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{g}_\ell(t) = \begin{cases} g(s_\ell+) & \textit{if }\ t = s_\ell, \\ g(t) & \textit{if }\ t \in (s_\ell, s_{\ell+1}] \end{cases}$$

and v_ℓ *and* w_ℓ *respectively denote the solutions on* $[0, 1]$ *of initial value problems*

$$v_\ell' = [\Delta^- A(s_\ell)]\, v_\ell + [\Delta^- g(s_\ell)], \quad v_\ell(0) = x^*(s_\ell-)$$

and

$$w_\ell' = [\Delta^+ A(s_\ell)]\, w_\ell + [\Delta^+ g(s_\ell)], \quad w_\ell(0) = x^*(s_\ell).$$

Proof. Having in mind Remark 3.9, we deduce the assertion of Theorem 3.10 by a successive use of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8. To this aim it is sufficient to choose a subdivision $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ of $[a, b]$ such that for each subinterval $[\alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k]$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, either the assumptions of Lemma 3.7 or the assumptions of Lemma 3.8 are satisfied with α_{k-1} in place of a and α_k in place of b. $\qquad\square$

3.11 . Remark. The convergence effects appearing in Theorem 3.10 are related to the notion of *R-emphatic convergence* introduced by Kurzweil in [11]. Further references to this notion are e.g. Fraňková [5], [6], Halas [7], Schwabik [17], Tvrdý [22] and the unpublished thesis by Pelant [14].

References

[1] R.P. AGARWAL, M. BOHNER, S.R. GRACE AND D. O'REGAN. *Discrete oscillation theory.* New York, NY: Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2005.

[2] M. ASHORDIA. On the correctness of linear boundary value problems for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. *Proceedings of the Georgian Academy of Sciences. Math.* **1** (1993), No. 4, 385–394.

[3] M. ASHORDIA. Criteria of correctness of linear boundary value problems for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **46**(121) (1996), 385–404.

[4] D. BAINOV AND P. SIMEONOV. *Impulsive differential equations: periodic solutions and applications.* Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 66, Longman Scientific, Harlow, 1993.

[5] D. FRAŇKOVÁ. Continuous dependence on a parameter of solutions of generalized differential equations. *Časopis pěst. mat.* **114** (1989) 230–261.

[6] D. FRAŇKOVÁ. Substitution method for generalized linear differential equations. *Math. Bohem.* **116** (1991) 337–359.

[7] D. FRAŇKOVÁ. Continuous Dependence of Solutions of Generalized Linear Ordinary Differential Equations on a Parameter. *Math. Bohem.* **132** (2007) 205–218..

[8] T.H. HILDEBRANDT. On systems of linear differentio-Stieltjes integral equations. *Illinois J. Math.* **3** (1959) 352–373.

[9] CH.S. HÖNIG. *Volterra Stieltjes-Integral Equations.* North Holland and American Elsevier, Mathematics Studies 16, Amsterdam and New York, 1975.

[10] J. KURZWEIL. Generalized ordinary differential equation and continuous dependence on a parameter. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **7(82)** (1957), 418–449.

[11] J. KURZWEIL. Generalized ordinary differential equation. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **8(83)** (1958), 360–388.

[12] J. KURZWEIL. *Nichtabsolute konvergente Integrale.* BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgessel-schaft, Leipzig, 1980.

[13] S.G. PANDIT AND S.G. DEO. *Differential Equations Involving Impulses.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 954, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.

[14] M. PELANT. *On aproximations of solutions of generalized differential equations* (in Czech). PhD. thesis, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics (Prague), 1997.

[15] S. SAKS. *Theory of the Integral.* Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa, 1937.

[16] A.M. SAMOILENKO AND A.N. PERESTYUK. *Impulsive differential equations.* World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A. 14. Singapore: World Scientific. 1995.

[17] Š. SCHWABIK. *Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations.* World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

[18] Š. SCHWABIK AND M. TVRDÝ. On the generalized linear ordinary differential equation. *Časopis pěst. mat.* **98** (1973), 206–211.

[19] Š. SCHWABIK, M. TVRDÝ AND O. VEJVODA. *Differential and Integral Equations: Boundary Value Problems and Adjoint.* Academia and D. Reidel, Praha and Dordrecht, 1979.

[20] M. TVRDÝ. Regulated functions and the Perron-Stieltjes integral. *Časopis pěst. mat.* **114** (1989), 187–209.

[21] M. TVRDÝ. Generalized differential equations in the space of regulated functions (Boundary value problems and controllability). *Math. Bohem.* **116** (1991), 225–244.

[22] M. TVRDÝ. *Differential and Integral Equations in the Space of Regulated Functions.* Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. 25 (2002), 1–104.

[23] O. VEJVODA AND M. TVRDÝ. Existence of solutions to a linear integro-boundary-differential equation with additional conditions. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **89** (1971) 169–216.

[24] A.J. WARD. The Perron-Stieltjes integral. *Math. Z.* **41** (1936), 578–604.

[25] S.G. ZAVALISHCHIN AND A.N. SESEKIN. *Dynamic impulse systems: theory and applications.* Mathematics and its Applications (Dordrecht). 394. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1997.

Zdeněk Halas, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Palacký University, CZ 771 40   OLOMOUC, Žižkovo náměstí 5, Czech Republic
(e-mail: zdenekhalas@seznam.cz)

Milan Tvrdý, Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, CZ 115 67 Praha 1, Žitná 25, Czech Republic (e-mail: tvrdy@math.cas.cz)