

Richard C. Brown; Milan Tvrdý

Generalized boundary value problems with abstract side conditions and their adjoints. II

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 4, 501--509

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101768>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

GENERALIZED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH ABSTRACT
SIDE CONDITIONS AND THEIR ADJOINTS II

RICHARD C. BROWN, Tuscaloosa and MILAN TVRDÝ, Praha

(Received April 13, 1979)

0. PRELIMINARIES

Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. Let A be an $m \times m$ -matrix valued function essentially bounded on $[a, b]$. Let F be a locally convex topological vector space and let H be a linear continuous mapping of the Sobolev space $W_m^{1,\infty}$ into F .

For $u \in W_m^{1,\infty}$, ℓu denotes the value of the differential expression

$$\ell u := u' + A(t)u.$$

This expression is defined a.e. on $[a, b]$ and $\ell u \in L_m^\infty$ for any $u \in W_m^{1,\infty}$. The symbol ℓ will be also used for the "maximal" operator

$$\ell : u \in W_m^{1,\infty} \rightarrow \ell u \in L_m^\infty.$$

Under our assumptions the graph

$$(0,1) \quad G = G(\ell) = \{(u, \ell u) \in L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty : u \in W_m^{1,\infty}\}$$

of ℓ is certainly closed in $L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty$. Hence when endowed with the usual operations and with the norm of $L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty$

$$(u, \ell u) \in G \rightarrow \|u\|_\infty + \|\ell u\|_\infty,$$

G becomes a Banach space.

We shall consider the linear differential operator L acting on L_m^∞ defined on

$$D(L) = \{u \in L_m^\infty : u \in W_m^{1,\infty} \text{ and } Hu = 0\}$$

by

$$Lu := \ell u.$$

We shall use the notation introduced in the first part [1] of the paper. Given locally convex topological vector spaces X, Y and a linear operator T with the definition domain $D(T) \subset X$ and the range $R(T) \subset Y$, $N(T)$ denotes its null space and $G(T)$

its graph. X^* is the dual space to X and $[\cdot, u]_X$ denotes the linear continuous functional on X corresponding to $u \in X^*$. For $M \subset X$ and $N \subset X^*$ the symbols M^\perp and ${}^\perp N$ are defined by

$$M^\perp = \{u \in X^* : [x, u]_X = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}$$

and

$${}^\perp N = \{x \in X : [x, u]_X = 0 \text{ for all } u \in N\},$$

respectively. Furthermore, $\text{cl}^*(N)$ denotes the weak*-closure of N in X^* (with respect to the duality $[\cdot, \cdot]_X$). If X is normed, then the norm on X is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_X$ and \bar{M} is the corresponding norm closure of $M \subset X$. In such a case it is possible also to equip X^* with the norm $\|u\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\|x\|_X \leq 1} |[x, u]|$. The corresponding norm closure of $N \subset X^*$ is denoted by \bar{N} .

Let S be a linear operator acting from Y^* into X^* ($D(S) \subset Y^*$, $R(S) \subset X^*$). We say that the set $G(*S)$ is the graph of the pre-adjoint relation $*S$ to S if

$$G(*S) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : [x, Su]_X = [y, u]_Y \text{ for all } u \in D(S)\},$$

i.e. $G(*S) = {}^\perp G(-S)$, where the orthogonal complement of the graph $G(-S) = \{(-Su, u) : u \in D(S) \subset Y^*\}$ of $-S$ is considered with respect to the duality $[\cdot, \cdot]_{X \times Y}$ on $(X \times Y) \times (X^* \times Y^*)$,

$$[(x, y), (u, v)]_{X \times Y} = [x, u]_X + [y, v]_Y.$$

$D(*S) = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in G(*S) \text{ for some } y \in Y\}$ is the definition domain of $*S$, $R(*S) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in G(*S) \text{ for some } x \in X\}$ its range, $N(*S) = \{x \in X : (x, 0) \in G(*S)\}$ its null space and

$$*Sx = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in G(*S)\} \quad \text{for } x \in D(*S).$$

$*S$ is an operator if $*Sx = 0$ for $x = 0$.

