# Generalized linear differential equations in a Banach space:

Continuous dependence on a parameter

M. Federson\*, G. A. Monteiro†and M. Tvrdý‡

March 21, 2011

#### Abstract

This paper deals with integral equations in a Banach space X of the form

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[A] x + f(t) - f(a), \quad t \in [a, b],$$

where  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ ,  $\widetilde{x} \in X$ ,  $f:[a,b] \to X$  is regulated on [a,b], and A(t) is for each  $t \in [a,b]$  a linear bounded operator on X, while the mapping  $A:[a,b] \to L(X)$  has a bounded variation on [a,b]. Such equations are called generalized linear differential equations. Our aim is to present new results on the continuous dependence of solutions of such equations on a parameter. Furthermore, an application of these results to dynamic equations on time scales is given.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 45A05, 34A30, 34N05

Key words. Generalized differential equations in Banach space, continuous dependence, time scale dynamics

### 1 Introduction

The theory of generalized differential equations enables the investigation of continuous and discrete systems, including the equations on time scales, from the common

<sup>\*</sup>Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e Computação, ICMC-USP, São Carlos, SP, Brasil, federson@icmc.usp.br.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e Computação, ICMC-USP, São Carlos, SP, Brasil, gam@icmc.usp.br. Supported by CAPES BEX 5320/09-7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic (email: tvrdy@math.cas.cz). Supported by the Institutional Research Plan No. AV0Z10190503

standpoint. This fact can be observed in several papers related to special kinds of equations, such as e.g. those by Imaz and Vorel [13], Oliva and Vorel [24], Federson and Schwabik [7], Schwabik [26] or Slavík [32]. This paper is devoted to generalized linear differential equations of the form

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[A] x + f(t) - f(a), \quad t \in [a, b],$$
 (1.1)

in a Banach space X. A complete theory for the case when  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$  can be found, for instance, in the monographs by Schwabik [26] or Schwabik, Tvrdý and Vejvoda [31]. See also the pioneering paper by Hildebrandt [11]. Concerning integral equations in a general Banach space, it is worth to highlight the monograph by Hönig [12] having as a background the interior (Dushnik) integral. On the other hand, dealing with the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral, the contributions by Schwabik in [28] and [29] are essential for this paper.

In the case  $X=\mathbb{R}^m$  (i.e. for ordinary differential equations), fundamental results on the continuous dependence of solutions on a parameter based on the averaging principle have been delivered by Krasnoselskii and Krejn [15], Kurzweil and Vorel [17], Kurzweil [18], Opial [25] and Kiguradze [14]. In particular, the problem of continuous dependence gave an inspiration to Kurzweil to introduce the notion of generalized differential equation in the papers [18] and [19]. For linear ordinary differential equations, the most general result seems to be that given by Opial. An interesting observation is contained in the fundamental paper by Artstein [2]. A different approach can be found in the papers [20]–[22] by Meng Gang and Zhang Meirong dealing also with measure differential analogues of Sturm-Liouville equations and, in particular, describing the weak and weak\*continuous dependence of related Dirichlet or Neumann eigenvalues on a potential.

After Kurzweil, the problem of continuous dependence on a parameter for generalized differential equations has been treated by several authors, see e.g. Schwabik [26], Ashordia [3], Fraňková [8], Tvrdý [34], [35], Halas [9], Halas and Tvrdý [10]. Up to now, to our knowledge, only Federson and Schwabik [7] (cf. also Appendix to ABFS) dealt with the case of a general Banach space X. Our aim is to prove new results valid also for infinite dimensional spaces. In particular, in Sections 3 and 4 we give sufficient conditions ensuring that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of solutions of the generalized linear differential equations

$$x_n(t) = \widetilde{x}_n + \int_a^t d[A_n] x_n + f_n(t) - f_n(a), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

tends to the solution x of (1.1). The crucial assumptions of Section 3 are the uniform boundedness of the variations  $\operatorname{var}_a^b A_n$  of  $A_n$  and uniform convergence of  $A_n$  to A. In Section 4, we present the extension of the classical result by Opial to the case

Preliminaries 3

 $X \neq \mathbb{R}^m$ , where we do not require the uniform boundedness of  $\operatorname{var}_a^b A_n$  and the uniform convergence is replaced by a properly stronger concept. Finally in Section 5, we apply the obtained results to dynamic equations on time scales.

### 2 Preliminaries

Throughout these notes X is a Banach space and L(X) is the Banach space of bounded linear operators on X. By  $\|\cdot\|_X$  we denote the norm in X. Similarly,  $\|\cdot\|_{L(X)}$  denotes the usual operator norm in L(X).

Assume that  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$  and [a, b] denotes the corresponding closed interval. A set  $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu(D)}\} \subset [a, b]$  with  $\nu(D) \in \mathbb{N}$  is said to be a division of [a, b] if  $a = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{\nu(D)} = b$ . The set of all divisions of [a, b] is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}[a, b]$ .

A function  $f:[a,b] \to X$  is called a finite step function on [a,b] if there exists a division  $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{\nu(D)}\}$  of [a,b] such that f is constant on every open interval  $(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j), j = 1, 2, \ldots, \nu(D)$ .

For an arbitrary function  $f:[a,b] \to X$  we set  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} ||f(t)||_X$  and  $\operatorname{var}_a^b f = \sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}[a,b]} \sum_{j=1}^{\nu(D)} ||f(\alpha_j) - f(\alpha_{j-1})||_X$ 

is the variation of f over [a,b]. If  $\operatorname{var}_a^b f < \infty$  we say that f is a function of bounded variation on [a,b]. BV([a,b],X) denotes the set of functions  $f:[a,b] \to X$  of bounded variation on [a,b]. equipped with the norm  $||f||_{BV} = ||f(a)||_X + \operatorname{var}_a^b f$ .

Given  $f:[a,b]\to X$ , the function f is called regulated on [a,b] if, for each  $t\in[a,b)$  there is  $f(t+)\in X$  such that  $\lim_{s\to t+}\|f(s)-f(t+)\|_X=0$  and for each  $t\in(a,b]$  there is  $f(t-)\in X$  such that  $\lim_{s\to t-}\|f(s)-f(t-)\|_X=0$ . By G([a,b],X) we denote the set of all regulated functions  $f:[a,b]\to X$ . For  $t\in[a,b)$ ,  $s\in(a,b]$  we put  $\Delta^+f(t)=f(t+)-f(t)$  and  $\Delta^-f(s)=f(s)-f(s-)$ . Recall that  $BV([a,b],X)\subset G([a,b],X)$  cf. e.g. [28, 1.5]. Moreover, it is known that regulated function are uniform limits of finite step functions (see [12, Theorem I.3.1]) and that they can have at most a countable number of points of discontinuity (see [12, Corollary 3.2.b]).

In what follows, by an integral we mean the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral. Let us recall its definition. As usual, a partition of [a,b] is a tagged system, i.e., a couple  $P = (D,\xi)$  where  $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{\nu(D)}\} \in \mathcal{D}[a,b], \ \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{\nu(D)}) \in [a,b]^m$  and  $\alpha_{j-1} \leq \xi_j \leq \alpha_j$  for  $j=1,2,\ldots,\nu(D)$ . The set of all partitions of [a,b] is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}[a,b]$ . Furthermore, any function  $\delta:[a,b]\to(0,\infty)$  is called a gauge on [a,b]. Given a gauge  $\delta$ , the partition P is called  $\delta$ -fine if  $[\alpha_{j-1},\alpha_j]\subset (\xi_j-\delta(\xi_j),\xi_j+\delta(\xi_j))$  holds for all  $j=1,2,\ldots,\nu(D)$ . We remark that for an arbitrary gauge  $\delta$  on [a,b] there always exists a  $\delta$ -fine partition of [a,b]. It is stated by the Cousin lemma (see e.g. [26, Lemma 1.4]).

