Left-handed metamaterials

Peter Markoš, Institute of Physcis, SAV, Bratislava

February 15, 2005

Abstract

Left handed metamaterials are man made composites which possess, in ^a given frequency interval, negative effective permittivity and permeability. I give ^a short review of electro-magnetic properties of such materials, and describe methods of numerical analysis of the transport of EM wave through left-handed structures.

– Typeset by Foil $\mathrm{T_F}\!\mathrm{X}$ –

Maxwell Equations

div
$$
\vec{D} = \rho
$$
 rot $\vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}$
\ndiv $\vec{B} = 0$ rot $\vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$
\n $\rho = 0$ $\vec{J} = 0$ $\vec{D} = \varepsilon \vec{E}$ $\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H}$

 ε . . . permittivity

 μ . . . permeability

In general, ε a μ are $\mathit{complex}$ $\mathit{tensors}.$

Index of refraction: $n=\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}$ Impedance: $z = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}$

Veselago

We are interested in how waves propagate through various media, so we consider solutions to the wave equation.

Sov. Phys. Usp. 10, 509 (1968)

Plane monochromatic wave

$$
k \times E = -\frac{\omega}{c} \mu H \qquad k \times H = -\frac{\omega}{c} \varepsilon E \tag{1}
$$

Wave vector:

$$
k^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \varepsilon \mu \tag{2}
$$

There is no physical reason to require both ε and μ to be positive.

In fact, $negative$ values $\varepsilon < 0$ and $\mu < 0$ allow wave propagation, too.

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hskip10mm$ 3

Left-handed rule

Left-handedness: vectors E → $\vec{E},\;\vec{H}$ H and → k follow left hand rule. Poynting vector: \vec{S} $\vec{S}=\vec{E}$ $E \times H$ → . ~ $\vec{k} \propto \varepsilon \vec{E}$ $E \times H$ → → k and S ~ \vec{S} are *anti-parallel*: \vec{k} $k.S$ ~ $S < 0.$

Dispersion

Energy of the EM field: we need general relation

$$
U = \frac{\partial(\varepsilon\omega)}{\partial\omega}E^2 + \frac{\partial(\mu\omega)}{\partial\omega}H^2
$$
\n(3)

Causality:

$$
\frac{\partial(\varepsilon\omega)}{\partial\omega} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial(\mu\omega)}{\partial\omega} > 0
$$

Therefore: left-handed material *must be* dispersive.

Because of Kramers-Kronig, EM losses are unavoidable in LHM.

– Typeset by FoilT $\rm _F\!X$ – 5

Group velocity

Definition of the group velocity is the main source of misunderstandings in the analysis of the EM properties of LHM.

$$
v_g = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial k} \quad v_g = \frac{c}{\partial (n\omega)/\partial \omega}
$$

One can show that $v_g > 0$ is positive. However, no analysis has been done for anisotropic systems with complex ε and $\mu.$

We do not need v_g . What we need is the direction in which the energy flows.

Index of refraction

We require: $n'' > 0$ and $z' > 0$ $n = n' + i n'' = \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}$ $\varepsilon = |\varepsilon| e^{i \phi_{\varepsilon}}.$ $\mu = |\mu| e^{i \phi_{\mu}}$, $n=|n|e^{i\phi_n}$ $\phi_n = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{\varepsilon} + \phi_{\mu})$ ϵ $\, n$ $n-\mu$ Real Imag

If $\varepsilon^\prime < 0$ and $\mu^\prime < 0$, then also $n^\prime < 0$. Therefore: <code>LHM</code> possess *negative* refractive index $n^{\prime} < 0.$

– Typeset by FoilT $\rm _FX$ – 7

"Reversal" of Snell's Law

 $k_T = nk_I$

– Typeset by FoilT $\rm _FX$ – $\hphantom{\rm F}8$

Focusing in a Left-Handed Medium

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hskip 1.6cm 9$

Perfect lens?