0.1. Lemma (cf. [2], Theorem 2.3). *Let X, Y be Banach spaces. If $S : D(S) \subset Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is weakly*-closed in $X^* \times Y^*$ and $\bar{R}(S) = R(S)$, then $R(S)$ is weakly*-closed in X^* , $(*S)^* = S$ and*

$$(0,2) \quad \begin{aligned} R(S) &= N(*S)^\perp, & {}^\perp R(S) &= N^*(S), \\ R(*S) &= {}^\perp N(S), & R(*S)^\perp &= N(S). \end{aligned}$$

C^m denotes the space of complex row m -vectors, $|\cdot|$ is the norm on C^m , x^* denotes the conjugate transposition of $x \in C^m$; L_m^p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is the space of functions $x : [a, b] \rightarrow C^m$ for which

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\int_a^b |x(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty$$

or

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} \text{ess } |x(t)| < \infty \quad \text{if } p = \infty ;$$

$W_m^{1,p}$ is the Sobolev space of functions $x : [a, b] \rightarrow C^m$ absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and such that their derivatives x' belong to L_m^p ,

$$\|x\|_{1,p} = |x(a)| + \|x'\|_p .$$

Let $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$ if $1 < p < \infty$, $q = \infty$ if $p = 1$, then L_m^q is the dual space to L_m^p with respect to the duality

$$[x, u]_L = \int_a^b u^* x \, dt \quad \text{for } x \in L_m^1 \quad \text{and } u \in L_m^\infty$$

and $W_m^{1,q}$ is the dual space to $W_m^{1,p}$ with respect to the duality

$$[x, v]_W = v^*(a) x(a) + [x', v']_L \quad \text{for } x \in W_m^{1,p} \quad \text{and } v \in W_m^{1,q} .$$

1. NORMAL SOLVABILITY OF L

In the first part of the paper we proved that under our assumptions L has a closed range in L_m^∞ , i.e. it is normally solvable in the usual sense. However, since we have no proper analytic representation of the dual space to L_m^∞ we cannot obtain an analytic form of the adjoint L^* to the operator L . This means that the relations (Fredholm Alternatives)

$$R(L) = {}^1N(L^*), \quad R(L)^\perp = N(L^*)$$

which follow from the normal solvability give us no useful information. Nevertheless, we have a chance to obtain similar but more useful Fredholm type relations using the pre-adjoint $*L$ of L . Since L_m^∞ is the dual space to L_m^1 , the pre-adjoint $*L$ to L is a linear relation in $L_m^1 \times L_m^1$ with the graph

$$(1,1) \quad G(*L) = \{(x, y) \in L_m^1 \times L_m^1 : [x, \ell u]_L = [y, u]_L \text{ for all } u \in D(L)\} ,$$

definition domain

$$(1,2) \quad D(*L) = \{x \in L_m^1 : (x, y) \in G(*L) \text{ for some } y \in L_m^1\} ,$$

null space

$$(1,3) \quad N(*L) = \{x \in L_m^1 : [x, \ell u]_L = 0 \text{ for all } u \in D(L)\}$$

and values

$$(1,4) \quad *Lx = \{y \in L_m^1 : (x, y) \in G(*L)\} \quad \text{for } x \in D(*L) .$$

If we show that L is weakly*-closed in $L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty$ (with respect to the duality

$$[(x, y), (u, v)] = [x, u]_L + [y, v]_L \quad \text{for } x, y \in L_m^1 \quad \text{and } u, v \in L_m^\infty ,$$

then by Lemma 0.1 we obtain the formulas

$$(1,5) \quad \begin{aligned} R(L) &= N(*L)^\perp, & {}^\perp R(L) &= N(*L), \\ R(*L) &= {}^\perp N(L), & R(*L)^\perp &= N(L). \end{aligned}$$

After proving this we shall in the following section derive the analytic form of the pre-adjoint relation $*L$ to L . The following assumptions will be kept.

1.1. Assumptions. A is an $m \times m$ -matrix valued function essentially bounded on $[a, b]$, $-\infty < a < b < \infty$; F is a locally convex topological vector space such that $F = (*F)^*$ for some locally convex topological vector space $*F$; H is a linear continuous mapping of the space $W_m^{1,\infty}$ into F such that $H = (*H)^*$ for some linear continuous mapping $*H$ of $*F$ into $W_m^{1,1}$.

1.2. Notation. We denote by J the linear operator (cf. (0,1))

$$J : (u, \ell u) \in G \subset L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty \rightarrow u \in W_m^{1,\infty}.$$

Obviously,

$$(1,6) \quad J_{-1}(N(H)) := \{(u, \ell u) \in G : Hu = 0\} = G(L)$$

is the graph of L .

1.3. Lemma. $\text{cl}^*(N(H)) = N(H)$ (the weak*-closure in $W_m^{1,\infty}$ with respect to the duality $[\cdot, \cdot]_W$).