For given functions  $F:[a,b]\to L(X)$  and  $g:[a,b]\to X$  and a partition  $P=(D,\xi)$ 

of [a, b], where  $D = {\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{\nu(D)}}, \xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_{\nu(D)})$ , we define

$$S(dF, g, P) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu(D)} [F(\alpha_j) - F(\alpha_{j-1})] g(\xi_j).$$

We say that  $I \in X$  is the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral (or shortly KS-integral) of g with respect to F on [a,b] and denote  $I=\int_a^b \mathrm{d}[F]\,g$  if for every  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists a gauge  $\delta$  on [a,b] such that

Analogously, we define the integral  $\int_a^b F d[g]$  using sums of the form

$$S(F, dg, P) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu(D)} F(\xi_j) [g(\alpha_j) - g(\alpha_{j-1})].$$

For the reader's convenience some of the further properties of the KS-integral needed later are summarized in the following proposition.

- **2.1. Proposition.** Let  $F:[a,b] \to L(X)$  and  $g:[a,b] \to X$ .
  - (i) If  $F \in BV([a,b], L(X))$  and  $g \in G([a,b], X)$ , then  $\int_a^b d[F] g$  exists and

$$\left\| \int_{a}^{b} d[F] g \right\|_{X} \le \int_{a}^{b} d[\operatorname{var}_{a}^{t} F] \|g\|_{X} \le (\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} F) \|g\|_{\infty}. \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) If  $F \in G([a,b], L(X))$  and  $g \in BV([a,b], X)$ , then  $\int_a^b d[F] g$  exists and

$$\left\| \int_{a}^{b} d[F] g \right\|_{X} \le 2 \|F\|_{\infty} \|g\|_{BV}.$$

(iii) If  $F \in BV([a,b], L(X))$  and  $g \in G([a,b], X)$  then both the integrals  $\int_a^b F d[g]$  and  $\int_a^b d[F] g$  exist, the sum  $\sum_{a \leq \tau < b} \Delta^+ F(\tau) \Delta^+ g(\tau) - \sum_{a < \tau \leq b} \Delta^- F(\tau) \Delta^- g(\tau)$  converges in X and

$$\begin{split} & \int_a^b F \, \mathrm{d}[g] + \int_a^b \mathrm{d}[F] \, g \\ & = F(b) \, g(b) - F(a) \, g(a) - \sum_{a \leq t < b} \Delta^+ F(t) \, \Delta^+ g(t) + \sum_{a < t \leq b} \Delta^- F(t) \, \Delta^- g(t) \, . \end{split}$$

Preliminaries 5

(iv) If  $F \in BV([a,b], L(X))$  and g is bounded on [a,b] are such that the integral  $\int_a^b d[F] g \text{ exists, then both the integrals } \int_a^b H(t) d_t \left[ \int_a^t d[F] g \right] \text{ and } \int_a^b H d[F] g$ exist and the equality

$$\int_{a}^{b} H(t) d_{t} \left[ \int_{a}^{t} d[F] g \right] = \int_{a}^{b} H d[F] g$$

PROOF. Let  $F \in BV([a, b], L(X))$  and  $g \in G([a, b], X)$ . Then the integral  $\int_a^b d[F] g$  exists by e.g. [27, Proposition 15]. The estimate (2.1) follows directly from the definition of the KS-integral, as

$$||S(dF, g, P)||_X \le \sum_{j=1}^{\nu(D)} (\operatorname{var}_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\alpha_j} F) ||g(\xi_j)||_X \le (\operatorname{var}_a^b F) ||g||_{\infty}$$

for all  $P = (D, \xi) \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ ,  $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu(D)}\}$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{\nu(D)})$ . This proves the assertion (i). The assertion (ii) holds by [23, Lemma 2.2], (iii) follows from [23, Corollary 3.6] and (iv) from [23, Theorem 3.8].

In addition, we need the following convergence result.

**2.2. Theorem.** Let  $g, g_n \in G([a, b], X), F, F_n \in BV([a, b], L(X))$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|g_n - g\|_{\infty} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|F_n - F\|_{\infty} = 0 \quad and \quad \varphi^* := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{var}_a^b F_n < \infty.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \left\| \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[F_n] g_n - \int_a^t \mathrm{d}[F] g \right\|_X \right) = 0.$$
 (2.2)

PROOF. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By [12, Theorem I.3.1], we can choose a finite step function  $\widetilde{g}: [a,b] \to X$  such that  $\|g - \widetilde{g}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$||g_n - g||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$$
 and  $||F_n - F||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$  for  $n \ge n_0$ .

For a fixed  $t \in [a, b]$ , by Proposition 2.1 (i) and (ii), we obtain for  $n \ge n_0$ 

$$\left\| \int_{a}^{t} d[F_{n}] g_{n} - \int_{a}^{t} d[F] g \right\|_{X}$$

$$\leq \left\| \int_{a}^{t} d[F_{n}] (g_{n} - \widetilde{g}) \right\|_{X} + \left\| \int_{a}^{t} d[F_{n} - F] \widetilde{g} \right\|_{X} + \left\| \int_{a}^{t} d[F] (\widetilde{g} - g) \right\|_{X}$$

$$\leq (2 \varphi^{*} + 2 \|\widetilde{g}\|_{BV} + \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} F) \varepsilon = K \varepsilon,$$

where  $K = (2 \varphi^* + 2 \|\widetilde{g}\|_{BV} + \text{var}_a^b F) \in (0, \infty)$  does not depend on n. This proves (2.2).

**2.3. Remark.** In the case that X is a Hilbert space, Theorem **2.2** has been already given by Krejčí and Laurençot [16, Proposition 3.1] or Brokate and Krejčí [6, Proposition 1.10].

## 3 Continuous dependence on a parameter in the case of uniformly bounded variations

Given  $A \in BV([a,b],L(X))$ ,  $f \in G([a,b],X)$  and  $\widetilde{x} \in X$ , consider the integral equation

$$x(t) = \tilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[A] x + f(t) - f(a), \quad t \in [a, b].$$
 (3.1)

A function  $x:[a,b] \to X$  is called a solution of (3.1) on [a,b] if the integral  $\int_a^b d[A] x$  exists and x satisfies the equality (3.1) for each  $t \in [a,b]$ .

For our purposes the following property is crucial

$$\left[I - \Delta^{-} A(t)\right]^{-1} \in L(X) \quad \text{for all } t \in (a, b]. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular, taking into account the closing remark in [28] we can see that the following result is a particular case of [28, Proposition 2.10].

**3.1. Proposition.** Let  $A \in BV([a,b],L(X))$  satisfy (3.2) Then, for every  $\widetilde{x} \in X$  and every  $f \in G([a,b],X)$ , the equation (3.1) possesses a unique solution x on [a,b] and  $x \in G([a,b],X)$ . Moreover, if A and f are left-continuous on (a,b], then x is also left-continuous on (a,b].