Veselago showed that thin LH slab works as lens.

Pendry: for $n = -1$ ($\varepsilon = -1$ and $\mu = -1$) such lens is perfect it has perfect resolution (it create perfect image).

Possibility to have lens with resolution smaller that the wave length is the main motivation of the studies of LHM

Origin of the perfect image

LHM slab amplifies evanescent modes, which decrease exponentially in the RH medium.

For
$$
\varepsilon = -1
$$
 and $\mu = -1$:

Transmission of the EM wave:

$$
E = |E|e^{ikz} \qquad T = 1
$$

$$
E = |E|e^{-kz} \qquad T = e^{+k\ell}
$$

– Typeset by FoilT $\rm _F\!X$ – 11

Resolution of the LHM lens

$$
\omega^2 = k_x^2 + k_z^2 \tag{4}
$$

Classical lens: Only components with $k_x < \omega/c$ participate on the reconstruction. $s(x)=\sum_{k_x}^{\omega/c} s(k_x) e^{ixk_x}$ Resolution: $\Delta \sim \omega/c$

LH lens: Also evanescent waves with $e^{-k_z z}$, $\quad k_z=\sqrt{k_x^2-\omega^2}$ participate on the reconstruction of the image. $s(x) = \sum_{k_x}^{\infty} s(k_x) e^{ixk_x}$

This enables perfect reconstruction of the source since also Fourier components with $k_x \gg 2\pi/\lambda$ are transmitted through LHM and used in the reconstruction.

Resolution of the LHM lens

Ideal LH lens create perfect inage in the near-field.

Real world: because of dispersion, we can not have $n\,=\,-1$ for all frequencies. There are also losses, anizotropy In any case, LH lens enables at least partial reconstruction of details smaller than the wave length.

Surface plasmon

Physics: evanescent waves excites surface waves at the boundary LH - RH (Ruppin, Haldane).

– Typeset by FoilT $\rm _FX$ – 14

Metamaterials Extend Properties

First Left-Handed Test Structure

UCSD, PRL 84, 4184 (2000)

A 2-D Isotropic Structure

UCSD, APL 78, 489 (2001)

Structure of the unit cell

EM wave propagates in the z -direction

Periodic boundary conditions are used in transverse directions

Polarization: p wave: E parallel to y s wave: E parallel to x

For the p wave, the resonance frequency interval exists, where with Re m_{eff} <0, Re e_{eff} <0 and Re $n_p < 0$.

For the *s* wave, the refraction index $n_s = 1$.

Typical size of the unit cell: 3.3 x 3.67 x 3.67 mm

Typical permittivity of the metallic components: $e_{\text{metal}} = (-3 + 5.88 \text{ i}) \times 10^5$

Measurement of Refractive Index

UCSD, Science 292, 77 2001

Measurement of Refractive Index

UCSD, Science 292, 77 2001

Measurement of Refractive Index

UCSD, Science 292, 77 2001

Objections: is left-handedness real?

- LH can not works because of huge energy losses (Garcia).
- $\bullet\hspace{1mm} n < 0$ violates causality principle $(\sf Valanju)$
- $\bullet\,$ negative refraction shown in experiments is just a near field effect $($ Garcia $)$
- perfect lens do not exist (Walser, 't Hooft, . . .)
- One can not define effective parameters because of space dispersion (Efros)
- \bullet $\mu(\omega)$ has no physical meaning for large ω (Efros)

Effective parameters - numerical analysis

Consider homogeneous system of length L.

Find transmission t and reflection r from numerical simulations.

