

Maximum Principles on the Continuous and Discrete Level

Tomáš Vejchodský (vejchod@math.cas.cz)

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences
Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1
Czech Republic



September 24–25, 2009, Tampere, Finland



- ▶ Introduction/Motivation
- ▶ (Continuous) maximum principles
- ▶ Discrete maximum principles
- ▶ Lowest-order FEM
- ▶ Higher-order FEM
- ▶ Numerical test

- ▶ Classical formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}\nabla u) + cu &= f && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= g_D && \text{on } \Gamma_D, \\ \alpha u + (\mathcal{A}\nabla u) \cdot n &= g_N && \text{on } \Gamma_N, \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Weak formulation: $u = u^0 + \tilde{g}_D$

$$u^0 \in V : \quad a(u^0, v) = F(v) - a(\tilde{g}_D, v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

- ▶ $V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D\}$
- ▶ $a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{A}\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v + cuv \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} \alpha uv \, ds$
- ▶ $F(v) = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} g_N v \, ds$
- ▶ $\tilde{g}_D \in H^1(\Omega)$, $\tilde{g}_D = g_D$ on Γ_D



(Continuous) maximum principles – weak problem

- ▶ Maximum principle (MaxP)

$$f \leq 0 \text{ and } g_N \leq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \max_{\Omega} u \leq \max_{\Gamma_D} \max\{0, u\}$$

- ▶ Minimum principle (MinP)

$$f \geq 0 \text{ and } g_N \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \min_{\Omega} u \geq \min_{\Gamma_D} \min\{0, u\}$$

- ▶ Conservation of nonnegativity (ConN)

$$f \geq 0, \quad g_D \geq 0, \text{ and } g_N \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \geq 0$$

- ▶ Comparison principle (CmpP)

$$f_1 \geq f_2, \quad g_{D,1} \geq g_{D,2}, \text{ and } g_{N,1} \geq g_{N,2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_1 \geq u_2$$

Theorem

$$\text{MaxP} \Leftrightarrow \text{MinP} \Leftrightarrow \text{ConN} \Leftrightarrow \text{CmpP}$$

(Continuous) maximum principles – weak problem



- ▶ Conservation of nonnegativity (ConN)

$$f \geq 0, g_D \geq 0, \text{ and } g_N \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \geq 0$$

▶ hp -FEM: $u_h = u_h^0 + \tilde{g}_{Dh}$

$$u_h^0 \in V_h : a(u_h^0, v_h) = F(v_h) - a(\tilde{g}_{Dh}, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

- ▶ \mathcal{T}_h triangulation of Ω
- ▶ p_K polynomial degree on $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$
- ▶ $X_h = \{v_h \in H^1(\Omega) : v_h|_K \in P^{p_K}(K), K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$
- ▶ $V_h = X_h \cap V$
- ▶ $X_h = V_h \oplus V_h^\partial$
- ▶ $\tilde{g}_{Dh} \in V_h^\partial, \tilde{g}_{Dh} \approx g_D$ on Γ_D



Discrete maximum principles – V_h fixed

- ▶ Discrete maximum principle (DMaxP)

$$f \leq 0 \text{ and } g_N \leq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \max_{\Omega} u_h \leq \max_{\Gamma_D} \max\{0, u_h\}$$

- ▶ Discrete minimum principle (DMinP)

$$f \geq 0 \text{ and } g_N \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \min_{\Omega} u_h \geq \min_{\Gamma_D} \min\{0, u_h\}$$

- ▶ Discrete conservation of nonnegativity (DConN)

$$f \geq 0, \tilde{g}_{Dh} \geq 0, \text{ and } g_N \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_h \geq 0$$

- ▶ Discrete comparison principle (DCmpP)

$$f_1 \geq f_2, \tilde{g}_{Dh,1} \geq \tilde{g}_{Dh,2}, \text{ and } g_{N,1} \geq g_{N,2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_{h,1} \geq u_{h,2}$$

Theorem

$$DMaxP \Leftrightarrow DMinP \Leftrightarrow DConN \Leftrightarrow DCmpP$$



- ▶ Discrete conservation of nonnegativity (DConN) \equiv DMP

$$f \geq 0, \tilde{g}_{Dh} \geq 0, \text{ and } g_N \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_h \geq 0$$



Discrete Green's function (DGF)

