## **Universality Classes of Step Bunching?**



- Mechanisms of step bunching
- Step-dynamical equations and continuum limit
- Scaling properties of a single bunch
- Towards a global scaling picture

Joint work with V. Tonchev, S. Stoyanov and A. Pimpinelli

# Nonequilibrium mechanisms for step bunching

- Ehrlich-Schwoebel-barriers in sublimation R.L. Schwoebel (1969) • Surface electromigration S. Stoyanov (1991) in growth: • Pinning of steps by impurities N. Cabrera, D.A. Vermilyea (1958) • Step edge diffusion P. Politi, J.K. (2000) Chemical precursors [e.g. GaAs] A. Pimpinelli, A. Videcog (2000) • Dimer mobility M. Vladimirova, A. De Vita, A. Pimpinelli (2001)
- Impurity-induced mobility gradients
- Anisotropic diffusion [e.g. Si(001)]

J. Mysliveček et al. (2002)

J.K. (2002)

#### **Issues**:

• shape and scaling of individual bunches

• global evolution of the morphology (coarsening)

## Levels of description:

• step evolution equations:

$$\frac{dx_j}{dt} = f_+(x_{j+1} - x_j) + f_-(x_j - x_{j-1})$$

[BCF 1951; Schwoebel & Shipsey 1966]

continuum height equation:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \mathscr{F}(\nabla h, \nabla^2 h, \dots)$$

[Mullins 1959; Villain 1991]



## **Scaling properties of step bunches**



Experiments for electromigration-induced step bunching on Si(111):

- $\gamma \approx 2/3$  [Fujita et al., Phys. Rev. B 60, 16006 (1999)]
- $\beta \approx 1/2$  [Yang et al., Surf. Sci. 356, 101 (1996)]

**Goal:** Consistent derivation of power laws and prefactors

# **Stability of step trains**

• step evolution:



 $f_{\pm}$ : flux from lower/upper terrace

• homogeneous step train:  $x_j^{(0)} = [f_+(l) + f_-(l)]t + jl$  l: step spacing linear stability analysis:  $x_j(t) = x_j^{(0)} + \varepsilon_j(t) \implies \varepsilon_j(t) \sim e^{i\phi j + \omega t}$  with

$$\mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\phi})) = -(1 - \cos \boldsymbol{\phi})[f'_+(l) - f'_-(l)]$$

 $\Rightarrow$  step train is stable iff  $f'_+(l) - f'_-(l) > 0$ 

step bunching during growth requires preferential attachment from the upper terrace

## **The Ehrlich-Schwoebel effect**

[G. Ehrlich, F. Hudda (1966); R.L. Schwoebel, E.J. Shipsey (1966)]



- Additional energy barrier suppresses adatom descent across step edges
- Preferential attachment to step edges from the lower terrace

   stabilization during growth, destabilization during sublimation

# **Step bunching by steering**

• Steering: Attraction of incident atoms to the substrate implies inhomogeneous deposition flux



Lennard-Jones trajectories for Cu(100) [van Dijken et al., PRB 61, 14047 (2000)]

At **vicinal surfaces** steering leads to enhanced deposition near descending steps





• Burton-Cabrera-Frank (BCF) equation for stationary adatom density n(x):

$$Dn'' + F(x) = 0$$
 with boundary conditions  $n(0) = n(l) = 0$ 

 $\Rightarrow f_+ - f_- = -2\varepsilon [\lambda (1 + e^{-l/\lambda}) - 2(\lambda^2/l)(1 - e^{-l/\lambda})] \approx -2\varepsilon [\lambda - 2(\lambda^2/l)]$  $\Rightarrow f'_+(l) - f'_-(l) < 0, \quad \text{instability for all } l$ 

• Time scale for bunching:  $\theta_c \approx (8\varepsilon)^{-1} (l/\lambda)^2$  ML

#### **One-dimensional stochastic model**



- Atom deposited at site *i* reaches upper step with probability  $P_i = 1 - (i - 1)/l$
- Atoms deposited at i = 1 are "deflected" with probability p

• Simulation with l = 3 and p = 1:



## **Step bunching during sublimation**

• BCF equation for the stationary adatom density n(x):

$$D \frac{d^2 n}{dx^2} - \frac{n}{\tau} = 0$$
 b.c.:  $D \frac{dn}{dx}(x_i) = \pm k_{\pm}[n(x_i) - n_{eq}(x_i)]$ 

 $\tau$ : adatom lifetime  $k_{\pm}$ : attachment rates to ascending/descending step

• step-step interactions:  $n_{eq}(x_i) = n_{eq}^0 \exp[\beta \Delta \mu(x_i)]$  with  $\beta = 1/k_B T$  and

$$\beta \Delta \mu(x_i) = -\left(\frac{l_0}{x_{i+1} - x_i}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{l_0}{x_i - x_{i-1}}\right)^3$$

 $l_0 = (2\Omega\beta g)^{1/3}$ : interaction length

g: step repulsion coefficient

- additional lengths:  $\lambda_D = \sqrt{D\tau}$  diffusion length  $d = D/k_{-}$  kinetic length
- Ehrlich-Schwoebel parameter:  $S = k_{-}/k_{+} = \exp[-\beta \Delta E_{S}] < 1$