In addition, the following two important auxiliary assertions are true:

**3.2. Lemma.** Let  $A \in BV([a,b], L(X))$  satisfy (3.2),  $f \in G([a,b], X)$  and  $\widetilde{x} \in X$  and let x be the corresponding solution of (3.1) on [a,b]. Then

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b}(x-f) \le \left(\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b}A\right) \|x\|_{\infty} < \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

$$c_A := \sup_{t \in (a,b]} \| [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} \|_{L(X)} \in (0,\infty)$$
(3.4)

and

$$||x(t)||_X \le c_A (||\tilde{x}||_X + ||f(a)||_X + ||f||_\infty) \exp(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^t A) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].$$
 (3.5)

PROOF. i) Let  $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\nu(D)}\}\$  be a division of [a, b]. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\nu(D)} \left\| x(\alpha_j) - f(\alpha_j) - x(\alpha_{j-1}) + f(\alpha_{j-1}) \right\|_X$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu(D)} \left\| \int_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\alpha_j} d[A] x \right\|_X \le \sum_{j=1}^{\nu(D)} \left[ (\operatorname{var}_{\alpha_{j-1}}^{\alpha_j} A) \|x\|_{\infty} \right] = (\operatorname{var}_a^b A) \|x\|_{\infty} < \infty,$$

i.e. (3.3) is true.

ii) For  $t \in (a, b]$  such that  $\|\Delta^- A(t)\|_{L(X)} < \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\|[I - \Delta^{-}A(t)]^{-1}\|_{L(X)} \le \frac{1}{1 - \|\Delta^{-}A(t)\|_{L(X)}} < 2$$

(cf. e.g. [33, Lemma 4.1-C]). Therefore,  $0 \le c_A < \infty$  due to the fact that the set

$$\{t \in [a, b]; \|\Delta^- A(t)\|_{L(X)} \ge \frac{1}{2}\}$$

has at most finitely many elements. As  $c_A = 0$  is impossible, this proves (3.4).

iii) Now, let x be a solution of (3.1). Put B(a) = A(a) and B(t) = A(t-) for  $t \in (a,b]$ . Then, by [28, Corollary 2.6] and [28, Proposition 2.7], we get  $A - B \in BV([a,b], L(X))$ ,  $var_a^b B \le var_a^b A$ ,  $A(t) - B(t) = \Delta^- A(t)$ , and  $\int_a^t \mathrm{d}[A - B] \, x = \Delta^- A(t) \, x(t)$  for  $t \in (a,b]$ . Consequently

$$[I - \Delta^{-} A(t)] x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[B] x + f(t) - f(a) \quad \text{for } t \in (a, b]$$

and (cf. Proposition 2.1 (i))

$$||x(t)||_X \le K_1 + K_2 \int_a^t d[h] ||x||_X$$
 for  $t \in [a, b]$ ,

where

$$K_1 = c_A(\|\widetilde{x}\|_X + \|f(a)\|_X + \|f\|_\infty), \quad K_2 = c_A \text{ and } h(t) = \operatorname{var}_a^t B.$$

The function h is nondecreasing and, since B is left-continuous on (a, b], h is also left-continuous on (a, b]. Therefore we can use the generalized Gronwall inequality (see e.g. [31, Lemma I.4.30] or [26, Corollary 1.43]) to get the estimate (3.5).

**3.3. Lemma.** Let  $A, A_n \in BV([a,b], L(X)), n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be such that (3.2) and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||A_n - A||_{\infty} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

are satisfied. Then

$$\left[I - \Delta^{-} A_n(t)\right]^{-1} \in L(X) \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $t \in (a, b]$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large. Moreover, there is  $\mu^* \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$c_{A_n} := \sup_{t \in (a, h]} \| [I - \Delta^- A_n(t)]^{-1} \|_{L(X)} \le \mu^*$$
(3.8)

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large.

PROOF. Notice that, since  $A \in BV([a,b],L(X))$ , the set  $D := \{t \in (a,b]; \|\Delta^- A(t)\|_{L(X)} \ge \frac{1}{4}\}$  has at most a finite number of elements. Let  $c_A$  be defined as in (3.4). Then, as by (3.6)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Delta^- A_n - \Delta^- A\|_{\infty} = 0$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|\Delta^- A_n(t) - \Delta^- A(t)\|_{L(X)} < \frac{1}{4} \min\{1, \frac{1}{c_A}\} \text{ for } t \in [a, b] \text{ and } n \ge n_0.$$
 (3.9)

Thus,  $\|\Delta^- A_n(t)\|_{L(X)} \le \|\Delta^- A(t)\|_{L(X)} + \|\Delta^- A_n(t) - \Delta^- A(t)\|_{L(X)} < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $t \in [a, b] \setminus D$  and  $n \ge n_0$ . By [33, Lemma 4.1-C], this implies that

$$[I - \Delta^- A_n(t)]$$
 is invertible and  $||[I - \Delta^- A_n(t)]^{-1}||_{L(X)} < 2$  for  $t \in [a, b] \setminus D$  and  $n \ge n_0$ .

Furthermore, due to (3.2), the relation

$$I - \Delta^{-} A_n(t) = [I - \Delta^{-} A(t)] \left[ I - [I - \Delta^{-} A(t)]^{-1} \left( \Delta^{-} A_n(t) - \Delta^{-} A(t) \right) \right]$$
(3.10)

holds for all  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $T_n(t) := [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} (\Delta^- A_n(t) - \Delta^- A(t))$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in [a, b]$ . Then (3.10) means that,  $I - \Delta^- A_n(t)$  is invertible if and only if  $I - T_n(t)$  is invertible.

Now, let  $t \in D$  and  $n \ge n_0$  be given. Then, due to (3.4) and (3.9), we have  $||T_n(t)||_{L(X)} < \frac{1}{4}$ . Consequently, by [33, Lemma 4.1-C],  $I - T_n(t)$  and therefore also  $[I - \Delta^- A_n(t)]$  are invertible. Moreover, taking into account (3.4) and (3.10), we can see that  $||[I - \Delta^- A_n(t)]^{-1}||_{L(X)} < 2c_A$ .

To summarize, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$[I-\Delta^-A_n(t)]$$
 is invertible and  $||[I-\Delta^-A_n(t)]^{-1}||_{L(X)} \le \mu^* = 2 \max\{1, c_A\}$ 

for all  $t \in (a, b]$  and  $n \ge n_0$ . This completes the proof.

The main result of this section is the following Theorem, which generalizes in a linear case the recent results by Federson and Schwabik [7]) and covers the results known for generalized linear differential equations in the case  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ . Unlike [3], to prove it we do not utilize the variation-of-constants formula. Therefore it is not necessary to assume the additional condition  $[I - \Delta^+ A(t)]^{-1} \in L(X)$  for  $t \in [a, b]$ .

