

# Proofs with monotone cuts

Emil Jeřábek

`jerabek@math.cas.cz`

`http://math.cas.cz/~jerabek/`

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences, Prague

# Propositional proof complexity

Fix a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  (think  $L = TAUT =$  classical propositional tautologies).

A **proof system**  $P$  for  $L$ :

- $\varphi$  has a  $P$ -proof iff  $\varphi \in L$
- polynomial-time decidable whether  $\pi$  is a  $P$ -proof of  $\varphi$

$P$  is **p-bounded** if every  $\varphi \in L$  has a proof of length  $poly(|\varphi|)$

$P$  **p-simulates** a proof system  $Q$  ( $Q \leq_p P$ ) if we can translate  $Q$ -proofs to  $P$ -proofs of the same formula in polynomial time

$P$  is **p-equivalent** to  $Q$  ( $P \equiv_p Q$ ) if  $P \leq_p Q \wedge Q \leq_p P$

# Propositional proof complexity (cont'd)

Theorem [Cook, Reckhow '79]: There exists a p-bounded proof system for  $TAUT$  iff  $NP = coNP$ .

**Goal:** prove that every proof system for  $TAUT$  requires exponentially long proofs

**Reality:**

- exponential lower bounds and nonsimulation (speed-up) results for some specific, rather weak, proof systems
- simulations

# Frege systems

Usual propositional **sequent calculus**  $LK$ :

- operates with **sequents**  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \vdash \psi_1, \dots, \psi_m$
- **structural rules**: identity, cut, weakening, contraction, exchange
- **logical rules**: left and right introduction rules for each connective

$LK$  is p-equivalent to

- **Frege systems**: operate with formulas, finite list of schematic rules (e.g., modus ponens + axioms), sound and implicational complete
- **natural deduction**

# Subsystems of Frege

$LK$ /Frege is a **very strong** proof system, no lower bounds in sight

Weaken the proof system by **restricting formulas** in the proof to some subset  $\Theta$ . Examples:

- **bounded-depth  $LK$ /Frege**:  $\Theta =$  formulas of depth  $\leq$  a constant  $d$  (need  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  of unbounded arity)
  - exponential lower bounds:  $PHP$
- **monotone sequent calculus  $MLK$** :  $\Theta =$  **monotone formulas** (= using  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ , but no  $\neg$ )

# Monotone sequent calculus

**Motivation:** exponential lower bounds on monotone circuit complexity (even separation from nonmonotone circuits)

- maybe we could exploit these to get an exponential separation of  $MLK$  and  $LK$ ?

The answer is **no**:

**Theorem [AGP '02]:**  $MLK$  quasipolynomially simulates  $LK$ : a monotone sequent in  $n$  variables with an  $LK$ -proof of size  $s$  has an  $MLK$ -proof of size  $s^{O(1)}n^{O(\log n)}$ .

- also: certain hypothesis (see next slide) implies polynomial simulation

# Threshold functions

$$T_k^n(p_1, \dots, p_n) = 1 \Leftrightarrow |\{i \mid p_i = 1\}| \geq k$$

- poly-size formulas by carry-save addition
- size  $n^{O(\log n)}$  monotone formulas by divide-and-conquer
- in fact: poly-size monotone formulas, but randomized construction (Valiant '84) or very complicated (AKS '83)

**Hypothesis** (let's call it **H**):

There exists poly-size monotone formulas for  $T_k^n$  whose basic properties have poly-time constructible *LK*-proofs.

- some progress towards H in [J. '08]

# Less restrictive subsystems of Frege

**Bad:** Restricting formulas appearing in a proof to  $\Theta$  also restricts **sequents that can be proved** in the system!

- *MLK* can only prove monotone sequents

**Alternative approach:** relax the restriction

- any formula can appear in a proof, but **cut formulas** can only come from  $\Theta$
- **conservative extension** of the other approach: when proving a sequent  $\Gamma \vdash \Delta$  where  $\Gamma \cup \Delta \subseteq \Theta$ , all formulas in the proof will be from  $\Theta$  ( $\therefore$  **subformula property**)
- **complete** proof system for full propositional logic ( $\therefore$  contains cut-free *LK*)



# *LK* with monotone cuts

## *MCLK*:

sequent calculus where only monotone formulas can be cut

- coincides with *MLK* when proving monotone sequents
- unlike *MLK*, can also prove all nonmonotone tautological sequents

We know from [AGP '02] that *MCLK* quasipolynomially simulates *LK*-proofs of **monotone sequents**.

What about general sequents? In principle, *MCLK* could be as bad as the cut-free sequent calculus for these.

# Complexity of *MCLK*

Theorem [J.]: *MCLK* quasipolynomially simulates *LK*.

A sequent in  $m$  variables with an *LK*-proof of size  $s$  has an *MCLK*-proof of size  $s^{O(1)}n^{O(\log n)}$ .

- in other words: given any sequent proof, we can transform it into a not much bigger proof with no cuts on nonmonotone formulas
- if **H** holds, the simulation can be made polynomial

# Proof idea

The idea is based on Wegener's slice functions:

If  $T_k^n(\vec{p}) \wedge \neg T_{k+1}^n(\vec{p})$ , then

$$\neg p_i \leftrightarrow T_k^{n-1}(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_n)$$

This allows for every formula to be translated with a monotone formula.

# Refutation systems

**Refutation system:** a kind of propositional proof system where we prove  $\neg\varphi$  by **deriving a contradiction** from  $\varphi$

Often:  $\varphi$  is **CNF**, given as a set of **clauses**

$$p_{i_1} \vee \cdots \vee p_{i_k} \vee \neg p_{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee \neg p_{j_l}$$

**Examples:**

- resolution
- algebraic systems: polynomial calculus, Lovász–Schrijver, cutting planes
- *LK* or Frege as a refutation system: if unrestricted, p-equivalent to its use as a normal proof system

# *MLK* as a refutation system

We can represent a clause  $C = p_{i_1} \vee \cdots \vee p_{i_k} \vee \neg p_{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee \neg p_{j_l}$  by a monotone sequent  $C^\vdash$ :

$$p_{j_1}, \dots, p_{j_l} \vdash p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}$$

An *MLK*-refutation of a CNF  $\varphi$  is a derivation of the contradictory sequent

$\vdash$

from the set of initial sequents  $\{C^\vdash \mid C \in \varphi\}$  using the rules of *MLK*

- resolution = fragment of *MLK* using only the cut rule

# Complexity of *MLK* refutations

Theorem [J.]: *MLK* as a refutation system quasipolynomially simulates *LK*:

A CNF in  $m$  variables with an *LK*-refutation of size  $s$  has an *MLK*-refutation of size  $s^{O(1)}n^{O(\log n)}$ .

- again, the simulation can be made polynomial under **H**

**Thank you for attention!**

# References

M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, E. Szemerédi, *An  $O(n \log n)$  sorting network*, Proc. 15th STOC, 1983, 1–9.

A. Atserias, N. Galesi, P. Pudlák, *Monotone simulations of non-monotone proofs*, J. Comput. System Sci. 65 (2002), 626–638.

S. Cook, R. Reckhow, *The relative efficiency of propositional proof systems*, JSL 44 (1979), 36–50.

E. Jeřábek, *A sorting network in bounded arithmetic*, preprint, 2008.

L. Valiant, *Short monotone formulae for the majority function*, J. Algorithms 5 (1984), 363–366.