

Characterization of the bacterial and fungal bioaerosols in three elementary schools in Kaohsiung

Wang. MC¹, Mena. KD² and Chen. PS^{1*}

¹Department of Public Health, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

²Epidemiology, Human Genetics, and Environmental Sciences, School of Public Health, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston

Keywords: fungi, bacteria, elementary school.
Presenting author email: asdf800525@hotmail.com

Introduction

Recently, indoor air quality (IAQ) including bioaerosols at school had drawn much attention by people. In South Taiwan, the average temperature and total cumulative rainfall in 2009 is 23.7°C and 1756.3 mm. It's a great environment for fungi and bacteria. Therefore, we tried to characterize the distribution of the fungal and bacterial bioaerosols in classrooms in three elementary schools in Kaohsiung and their determine factors.

Materials and Methods

Three primary schools, industrial, traffic, and reference school, were selected to assess PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁ concentration. The distance between EPA's Monitoring Station and industrial, traffic, and reference school, is about 300 m, 0 m, and 537 m, respectively.

Nine classrooms of each school to a total number of 62 classrooms was evaluated both indoor and outdoor during April 13th to Jun 13th and September 18th to October 16th, 2009. Bacterial and fungal bioaerosols were sampled at 8 am and 3 pm (MAS-100; MERCK, USA) and cultivated in Tryptic soy agar (TSA) and Malt extract agar (MEA), respectively. Colony-Forming Unit (CFU) after 24hr and 48hr were counted for bacteria and fungi, respectively.

Results and Discussion

The average concentration of bacterial bioaerosols

Inside classrooms in traffic, industry, and reference school was 960±492 CFU/m³ (mean±S.D), 879±1042 CFU/m³, 1769±1545 CFU/m³, respectively, whereas the average concentration of bacterial bioaerosols outside classrooms was 640±394 CFU/m³, 296.5±111 CFU/m³, 940.6±1026 CFU/m³, respectively (table1). It was shown that indoor concentration was higher than the outdoor concentration in most cases. The percentage of higher indoor bacterial concentration was 80%, 66.67%, and 77.78% in traffic, industry, and reference school, respectively. Table2 showed the I/O ratio of bacterial bioaerosols. The average I/O ratio of three schools was all higher than 1. It may be affected by whether the classrooms near the restrooms and stairs. For fungal bioaerosols, the average indoor concentration at traffic, industry, and reference school was 505±248 CFU/m³ (mean±S.D), 323±156 CFU/m³ and 1658±1258 CFU/m³, respectively, whereas the average concentration

of bacterial bioaerosols outside classrooms was 473±309, 283±159, 1510±799 (table1), respectively.

Similar trend was observed for both fungi and bacteria. The percentage of the higher indoor fungal bioaerosols at traffic, industry, and reference school, was 75%, 30%, and 66.67% respectively. Table2 Showed the I/O ratio of fungal bioaerosols. In comparison with the guideline value of IAQ in Taiwan, the the rate of classroom above the standard of bacterial bioaerosols at traffic, industry, and reference school was 19.05%, 0%, and 28.57%, respectively, and the failure rate of fungal bioaerosols was 9.52%, 5%, and 85.17%, respectively.

Table1. The Descriptive statistics and the rate of classroom exceed the guideline of Taiwan EPA

| | | Mean | Median | Std. | Min. | Max. | Above the standard |
|---|-----------|------|--------|------|------|------|--------------------|
| Indoor bacteria (CFU/m³) | Traffic | 960 | 713 | 492 | 286 | 1993 | 90% |
| | Industry | 879 | 493 | 1042 | 241 | 4293 | 45% |
| | Reference | 1769 | 1123 | 1545 | 218 | 5650 | 85.7% |
| Outdoor bacteria (CFU/m³) | Traffic | 640 | 582 | 394 | 149 | 1608 | |
| | Industry | 296 | 270 | 111 | 160 | 533 | |
| | Reference | 940 | 640 | 1026 | 170 | 4949 | |
| Indoor fungi (CFU/m³) | Traffic | 505 | 435 | 248 | 171 | 945 | 0% |
| | Industry | 323 | 319 | 156 | 81 | 636 | 0% |
| | Reference | 1658 | 1353 | 1258 | 475 | 5725 | 66.7% |
| Outdoor fungi (CFU/m³) | Traffic | 473 | 416 | 309 | 128 | 1396 | |
| | Industry | 283 | 230 | 159 | 67 | 674 | |
| | Reference | 1510 | 1230 | 799 | 377 | 3860 | |

Table2. I/O ratio of traffic, industry, and reference school in the morning and afternoon

| | School(N) | Morning | | | | | Afternoon | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|--------|------|------|------|-----------|--------|------|------|------|
| | | Mean | Median | Std. | Min. | Max. | Mean | Median | Std. | Min. | Max. |
| Bacteria | Traffic (21) | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 4.6 | 0.3 | 21.2 |
| | Industry (20) | 3.5 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 25.8 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 3.7 |
| | Reference (21) | 3.9 | 2.59 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 22.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 4.9 |
| Fungi | Traffic (21) | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| | Industry (20) | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 16.0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 14.7 |
| | Reference (21) | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.14 | 0.1 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 2.7 |