**3.4. Theorem.** Let  $A, A_n \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f, f_n \in G([a, b], X), \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}_n \in X \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$  Furthermore, let A satisfy (3.2), (3.6),

$$\alpha^* := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \operatorname{var}_a^b A_n \right) < \infty , \tag{3.11}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_n - f||_{\infty} = 0,$$
 (3.12)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{x}\|_X = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

Then equation (3.1) has a unique solution x on [a,b]. Furthermore, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough there is a unique solution  $x_n$  on [a,b] to the equation

$$x_n(t) = \widetilde{x}_n + \int_a^t d[A_n] x_n + f_n(t) - f_n(a), \quad t \in [a, b]$$
 (3.14)

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_n - x||_{\infty} = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

PROOF. Due to (3.2) equation (3.1) has a unique solution x on [a, b]. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that (3.7) is true for  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence, for each  $n \ge n_0$ , equation (3.14) possesses a unique solution  $x_n$  on [a, b]. Set

$$w_n = (x_n - f_n) - (x - f) (3.16)$$

Then

$$w_n(t) = \widetilde{w}_n + \int_a^t d[A_n] w_n + h_n(t) - h_n(a)$$
 for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in [a, b]$ ,

where  $\widetilde{w}_n = (\widetilde{x}_n - f_n(a)) - (\widetilde{x} - f(a))$  and

$$h_n(t) = \int_a^t d[A_n - A](x - f) + \left(\int_a^t d[A_n] f_n - \int_a^t d[A] f\right).$$

First, notice that according to (3.12) we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\widetilde{w}_n\|_X = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Furthermore, in view of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \int_a^t d[A_n] f_n - \int_a^t d[A] f \right\|_X = 0.$$

Moreover, since  $(x-f) \in BV([a,b],X)$  by (3.3), we get by Proposition 2.1 (ii)

$$\left\| \int_{a}^{t} d[A_{n} - A](x - f) \right\|_{X} \le 2 \|A_{n} - A\|_{\infty} \|x - f\|_{BV} \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b].$$

Having in mind (3.6), we can see that the relation

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \int_a^t d[A_n - A] (x - f) \right\|_X = 0$$

holds. To summarize,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||h_n||_{\infty} = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.11) and by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 we have

$$||w_n(t)||_X \le \mu^* (||\widetilde{w}_n||_X + ||h_n||_\infty) \exp(\mu^* \operatorname{var}_a^b A_n) \text{ for } t \in [a, b].$$

Consequently, using (3.17) and (3.18) we deduce that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||w_n||_X = 0$ . Finally, by (3.12) and (3.16), we conclude that (3.15) is true.

We will close this section by a comparison of Theorem 3.4 with two similar results presented for dim  $X < \infty$  by Schwabik in [26]. First, when restricted to the linear homogeneous case, Theorem 8.2 from [26] (see also [1, proposition A.3] with a general Banach space X) modifies to

**3.5. Theorem.** Let  $A, A_n \in BV([a,b], L(X)), f, f_n \in G([a,b], X), f_n(t) - f_n(a) = f(t) - f(a) = 0$  and  $\widetilde{x}_n = \widetilde{x} \in X$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in [a,b]$ . Further, let a nondecreasing function  $h: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be given such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(t) = A(t) \quad on \quad [a, b], \tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{cases}
 \|A_n(t_2) - A_n(t_1)\|_{L(X)} \le |h(t_2) - h(t_1)|, \|A(t_2) - A(t_1)\|_{L(X)} \le |h(t_2) - h(t_1)| \\
 for t_1, t_2 \in [a, b] \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{cases}$$
(3.20)

Let  $x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be solutions of (3.14) and let

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n(t) - x(t)||_X \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].$$

Then  $x \in BV([a,b],X)$  is a solution of (3.1) on [a,b].

**3.6. Proposition.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 the relations (3.6) and (3.11) are satisfied.

PROOF. i) The relation (3.11) follows immediately from (3.20).

ii) Notice that (3.19) and (3.20) imply that

$$\begin{cases}
 \|A_n(t-) - A_n(s)\|_{L(X)} \le |h(t-) - h(s)|, \|A(t-) - A(s)\|_{L(X)} \le |h(t-) - h(s)| \\
 \text{for } t \in (a,b], s \in [a,b], n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{cases}$$
(3.21)

and

$$\begin{cases}
\|A_n(t+) - A_n(s)\|_{L(X)} \le |h(t+) - h(s)|, \|A(t+) - A(s)\|_{L(X)} \le |h(t+) - h(s)| \\
\text{for } t \in [a,b), s \in [a,b], n \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{cases}$$
(3.22)

iii) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in (a, b]$  be given and let us choose  $s_0 \in (a, t)$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$|h(t-) - h(s_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$
 and  $||A_n(s_0) - A(s_0)||_{L(X)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for  $n \ge n_0$ . (3.23)

Then, by (3.21) and (3.23),

$$||A_n(t-) - A(t-)||_{L(X)}$$

$$\leq ||A_n(t-) - A_n(s_0)||_{L(X)} + ||A_n(s_0) - A(s_0)||_{L(X)} + ||A(s_0) - A(t-)||_{L(X)}$$

$$< |h(t-) - h(s_0)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |h(t-) - h(s_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

This means that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(t-) = A(t-) \quad \text{holds for } t \in (a, b]. \tag{3.24}$$

Similarly, using (3.22) we get

$$\lim_{t \to a} A_n(t+) = A(t+) \text{ holds for } t \in [a,b).$$
 (3.25)

iv) Now, suppose that (3.6) is not valid. Then there is  $\widetilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $m_{\ell} \geq \ell$  and  $t_{\ell} \in [a, b]$  such that

$$||A_{m_{\ell}}(t_{\ell}) - A(t_{\ell})||_{L(X)} \ge \widetilde{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.26}$$

We may assume that  $m_{\ell+1} > m_{\ell}$  for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} t_{\ell} = t_0 \in [a, b]. \tag{3.27}$$

Let  $t_0 \in (a, b]$  and assume that the set of those  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $t_\ell \in (a, t_0)$  has infinitely many elements, i.e. there is a sequence  $\{\ell_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_{\ell_k} \in (a, t_0)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_{\ell_k} = t_0$ . Denote  $s_k = t_{\ell_k}$  and  $B_k = A_{m_{\ell_k}}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, in view of (3.26), we have

$$s_k \in (a, t_0) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} s_k = t_0$$
 (3.28)

and

$$||B_k(s_k) - A(s_k)||_{L(X)} \ge \tilde{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (3.29)

By (3.21), we have

$$||A(t_0-)-A(s_k)||_{L(X)} \le h(t_0-)-h(k_n), ||B_k(t_0-)-B_k(s_k)||_{L(X)} \le h(t_0-)-h(k_n) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, by (3.24) and since  $\lim_{k\to\infty} (h(t_0-)-h(s_k))=0$  due to (3.28), we can choose  $k_0\in\mathbb{N}$ so that

$$||B_{k_0}(t_0-)-A(t_0-)||_{L(X)}<\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{3}, \quad ||A(t_0-)-A(s_{k_0})||_{L(X)}\leq h(t_0-)-h(s_{k_0})<\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{3}$$

and

$$||B_{k_0}(t_0-)-B_{k_0}(s_{k_0})||_{L(X)}<\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{3}.$$

As a consequence, we get finally by (3.29)

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\varepsilon} &\leq \|B_{k_0}(s_{k_0}) - A(s_{k_0})\|_{L(X)} \\ &\leq \|B_{k_0}(s_{k_0}) - B_{k_0}(t_0 -)\|_{L(X)} + \|B_{k_0}(t_0 -) - A(t_0 -)\|_{L(X)} + \|A(t_0 -) - A(s_{k_0})\|_{L(X)} < \widetilde{\varepsilon}, \end{split}$$

a contradiction.

