Emphatic convergence and sequential solutions of generalized linear differential equations

(Dedicated to the memory of Temur Chanturia)

Zdeněk Halas, Giselle A. Monteiro*
and Milan Tvrdý †

September 15, 2011

Abstract. This contribution deals with systems of generalized linear differential equations of the form

$$x_k(t) = \tilde{x}_k + \int_a^t d[A_k(s)] x_k(s) + f_k(t) - f_k(a), \quad t \in [a, b] \ k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, X is a Banach space, L(X) is the Banach space of linear bounded operators on X, $\tilde{x}_k \in X$, $A_k: [a, b] \to L(X)$ have bounded variation on [a, b], $f_k: [a, b] \to X$ are regulated on [a, b] and the integrals are understood in the Kurzweil-Stieltjes sense.

Our aim is to present new results on continuous dependence of solutions to generalized linear differential equations on the parameter k. We continue our research from [18], where we were assuming that A_k tends uniformly to A and f_k tends uniformly to f on [a, b]. Here we are interested in the cases when this assumption is violated.

Furthermore, we introduce a notion of a sequential solution to generalized linear differential equations as the limit of solutions of a properly chosen sequence of ODE's obtained by piecewise linear approximations of functions A and f. Theorems on the existence and uniqueness of sequential solutions are proved and a comparison of solutions and sequential solutions is given, as well.

The convergence effects occurring in our contribution are, in some sense, very close to those described by Kurzweil and called by him emphatic convergence.

AMS Subject Classification. 34A37, 45A05, 34A30.

^{*}Supported by CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) BEX 5320/09-7 and by FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo) 2011/06392-2

[†]Supported by the Institutional Research Plan No. AV0Z10190503

1. INTRODUCTION

Generalized differential equations were introduced in 1957 by J. Kurzweil in [14]. Since then they were studied by many authors. See e.g. the monographs by Schwabik, Tvrdý and Vejvoda [29], [25], [32] or the papers by Ashordia [2], [3] or Fraňková [7] and the references therein. Closely related and fundamental is also the contribution by Hildebrandt [10]. Furthermore, during the recent decades, the interest in their special cases like equations with impulses or discrete systems increased considerably, cf. e.g. the monographs [21], [33], [4], [24] or [1].

Concerning integral equations in a general Banach space, it is worth to highlight the monograph by Hönig [11] having as a background the interior (Dushnik) integral. On the other hand, dealing with the Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral, the contributions by Schwabik in [27] and [28] are essential for this paper. It is well-known that theory of generalized differential equations in Banach spaces enables the investigation of continuous and discrete systems, including the equations on time scales and the functional differential equations with impulses, from the common standpoint. This fact can be observed in several papers related to special kinds of equations, such as e.g. those by Imaz and Vorel [12], Oliva and Vorel [19], Federson and Schwabik [6].

In this paper we consider linear generalized differential equations of the form

$$x_k(t) = \tilde{x}_k + \int_a^t d[A_k(s)] x_k(s) + f_k(t) - f_k(a), \quad t \in [a, b], \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (1.1)

and

$$x(t) = \tilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[A(s)] x(s) + f(t) - f(a), \quad t \in [a, b].$$
(1.2)

In particular, we are interested in finding further conditions ensuring the convergence of the solutions x_k of (1.1) to the solution x of (1.2). We continue our research from [9] and [18], where we supposed a.o. that A_k tends uniformly to A and f_k tends uniformly to f on [a, b]. Here we will deal, similarly to [31] and [8], with the situation when this assumption is not satisfied.

In the paper we keep the following notation:

 $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ is the set of natural numbers and \mathbb{R} stands for the space of real numbers. If $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, then [a, b] and (a, b) denote the cor-

responding closed and open intervals, respectively. Furthermore, [a, b) and (a, b] are the corresponding half-open intervals.

X is a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|.\|_X$ and L(X) is the Banach space of linear bounded operators on X equipped with the usual operator norm. For an arbitrary function $f:[a,b] \to X$, we set

$$||f||_{\infty} = \sup\{||f(t)||_X; t \in [a, b]\}.$$

If $f_k: [a, b] \to X$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f: [a, b] \to X$ are such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|f_k - f\|_{\infty} = 0,$$

we say that f_k tends to f uniformly on [a, b] and write $f_k \Rightarrow f$ on [a, b]. If $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $f_k \Rightarrow f$ on [a, b] for each $[a, b] \subset J$, we say that f_k tends to f locally uniformly on J and write $f_k \Rightarrow f$ locally on J.

If, for each $t \in [a, b)$ and $s \in (a, b]$, the function $f: [a, b] \to X$ possesses the limits

$$f(t+) := \lim_{\tau \to t+} f(\tau), \quad f(s-) := \lim_{\tau \to s-} f(\tau),$$

we say that f is *regulated* on [a, b]. The set of all functions with values in X that are regulated on [a, b] is denoted by G([a, b], X). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{+}f(t) &= f(t+) - f(t) & \text{for } t \in [a,b), \quad \Delta^{+}f(b) = 0, \\ \Delta^{-}f(s) &= f(s) - f(s-) & \text{for } s \in (a,b], \quad \Delta^{-}f(a) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta f(t) = f(t+) - f(t-) \quad \text{for } t \in (a,b).$$

Clearly, each function regulated on [a, b] is bounded on [a, b].

The set $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\} \subset [a, b]$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is called a *division* of the interval [a, b], if $a = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_m = b$. The set of all divisions of the interval [a, b] is denoted by $\mathcal{D}[a, b]$. For a function $f: [a, b] \to X$ and a division $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathcal{D}[a, b]$, we put

$$\nu(D) := m, \quad |D| = \max\{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}; i = 1, 2, \dots, m\},\$$
$$\nu(f, D) := \sum_{j=1}^m \|f(\alpha_j) - f(\alpha_{j-1})\|_X$$

and

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} f := \sup \left\{ v(f, D); D \in \mathcal{D}[a, b] \right\}$$

1. Intro

is the variation of f over [a, b]. We say that f has a bounded variation on [a, b]if $\operatorname{var}_a^b f < \infty$. The set of X-valued functions of bounded variation on [a, b] is denoted by BV([a, b], X) and $||f||_{BV} = ||f(a)||_X + \operatorname{var}_a^b f$. Finally, C([a, b], X)is the set of functions $f: [a, b] \to X$ that are continuous on [a, b]. Obviously,

$$BV([a, b], X) \subset G([a, b], X)$$
 and $C([a, b], X) \subset G([a, b], X)$

The integral which occurs in this paper is the abstract Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral (in short the KS-integral) as defined by Schwabik in [26]. For its further properties see also our previous paper [17]. For the reader's convenience, let us recall the definition of the KS-integral.