Proof. Let $u \in \text{cl}^*(N(H))$. Then for each finite set $Z = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\} \subset W_m^{1,1}$ there exists a sequence $\{u_j^{(Z)}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset N(H)$ such that

$$[z, u_j^{(Z)}]_W \rightarrow [z, u]_W \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

holds for any $z \in Z$. Let us choose an arbitrary $\varphi \in *F$. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_j^{(\varphi)}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset N(H)$ such that

$$[*H\varphi, u_j^{(\varphi)}]_W \rightarrow [*H\varphi, u]_W \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that

$$[\varphi, Hu]_{*F} = [\varphi, H(u - u_j^{(\varphi)})]_{*F} = [*H\varphi, u - u_j^{(\varphi)}]_W \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\varphi \in *F$ was arbitrary, this implies that $Hu = 0$, i.e. $u \in N(H)$. This completes the proof.

1.4. Lemma. *The mapping J defined in 1.2 is continuous with respect to the corresponding weak*-topologies.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let Z be an arbitrary finite subset of $W_m^{1,1}$. To prove the lemma we have to show that there exist $\delta > 0$ and a finite subset W of $L_m^1 \times L_m^1$

such that for every $u \in W_m^{1,\infty}$ satisfying

$$|[x, u]_L + [y, \ell u]_L| < \delta \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in W$$

we have

$$|[z, u]_W| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } z \in Z.$$

Recall that

$$[z, u]_W = u^*(a) z(a) + \int_a^b u'^* z' dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1,7) \quad [x, u]_L + [y, \ell u]_L &= \int_a^b u^* x dt + \int_a^b (u' + Au)^* y dt = \\ &= \int_a^b u^*(x + A^*y) dt + \int_a^b u'^* y dt = \\ &= u^*(a) \int_a^b (x + A^*y) dt + \int_a^b u'^* \left[\int_t^b (x + A^*y) d\tau + y \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall prove

Auxiliary Assertion. For any $z \in W_m^{1,1}$ there exist $x, y \in L_m^1$ such that

$$(1,8) \quad \int_a^b (x + A^*y) dt = z(a) \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) + \int_t^b (x + A^*y) d\tau = z'(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } [a, b].$$

Proof (of Auxiliary Assertion). We have to show that for any $d \in C^m$ and $w \in L_m^1$ there exist $x, y \in L_m^1$ such that

$$(1,9) \quad \int_a^b (x + A^*y) dt = d, \\ y(t) + \int_t^b (x + A^*y) d\tau = w(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } [a, b].$$

If x, y satisfy (1,9), then there certainly exists $\xi \in W_m^{1,1}$ such that $\xi = w - y$ a.e. and

$$(1,10) \quad \xi(t) = \int_t^b (x + A^*(w - \xi)) d\tau \quad \text{on } [a, b], \quad d = \int_a^b (x + A^*(w - \xi)) dt.$$

Notice that then $\xi(a) = d$ and $\xi(b) = 0$.

On the other hand, if $\xi \in W_m^{1,1}$ and $x \in L_m^1$ fulfil (1,10), then the couple (x, y) , $y = w - \xi$, fulfils (1,9).

Differentiating (1,10) we further obtain that our assertion holds if for any $g \in L_m^1$ and $d \in C^m$ there exists $x \in L_m^1$ such that the two-point boundary value problem

$$(1,11) \quad -\zeta' + A^*(t)\zeta = g(t) + x(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } [a, b],$$

$$\zeta(a) = d \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(b) = 0$$

has a solution $\zeta \in W_m^{1,1}$.

Given $g \in L_m^1$ and $d \in C^m$, let us put

$$\xi(t) = \frac{b-t}{b-a} d \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]$$

and

$$x(t) = -\xi'(t) + A^*(t)\xi(t) - g(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [a, b].$$

Then evidently $\xi \in W_m^{1,1}$, $\xi(a) = d$, $\xi(b) = 0$ and ξ is a solution to the system (1,11). This completes the proof of Auxiliary Assertion.

Proof of Lemma 1.4 (continuation). Let Z be an arbitrary finite subset of $W_m^{1,1}$. Then by Auxiliary Assertion for any $z \in Z$ there exist $x_z, y_z \in L_m^1$ such that (1,8) holds when the symbols x, y are replaced by x_z and y_z , respectively. Let us denote

$$W := \{(x_z, y_z) : z \in Z\}.$$

Let $u \in W_m^{1,\infty}$ be such that

$$|[x, u]_L + [y, \ell u]_L| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in W.$$

Then for any $z \in Z$ we have in virtue of (1,7)

$$|[z, u]_W| = |[x_z, u]_L + [y_z, \ell u]_L| < \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.4.