If  $t_0 \in [a,b)$  and the set of those  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $t_\ell \in (a,t_0)$  has only finitely many elements, then there is a sequence  $\{\ell_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_{\ell_k} \in (t_0, b)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_{\ell_k} = t_0$ . As before, let  $s_k = t_{\ell_k}$  and  $B_k = A_{m_{\ell_k}}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and notice that  $s_k \in (t_0, b)$ for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} s_k = t_0$  and (3.29) are true. Arguing similarly as before we get that there is  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon} \leq \|B_{k_0}(s_{k_0}) - A(s_{k_0})\|_{L(X)} 
\leq \|B_{k_0}(s_{k_0}) - B_{k_0}(t_0 +)\|_{L(X)} + \|B_{k_0}(t_0 +) - A(t_0 +)\|_{L(X)} + \|A(t_0 +) - A(s_{k_0})\|_{L(X)} < \widetilde{\varepsilon},$$

a contradiction. Thus, (3.6) is satisfied.

Similarly, when restricted to the linear case, Theorem 8.8 from [26] modifies to

**3.7. Theorem.** Let  $A, A_n \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f, f_n \in G([a, b], X), f_n(t) - f_n(a) = f(t) - f(a) = 0$ and  $\widetilde{x}_n = \widetilde{x} \in X$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in [a, b]$ . Furthermore, let (3.2) hold and let x be the corresponding solution of (3.1). Finally, let scalar nondecreasing and left-continuous on (a, b] functions  $h_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and h be given such that h is continuous on [a,b] and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(t) = A(t) \quad on \quad [a, b], \tag{3.30}$$

Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large, equation (3.14) has a unique solution  $x_n$  on [a,b] and (3.15) holds.

**3.8. Proposition.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.7 the relations (3.6) and (3.11) are satisfied.

*Proof* (taken from [34]). i) By (3.32) there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $h_n(b) - h_n(a) \le h(b) - h(a) + 1$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\operatorname{var} A_n \le \alpha_0 = \max \left( \left\{ \operatorname{var} A_n ; n \le n_0 \right\} \cup \left\{ h(b) - h(a) + 1 \right\} \right) < \infty.$$

This proves (3.11).

ii) Suppose that (3.6) does not hold. Then there is  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $m_{\ell} \geq \ell$  and  $t_{\ell} \in [a, b]$  such that

$$||A_{m_{\ell}}(t_{\ell}) - A(t_{\ell})||_{L(X)} \ge \widetilde{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.33}$$

We may assume that  $m_{\ell+1} > m_{\ell}$  for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} t_{\ell} = t_0 \in [a, b]. \tag{3.34}$$

Let  $t_0 \in (a, b)$  and let an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since h is continuous, we may choose  $\eta > 0$  in such a way that  $t_0 - \eta$ ,  $t_0 + \eta \in [a, b]$  and

$$h(t_0+\eta) - h(t_0-\eta) < \varepsilon. \tag{3.35}$$

Furthermore, by (3.30) there is  $\ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$||A_{m_{\ell}}(t_0) - A(t_0)||_{L(X)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad \ell \ge \ell_1$$
(3.36)

and by (3.31), (3.32) and (3.35) there is  $\ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\ell_2 \geq \ell_1$ , such that

$$\|A_{m_{\ell}}(\tau_{2}) - A_{m_{\ell}}(\tau_{1})\|_{L(X)} \le h(t_{0} + \eta) - h(t_{0} - \eta) + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon$$
whenever  $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in (t_{0} - \eta, t_{0} + \eta)$  and  $\ell \ge \ell_{2}$ .
$$(3.37)$$

The relations (3.30) and (3.37) imply immediately that

$$\|A(\tau_{2}) - A(\tau_{1})\|_{L(X)} = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \|A_{m_{\ell}}(\tau_{2}) - A_{m_{\ell}}(\tau_{1})\|_{L(X)} \le 2\varepsilon$$
whenever  $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in (t_{0} - \eta, t_{0} + \eta)$ . (3.38)

Finally, let  $\ell_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\ell_3 \geq \ell_2$  and

$$|t_{\ell} - t_0| < \eta \quad \text{for all} \quad \ell \ge \ell_3, \tag{3.39}$$

then in virtue of the relations (3.34)–(3.39) we have

$$||A_{m_{\ell}}(t_{\ell}) - A(t_{\ell})||_{L(X)} \le ||A_{m_{\ell}}(t_{\ell}) - A_{m_{\ell}}(t_{0})||_{L(X)} + ||A_{m_{\ell}}(t_{0}) - A(t_{0})||_{L(X)} + ||A(t_{0}) - A(t_{\ell})||_{L(X)} \le 5 \varepsilon.$$

Hence, choosing  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{5}\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ , we obtain by (3.33)  $\widetilde{\varepsilon} > \|A_{m_{\ell}}(t_{\ell}) - A(t_{\ell})\|_{L(X)} \ge \widetilde{\varepsilon}$ , a contradiction. This proves that (3.6) is satisfied.

The modification of the proof in the cases  $t_0 = a$  or  $t_0 = b$  is obvious.

# 4 Continuous dependence on a parameter in the case of variations bounded with a weight

The main result of this section deals with the homogeneous generalized linear differential equation

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[A] x, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

$$(4.1)$$

where, as before,  $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$  and  $\widetilde{x} \in X$ . As in the previous section we will assume that the fundamental existence assumption (3.2) is satisfied.

The main result of this section extends that obtained by Z. Opial for the case  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A \in AC([a,b],\mathbb{R}^m)$  in [25]. To this aim, we need the following estimate well known in the case dim  $X < \infty$ .

**4.1. Lemma.** If 
$$g \in BV([a,b], X)$$
, then  $\sum_{t \in [a,b]} \|\Delta^+ g(t)\|_X + \sum_{t \in (a,b]} \|\Delta^- g(t)\|_X \le \operatorname{var}_a^b g$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{s_k \in X; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the set of points of discontinuity of g in (a,b), so we can write

$$\sum_{t \in [a,b]} \|\Delta^+ g(t)\|_X + \sum_{t \in (a,b]} \|\Delta^- g(t)\|_X = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n,$$

where

$$S_n = \|\Delta^+ g(a)\|_X + \|\Delta^- g(b)\|_X + \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \|\Delta^- g(s_k)\|_X + \|\Delta^+ g(s_k)\|_X \right] \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given and let  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} \subset (a, b)$  be such that

$$\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$$
 and  $a < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < b$ .