Let
$$-\infty < a < b < \infty, m \in \mathbb{N}$$
,

$$D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathcal{D}[a, b] \text{ and } \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in [a, b]^m.$$

Then the couple $P = (D, \xi)$ is called a *partition* of [a, b] if

$$\alpha_{j-1} \le \xi_j \le \alpha_j \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

The set of all partitions of the interval [a, b] is denoted by $\mathcal{P}[a, b]$. An arbitrary function $\delta : [a, b] \to (0, \infty)$ is called a *gauge* on [a, b]. Given a gauge δ on [a, b], the partition

$$P = (D,\xi) = (\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}, (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)) \in \mathcal{P}[a,b]$$

is said to be δ -fine, if

$$[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j] \subset (\xi_j - \delta(\xi_j), \xi_j + \delta(\xi_j)) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The set of all δ -fine partitions of [a, b] is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\delta; [a, b])$.

For functions $f:[a,b] \to X$, $G:[a,b] \to L(X)$ and a partition $P \in \mathcal{P}[a,b]$,

$$P = \left(\{ \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \}, (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \right),$$

we define

$$\Sigma(\Delta G f; P) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} [G(\alpha_j) - G(\alpha_{j-1})] f(\xi_j).$$

We say that $q \in X$ is the KS-integral of f with respect to G from a to b if

$$\begin{cases} \text{for each } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is a gauge } \delta \text{ on } [a, b] \text{ such that} \\ \|q - \Sigma(\Delta G f; P)\|_X < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathcal{A}(\delta; [a, b]). \end{cases}$$

In such a case we write

$$q = \int_{a}^{b} d[G(t)] f(t)$$
 or, more briefly, $q = \int_{a}^{b} d[G] f$.

Analogously we define the integral $\int_a^b F d[g]$ for $F:[a,b] \to L(X)$ and $g:[a,b] \to X$.

The following assertion summarizes the properties of the KS-integral needed later. For the proofs, see [26] and [17].

1.1. Theorem. Let $f \in G([a, b], X)$, $G \in G([a, b], L(X))$ and let at least one of the functions f, G has a bounded variation on [a, b]. Then the integral $\int_{a}^{b} d[G] f$ exists. Furthermore,

$$\left\| \int_{a}^{b} d[G] f \right\|_{X} \le 2 \|G\|_{\infty} \left(\|f(a)\|_{X} + \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} f \right) \quad \text{if } f \in BV([a, b], X) \,, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\left\| \int_{a}^{b} d[G] f \right\|_{X} \le \left(\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} G \right) \| f \|_{\infty} \qquad if \ G \in BV([a, b], L(X)) , \qquad (1.4)$$

$$\left. \int_{a}^{t} d[G] f_{k} \rightrightarrows \int_{a}^{t} d[G] f \quad on \ [a,b] \\
 if \ G \in BV([a,b], L(X)), f_{k} \in G([a,b], X) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } f_{k} \rightrightarrows f, \right\}$$
(1.5)

$$\int_{a}^{t} d[G_{k}] f \rightrightarrows \int_{a}^{t} d[G] f \quad on \ [a, b] \\
 if \ f \in BV([a, b], X), G_{k} \in G([a, b], L(X)) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } g_{k} \rightrightarrows g, \quad \begin{cases} 1.6 \\ \end{pmatrix} \\
 \int_{a}^{t} d[G_{k}] \ f_{k} \rightrightarrows \int_{a}^{t} d[G] f \\
 \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

$$\begin{cases} a & \text{J}_{a} \\ \text{if } G_{k} \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f_{k} \in G([a, b], X) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \sup \{ \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} G_{k}; k \in \mathbb{N} \} < \infty \text{ and } f_{k} \rightrightarrows f, G_{k} \rightrightarrows G \text{ on } [a, b]. \end{cases}$$

$$(1.7)$$

1.2. Remark. An assertion analogous to that of Theorem 1.1 holds also for the integrals

$$\int_{a}^{b} F d[g], \quad \int_{a}^{b} F_{k} d[g], \quad \int_{a}^{b} F d[g_{k}], \quad \int_{a}^{b} F_{k} d[g_{k}], \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $F, F_k : [a, b] \to L(X)$ and $g, f_k : [a, b] \to X$.

2. Generalized differential equations

Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$, $f \in G([a, b], X)$ and $\tilde{x} \in X$. Consider the generalized linear differential equation (1.2). We say that a function $x : [a, b] \to X$ is a solution of (1.2) on the interval [a, b] if the integral $\int_a^b d[A] x$ has a sense and equality (1.2) is satisfied for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Obviously, generalized differential equation (1.2) is equivalent with the equation

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[B] x + g(t) - g(a)$$

whenever B - A and g - f are constant on [a, b]. Therefore, without any loss of generality we can assume that

$$A(a) = A_k(a) = 0$$
 and $f(a) = f_k(a) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For our purposes the following property is crucial:

$$\left[I - \Delta^{-} A(t)\right]^{-1} \in L(X) \quad \text{for each } t \in (a, b].$$
(2.1)

Its importance is well illustrated by the next assertion which summarizes some of the basic properties of generalized linear differential equations in abstract spaces. For the proof, see [18, Lemma 3.2].

2.1. Theorem. Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$ satisfy (2.1). Then, for each $\tilde{x} \in X$ and each $f \in G([a, b], X)$ equation (1.2) has a unique solution x on [a, b] and $x \in G([a, b], X)$. Moreover, $x - f \in BV([a, b], X)$

$$0 < c_A := \sup \left\{ \left\| [I - \Delta^- A(t)]^{-1} \right\|_{L(X)}; t \in (a, b] \right\} < \infty,$$
(2.2)

$$\|x(t)\|_{X} \le c_{A} \left(\|\widetilde{x}\|_{X} + \|f(a)\|_{X} + \|f\|_{\infty}\right) \exp\left(c_{A} \operatorname{var}_{a}^{t} A\right) \text{ for } t \in [a, b]$$
(2.3)
and

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b}(x-f) \leq c_{A} \left(\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A \right) \left(\|\widetilde{x}\|_{X} + 2 \|f\|_{\infty} \right) \exp(c_{A} \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A) \,.$$

$$(2.4)$$

The next result was proved in [18, Theorem 3.4].

2.2. Theorem. Let $A, A_k \in BV([a, b], L(X))$ $f, f_k \in G([a, b], X), \ \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}_k \in X$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume (2.1),

$$\alpha^* := \sup\{\operatorname{var}_a^b A_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty, \qquad (2.5)$$

$$A_k \rightrightarrows A \quad on \quad [a, b], \tag{2.6}$$

$$f_k \rightrightarrows f \quad on \quad [a,b] \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \widetilde{x}_k = \widetilde{x} \,. \tag{2.8}$$

Then equation (1.2) has a unique solution x on [a, b]. Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large there exists a unique solution x_k on [a, b] to the equation (1.1) and

$$x_k \rightrightarrows x \quad on \quad [a, b].$$
 (2.9)

2.3. Remark. If (2.5) is not true, but (2.6) is replaced by a stronger notion of convergence in the sense of Opial ([20, Theorem 1]) (cf. [13, Theorem 1.4.1] for extension to functional differential equations), the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 remains true (see [18, Theorem 4.2]). If (2.6) or (2.7) does not hold, the situation becomes rather more difficult, see [7], [8] and [31]. The next section deals with such a case.

3. Emphatic convergence

The proofs of the next two lemmas follow the ideas of the proof of [8, Theorem 2.2].

