First principles simulations of the mechanical and electrical properties of metallic nanocontacts submitted to tensile forces

P.Jelínek^{1,2}, R.Pérez², J.Ortega² and F.Flores²

¹ Department of Thin Films, Institute of Physics CAS, Czech Republic

² Departamento de la Física Teórica de la Materia Condensada,

UAM, Spain





Outline

Introduction

 Experiments: Conductance quantization, Force vs conductance relation, eigenchannels & histograms.

 First-principles simulations of the whole stretching process up to the nanocontact breaking: structure and conductance

- Simple (sp) metals: Al nanocontacts
 - Thin AI (111) nanocontacts: evolution & final "dimer" configuration.
 - Role of the defects (impurities, vacancies).
 - Thick Al nanocontacts with (111) and (100) orientations.
- Au nanocontacts : Chain formation
- Au chains + impurity: fractional quantum conductance
- Conclusions

Introduction I

Ongoing miniaturization of electronic devices (CPU – 90nm)



• Progress of experimental methods (Scanning Probes etc.)



Introduction II

Atomic scale is different....

New effects

- Breakdown of Ohm's Law
- Conductance quantization
- Spintronics
- Quant. Wells ; Quant. Dots
- Nanofriction

New material properties

- Different conduction properties on different scale (Au vs. Pb)
- Larger yield stress of metallic nanowires
- Superlubricity

New electronic devices

Experimental part 1

Conductance quantization

Forces vs. conductance



J.C. Cuevas et al. PRL 81, 2990 (1998)

- Stepwise character of conductance
- Characteristic values and shapes of conductance steps



G.Rubio-Bollinger et al. PRL **87**, 26101 (2001) ⁽⁸⁾ Relation between tensile forces

- and conductance
- Relation between geometry of nanocontacts and conductance
- Mechanical properties of nanowires

Experimental part 2

Channels

Conductance histogram





E. Scheer et al. Nature 394, 154 (1998) A. I. Yanson PhD Thesis, Univ. Leiden (2001)

- Analysis of contributing channels and transmission probabilities of electrons
- Chemical valence determines the electrical resistance of an atom
- Reflects effects of conductance quantization and atomic rearrangements
- Favorable atomic configurations cause peaks in histogram

Experimental part 3

TEM

Inelastic transport



H. Onishi et al. Nature **395**, 780 (1998)

- Direct visualization of atomic nanoconacts
- Au monoatomic chains



- *N. Agrait et al.* PRL **88**, 216803 (2001)
 - Voltage dependency of the current inclusion and the current
 - inelastic scattering of electrons
 - Dissipation sensitive to the strain
 - e-ph interaction of one-dimensional system

Theoretical calculations

State of the art

- Accuracy / scale (ab initio vs. classical potentials)
- Most of ab initio calculations use implicit ideal structures
- Non-equilibrium process (bias voltage etc.)
- Evolution of the system along the elongation ...??
 A. Nakamura et al PRL 82, 1538 (1999)
- Influence of defects, impurities, temperature etc.



N.D. Lang PRB 52, 5335 (1995)
C.C. Wan et al PRB 71, 419 (1997)
J.C. Cuevas et al PRL 80, 1066 (1998)
J.J.Palacios et al PRB 66, 035322 (2002)



Our computational approach

First-principles simulations of the whole stretching process up to the nanowire breaking: structure and conductance

Motivation

- Simulation of the whole stretching process of metallic nanocontacts
- Determination of the atomic structure of nanocontacts (experimentally unreachable)
- Explanation of distinct phenomena and material properties
- Relation between mechanical and electrical properties
- Effect of defects and impurities on the material properties

Computational scheme

Part I: Structure optimization

- Ab-initio DFT-LDA total energy calculations
- Combination of fast / accurate methods
- Local orbital-DFT (Fireball) and PW-DFT (Castep)

P. Jelinek et al cond-mat/0409509 (accepted PRB)*M.Payne et al* Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 1045 (1992)

Part II: Calculation of electrical properties

- Keldish-Green's function formalism (beyond pert. theory)
- TB-Hamiltonian from Fireball code
- T= 0 K; no bias voltage (equilibrium conditions)

P. Jelinek et al. Surf. Sci. 566-568, 13 (2004)



http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/lewis/fireball/index.htm