Then  $S_n = \|\Delta^+ g(a)\|_X + \|\Delta^- g(b)\|_X + \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\|\Delta^- g(t_k)\|_X + \|\Delta^+ g(t_k)\|_X\right]$ . Furthermore, for each  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , choose  $\delta_k > 0$  in such a way that

$$||g(t_k + \delta_k) - g(t_k +)||_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{4(n+1)}, \quad ||g(t_k - \delta_k) - g(t_k -)||_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{4(n+1)}$$

and  $[t_k - \delta_k, t_k + \delta_k] \cap \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} = \{t_k\}$ . Analogously, let  $\delta_0 > 0$  be such that

$$||g(a+\delta_0)-g(a+)||_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad ||g(b-)-g(b-\delta_0)||_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

and  $a\!+\!\delta_0 <\! t_1$  and  $b\!-\!\delta_0 >\! t_n\,.$  It follows that

$$S_n \le \left( \|g(a+) - g(a+\delta_0)\|_X + \|g(a+\delta_0) - g(a)\|_X \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k+) - g(t_k+\delta_k)\|_X + \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k+\delta_k) - g(t_k)\|_X$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k-) - g(t_k-\delta_k)\|_X + \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k-\delta_k) - g(t_k)\|_X$$

$$+ \left( \|g(b) - g(b - \delta_0)\|_X + \|g(b - \delta_0) - g(b - )\|_X \right)$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \|g(a + \delta_0) - g(a)\|_X + \frac{n\varepsilon}{4(n+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k + \delta_k) - g(t_k)\|_X$$

$$+ \frac{n\varepsilon}{4(n+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k) - g(t_k - \delta_k)\|_X + \|g(b) - g(b - \delta_0)\|_X + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To summarize, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$S_n < \varepsilon + \left( \|g(a+\delta_0) - g(a)\|_X + \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k + \delta_k) - g(t_k)\|_X \right)$$
$$+ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \|g(t_k) - g(t_k - \delta_k)\|_X + \|g(b) - g(b - \delta_0)\|_X \right).$$

Therefore  $S_n \leq \varepsilon + (\operatorname{var}_a^b g)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus,  $S_n \leq \operatorname{var}_a^b g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , wherefrom the desired estimate immediately follows.

**4.2. Theorem.** Let  $A, A_n \in BV([a, b], L(X))$  and  $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{x}_n \in X$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume (3.2), (3.13) and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||A_n - A||_{\infty} \left( 1 + \operatorname{var}_a^b A_n \right) = 0,$$
(4.2)

Then (4.1) has a unique solution x on [a,b]. Moreover, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large, the equation

$$x_n(t) = \widetilde{x}_n + \int_a^t d[A_n] x_n, \quad t \in [a, b]$$

$$(4.3)$$

has a unique solution  $x_n$  on [a,b] and (3.15) holds.

PROOF. First, notice that, since

$$||A_n - A||_{\infty} \le ||A_n - A||_{\infty} (1 + \operatorname{var}_a^b A_n)$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(4.2) implies (3.6). Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that (3.7) holds for each  $t \in (a, b]$  and each  $n \ge n_0$ .

Assume  $n \ge n_0$ . Let x and  $x_n$  be the solutions on [a, b] of (4.1) and (4.3), respectively. Then

$$x_n(t) - x(t) = \widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{x} + \int_0^t d[A](x_n - x) + h_n(t) - h_n(a) \text{ for } t \in [a, b],$$
 (4.4)

where

$$h_n(t) = \int_a^t d[A_n - A] x_n \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].$$
 (4.5)

By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$||x_n - x||_{\infty} \le c_A (||\widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{x}||_X + ||h_n||_{\infty}) \exp(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^b A).$$
 (4.6)

Thus, in view of the assumption (3.13), to prove the assertion of the theorem, we have to show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||h_n||_{\infty} = 0$ .

To this aim, we integrate by parts (cf. Proposition 2.1 (iii)) in the right-hand side of (4.5) and use Substitution Formula (cf. Proposition 2.1 (iv)). Then we get

$$h_n(t) = [A_n(t) - A(t)] x_n(t) - [A_n(a) - A(a)] \widetilde{x}_n - \int_a^t (A_n - A) d[A_n] x_n - \Delta_a^t (A_n - A, x_n)$$
 (4.7)

for  $t \in [a, b]$ , where

$$\Delta_a^t(A_n - A, x_n) = \sum_{a \le s \le t} [\Delta^+(A_n(s) - A(s)) \Delta^+ x_n(s)] - \sum_{a \le s \le t} [\Delta^-(A_n(s) - A(s)) \Delta^- x_n(s)]. \quad (4.8)$$

Inserting the relations (cf. [28, Proposition 2.3])

$$\Delta^+ x_n(t) = \Delta^+ A_n(t) x_n(t)$$
 for  $t \in [a, b]$  and  $\Delta^- x_n(t) = \Delta^- A_n(t) x_n(t)$  for  $t \in (a, b]$ 

into the right-hand side of (4.8) and using Lemma 4.1, we obtain the estimates

$$\|\Delta_a^t(A_n - A, x_n)\|_{X} \le 2 \|A_n - A\|_{\infty} (\operatorname{var}_a^t A_n) \|x_n\|_{\infty} \text{ for } t \in [a, b].$$

Hence  $||h_n(t)||_X \le ||A_n - A||_{\infty} (2 + 3(\operatorname{var}_a^t A_n)) ||x_n||_{\infty}$ , that is,

$$||h_n||_{\infty} \le \alpha_n \, ||x_n||_{\infty},\tag{4.9}$$

where  $\alpha_n = ||A_n - A||_{\infty} (2 + 3 \operatorname{var}_a^b A_n)$ . Note that, due to (4.2), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

We can see that to show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||h_n||_{\infty} = 0$ , it is sufficient to prove that the sequence  $\{||x_n||_{\infty}\}$  is bounded. By (4.6) and (4.9) we have

$$||x_n||_{\infty} \le ||x_n - x||_{\infty} + ||x||_{\infty} \le c_A \left( ||\widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{x}||_X + \alpha_n ||x_n||_{\infty} \right) \exp\left(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^b A\right) + ||x||_{\infty}.$$

Hence  $(1 - c_A \alpha_n \exp(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^b A)) \|x_n\|_{\infty} \le c_A \|\widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{x}\|_X \exp(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^b A) + \|x\|_{\infty} \text{ for } n \ge n_0.$ By (3.13) and (4.10), there is  $n_1 \ge n_0$  such that  $\|\widetilde{x}_n - \widetilde{x}\|_X < 1$  and  $c_A \alpha_n \exp(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^b A) < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $n \ge n_1$ . In particular,  $\|x_n\|_{\infty} < 2 \left(c_A \exp(c_A \operatorname{var}_a^b A) + \|x\|_{\infty}\right)$  for  $n \ge n_1$ , i.e. the sequence  $\{\|x_n\|_{\infty}\}$  is bounded and this completes the proof.

**4.3. Remark.** In comparison with Theorem 3.4, the uniform boundedness of variation (3.11) was not needed in Theorem 4.2. On the other hand, if (3.11) is assumed, Theorem 4.2 reduces to Theorem 3.4.

If  $X = \mathbb{R}^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f, f_n \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then Theorem 4.2 can be, similarly as in the ODE's case, extended to the nonhomogeneous equations (3.1) and (3.14). Indeed, let us define the  $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ -matrix valued function  $B: [a, b] \to L(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})$  by

$$B(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A(t) & f(t) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \ \ t \in [a,b\,] \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{x} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

Similarly, let

$$B_n(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A_n(t) & f_n(t) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{y}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{x}_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \ \ t \in [a,b] \quad \text{ and } \ \ n \in \mathbb{N} \,.$$

It is easy to check that equations (3.1) and (3.14) are respectively equivalent to the equations

$$y(t) = \widetilde{y} + \int_{a}^{t} d[B] y \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$y_n(t) = \widetilde{y}_n + \int_a^t d[B_n] y_n, \ n \in \mathbb{N}$$
(4.12)

in the following sense: if x is a solution to (3.1) and  $y(t) = \binom{x(t)}{1}$ , then y is a solution to (4.11). Conversely, if y is a solution to (4.11) and x is formed by its first m-components then x is a solution to (3.1), where  $\widetilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is formed by the first m-components of  $\widetilde{y}$ . An analogous relationship holds also between equations (3.14) and (4.12), of course. Having this in mind, we can see that the following assertion is true.