• For  $x_i - x_{i-1} \ll d$  and  $x_i - x_{i-1} \ll \lambda_D$  step dynamics become linear:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{D\Omega n_{\text{eq}}^0}{\lambda_D^2} \left[ \frac{S}{1+S} (x_{i+1} - x_i) + \frac{1}{1+S} (x_i - x_{i-1}) \right] + \text{interaction terms}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  exact continuum limit for slowly varying profiles: [J.K. (1997)]



 $m = \partial h / \partial x > 0$  slope  $R = \Omega n_{eq}^0 / \tau$  desorption rate  $h_0$  monolayer height

## **Step bunching by surface electromigration**

• BCF equation with an electromigration force f [Stoyanov, 1990]:

$$D\frac{d^2n}{dx^2} - \beta Df\frac{dn}{dx} - \frac{n}{\tau} = 0 \quad \text{b.c.:} \quad D\frac{dn}{dx} - \beta Dfn \left|_{x=x_i} = \pm k[n - n_{\text{eq}}]\right|_{x=x_i}$$

⇒ linear step equations in the attachment-limited regime: [Liu & Weeks, 1998]

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{D\Omega n_{eq}^0 f}{2dk_{B}T} \left( x_{i+1} + x_{i-1} - 2x_i \right) + R(x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}) + \text{interaction terms}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  continuum equation:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{Dn_{eq}^0 h_0 f}{2dk_{\rm B}T} \frac{1}{m} - \frac{Rh_0^3}{6m^3} + \frac{3\Omega l_0^3 Dn_{eq}^0}{4dm} \frac{\partial^2 m^2}{\partial x^2} \right] = -Rh_0$$

destabilizing for f < 0

• Neglecting the symmetry-breaking term  $\sim m^{-3} \partial m / \partial x$ , the stationarity condition  $J(x) \equiv J_0$  takes the form of Newton's equation for  $u = m^2$ :

$$K\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} = \sqrt{u}(J_0 + B/\sqrt{u}) = -V'(u), \quad V(u) = -\frac{2}{3}J_0u^{3/2} - Bu$$



- Bunch shape corresponds to trajectory  $0 \le u(x) \le u_{\max}$
- Two types of trajectories:  $K(du/dx)^2/2 + V(u) \le 0 \text{ or } > 0$
- How to fix  $J_0$ ?
- Liu & Weeks [PRB 57, 14891 (1998)]:  $J_0 = -\frac{1-S}{2(1+S)}Rh_0l < 0$

 $\Rightarrow$  current remains at its initial value throughout the bunching process

 $\Rightarrow$  bunch is described by trajectories with  $u_{\rm max} \gg u^*$ 

• Scaling law for minimal terrace size  $l_{min}$  in a bunch of N steps:

$$\frac{l_{\min}}{l} = 2^{4/3} \left(\frac{S}{1-S}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{l}{d}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\lambda_D}{l}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{l_0}{l}\right) N^{-2/3}$$

### Numerical simulations:

(V. Tonchev)

 $\lambda_D/l = d/l = 100$ \* S = 0.3,  $l_0/l = 0.12$ 500 steps

 $\Box S = 0.01, l_0/l = 0.24$ single bunches



## **Universality classes of step bunching?**

• Generic continuum equation for step bunching: [Pimpinelli et al., PRL 88, 206103 (2002)]

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial J}{\partial x} = \text{const.}, \qquad J = B m^{\rho} + K m^{-k} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} m^n$$
  
with  $B\rho > 0, K > 0$  destabilizing stabilizing

k = 0/1: diffusion limited/attachment limited kinetics *n*: exponent of step-step interaction  $[V_{\text{step}}(l) \sim l^{-n}]$ 

• Postulate invariance of h(x,t) under scale transformation

 $h(x,t) \rightarrow b^{-\alpha} h(bx, b^{z}t)$ 

 $\Rightarrow \alpha = 1 + 2/(n-k-\rho), z = 2(1+n-k-2\rho)/(n-k-\rho), \beta = \alpha/z$ 

• For sublimation and electromigration  $\rho = -1$ , k = 1

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{n+2}{n}, \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma = \frac{2}{2+n}$$

• For n = 2 this implies  $\gamma = 1/2$ ,  $l_{\min} \sim N^{-1/2}$  ???

- The scaling argument for  $l_{\min}$  fails because in the stationarity condition  $J(x) \equiv J_0$  the destabilizing current is **irrelevant** compared to the mean current  $J_0$  when  $\rho < 0 \implies$  effectively  $\rho = 0 \implies \gamma = 2/(n+1)$
- On the other hand, the numerics is consistent with the predictions of the scaling theory for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\rho = -1$

 $\Rightarrow$  violation of the "obvious" scaling relation  $\gamma = 1 - 1/\alpha$  !!!!

• Local and global properties described by different continuum equations ?

## **Bunch asymmetry and bunch motion**

- Bunches are distinctly asymmetric:  $l_{\rm first} \sim N^{-1/3}$  as predicted by continuum theory, but  $l_{\rm last} \sim N^0$
- Asymmetry due to symmetry-breaking term is much too weak and of the wrong sign:

Numerically integrated bunch shape for different values of the maximal slope

$$S = 0.3, \lambda_D / l = d / l = 100, l_0 / l = 0.12$$



 Bunch asymmetry reflects the accelerating trajectories of steps escaping from one bunch and attaching to the next