Fireball as exploratory ab initio tool with favourable fast/accuracy balance

- real space DFT Molecular dynamics method
- Iocal 'Fireball' pseudoatomic orbitals (*s,p,d* DN basis set)
- Harris-Foulkes functional (ρ_{in}) (J.Harris PRB 31, 1770 (1985))
- 3-center approximation; integrals in tables (fast interpolation)
- norm conserving KB pseudopotencial
- parallel, linear-scaling implementation
- Multi-center average density approximation for XC matrix
- TDDFT
- spin-polarization

in progress

Kohn-Sham scheme + grid method

O. F. Sankey and D.J. Niklewski PRB **64**, 1045 (1989) *A. Demkov et al. PRB* **52**, 1618 (1995) *J.P. Lewis et al. PRB* **64**, 195103 (2001) *S.D. Shellman et al. J.Comp.Phys.* **188**, 1 (2003) *P. Jelinek et al.* cond-mat/0409509 (accepted PRB)



Fig. 'Fireball' orbital

Total energy calculation

- Nanocontact: a neck sandwiched between two leads (slabs)
- Initial structure derived from ideal bulk geometry (48-145 atoms)
- PBC applied in all x,y,z-axis
- Stretching process controlled via PBC in zaxis
- Marked internal layers of slab are fixed (white box)
- For each distance atomic positions relaxed using CG
- Effect of the temperature NOT included
- Different surface orientations; impurities
- Tensile forces ~ total energy derivative with respect to the displacement
- SCF TB Hamiltonian of optimized structure to transport code



Transport calculation: formalism

conductance across the interface:

decoupling:



...the formalism is equivalent to other approaches for details see F.J. Garcia-Vidal et al. Prog. Surf. Sci. 74, 177 (2003)

Transport calculation: scheme

Fireball: non-orthogonal TB Ĥ[×](r), Ŝ(r)

k-space:
$$\hat{H}^{x}(r)$$
, $\hat{S}(r) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{x}(k)$, $\hat{S}(k)$
orthogonalization: $\hat{H}(k) = \hat{S}(k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} H^{x}(k) \hat{S}(k)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
partition: $\hat{H}_{1}(k) + \hat{H}_{2}(k) + \check{T}_{12}(k)$
r-space: $\hat{H}_{i}(r)$, $\check{T}_{12}(r)$
 $g_{ii}^{r,a} = [\omega - \hat{H}_{ii} \pm i\eta]^{-1}$
 $G = \frac{2e^{2}}{h} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{t}(E_{F})\hat{t}^{+}(E_{F})];$



Al nanocontacts



3x3-4I: structure



3x3-4I: conductance





- Direct relation between tensile forces, conductance and structure
- Abrupt changes related to reconstruction of the neck
 Forces ~ 0.5 ÷ 2.7 nN

 Number of open channels depends on the geometry and changing with distance

- Ascending shape of last step
- G on steps near 3,2,1 value

P.Jelinek et al. PRB 68, 085403 (2003)

Are these results reliable?

- Different initial conditions: different length (51 & 81) and periodicity (4x4).
- Role of defects: Vacancies & Impurities (Si, C, H & O)
- Thicker wires with different orientations: Al(111)-(5x5-5l) & Al(100)-(5x5-4l)

Al-4x4

С



Η





Impurities (Si,C) affect Mechanical Stability





Vacancies on the neck & electrodes





Impurities: H (weak) vs O (strong) influence









Al(111)(5x5-5l) nanowire: struc. & conductance



Large scale first principles simulation including 145 atoms



Ascending form of the plateaus

- Final breaking:'dimer' structure
- Last plateau: G ~ 1 (2e²/h) (3

channels: 1 dominant & 2 minor)

Al(100)(5x5-4l) nanowire: struc. & conductance



Surface orientation: Comparison AI – surface (100) Al – surface (111)





max. forces ~ 4.9 nN (~0.55 nN/atm) = max. forces ~ 6.1nN (~0.7 nN/atm) breaking forces ~ 1.02 nN

breaking forces ~ 1.03 nN

Nanowire final configuration: reordering to form a more compact (111) structure !!!