3.1. Lemma. Let $A, A_k \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f, f_k \in G([a, b], X), \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}_k \in X$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume (2.1), (2.8),

$$\begin{bmatrix} I - \Delta^{-}A_{k}(t) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \in L(X)$$

for all $t \in (a, b]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, (3.1)

$$A_k \rightrightarrows A \quad and \quad f_k \rightrightarrows f \quad locally \ on \quad (a, b].$$
 (3.2)

Then there exists a unique solution x of (1.2) on [a,b] and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, there exists a unique solution x_k on [a,b] to the equation (1.1).

Moreover, let (2.5) and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0 \ such \ that \ \forall t \in (a, a+\delta) \ \exists k_0 = k_0(t) \in \mathbb{N} \ such \ that \\ \|x_k(t) - \widetilde{x}_k - \Delta^+ A(a) \ \widetilde{x} - \Delta^+ f(a)\|_X < \varepsilon$$
for all $k \ge k_0$

$$(3.3)$$

hold. Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t) = x(t) \tag{3.4}$$

is true for $t \in [a, b]$, while $x_k \rightrightarrows x$ locally on (a, b].

Proof. By (3.1), the solutions x_k of (1.1) exists on [a, b] for all k sufficiently large. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\delta > 0$ and $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$||x(t) - x(a+)||_X < \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in (a, a+\delta) \text{ and } ||\widetilde{x}_k - \widetilde{x}||_X < \varepsilon \text{ for } k \ge k_1.$$

We may choose δ in such way that (3.3) holds. In view of this, for $t \in (a, a + \delta)$, let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_0 \ge k_1$, be such that

$$||x_k(t) - \widetilde{x}_k - \Delta^+ A(a) \widetilde{x} - \Delta^+ f(a)||_X < \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad k \ge k_0.$$

Then, taking into account the relations

$$x(a+) = x(a) + \Delta^+ A(a) x(a) + \Delta^+ f(a)$$
 and $x(a) = \widetilde{x}$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k}(t) - x(t)\|_{X} \\ &= \|(x_{k}(t) - \widetilde{x}_{k}) + (\widetilde{x}_{k} - \widetilde{x}) + (\widetilde{x} - x(a +)) + (x(a +) - x(t))\|_{X} \\ &\leq \|x_{k}(t) - \widetilde{x}_{k} - x(a +) + \widetilde{x}\|_{X} + \|\widetilde{x} - \widetilde{x}_{k}\|_{X} + \|x(t) - x(a +)\|_{X} \\ &= \|x_{k}(t) - \widetilde{x}_{k} - \Delta^{+}A(a)\widetilde{x} - \Delta^{-}f(a)\|_{X} + \|\widetilde{x} - \widetilde{x}_{k}\|_{X} + \|x(t) - x(a +)\|_{X} \\ &< 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This means that (3.4) holds for $t \in [a, a+\delta)$.

Now, let an arbitrary $c \in (a, a + \delta)$ be given. We can use Theorem 2.2 to show that the solutions x_k to

$$x_k(t) = x_k(c) + \int_c^t d[A_k] x_k + f_k(t) - f(t)$$

exists on [c, b] and $x_k \rightrightarrows x$ on [c, b]. The assertion of the lemma follows easily.

3.2. Lemma. Let $A, A_k \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f, f_k \in G([a, b], X), \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}_k \in X$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume (2.1), (2.8), (3.1) and

$$A_k \rightrightarrows A \quad and \quad f_k \rightrightarrows f \quad locally \ on \quad [a,b).$$
 (3.5)

Then there exists a unique solution x of (1.2) on [a, b] and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, there exists a unique solution x_k on [a, b] to the equation (1.1).

Moreover, let (2.5) and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \ \delta > 0 \ \exists \tau \in (b - \delta, b), \ k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \quad such \ that \\ |x_k(b) - x_k(\tau) - \Delta^- A(b) \left[I - \Delta^- A(b) \right]^{-1} x(b -) \\ - \left[I - \Delta^- A(b) \right]^{-1} \Delta^- f(b) | < \varepsilon$$
for all $k \ge k_0$

$$(3.6)$$

hold. Then (3.4) is true, while $x_k \rightrightarrows x$ locally on [a, b).

Proof. Due to (2.1) and (3.1), there exists a unique solution x of (1.2) on [a, b], there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (1.1) has a unique solution x_k on [a, b] for each $k \geq k_1$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.2, $x_k \rightrightarrows x$ locally on [a, b). It remains to show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(b) = x(b) \tag{3.7}$$

is true, as well. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta \in (0, b-a)$ be given and let $\tau \in (b-\delta, b)$ and $k_0 \ge k_1$ be such that (3.6) is true. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k(b) - x(b)\|_X \\ &= \|(x_k(b) - x_k(\tau)) + (x_k(\tau) - x(\tau)) + (x(\tau) - x(b-)) + (x(b-) - x(b))\|_X \\ &\leq \|x_k(b) - x_k(\tau) - x(b) + x(b-)\|_X + \|x(\tau) - x(b-)\|_X + \|x_k(\tau) - x(\tau)\|_X \,, \end{aligned}$$

wherefrom, having in mind that $x(b) = x(b-) + \Delta^{-}A(b) x(b) + \Delta^{-}f(b)$, i.e.

$$x(b) = [I - \Delta^{-} A(b)]^{-1} x(b) + [I - \Delta^{-} A(b)]^{-1} \Delta^{-} f(b)$$

and

$$\begin{split} x(b) - x(b-) &= \Delta^{-} A(b) \, [I - \Delta^{-} A(b)]^{-1} \, x(b-) \\ &+ \left[I + \Delta^{-} A(b) \, [I - \Delta^{-} A(b)]^{-1} \right] \Delta^{-} f(b) \,, \end{split}$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k(b) - x(b)\|_X \\ &\leq \|x_k(b) - x(\tau) - \Delta^- A(b) [I - \Delta^- A(b)]^{-1} x(b -) \\ &- [I + \Delta^- A(b) [I - \Delta^- A(b)]^{-1}] \Delta^- f(b)\|_X \\ &+ \|x(\tau) - x(b -)\|_X + \|x_k(\tau) - x(\tau)\|_X \,. \end{aligned}$$

We can choose δ and k_0 in such a way that $||x(t)-x(b-)||_X < \varepsilon$ for each $t \in (b-\delta, b)$ and $||x_k(\tau)-x(\tau)||_X < \varepsilon$ for $k \ge k_0$, as well. Furthermore, notice that if $B \in L(X)$ is such that $[I-B]^{-1} \in L(X)$, then $[I-B]^{-1} = I+B [I-B]^{-1}$. Thus, using (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k}(b) - x(b)\|_{X} \\ &\leq \|x_{k}(b) - x(\tau) - \Delta^{-}A(b) \left[I - \Delta^{-}A(b)\right]^{-1} x(b-) - \left[I - \Delta^{-}A(b)\right]^{-1} \Delta^{-}f(b)\|_{X} \\ &+ \|x(\tau) - x(b-)\|_{X} + \|x_{k}(\tau) - x(\tau)\|_{X} \\ &< 3 \varepsilon \,. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (3.7) is true and this completes the proof.