**4.4. Corollary.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , A,  $A_n \in BV([a, b], L(\mathbb{R}^m))$ , f,  $f_n \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$ , and  $\widetilde{x}$ ,  $\widetilde{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume (3.2), (4.2), (3.13) and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \left( 1 + \operatorname{var}_a^b f_n \right) \right) = 0.$$
(4.13)

Then equation (3.1) has a unique solution x on [a,b] and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough there is a unique solution  $x_n$  on [a,b] to the equation (3.14) and (3.15) is true.

### 5 Application to dynamic equations on time scales

The theory of time scales has recently been focus of attention since it can treat continuous and discrete problems. In this section we apply the continuous dependence results obtained in Sections 3 and 4 to dynamic equations on time scale. Let us recall some preliminary definitions and notations (e.g. [4]).

A time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  is a nonempty closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ , by  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  we denote the compact interval in  $\mathbb{T}$ , that is,  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$ . For each  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , consider

$$\rho(t) := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{T}} \ s < t \,, \quad \sigma(t) := \inf_{s \in \mathbb{T}} \ s > t \,, \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\sigma}(t) := \inf_{s \in \mathbb{T}} \ s \geq t \,.$$

If  $\sigma(t) = t$  we say that t is *right-dense*, while if  $\rho(t) = t$  then t is called *left-dense*. A function  $f: [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is *rd-continuous* in  $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  if f is continuous at every right-dense point of  $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and there exists  $\lim_{s\to t-} f(s)$  for every left-dense point  $t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Consider the linear dynamic equation

$$y^{\Delta}(t) = P(t) y(t) + h(t), \quad y(a) = \widetilde{y}, \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}},$$
 (5.1)

Application 17

where  $\widetilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $P:[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to L(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $h:[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  are rd-continuous in  $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $y^{\Delta}$  stands for the  $\Delta$ -derivative of y. The initial value problem (5.1) can be rewritten as a time scale integral equation

$$y(t) = \widetilde{y} + \int_a^t \left[ P(s) y(s) + h(s) \right] \Delta s, \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where the integral is the Riemann  $\Delta$ -integral defined e.g. in [5]. Slavík proved in [32] that this  $\Delta$ -integral corresponds to a special case of the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral. In addition, in [32] the relationship between dynamic equations on time scale and generalized differential equations is described. For the reader's convenience, we summarize the needed results from [32] in the following proposition.

#### 5.1. Proposition.

(i) [32, Theorem 5] Let  $f:[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}\to\mathbb{R}^m$  be a rd-continuous function. Define

$$F_1(t) = \int_a^t f(s) \, \Delta s \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(t) = \int_a^t f(\widetilde{\sigma}(s)) \, \operatorname{d}[\widetilde{\sigma}(s)] \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b] \, .$$

Then  $F_2 = F_1 \circ \widetilde{\sigma}$  on [a, b].

(ii) [32, Theorem 12] If  $y:[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is a solution of (5.1) then  $x=y\circ\widetilde{\sigma}$  is a solution of (3.1), where

$$A(t) = \int_{a}^{t} P(\widetilde{\sigma}(s)) \, d[\widetilde{\sigma}(s)] \quad and \quad f(t) = \int_{a}^{t} h(\widetilde{\sigma}(s)) \, d[\widetilde{\sigma}(s)] \quad for \quad t \in [a, b] \,. \tag{5.2}$$

Symmetrically, if  $x: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is a solution of (3.1), then the function  $y: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  defined by y(t) = x(t) for  $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  is a solution of (5.1).

**5.2. Remark.** Note that  $\widetilde{\sigma}:[a,b]\to [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}\subset [a,b]$  is monotone and left continuous on (a,b]. In particular,  $\operatorname{var}_a^b\widetilde{\sigma}\leq b=-a$ . In view of this, it is easy to check that the functions  $A:[a,b]\to L(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $f:[a,b]\to \mathbb{R}^m$  given by (5.2) are well-defined, left-continuous and have bounded variations on [a,b].

The following theorem is the first main result of this section.

**5.3. Theorem.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let P,  $P_n: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to L(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , h,  $h_n: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be rd-continuous functions in  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and let  $\widetilde{y}$ ,  $\widetilde{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be given. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\widetilde{y}_n - \widetilde{y}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} = 0 \tag{5.3}$$

and that there is  $M \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \|P_n(t)\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^m)} \le M \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(5.4)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_{a}^{t} (P_{n}(s) - P(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^{m})} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_{a}^{t} (h_{n}(s) - h(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} = 0.$$

$$(5.5)$$

Then initial value problem (5.1) has a solution y, initial value problems

$$y_n^{\Delta}(t) = P_n(t) y_n(t) + h_n(t), \quad y_n(a) = \widetilde{y}_n, \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$$

$$(5.6)$$

have solutions  $y_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \|y_n(t) - y(t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} = 0.$$
 (5.7)

PROOF. Let  $A \in BV([a, b], L(\mathbb{R}^m))$  and  $f \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$  be given by (5.2). Furthermore, define

$$A_n(t) = \int_a^t P_n(\widetilde{\sigma}(s)) \, d[\widetilde{\sigma}(s)] \text{ and } f_n(t) = \int_a^t h_n(\widetilde{\sigma}(s)) \, d[\widetilde{\sigma}(s)] \text{ for } t \in [a, b] \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.8)$$

Since A and all  $A_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are left-continuous, equation (3.1) has a solution  $x \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$  and equations (3.14) have solutions  $x_n \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Furthermore, by Proposition 5.1 (i), we have

$$||A_n - A||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in [a,b]} \left\| \int_a^{\widetilde{\sigma}(t)} (P_n(s) - P(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^m)} \le \sup_{\tau \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_a^{\tau} (P_n(s) - P(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^m)}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,

$$||A_n - A||_{\infty} \le \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_a^t (P_n(s) - P(s)) \, \Delta s \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

Analogously,

$$||f_n - f||_{\infty} \le \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_a^t (h_n(s) - h(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}.$$

This, with respect to (5.5), means that the assumptions (3.12) of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. Furthermore, if  $a \le c < d \le b$ , then

$$||A_n(d) - A_n(c)||_{L(\mathbb{R}^m)} = \left\| \int_c^d P_n(\widetilde{\sigma}(s)) \, \mathrm{d}[\widetilde{\sigma}(s)] \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^m)} \le ||P_n \circ \widetilde{\sigma}||_{\infty} \left( \operatorname{var}_c^d \widetilde{\sigma} \right),$$

holds for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , wherefrom, wherefrom, by (5.4) and Remark 5.2, the estimate

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A_{n} \leq M (b-a) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (5.9)

follows. Hence, the assumption (3.11) of Theorem 3.4 is satisfied, as well. Consequently, we can use Theorem 3.4 to prove that (3.15) holds.

By Proposition 5.1 (ii), the functions  $y, y_n : [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}^m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , obtained as the restriction of x and  $x_n$  to  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , respectively, are solutions to (5.1) and (5.6). Therefore, thanks to (3.15), (5.7) is also true, which completes the proof.