Brief summary for different AI nanowires

- Final breaking 'dimer-like' structure with conductance ~ 1 (2e²/h)
- Characteristic ascending shape of plateaus
- Initial number of open channels depends on the structure
- Conductance values for plateaus mostly near ~ 3,2,1 (2e²/h) in agreement with the conductance histograms
- Impurities and vacancies affect atomic rearrangement, modify the breaking distance and lower breaking value of G
- Surface orientation: reoredering to (111) compact structure
- Large breaking tensile forces with universal value ~1 nN

• Origin of the ascending form of the conductance plateaus ??

P. Jelínek et al. PRB 68, 085403 (2003)
P. Jelínek et al. Surf. Sci. 566-568, 13 (2004)
P. Jelínek et al. Nanotechnology (2005) (accepted)

Au nanocontact (3x3-4I): Structural evolution during the stretching process



Au (111)-nanowire: chain formation



Au (111)-nanowire: Conductance





Characteristic monoatomic chains (up to 4 atoms in chain)
Final breaking distance ~ 8.0 Å

Distance Au-Au ~ 2.6 Å

Zig-zag vs. straight structure
Long plateau (monoatomic chain formation): G ~ 1 (2e²/h)

Mostly 1 channel on last plateau
Conductance oscillation along the process of elongation of the monoatomic chain (odd & even number of atoms)

Au-wire + H: fractional quantum conductance

Sz. Csonka et al. PRL 90, 116803 (2003)



 experiment: MCBJ presence of H₂ molecules in atmosphere T = 20K, V^{bias}= 20 meV

• histogram shows an additional peak ~0.6 $G_0 \rightarrow$ new unknown structure

• reversible fractional quantum conductance $1 \leftrightarrow \sim 0.6 \text{ G}_0$

(75% of records), time scale \sim seconds

- Ag, Cu do not show the fractional peaks
- Conclusion: the presence of H₂ molecules leads to

dimerization of the Au monoatomic chains



- Does H₂ molecules react with monoatomic Au chains?
 Can the presence of H₂ molecules change the conductance of Au nanocontact?
 Can H₂ molecules dissociate on the Au-chain?
- Does depend the reactivity on the stress in the chain?

Au-nanocontact + H_2 (H): simulations



Au-wire+H₂: dissociation ?

 Surface Au (111)+H₂: PW-GGA calculation → no direct dissociation, E^b = 1.1 eV (*B. Hammer and J.K. Norskov Nature* **376**, 238 (1994))
 Au nanocontacts: local orbital (LO) Fireball DFT-LDA → no direct dissociation, H₂ molecule slightly bonded vertically to the chain
 PW-LDA/GGA study of a simplified case: ideal monoatomic Au wire



Ideal Au chain + H₂:

PW-LDA: direct dissociation PW-GGA: $E^{b} \sim 0.1-0.4 \text{ eV}$

Preliminary conclusion: dissociation barrier ~0.1-0.4 eV depending on the orientation of H_2 molecule, but still in progress...

Au-wire+H₂: summary

- The simulations show the stronger reactivity of the atomic Au chains than surfaces or bulk (order of eV in the case of atomic H).
- The reactivity increases with the tension in the chain.
- H_2 molecules weakly bonded with Au chains, the conductance similar to clean Au chains near ~1.0 G_0 .
- H atoms reacts strongly with Au chains changing the conductance to $\sim 0.6 \text{ G}_0$ in very good agreement to the experiment.
- The dissociation of H_2 molecule is still open question (in progess), energetic barrier ~0.3 eV.

Au-wire + O_2



Conductance vs. displacement



Conclusions

- Complex first principles calculation of the whole stretching process for different metallic nanocontacts.
- Close relation between structure, electrical & mechanical properties.
- Very good agreement with experimental results (increase of the Al conductance in the last plateau reproduced for the 1st time).
- AI: "dimer" structure before final breaking (independent of defects, impurities, or initial nanocontact orientation).
 - Conductance before breaking ~ 1.00 (2e²/h) with 1 dominant and 2 minority channels.
 - Breaking tensile forces ~ 1 nN (~0.7 nN per bond in bulk).
- Transition metals: monoatomic chain formation in Au. (G ~ 2e²/h, 1 channel; conductance fluctuations).
- Au-wire+ H_2 : The presence of H atoms strongly affects the conductance (G ~ 0.6 e²/h); the dissociation of H₂ still open question.