The next assertion may be deduced from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2

3.3. Theorem. Let $A, A_k \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f, f_k \in G([a, b], X), \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}_k \in X$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume (2.1), (2.8) and (3.1). Furthermore, let there exists a division $D = \{s_0, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ of the interval [a, b] such that

$$A_k \rightrightarrows A, f_k \rightrightarrows f \text{ locally on each } (s_{i-1}, s_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$
 (3.8)

Then there exists a unique solution x of (1.2) on [a, b] and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, there exists a unique solution x_k on [a, b] to the equation (1.1).

Moreover, assume (2.5) and let

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \ \delta_i \in (0, s_i - s_{i-1}) \ such \ that \ \forall \ t \in (s_{i-1}, s_{i-1} + \delta_i) \\ \exists \ k_i = k_i(t) \in \mathbb{N} \ such \ that \\ \| x_k(t) - x_k(s_{i-1}) - \Delta^+ A(s_{i-1}) \ x(s_{i-1}) - \Delta^+ f(s_{i-1}) \|_X < \varepsilon \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (3.9) \\ for \ all \ k \ge k_i \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \ \delta \in (0, s_i - s_{i-1}) \ \exists \tau_i \in (s_i - \delta, s_i), \ \ell_i \in \mathbb{N} \quad such \ that \\ \|x_k(s_i) - x_k(\tau_i) - \Delta^- A(s_i) \left[I - \Delta^- A(s_i)\right]^{-1} x(s_i -) \\ - \left[I - \Delta^- A(s_i)\right]^{-1} \Delta^- f(s_i) \|_X < \varepsilon$$
for all $k \ge \ell_i$

$$(3.10)$$

hold for each i = 1, 2, ..., m*.*

Then (3.4) is true for all $t \in [a, b]$, while $x_k \rightrightarrows x$ locally on each (s_{i-1}, s_i) , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

Proof. Obviously, there is a division $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ of [a, b] such that for each subinterval $[\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j], j=1, 2, \ldots, r$, either the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 or the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied with with α_{j-1} in place of a and α_k in place of b. Hence the proof follows by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. \Box

4. SEQUENTIAL SOLUTIONS

The aim of this section is to disclose the relationship between solutions of generalized linear differential equation and limits of solutions of approximating sequences of linear ordinary differential equations generated by piecewise linear approximations of the coefficients A, f.

Let us introduce the following notations.

4.1. Notation. For $A \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f \in G([a, b], X)$ and

$$D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\} \in \mathcal{D}[a, b],\$$

we define

$$A_{D}(t) = \begin{cases} A(t) & \text{if } t \in D, \\ A(\alpha_{i-1}) + \frac{A(\alpha_{i}) - A(\alpha_{i-1})}{\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i-1}} (t - \alpha_{i-1}) \\ & \text{if } t \in (\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i}) \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

and

$$f_D(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } t \in D, \\ f(\alpha_{i-1}) + \frac{f(\alpha_i) - f(\alpha_{i-1})}{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}} (t - \alpha_{i-1}) \\ & \text{if } t \in (\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i) \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}. \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

The following lemma presents some direct properties for the functions defined in (4.1) and (4.2).

4.2. Lemma. Assume that $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$, $f \in G([a, b], X)$. Furthermore, let $D \in \mathcal{D}[a, b]$, $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$, and let A_D and f_D be defined by (4.1) and (4.2), respectively. Then A_D and f_D are strongly absolutely continuous on [a, b] and

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A_{D} \leq \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A \quad and \quad \|f_{D}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

Proof. It is clear that A_D and f_D are strongly absolutely continuous on (α_{i-1}, α_i) , for each $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Since both functions are continuous on [a, b], the absolute continuity holds on the closed intervals $[\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i]$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ (cf. [30, Theorem 7.1.10]).

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For each i = 1, ..., m, there exists $\eta_i > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \|A_D(b_j) - A_D(a_j)\|_{L(X)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{m}, \quad \text{whenever } \sum_{j=1}^{p} (b_j - a_j) < \eta_i,$$

where $[a_j, b_j]$, j = 1, ..., p, are non-overlapping subintervals of $[\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i]$.

Let $\eta < \min\{\eta_i; i = 1, ..., m\}$. Consider $\mathcal{F} = \{[c_j, d_j]; j = 1, ..., p\}$, a collection of non-overlapping subintervals of [a, b], such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^p (d_j - c_j) < \eta$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that, for each $j = 1, \ldots, p$, $[c_j, d_j] \subset [\alpha_{k_j-1}, \alpha_{k_j}]$, for some $k_j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{F}_{i}, \quad \text{with } \mathcal{F}_{i} = \big\{ [c,d] \in \mathcal{F} \, ; \, [c,d] \cap [\alpha_{i-1},\alpha_{i}] \neq \emptyset \big\},\$$

and $\sum_{[c,d]\in\mathcal{F}_i} (d-c) < \eta_i, i = 1, \dots, m$. In view of this, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \|A_D(d_j) - A_D(c_j)\|_{L(X)} \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{[c,d] \in \mathcal{F}_i} \|A_D(d) - A_D(c)\|_{L(X)} < \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\varepsilon}{m} = \varepsilon,$$

which shows that A_D is strongly absolutely continuous on [a, b]. Similarly we prove for f_D .

Furthermore, for each $\ell = 1, 2, ..., m$ and each $t \in [\alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_{\ell}]$ we have

$$\operatorname{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_{\ell}} A_D = \left\| A(\alpha_{\ell}) - A(\alpha_{\ell-1}) \right\|_{L(X)} \le \operatorname{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_{\ell}} A$$

and

$$\|f_D(t)\|_X = \left\| f(\alpha_{\ell-1}) + \frac{f(\alpha_\ell) - f(\alpha_{\ell-1})}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}} (t - \alpha_{\ell-1}) \right\|_X$$
$$= \left\| f(\alpha_{\ell-1}) \frac{\alpha_\ell - t}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}} + f(\alpha_\ell) \frac{t - \alpha_{\ell-1}}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}} \right\|_X \le \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A_{D} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \operatorname{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_{\ell}} A_{D} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \operatorname{var}_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}^{\alpha_{\ell}} A = \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_{D}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \,.$$

4.3. Remark. Notice that the functions A_D , f_D , defined in (4.1) and (4.2), respectively, are differentiable on (α_{i-1}, α_i) , $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and their derivatives are given by

$$A'_{D}(t) = \frac{A(\alpha_{i}) - A(\alpha_{i-1})}{\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i-1}} \quad \text{if } t \in (\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i}) \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$$
$$f'_{D}(t) = \frac{f(\alpha_{i}) - f(\alpha_{i-1})}{\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i-1}} \quad \text{if } t \in (\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i}) \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Recalling that, by Lemma 4.2, A_D and f_D are strongly absolutely continuous on [a, b], the Bochner integral (cf. [30, Definition 7.4.16]) exist and hence also the strong McShane and the strong Kurzweil-Henstock integrals (cf. [30, Theorem 5.1.4] and [30, Proposition 3.6.3]). Moreover,

$$A_D(t) = \int_a^t A'_D(s) \, \mathrm{d}s, \quad f_D(t) = \int_a^t f'_D(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b],$$