Now we can prove the following assertion.

1.5. Theorem. *Under Assumptions 1.1 the graph $G(L)$ of L is weakly*-closed in $L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty$.*

Proof. By (1,6), $G(L) = J_{-1}(N(H))$. Since $N(H)$ is weakly*-closed in $W_m^{1,\infty}$ by Lemma 1.3 and $J : G \subset L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty \rightarrow W_m^{1,\infty}$ is continuous with respect to the corresponding weak*-topologies by Lemma 1.4, it follows immediately that $G(L)$ is weakly*-closed in $L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty$.

Since $R(L)$ is closed in L_m^∞ (cf. Theorem 4.3 of the first part [1] of this paper) and L is weakly*-closed in $L_m^\infty \times L_m^\infty$, it follows from Lemma 0.1 that $R(L)$ is weakly*-closed in L_m^∞ .

1.6. Theorem. *Under Assumptions 1.1, $R(L)$ is weakly*-closed in L_m^∞ , $(*L)^* = L$ and the relations (1,5) hold.*

1.7. Remark. The results of this section also hold if we only assume the operator $H : W_m^{1,\infty} \rightarrow F$ to be continuous and such that its pre-adjoint relation $*H$ is densely defined in $*F$, i.e. $\overline{D(*H)} = *F$. (The last condition is fulfilled e.g. if H is weakly*-closed in $W_m^{1,\infty} \times F$. In fact, in this case we have $\overline{D(*H)} = {}^\perp\{0\}$, cf. [2], Theorem 2.3.) The proof of Lemma 1.3 should be modified as follows:

Let $u \in \text{cl}^*(N(H))$. Then for each $\varphi \in D(*H) \subset *F$ and each value $z \in *H\varphi \subset W_m^{1,1}$ there exists a sequence $\{u_j^{(z)}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset N(H)$ such that

$$[z, u_j^{(z)}]_W \rightarrow [z, u]_W \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty .$$

Consequently

$$[\varphi, Hu]_{*F} = [\varphi, H(u - u_j^{(z)})]_{*F} = [z, u - u_j^{(z)}]_W \rightarrow 0 ,$$

i.e. $[\varphi, Hu]_{*F} = 0$ for any $\varphi \in D(*H)$. Since $\overline{D(*H)} = *F$, this implies that $Hu = 0$ and $u \in N(H)$.

2. PRE-ADJOINT RELATION

We want to find an analytic description of the pre-adjoint relation $*L$ to L .

Let us assume 1.1.

2.1. Theorem. *The graph $G(*L)$ of the pre-adjoint relation $*L$ to L is the set of all couples $(y, v) \in L_m^1 \times L_m^1$ for which there exists $\psi \in L_m^1$ such that*

$$(2,1) \quad y + \psi \in W_m^{1,1}(*),$$

$$(2,2) \quad v = \ell^+(y, \psi) := -(y + \psi)' + A*y ,$$

$$(2,3) \quad [y + \psi](b) = 0$$

and

$$(2,4) \quad u^*(a) [y + \psi](a) + \int_a^b u'^* \psi \, dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in D = D(L) .$$

Proof. a) Let $(y, v) \in L_m^1 \times L_m^1$ belong to $G(*L)$. Then

$$(2,5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= [y, \ell u]_L - [v, u]_L = \int_a^b [(u' + Au)^* y - u^* v] \, dt = \\ &= u^*(a) \int_a^b (A^* y - v) \, dt + \int_a^b u'^* \left[y + \int_t^b (A^* y - v) \, d\tau \right] \, dt \end{aligned}$$

*) The functions y, ψ are supposed to be defined everywhere on $[a, b]$.

for all $u \in D(L)$. Let $\psi \in L_m^1$ be such that

$$[y + \psi](t) + \int_t^b (A^*y - v) \, d\tau = 0 \quad \text{for any } t \in [a, b].$$

Then $y + \psi \in W_m^{1,1}$, $[y + \psi](b) = 0$, $v = -(y + \psi)' + A^*y$ a.e. on $[a, b]$. Consequently, the couple (u, v) fulfils (2,1)–(2,3). Furthermore, since

$$\int_a^b (A^*y - v) \, dt = [y + \psi](a),$$

it follows from (2,5) that it fulfils also (2,4).

b) Let $(y, v) \in L_m^1 \times L_m^1$ and let $\psi \in L_m^1$ be such that (2,1)–(2,4) hold. Then for any $u \in D(L)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b u^*v \, dt &= - \int_a^b u^*(y + \psi)' \, dt + \int_a^b u^*Ay \, dt = \\ &= -u^*[y + \psi]|_a^b + \int_a^b u^{*'}[y + \psi] \, dt + \int_a^b u^*Ay \, dt = \\ &= \int_a^b (u' + Au)^*y \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(y, v) \in G(*L)$.