Theorem (main)

$$\begin{aligned} DMP \Leftrightarrow & \quad (a) \quad G_h(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega^2 \\ & \quad (b) \quad G_h^\partial(s, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall s \in \Gamma_D, \forall y \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $G_{h,y} \in V_h$:
 $a(v_h, G_{h,y}) = v_h(y) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, y \in \Omega$
- ▶ $G_{h,y}^\partial \in V_h^\partial$:
 $\int_{\Gamma_D} w_h(s) G_{h,y}^\partial(s) ds = w_h(y) - a(w_h, G_{h,y}) \quad \forall w_h \in X_h, y \in \Omega$
- ▶ $G_h(x, y) = G_{h,y}(x) \quad G_h^\partial(s, y) = G_{h,y}^\partial(s)$

$$u_h(y) = \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_h(x, y) dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} g_N(s) G_h(s, y) ds + \int_{\Gamma_D} \tilde{g}_{Dh}(s) G_h^\partial(s, y) ds$$

Expressions for the DGF



- ▶ $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N$ basis in V_h
- ▶ $\varphi_1^\partial, \varphi_2^\partial, \dots, \varphi_{N^\partial}^\partial$ basis in V_h^∂
- ▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $A_{ij} = a(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$
- ▶ $A^\partial \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N^\partial}$, $A_{ik}^\partial = a(\varphi_k^\partial, \varphi_i) \quad i = 1, \dots, N, k = 1, \dots, N^\partial$
- ▶ $M^\partial \in \mathbb{R}^{N^\partial \times N^\partial}$, $M_{kl}^\partial = \int_{\Gamma_D} \varphi_l^\partial \varphi_k^\partial ds \quad k, l = 1, 2, \dots, N^\partial$
- ▶ $G_h(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_i(y) (A^{-1})_{ij} \varphi_j(x)$
- ▶ $G_h^\partial(s, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{N^\partial} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N^\partial} \varphi_k^\partial(s) (M^\partial)^{-1}_{k\ell} \left[\varphi_\ell^\partial(y) - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_i(y) (A^{-1})_{ij} A_{j\ell}^\partial \right]$



- ▶ DMP $\Leftrightarrow G_h \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A^{-1} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ A s.p.d., $\text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0 \Rightarrow A$ M-matrix $\Rightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ Element matrices: $\text{off-diag}(A^K) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0$
 - ▶ $A = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} A^K$, $A_{ij}^K = a_K(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) = \int_K (\mathcal{A} \nabla \varphi_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_j \, dx + \dots$



- ▶ $DMP \Leftrightarrow G_h \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A^{-1} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ A s.p.d., $\text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0 \Rightarrow A$ M-matrix $\Rightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ Element matrices: $\text{off-diag}(A^K) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0$
 - ▶ $A = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} A^K, \quad A_{ij}^K = a_K(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) = \int_K (\mathcal{A} \nabla \varphi_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_j \, dx + \dots$

Example (triangles):

$$A^K = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \cot \beta + \cot \gamma & -\cot \gamma & -\cot \beta \\ -\cot \gamma & \cot \alpha + \cot \gamma & -\cot \alpha \\ -\cot \beta & -\cot \alpha & \cot \alpha + \cot \beta \end{pmatrix}$$



- ▶ DMP $\Leftrightarrow G_h \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A^{-1} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ A s.p.d., $\text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0 \Rightarrow A$ M-matrix $\Rightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ Element matrices: $\text{off-diag}(A^K) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0$
 - ▶ $A = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} A^K$, $A_{ij}^K = a_K(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) = \int_K (\mathcal{A} \nabla \varphi_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_j \, dx + \dots$

Example (triangles):

- ▶ $\alpha_{\max} \leq \pi/2 \Rightarrow \text{off-diag}(A^K) \leq 0$
- ▶ $\alpha + \alpha' \leq \pi \Leftrightarrow \text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0$



- ▶ DMP $\Leftrightarrow G_h \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A^{-1} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ A s.p.d., $\text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0 \Rightarrow A$ M-matrix $\Rightarrow A$ monotone
- ▶ Element matrices: $\text{off-diag}(A^K) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \text{off-diag}(A) \leq 0$
 - ▶ $A = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} A^K$, $A_{ij}^K = a_K(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) = \int_K (\mathcal{A} \nabla \varphi_i) \cdot \nabla \varphi_j \, dx + \dots$

Gap: A monotone but not M-matrix

\Rightarrow numerical tests

Higher order FEM, $g_D = 0$



▶ DMP $\Leftrightarrow G_h \geq 0 \not\Rightarrow A^{-1} \geq 0$

▶ $G_h(x_i^V, x_j^V) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow S^{-1} \geq 0$

▶ $x_i^V, i = 1, \dots, N_{\text{vert}}$ vertices (nodes) in \mathcal{T}_h

▶ $S = A_{VV} - A_{VN}A_{NN}^{-1}A_{NV}$

▶ $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{VV} & A_{VN} \\ A_{NV} & A_{NN} \end{pmatrix}$