(cf. [30, Theorem 7.3.10]). Consequently,

$$\int_a^t \mathbf{d}[A_D(s)] \, x(s) = \int_a^t A'_D(s) \, x(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

holds for each $x \in G([a, b], X)$ and $t \in [a, b]$. Hence, the generalized differential equation

$$x(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_a^t d[A_D(s)] x(s) + f_D(t) - f_D(a)$$

is equivalent to the initial value problem for the ordinary differential equation (in a Banach space X)

$$x'(t) = A'_D(t) x + f'_D(t), \quad x(a) = \widetilde{x}.$$

4.4 . Theorem. Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X)) \cap C([a, b], L(X))$, $f \in C([a, b], X)$ and $\tilde{x} \in X$. Furthermore, let $\{D_k\}$ be a sequence of divisions of the interval [a, b] such that

$$D_{k+1} \supset D_k \quad for \ k \in \mathbb{N} \quad and \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} |D_k| = 0.$$
 (4.3)

Finally, let the sequences $\{A_k\}$ and $\{f_k\}$ be given by

$$A_k = A_{D_k} \quad and \quad f_k = f_{D_k} \quad for \ k \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(4.4)$$

where A_{D_k} and f_{D_k} are defined as in (4.1) and (4.2).

Then equation (1.2) has a unique solution x on [a, b]. Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, equation (1.1) has a solution x_k on [a, b] and (2.9) holds.

Proof. Step 1. Since A is uniformly continuous on [a, b], we have:

for each
$$\varepsilon > 0$$
 there is a $\delta > 0$ such that
 $||A(t) - A(s)||_{L(X)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$
holds for all $t, s \in [a, b]$ such that $|t - s| < \delta$.

$$\left. \right\}$$

$$(4.5)$$

By (4.3), we can choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ in such way that $|D_k| < \delta$, for every $k \ge k_0$. Given $t \in [a, b]$ and $k \ge k_0$, let $\alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_{\ell} \in \mathcal{D}_k$ be such that $t \in [\alpha_{\ell-1}, \alpha_{\ell})$.

Notice that $|\alpha_{\ell} - \alpha_{\ell-1}| < \delta$. So, according to (4.1), (4.4) and (4.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_k(t) - A(t)\|_{L(X)} &\leq \|A(\alpha_\ell) - A(\alpha_{\ell-1})\|_{L(X)} \left[\frac{t - \alpha_{\ell-1}}{\alpha_\ell - \alpha_{\ell-1}}\right] + \|A(\alpha_{\ell-1}) - A(t)\|_{L(X)} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \,. \end{aligned}$$

As k_0 was chosen independently of t, we can conclude that (2.6) is true.

Step 2. Analogously we can show that (2.7) is true, as well.

Step 3. By Lemma 4.2, (2.5) holds. Moreover, as A and A_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are continuous, the equations (1.2) and (1.1) have unique solutions by Theorem 2.1 and we can complete the proof using Theorem 2.2.

4.5. Notation. For given $f \in G([a, b], X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$\mathcal{U}_{k}^{+}(f) = \{t \in [a, b] : \|\Delta^{+}f(t)\|_{X} \ge \frac{1}{k}\}, \ \mathcal{U}_{k}^{-}(f) = \{t \in [a, b] : \|\Delta^{-}f(t)\|_{X} \ge \frac{1}{k}\},\$$
$$\mathcal{U}_{k}(f) = \mathcal{U}_{k}^{+}(f) \cup \mathcal{U}_{k}^{-}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(f) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_{k}(f).$$

(Thus, $\mathcal{U}(f)$ is the set of points of discontinuity of the function f in [a, b].) Analogous symbols are used also for operator valued function.

4.6 . Definition. Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f \in G([a, b], X)$ and let $\{P_k\}$ be a sequence of divisions of [a, b] such that

$$|P_k| = (1/2)^k$$
 for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (4.6)

We say that the sequence $\{A_k, f_k\}$ is a *piecewise linear approximation* (\mathcal{PL} -approximation) of (A, f) if there exists a sequence $\{D_k\} \subset \mathcal{D}[a, b]$ of divisions of the interval [a, b] such that

$$D_k \supset P_k \cup \mathcal{U}_k(A) \cup \mathcal{U}_k(f) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$(4.7)$$

and A_k, f_k are for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ defined by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.4).

4.7. Remark. Consider the case that dim $X < \infty$ and let $\{A_k, f_k\}$ be a \mathcal{PL} -approximation of (A, f). Then, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A_{k} \leq \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b} A$$
 and $\|f_{k}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$.

Furthermore, as A_k are continuous, due to (2.2), we have $c_{A_k} = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, (2.4) yields

$$\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b}(x_{k}-f_{k}) \leq \operatorname{var}_{a}^{b}A (\|\widetilde{x}\|_{X}+2\|f\|_{\infty}) \exp(\operatorname{var}_{a}^{b}A) < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and, by Helly's Theorem, there is a subsequence $\{k_\ell\}$ of $\mathbb N$ and $w\in G([a,b],X)$ and such that

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} (x_{k_\ell}(t) - f_{k_\ell}(t)) = w(t) - f(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].$$

In particular, $\lim_{\ell \to \infty} x_{k_{\ell}}(t) = w(t)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$ such that $\lim_{\ell \to \infty} f_{k_{\ell}}(t) = f(t)$.

In this context, it is worth mentioning that if the set $\mathcal{U}(f)$ has at most a finite number of elements, then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(t) = f(t) \quad \text{for all} \ t \in [a, b].$$

4.8. Definition. Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$, $f \in G([a, b], X)$ and $\tilde{x} \in X$. We say that $x^*: [a, b] \to X$ is a *sequential solution* to equation (1.2) on the interval [a, b] if there is a \mathcal{PL} -approximation $\{A_k, f_k\}$ of (A, f) such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t) = x^*(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [a, b]$$
(4.8)

holds for solutions $x_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the corresponding approximating initial value problems

$$x'_{k} = A'_{k}(t) x_{k} + f'_{k}(t), \quad x_{k}(a) = \widetilde{x}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (4.9)

4.9. Remark. Notice that, using the language of Definitions 4.6 and 4.8, we can translate Theorem 4.4 into the following form:

Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X)) \cap C([a, b], L(X))$, $f \in C([a, b], X)$ and $\tilde{x} \in X$. Then, equation (1.2) has a unique sequential solution x^* on [a, b] and x^* coincides on [a, b] with the solution of (1.2).

In the rest of this paper we consider the case when the set $\mathcal{U}(A) \cup \mathcal{U}(f)$ of discontinuities of A, f is non empty. We will start with the simplest case $\mathcal{U}(A) \cup \mathcal{U}(f) = \{b\}.$

The next natural assertion will be useful for our purposes and, in our opinion, it is not available in literature.