Let D'_0 again denote the set of all derivatives $u' \in L_m^\infty$ of functions u from $D_0 = \{u \in D : u(a) = u(b) = 0\}$. Analogously as we obtained in the first part of this paper ([1]) the analytic description 4.6 of the adjoint relation L_0^* to the restriction L_0 of L on D_0 for the case $1 \leq p < \infty$ from Theorem 4.5, we also can obtain in our present situation from Theorem 2.1 an analytic description of the pre-adjoint $*L_0$ to L_0 ,

$$L_0 : u \in D_0 \rightarrow \ell u \in L_m^\infty \quad (D(L_0) = D_0).$$

2.2. Corollary. $G(*L_0)$ is the set of all $(y, v) \in L_m^1 \times L_m^1$ for which there exists $\psi \in {}^\perp D'_0$ (the set of all $\chi \in L_m^1$ such that $[\chi, u']_L = 0$ for all $u \in D_0$) such that (2,1) and (2,2) hold.

The following assertion is analogous to Theorem 4.8 of the first part [1] of this paper.

2.3. Theorem. Let us assume 1.1. $G(*L)$ is the set of all $(y, v) \in L_m^1 \times L_m^1$ for which there exist $\zeta \in W_m^{1,1}$ and its derivative $\zeta' \in L_m^1$ such that

$$(2,6) \quad y + \zeta' \in W_m^{1,1},$$

$$(2,7) \quad v = \ell^+(y, \zeta') \quad \text{a.e. on } [a, b],$$

$$(2,8) \quad [y + \zeta'](a) = \zeta(a), \quad [y + \zeta'](b) = 0$$

and

$$(2,9) \quad \zeta \in \overline{R(*H)} \quad (\text{the closure in } W_m^{1,1}).$$

Proof. a) Let $y, v \in L_m^1$, $\zeta \in W_m^{1,1}$ and $\zeta' \in L_m^1$ be such that (2,6)–(2,9) hold. Obviously y, v and $\psi := \zeta'$ fulfil (2,1)–(2,3). Since H is weakly*-closed in $W_m^{1,\infty} \times F$, $\overline{R(*H)} = {}^{\perp}N(H) = {}^{\perp}D$ (with respect to the pairing $[\cdot, \cdot]_W$). Thus (2,9) implies that

$$u^*(a) [y + \psi](a) + \int_a^b u^* \psi \, dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in D,$$

i.e. (2,4) holds and $(y, v) \in G(*L)$ according to Theorem 2.1.

b) On the other hand, if $(y, v) \in G(*L)$, then by Theorem 2.1 there exists $\psi \in L_m^1$ such that (2,1)–(2,4) hold. Let us put

$$(2,10) \quad \zeta(a) = [y + \psi](a), \quad \zeta(t) = \zeta(a) + \int_a^t \psi \, dt \quad \text{on } [a, b].$$

Then the relations (2,6)–(2,8) follow directly from (2,1)–(2,3). Furthermore, we have by (2,4) and (2,10)

$$u^*(a) \zeta(a) + \int_a^b u^* \zeta' \, dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in D.$$

It means that $\zeta \in {}^{\perp}D \subset W_m^{1,1}$ (with respect to the pairing $[\cdot, \cdot]_W$). Since ${}^{\perp}D = {}^{\perp}N(H) = \overline{R(*H)}$, the relation (2,9) follows immediately.

2.4. Remark. Notice that from the assumptions in 1.1 concerning H we have exploited in this section only the weak*-closedness of H in $W_m^{1,\infty} \times F$.

References

- [1] Brown R. C. and Tvrđý M.: Generalized boundary value problems with abstract side conditions and their adjoints I, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105), (1980), 7–27.
- [2] Coddington E. A. and Dijkstra A.: Ajoint subspaces in Banach spaces with applications to ordinary differential subspaces, Ann. di Mat. pura ed appl. CXVIII (1978), 1–118.

Authors' addresses: R. C. Brown, Department of Mathematics, The University of Alabama, University, Alabama 35486, U.S.A.; M. Tvrđý, 115 67 Praha 1, Žitná 25, ČSSR (Matematický ústav ČSAV).