▶ $A_{VV} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{vert}} \times N_{\text{vert}}}, A_{NN} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{\text{nonv}} \times N_{\text{nonv}}}, N_{\text{dof}} = N_{\text{vert}} + N_{\text{nonv}}$

▶ *hp*-FEM basis: $\underbrace{\varphi_1^V, \dots, \varphi_{N_{\text{vert}}}^V}_{\text{vertex fun.}}, \underbrace{\varphi_{N_{\text{vert}}+1}^N, \dots, \varphi_{N_{\text{dof}}}^N}_{\text{edge, bubble fun.}}$

Higher order FEM, $g_D = 0$




- ▶ DMP $\Leftrightarrow G_h \geq 0 \not\Leftarrow A^{-1} \geq 0$
- ▶ $G_h(x_i^V, x_j^V) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow S^{-1} \geq 0$
- ▶ $G_h|_{K \times L}(x, y) = \sum_{i \in \iota_K} \sum_{j \in \iota_L} \varphi_j|_L(y) (A^{-1})_{ij} \varphi_i|_K(x), \quad (x, y) \in K \times L$
 - ▶ $\iota_K = \{i : \text{meas}(K \cap \text{supp } \varphi_i) > 0\}$
(indices of basis functions supported in K)
 - ▶ $K, L \in \mathcal{T}_h$

Higher order FEM, $g_D = 0$





- ▶ DMP $\Leftrightarrow G_h \geq 0 \not\Leftrightarrow A^{-1} \geq 0$
- ▶ $G_h(x_i^V, x_j^V) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow S^{-1} \geq 0$
- ▶ $G_h|_{K \times L}(x, y) = \sum_{i \in \iota_K} \sum_{j \in \iota_L} \varphi_j|_L(y) (A^{-1})_{ij} \varphi_i|_K(x), \quad (x, y) \in K \times L$
- ▶ $G_h|_{K \times L}(x, y) \geq 0$ in $K \times L$? (cf. 17th Hilbert problem)



$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 30^\circ \\ \beta &= 70^\circ \end{aligned}$$





$$\alpha = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\beta = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\alpha + \beta < 180^\circ$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 40^\circ \\ \beta &= 70^\circ \end{aligned}$$





$$\alpha = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\beta = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\alpha + \beta < 180^\circ$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 50^\circ \\ \beta &= 70^\circ \end{aligned}$$





$$\alpha = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\beta = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\alpha + \beta < 180^\circ$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 30^\circ \\ \beta &= 80^\circ \end{aligned}$$





$$\alpha = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\beta = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\alpha + \beta < 180^\circ$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 40^\circ \\ \beta &= 80^\circ \end{aligned}$$



$$\alpha = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\beta = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$



$$\alpha + \beta < 180^\circ$$

Numerical test



$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 50^\circ \\ \beta &= 80^\circ \end{aligned}$$




$$\alpha = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$


$$\beta = 1^\circ, 2^\circ, \dots, 179^\circ$$

$$\alpha + \beta < 180^\circ$$


Numerical test – $\rho = 1$




Numerical test – $p = 2$




Numerical test – $p = 3$




Numerical test – $p = 4$



Numerical test – $p = 5$





Numerical test – $p = 6$



Visualization of DGF


$\min G_h$



$$\alpha = 60^\circ$$

$$\beta = 60^\circ$$



$$p = 3$$



Visualization of DGF




$(\min G_h)^-$



$$\alpha = 60^\circ$$



$$\beta = 60^\circ$$

$$p = 3$$



Visualization of DGF


mean G_h



$$\alpha = 60^\circ$$



$$\beta = 60^\circ$$

$$p = 3$$



Visualization of DGF


$\max G_h$



$$\alpha = 60^\circ$$


$$\beta = 60^\circ$$

$$p = 3$$



Visualization of DGF


$$\text{meas}\{G_h < 0\} / \text{meas}(K_i \times K_j)$$



$$\alpha = 60^\circ$$

$$\beta = 60^\circ$$

$$p = 3$$



Conclusions



- ▶ $G_h \not\geq 0$ on uniform triangular meshes for $p \geq 3$
- ▶ $G_h \geq 0$ for $p \geq 2$ for triangles close to equilateral
- ▶ $G_h < 0$ close to the boundary
- ▶ $\text{meas}\{(x, y) : G_h(x, y) < 0\}$ is small
- ▶ $|\min G_h| \ll |\max G_h|$
- ▶ $f \geq 0$ such that $u_h \not\geq 0$ is weird
- ▶ If f is well approximated on \mathcal{T}_h then $u_h \geq 0$.
- ▶ Polynomials not suitable – try sin and cos

Thank you for your attention

Tomáš Vejchodský (vejchod@math.cas.cz)

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences
Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1
Czech Republic



September 24–25, 2009, Tampere, Finland