4.10. Lemma. Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$. Then

$$\lim_{s \to t^{-}} \frac{1}{t-s} \left(\int_{s}^{t} \exp\left(\left[A(t) - A(s) \right] \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) dr \right) \\
= \int_{0}^{1} \exp\left(\Delta^{-} A(t) \left(1-\sigma \right) \right) d\sigma \quad if \ t \in (a,b]$$
(4.10)

and

$$\lim_{s \to t+} \frac{1}{s-t} \left(\int_t^s \exp\left(\left[A(s) - A(t) \right] \frac{s-r}{s-t} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \\
= \int_0^1 \exp\left(\Delta^+ A(t) \left(1 - \sigma \right) \right) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \quad \text{if } t \in [a, b] \,.$$
(4.11)

where the integrals are the Bochner one.

Proof. (i) Let $t \in (a, b]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be given. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|A(t-) - A(s)\|_{L(X)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever} \quad t - \delta < s < t \,.$$

Now, taking into account that

$$|\exp(C\tau) - \exp(D\tau)||_{L(X)} \le ||C - D||_{L(X)} \exp\left((||C||_{L(X)} + ||D||_{L(X)})\tau\right)$$

holds for all $C, D \in L(X), \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, (cf. [22, Corollary 3.1.3]), we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{s}^{t} \left[\exp\left(\left[A(t) - A(s) \right] \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) - \exp\left(\Delta^{-} A(t) \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) \right] dr \right\|_{X} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t-s} \left\| A(t-) - A(s) \right\|_{L(X)} \int_{s}^{t} \exp\left(\varepsilon + 2 \left\| \Delta^{-} A(t) \right\|_{L(X)} \right) dr \\ &= \left\| A(t-) - A(s) \right\|_{L(X)} \exp\left(\varepsilon + 2 \left\| \Delta^{-} A(t) \right\|_{L(X)} \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \exp\left(1 + 2 \left\| \Delta^{-} A(t) \right\|_{L(X)} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad t-\delta < s < t \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{s \to t-} \frac{1}{t-s} \left(\int_s^t \exp\left(\left[A(t) - A(s) \right] \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right)$$
$$= \lim_{s \to t-} \frac{1}{t-s} \left(\int_s^t \exp\left(\Delta^- A(t) \frac{t-r}{t-s} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \quad \text{for } t \in (a,b].$$

Now, it is easy to see that the substitution $\sigma = 1 - \frac{t-r}{t-s}$ in the second integral yields (4.10).

(ii) Similarly we would justify the relation (4.11).

4.11. Lemma. Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$ and $f \in G([a, b], X)$ be continuous on [a, b). Let $\tilde{x} \in X$ and let x be a solution of (1.2) on [a, b). Then equation (1.2) has a unique sequential solution x^* on [a, b].

 \square

Moreover, x^* is continuous on [a,b), $x^* = x$ on [a,b) and $x^*(b) = v(1)$, where v is a solution on [0,1] of the initial value problem

$$v' = [\Delta^{-}A(b)] v + [\Delta^{-}f(b)], \quad v(0) = x(b-).$$
(4.12)

Proof. Let $\{A_k, f_k\}$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{PL} -approximation of (A, f) and let $\{D_k\}$ be the corresponding sequence of divisions of [a, b] fulfilling (4.6) and (4.7). Notice that, under our assumptions, $D_k = P_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\tau_k = \max\{t \in P_k; t < b\}.$$

By (4.3) we have $b - \frac{b-a}{2^k} \le \tau_k < b$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_k = b \,. \tag{4.13}$$

Now, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [a, b]$, let us define

$$\widetilde{A}_{k}(t) = \begin{cases} A_{k}(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, \tau_{k}], \\ A(\tau_{k}) + \frac{A(b-) - A(\tau_{k})}{b - \tau_{k}} (t - \tau_{k}) & \text{if } t \in (\tau_{k}, b], \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{f}_k(t) = \begin{cases} f_k(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, \tau_k], \\ f(\tau_k) + \frac{f(b-) - f(\tau_k)}{b - \tau_k} (t - \tau_k) & \text{if } t \in (\tau_k, b]. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, let

$$\widetilde{A}(t) = \begin{cases} A(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b), \\ A(b-) & \text{if } t = b, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b), \\ f(b-) & \text{if } t = b. \end{cases}$$
(4.14)

It is easy to see that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, \widetilde{A}_k \widetilde{f}_k are strongly absolutely continuous and differentiable a.e. on [a, b], $\widetilde{A} \in BV([a, b], L(X)) \cap C([a, b], L(X))$ and $\widetilde{f} \in C([a, b], X)$.

Step 1. Consider problems

$$y'_{k} = \widetilde{A}'_{k}(t) y_{k} + \widetilde{f}'_{k}(t), \quad y_{k}(a) = \widetilde{x}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad (4.15)$$

and

$$y(t) = \widetilde{x} + \int_{a}^{t} d[\widetilde{A}] y + \widetilde{f}(t) - \widetilde{f}(a).$$
(4.16)

Taking into account Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.9, we get that the equation (4.16) possesses a unique solution y on [a, b] and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|y_k - y\|_{\infty} = 0.$$
 (4.17)

where, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, y_k is the solution on [a, b] of (4.15).

Note that y is continuous on [a, b] and y = x on [a, b). Let $\{x_k\}$ be the sequence of solutions of problems (4.9) on [a, b]. We can see that $x_k = y_k$ on $[a, \tau_k]$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and, due to (4.13), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} y_k(t) = y(t) = x(t) \text{ for } t \in [a, b].$$
 (4.18)

Step 2. Next we will prove that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(\tau_k) = y(b) \,. \tag{4.19}$$

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\delta > 0$ be such that

$$\|y(t) - y(b)\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } t \in [b - \delta, b].$$

Further, by (4.17), there is a $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\tau_k \in [b-\delta, b)$$
 and $\|y_k - y\|_{\infty} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $k \ge k_0$.

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_k(\tau_k) - y(b)\|_X &\leq \|x_k(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k)\|_X + \|y(\tau_k) - y(b)\|_X \\ &= \|y_k(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k)\|_X + \|y(\tau_k) - y(b)\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \,. \end{aligned}$$

holds for $k \ge k_0$. This completes the proof of (4.19).