Express transmission and reflection as a function of refractive index n and impedance ^z:

$$
t^{-1} = \left[\cos(nkL) - \frac{i}{2}\left(z + \frac{1}{z}\right)\sin(nkL)\right]
$$
 (5)

$$
\frac{r}{t} = -\frac{i}{2} \left(z - \frac{1}{z} \right) \sin(nkL)
$$
\n(6)

 k is wave vector of EM wave *in vacuum.* $n=\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}$ and $z=\sqrt{\mu/\varepsilon}$

Praha, February 15, 2005

Effective parameters

$$
z = \pm \sqrt{\frac{(1+r)^2 - t^2}{(1-r)^2 - t^2}} \tag{7}
$$

$$
\cos(nkL) = X = \frac{1}{2t} \left(1 - r^2 + t^2 \right) \tag{8}
$$

we require $z^{\prime}>0 \,\,$ and $n^{\prime\prime}>0$

$$
e^{-n''kL} [\cos(n'kL) + i \sin(n'kL)] = Y = X \pm \sqrt{1 - X^2}.
$$
 (9)

Assumptions:

homogeneous material. Typical inhomogeneity must be \ll wave length *inside* the sample.

This requirement is not always fulfilled.

- typical size of the unit cell: 3-3.5 mm
- frequency of EM wave: 10 GHz
- wave length in vacuum: 3-4 cm
- $\bullet\,$ refractive index inside the LH system: $0<|n'|< 3$

Example: LHM

Example: LHM

Note that wave inside the LH slab decays very slowly - losses are indeed small.

Periodic array of thin wires:

Effective permittivity:

$$
\text{Drude formula: } \varepsilon = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega\gamma}
$$

Numerical data agree with theory.

We observed misshaped resonance in μ and antiresonance in $\epsilon(\omega).$ Also, $\varepsilon^{\prime\prime} < 0$.

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hphantom{1}30$

Reason: spatial periodicity of the metamaterial

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – 31

Solution: shift of the resonance frequency below BZ

Almost perfect resonance in magnetic permeability

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hphantom{1}$ 33

Other problems:

technology: it is difficult to create 2D and 3D samples

EM losses due to dielectric board:

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hphantom{1}$ 34

Conclusions

- We believe that EM properties of LHM are not in contradiction with any physical law.
- Theory is perfect for homogeneous materials which, however, does not exists.
- System is anisotropic; shown structures are LH only in one direction and only for one polarization. We want homogeneous and isotropic samples

Appendix: Photonic crystals

Negative refraction of EM waves has been observed also at the boundary vacuum - PC

 believe that physics of this phenomena is different from LHM: note that the space period of PC is always comparable with the wavelength. Therefore we have no effective ε and $\mu.$

Advantage: PC consists from dielectric rods. There are no EM losses. 2D PC are therefore much easier to prepare than LHM.

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hphantom{1}36$

FDTD simulations were used to study the time evolution of an EM wave as it hits the interface vacuum/photonic crystal. Photonic crystal consists of an hexagonal lattice of dielectric rods with ϵ =12.96. The radius of rods is r =0.35*a*. *a* is the lattice constant.

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hphantom{1}38$

– Typeset by FoilT_EX – $\hphantom{1}$ 39

The EM wave is trapped temporarily at the interface and after a long time, the wave front moves eventually in the negative direction. Negative refraction was observed for wavelength of the EM wave λ = 1.64 – 1.75 *a* (*a* is the lattice constant of PC)

Theory

$$
n = \frac{\partial E}{\partial k}
$$

Plot $E=E(\vec{k}% _{z}\cdot\vec{r}_{z})$ $k).$ Close to $E = E_{\rm max}$ expect $n < 0.$ Find lattice for which $n\approx -1$ in all directions.

"Refractive index"

– Typeset by FoilT $\rm _FX$ – $\hphantom{\rm F}$ 44

Acknowledgement

 used figures of D. R. Smith, C. M. Soukoulis, Th. Koschny, S. Foteinopoulou, [Phys. Rev. ^B 66, ²³⁵¹⁰⁷ (2003)], and R. Moussa [Phys. Rev. ^B 71, ⁰⁸⁵¹⁰⁶ (2005)]