Application 19

**5.4. Remark.** Two results on the continuous dependence of solutions to nonlinear dynamic equations have been recently delivered by A. Slavík, cf. [32, Theorems 14 and 16]. To prove them, it was sufficient to apply Proposition 5.1 and Theorems 8.2 and 8.7 from [26]. So, with respect to our Propositions 3.6 and 3.8, we can see that the above Theorem 5.3 provides for the linear case more general result than both Theorem 14 and Theorem 16 in [32].

Making use of Corollary 4.4 we obtain the following assertion.

**5.5. Theorem.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $P, P_n: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to L(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $h, h_n: [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be rd-continuous functions in  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and let  $\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be given. Assume that (5.3) holds and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_{a}^{t} (P_{n}(s) - P(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^{m})} \left[ 1 + \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \|P_{n}(t)\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^{m})} \right] = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_{a}^{t} (h_{n}(s) - h(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^{m})} \left[ 1 + \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \|h_{n}(t)\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^{m})} \right] = 0.$$
(5.10)

Then equation (5.1) has a solution y, equations (5.6) have solutions  $y_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and (5.7) holds.

PROOF. Let  $A_n, A, f_n, f$  be defined by (5.2) and (5.8). Recall that as  $A \in BV([a, b], L(\mathbb{R}^m))$ ,  $A_n \in BV([a, b], L(\mathbb{R}^m))$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A, A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are left-continuous on (a, b] (cf. Remark 5.2), equation (3.1) has a solution  $x \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$  and equations (3.14) have solutions  $x_n \in BV([a, b], \mathbb{R}^m)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 5.3 we have

$$||A_n - A||_{\infty} \le \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} \left\| \int_a^t (P_n(s) - P(s)) \Delta s \right\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^m)}.$$

In addition, note that  $\operatorname{var}_a^b A_n \leq M$  (b-a). These estimates, together with (5.10), imply that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|A_n - A\|_{\infty} [1 + \operatorname{var}_a^b A_n] = 0$ . Similarly we get  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} [1 + \operatorname{var}_a^b f_n] = 0$ . Applying Theorem 4.4 we arrive again at (3.15) and thus we may complete the proof of the theorem using the same argument as in the close of the proof of Theorem 5.3.

### References

- [1] Afonso, S., Bonotto, E.M., Federson, M. and Schwabik, S.: Discontinuous local semi-flows for Kurzweil equations leading to LaSalle's invariance principle for non-autonomous systems with impulses. J. Differential Equations 250 (2011) 2969–3001.
- [2] Artstein Z.: Continuous dependence on parameters: On the best possible results. J. Differential Equations 19 (1975), 214–225.
- [3] Ashordia, M.: On the correctness of linear boundary value problems for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. *Proc. Georgian Acad. Sci. Math.* **1** (4) (1993), 385–394.

- [4] Bohner, M. and Peterson, A.: Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [5] Bohner, M. and Peterson, A.: Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [6] Brokate, M. and Krejčí, P.: Duality in the space of regulated functions and the play operator. *Math. Z.* **245** (2003), 667–688.
- [7] Federson, M and Schwabik, Š: Generalized ordinary differential equations approach to impulsive retarded functional differential equations. *Differential and Integral Equations* **19** (2006), 1201–1234.
- [8] Fraňková, D.: Continuous dependence on a parameter of solutions of generalized differential equations. Časopis pěst. mat.114 (1989), 230–261.
- [9] Halas, Z.: Continuous dependence of solutions of generalized linear ordinary differential equations on a parameter. *Mathematica Bohemica* **132** (2007), 205–218.
- [10] Halas, Z. and Tvrdý, M.: Continuous dependence of solutions of generalized linear differential equations on a parameter. Funct. Differ. Equ. 16 (2009), 299–313.
- [11] Hildebrandt, T.H.: On systems of linear differentio-Stieltjes integral equations. *Illinois J. Math.* **3** (1959) 352–373.
- [12] Hönig, Ch.S.: Volterra Stieltjes-integral equations. North Holland and American Elsevier, Mathematics Studies 16. Amsterdam and New York, 1975.
- [13] Imaz, C. and Vorel, Z.: Generalized ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces and applications to functional equations. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* **11** (1966) 47–59.
- [14] Kiguradze, I.: Boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations. Boundary Value Problems for Systems of Ordinary Differential Equations (in Russian). Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Novejshie Dostizh. 30 (1987), 3-103; English transl.: J. Sov. Math. 43 (1988), 2259-2339.
- [15] Krasnoselskij, M.A. and Krein, S.G.: On the averaging principle in nonlinear mechanics (in Russian). *Uspekhi mat. nauk* **10** (3) (1955), 147–152.
- [16] Krejčí, P. and Laurençot, P.: Generalized variational inequalities. J. Convex Anal. 9 (2002), 159–183.
- [17] Kurzweil, J. and Vorel, Z.: Continuous dependence of solutions of differential equations on a parameter. *Czechoslovak Math.J.* **23** (82) (1957), 568–583.
- [18] Kurzweil, J.: Generalized ordinary differential equation and continuous dependence on a parameter. Czechoslovak Math.J. **7(82)** (1957), 418–449.
- [19] Kurzweil, J: Generalized ordinary differential equations. Czechoslovak Math.J. 24 (83) (1958), 360–387.

Application 21

[20] Meng, G. and Zhang, M.: Continuity in weak topology: First order linear system of ODE. *Acta Math. Sinica* **26** (2010), 1287–1298.

- [21] Meng, G. and Zhang, M.: Measure differential equations I. Continuity of solutions in measures with weak\*topology. *Tsinghua University*, preprint (2009).
- [22] Meng, G. and Zhang, M.: Measure differential equations II. Continuity of eigenvalues in measures with weak\*topology. *Tsinghua University*, preprint (2009).
- [23] Monteiro G.and Tvrdý M.: On Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral in Banach space. *Math. Bohem.*, to appear.
- [24] Oliva, F. and Vorel, Z.: Functional equations and generalized ordinary differential equations. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* **11** (1966), 40–46.
- [25] Opial, Z.: Continuous Parameter Dependence in Linear Systems of Differential Equations. J. Differential Equations 3 (1967), 571-579.
- [26] Schwabik, Š.: Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations. World Scientific. Singapore, 1992.
- [27] Schwabik, Š.: Abstract Perron-Stieltjes integral. Math. Bohem. 121(1996), 425–447.
- [28] Schwabik, Š.: Linear Stieltjes integral equations in Banach spaces. *Math. Bohem.* **124** (1999), 433–457.
- [29] Schwabik, Š.: Linear Stieltjes integral equations in Banach spaces II; Operator valued solutions. *Math. Bohem.* **125** 2000, 431–454.
- [30] Schwabik, Š.: A note on integration by parts for abstract Perron-Stieltjes integrals. *Math. Bohem.* **126** 2001, 613–629.
- [31] Schwabik, Š., Tvrdý, M. and Vejvoda, O.: Differential and Integral Equations: Boundary Value Problems and Adjoint. Academia and Reidel. Praha and Dordrecht, 1979
- [32] Slavík, A.: Dynamic equations on time scales and generalized ordinary differential equations, submitted.
- [33] Taylor, A.: Introduction to Functional Analysis. Wiley, 1958.
- [34] Tvrdý, M: On the continuous dependence on a parameter of solutions of initial value problems for linear generalized differential equations. Funct.Differ.Equ. 5 (1999), 483–498.
- [35] Tvrdý, M.: Differential and Integral equations in the Space of Regulated Functions. Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. 25 (2002), 1-104.