Step 3. On the intervals $[\tau_k, b]$, the equations from (4.9) reduce to the equations with constant coefficients

$$x'_{k} = B_{k} x_{k} + e_{k} , \qquad (4.20)$$

where

$$B_k = \frac{A(b) - A(\tau_k)}{b - \tau_k} \quad \text{and} \quad e_k = \frac{f(b) - f(\tau_k)}{b - \tau_k}$$

Their solutions x_k are on $[\tau_k, b]$ given by

$$x_k(t) = \exp\left(B_k\left(t-\tau_k\right)\right) x_k(\tau_k) + \left(\int_{\tau_k}^t \exp\left(B_k\left(t-r\right)\right) dr\right) e_k,$$

(cf. [5, Chapter II]). In particular,

$$x_k(b) = \exp\left(A(b) - A(\tau_k)\right) x_k(\tau_k) + \frac{1}{b - \tau_k} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^b \exp\left(\left[A(b) - A(\tau_k)\right] \frac{b - r}{b - \tau_k}\right) dr\right) \left[f_k(b) - f_k(\tau_k)\right].$$

By Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{b - \tau_k} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{b} \exp\left(\left[A(b) - A(\tau_k) \right] \frac{b - r}{b - \tau_k} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \left[f(b) - f(\tau_k) \right]$$
$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{b - \tau_k} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{b} \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b) \frac{b - r}{b - \tau_k} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right) \left[f(b) - f(\tau_k) \right]$$
$$= \left(\int_0^1 \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b) \left(1 - s \right) \right) \, \mathrm{d}s \right) \Delta^- f(b) \, .$$

To summarize,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(b) = \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b)\right) y(b) + \left(\int_0^1 \exp\left(\Delta^- A(b) \left(1-s\right)\right) \mathrm{d}s\right) \Delta^- f(b) \,,$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(b) = v(1) , \qquad (4.21)$$

where v is a solution to (4.12) on [0, 1].

Step 4. Define

$$x^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} y(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, b), \\ v(1) & \text{if } t = b. \end{cases}$$

Then $x^*(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t)$ for $t \in [a, b]$ due to (4.19) and (4.21). Therefore, x^* is a sequential solution of (1.2). Since it does not depend on the choice of the approximating sequence $\{A_k, f_k\}$, we can see that x^* is also the unique sequential solution of (1.2). This completes the proof.

4. Sequential solutions

The following assertion concerns a situation symmetric to that treated by Lemma 4.11. Similarly, to the proof of Lemma 4.11, we will deal with the modified equation

$$y(t) = \tilde{y} + \int_{a}^{t} d[\tilde{A}] y + \tilde{f}(t) - \tilde{f}(a), \qquad (4.22)$$

where $\widetilde{y} \in X$ and

$$\widetilde{A}(t) = \begin{cases} A(a+) & \text{if } t = a ,\\ A(t) & \text{if } t \in (a,b] \end{cases} \text{ and } \widetilde{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(a+) & \text{if } t = a ,\\ f(t) & \text{if } t \in (a,b] . \end{cases}$$
(4.23)

4.12. Lemma. Let $A \in BV([a, b], L(X))$ and $f \in G([a, b], X)$ be continuous on (a, b]. Then, for each $\tilde{x} \in X$, equation (1.2) has a unique sequential solution x^* on [a, b] and this sequential solution is continuous on (a, b].

Furthermore, let w be a solution of the initial value problem

$$w' = [\Delta^{+} A(a)] w + [\Delta^{+} f(a)], \quad w(0) = \tilde{x}$$
(4.24)

and let y be a solution on [a, b] of equation (4.22), where $\tilde{y} = w(1)$. Then x^* coincides with y on (a, b].

Proof. Let $\{A_k, f_k\}$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{PL} -approximation of (A, f) and let $\{D_k\}$ be the corresponding sequence of divisions of [a, b] fulfilling (4.1) and (4.2). As in the previous proof, $D_k = P_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

 $\tau_k = \min\{t \in P_k : t > a\}.$

By (4.3) we have $a + \frac{b-a}{2^k} \ge \tau_k > a$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_k = a$$

Let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence of solutions of the approximating initial value problems (4.9) on [a, b].

Step 1. On the intervals $[a, \tau_k]$, the equations from (4.9) reduce to equations (4.20) with the coefficients

$$B_k = \frac{A(\tau_k) - A(a)}{\tau_k - a}, \quad e_k = \frac{f(\tau_k) - f(a)}{\tau_k - a}.$$

Their solutions x_k are on $[a, \tau_k]$ given by

$$x_k(t) = \exp(B_k(t-a))\,\widetilde{x} + \left(\int_a^t \exp\left(B_k(t-r)\right)\,\mathrm{d}r\right)e_k\,,$$

(cf. [5, Chapter II]). In particular,

$$x_k(\tau_k) = \exp\left(A(\tau_k) - A(a)\right) \widetilde{x} + \frac{1}{\tau_k - a} \left(\int_a^{\tau_k} \exp\left(\left[A(\tau_k) - A(a)\right] \frac{\tau_k - r}{\tau_k - a}\right) dr \right) \left[f(\tau_k) - f(\tau_k)\right].$$

By Lemma 4.10, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau_k - a} \left(\int_a^{\tau_k} \exp\left(\left[A(\tau_k) - A(a) \right] \frac{\tau_k - r}{\tau_k - a} \right) \mathrm{d}r \right) \left[f(\tau_k) - f(a) \right]$$
$$= \left(\int_0^1 \exp(\Delta^+ A(a) (1 - s)) \mathrm{d}s \right) \Delta^+ f(a) \,.$$

Thus, $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k(\tau_k) = w(1)$, where w is the solution of (4.24) on [0, 1].

Step 2. Consider equation (4.22) with $\tilde{y} = w(1)$. By Theorem 2.1, it has a unique solution y on [a, b], y is continuous on [a, b] and, by an argument analogous to that used in Step 1 of the proof of Lemma 4.11, we can show that the relation

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k(t) = y(t) \quad \text{for} \ t \in (a, b]$$

is true.

Step 3. Analogously to Step 4 of the proof of lemma 4.11, we can complete the proof by showing that the function

$$x^*(t) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{x} & \text{if } t = a, \\ y(t) & \text{if } t \in (a, b], \end{cases}$$

is the unique sequential solution of (1.2).

4.13. Remark. Let us notice that if a < c < b and the functions x_1^* and x_2^* are respectively sequential solutions to

$$x(t) = \tilde{x}_1 + \int_a^t d[A] x + f(t) - f(a), \quad t \in [a, c]$$

and

 \square

$$x(t) = \tilde{x}_2 + \int_c^t d[A] x + f(t) - f(c), \quad t \in [c, b],$$

where $\widetilde{x}_2 = x_1^*(c)$, then the function

$$x^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} x_{1}^{*}(t) & \text{if } t \in [a, c], \\ x_{2}^{*}(t) & \text{if } t \in (c, b] \end{cases}$$

is a sequential solution to (1.2).

4.14. Theorem. Assume that $A \in BV([a, b], L(X)), f \in G([a, b], X)$ and

$$\mathcal{U}(A) \cup \mathcal{U}(f) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\} \subset [a, b].$$

Then, for each $\tilde{x} \in X$, there is exactly one sequential solution x^* of equation (1.2) on [a, b].

Moreover,

$$x^{*}(t) = w_{\ell}(1) + \int_{s_{\ell}}^{t} d[\widetilde{A}_{\ell}] x^{*} + \widetilde{f}_{\ell}(t) - \widetilde{f}_{\ell}(s_{\ell}) \text{ for } t \in [s_{\ell}, s_{\ell+1}), \ \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, m],$$
$$x^{*}(t) = v_{\ell}(1) \qquad \qquad \text{for } t = s_{\ell}, \ \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, m+1],$$

where $s_0 = a$, $s_{m+1} = b$, $w_0(1) = \tilde{x}$ and, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cap [0, m]$,

$$\widetilde{A}_{\ell}(t) = \begin{cases} A(s_{\ell}+) & \text{if } t = s_{\ell} ,\\ A(t) & \text{if } t \in (s_{\ell}, s_{\ell+1}] , \end{cases} \quad \widetilde{f}_{\ell}(t) = \begin{cases} f(s_{\ell}+) & \text{if } t = s_{\ell} ,\\ f(t) & \text{if } t \in (s_{\ell}, s_{\ell+1}] \end{cases}$$

and v_{ℓ} and w_{ℓ} respectively denote the solutions on [0,1] of initial value problems

$$v'_{\ell} = [\Delta^{-}A(s_{\ell})] v_{\ell} + [\Delta^{-}f(s_{\ell})], \quad v_{\ell}(0) = x^{*}(s_{\ell})$$

and

$$w'_{\ell} = [\Delta^+ A(s_{\ell})] w_{\ell} + [\Delta^+ f(s_{\ell})], \quad w_{\ell}(0) = x^*(s_{\ell}).$$

Proof. Having in mind Remark 4.13, we deduce the assertion of Theorem 4.14 by a successive use of Lemmas 4.11 and 4.12. To this aim it is sufficient to choose a division $D = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ of [a, b] such that for each subinterval $[\alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k], k = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, either the assumptions of Lemma 4.11 or the assumptions of Lemma 4.12 are satisfied with α_{k-1} in place of a and α_k in place of b.

References

- [1] AGARWAL R.P., BOHNER M., GRACE S.R. AND O'REGAN D.: Discrete oscillation theory. New York, NY: Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2005.
- [2] ASHORDIA M.: On the correctness of linear boundary value problems for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. Proceedings of the Georgian Academy of Sciences. Math. 1 (1993), No. 4, 385–394.
- [3] ASHORDIA M.: Criteria of correctness of linear boundary value problems for systems of generalized ordinary differential equations. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **46**(121) (1996), 385–404.
- [4] BAINOV D. AND SIMEONOV P.: Impulsive differential equations: periodic solutions and applications. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 66, Longman Scientific, Harlow, 1993.
- [5] DALECKII J. AND KREIN M.: Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974.
- [6] FEDERSON M. AND SCHWABIK Š.: Generalized ordinary differential equations approach to impulsive retarded functional differential equations. Differential and Integral Equations 19 (2006), 1201–1234.
- [7] FRAŇKOVÁ D.: Continuous dependence on a parameter of solutions of generalized differential equations. *Časopis pěst. mat.* **114** (1989) 230–261.
- [8] HALAS Z.: Continuous Dependence of Solutions of Generalized Linear Ordinary Differential Equations on a Parameter. *Math. Bohem.* **132** (2007) 205–218.
- [9] HALAS, Z. AND TVRDÝ, M.: Continuous dependence of solutions of generalized linear differential equations on a parameter. Funct. Differ. Equ. 16 (2009), 299–313.
- [10] HILDEBRANDT T.H.: On systems of linear differentio-Stieltjes integral equations. Illinois J. Math. 3 (1959) 352–373.
- [11] HÖNIG CH.S.: Volterra Stieltjes-Integral Equations. North Holland and American Elsevier, Mathematics Studies 16, Amsterdam and New York, 1975.
- [12] IMAZ C. AND VOREL Z.: Generalized ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces and applications to functional equations. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 11 (1966) 47–59.
- [13] KIGURADZE I. AND PŮŽA B.: Boundary Value Problems for Systems of Linear Functional Differential Equations. Folia Facultatis Scientiarium Naturalium Universitatis Masarykianae Brunensis. Mathematica, 12. Masaryk University, Brno, 2003.
- [14] KURZWEIL J.: Generalized ordinary differential equation and continuous dependence on a parameter. Czechoslovak Math. J. 7(82) (1957), 418–449.
- [15] KURZWEIL J.: Generalized ordinary differential equation. Czechoslovak Math. J. 8(83) (1958), 360–388.
- [16] KURZWEIL J.: Nichtabsolute konvergente Integrale. BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesselschaft, Leipzig, 1980.
- [17] MONTEIRO G. AND TVRDÝ M.: On Kurzweil-Stieltjes integral in Banach space. Math. Bohem., to appear. Preprint available from http://www.math.cas.cz/ ~tvrdy/gimi01.pdf.

- [18] MONTEIRO G. AND TVRDÝ M.: Generalized linear differential equations in a Banach space: Continuous dependence on a parameter. Preprint, Institute of Mathematics, AS CR, Prague, 2011-1-17. Updated version available from http://www.math.cas. cz/~tvrdy/gimi02.pdf.
- [19] OLIVA F. AND VOREL Z.: Functional equations and generalized ordinary differential equations. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 11 (1966), 40–46.
- [20] OPIAL Z.: Continuous Parameter Dependence in Linear Systems of Differential Equations. J. Differential Equations 3 (1967), 571-579.
- [21] PANDIT S.G. AND DEO S.G.: Differential Equations Involving Impulses. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 954, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [22] PAZY A.: Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations. Springer-Verlang, New York, 1983.
- [23] PELANT M.: On approximations of solutions of generalized differential equations (in Czech). PhD. thesis, Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics (Prague), 1997.
- [24] SAMOILENKO A.M. AND PERESTYUK A.N.: Impulsive differential equations. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science. Series A. 14. Singapore: World Scientific. 1995.
- [25] SCHWABIK S: Generalized Ordinary Differential Equations. World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [26] SCHWABIK S: Abstract Perron-Stieltjes integral. Math. Bohem. 121(1996), 425–447.
- [27] SCHWABIK S: Linear Stieltjes integral equations in Banach spaces. Math. Bohem. 124 (1999), 433–457.
- [28] SCHWABIK S: Linear Stieltjes integral equations in Banach spaces II; Operator valued solutions. Math. Bohem. **125** (2000), 431–454.
- [29] SCHWABIK Ś AND TVRDÝ M. AND VEJVODA O.: Differential and Integral Equations: Boundary Value Problems and Adjoint. Academia and D. Reidel, Praha and Dordrecht, 1979.
- [30] SCHWABIK Š. AND YE G.: Topics in Banach space Integration. World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.
- [31] TVRDÝ M.: On the continuous dependence on a parameter of solutions of initial value problems for linear generalized differential equations. *Funct.Differ.Equ.* 5 (1999), 483– 498.
- [32] TVRDÝ M.: Differential and Integral Equations in the Space of Regulated Functions. Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys. 25 (2002), 1–104.
- [33] ZAVALISHCHIN S.G. AND SESEKIN A.N.: Dynamic impulse systems: theory and applications. Mathematics and its Applications (Dordrecht). 394. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1997.

Zdeněk Halas, Department of Mathematics Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University in Prague, CZ 186 75 PRAGUE, Sokolovská 83, Czech Republic (e-mail: Zdenek.Halas@mff.cuni.cz), Giselle Antunes Monteiro, Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e Computação, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970, São Carlos, SP, Brasil (e-mail: gam@icmc.usp.br),

Milan Tvrdý, Mathematical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, CZ 115 67 Praha 1, Žitná 25, Czech Republic (e-mail: tvrdy@math.cas.cz)