## Physiological Research Pre-Press Article

1	Effect of Continuous Passive Motion Initiated After the Onset of Arthritis on Inflammation
2	and Secondary Hyperalgesia in Rats
3	Running title: The effect of CPM on hyperalgesia in rats
4	Koji Nakabayashi <sup>1</sup> , Junya Sakamoto <sup>2</sup> , Hideki Kataoka <sup>3</sup> , Yasutaka Kondo <sup>4</sup> , Yohei Hamaue <sup>5</sup> ,
5	Yuichiro Honda <sup>6</sup> , Jiro Nakano <sup>2</sup> , Minoru Okita <sup>1</sup>
6	1. Department of Locomotive Rehabilitation Science, Unit of Rehabilitation Sciences,
7	Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Japan.
8	2. Department of Physical Therapy Science, Unit of Physical and Occupational Therapy
9	Sciences, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Japan
10	3. Department of Rehabilitation, Nagasaki Memorial Hospital, Japan
11	4. Department of Rehabilitation, Japanese Red Cross Nagasaki Atomic Bomb Hospital,
12	Japan
13	5. Department of Rehabilitation, Juzenkai Hospital, Japan
14	6. Department of Rehabilitation, Nagasaki University Hospital, Japan
15	Corresponding author: Junya Sakamoto
16	Address: 1-7-1 Sakamoto Nagasaki, 852-8520 Japan.
17	TEL & FAX: +81-95-819-7964
18	E-mail: jun-saka@nagasaki-u.ac.jp

19 Summary

20This study investigated the effect of continuous passive motion (CPM) initiated after 21the onset of arthritis in rats. Rats were injected with 3% kaolin/carrageenan in the 22knee joint and randomized to the control, immobilization (IM), or CPM group. The 23knee joints of the IM and CPM groups were immobilized with a cast for 56 days. In the 24CPM group, CPM exercise was administered for 60 min/day (6 times/week). Joint 25transverse diameter and pressure pain threshold (PPT) were assessed as indicators of 26inflammation, and paw withdrawal response (PWR) was assessed as indicator of 27secondary hyperalgesia. Central sensitization was analyzed by measuring calcitonin 28gene-related peptide (CGRP) expression levels in the spinal dorsal horn. In the CPM 29group, the PPT was significantly increased compared with the IM group from 14 to 35 30days, and PWR was significantly decreased from 14 to 56 days. Additionally, CGRP 31expression in the super facial layer (I-II) of the spinal dorsal horn (L4-5) in the CPM 32group was significantly decreased compared with the IM group. Our study found the 33CPM initiated after the onset of arthritis promoted the recovery of inflammation and 34mitigated secondary hyperalgesia. Key words 35

<sup>36</sup> Arthritis; Inflammation; Continuous passive motion; Immobilization; Hyperalgesia37

39 Introduction

40Acute pain is associated with inflammation evoked by trauma, surgical procedures, 41and arthritis. It is ordinarily thought that acute pain is time dependent because 42inflammation gradually decreases until the growth phase of tissue healing. However, 43in the case of severe and prolonged tissue damage, the sustained activity of primary 44afferent fibers induces peripheral sensitization, which increases the efficacy of 45synaptic transmission between primary afferent fibers and dorsal horn neurons, a 46process referred to as central sensitization. Severe and long-lasting noxious stimulation from peripheral tissue is a risk factor for chronic pain (Radhakrishnan et 47*al.* 2003). 4849Resting the affected side by immobilization using plaster cast or brace is useful for 50restoring tissue damage and is widely used for medical treatment. However, immobilization can cause muscle weakness (Booth 1977) and joint contracture (Honda 5152et al. 2015). Moreover, recent studies have suggested that immobilization may cause 53hyperalgesia with central sensitization in the spinal cord (Terkelsen et al. 2008, 54Hamaue et al. 2013). Terkelsen et al. (2008) observed pain induced by transient 55movement and mechanical hypersensitivity in the distal forearm of human subjects 56after 4 weeks of cast immobilization. Hamaue et al. (2013) demonstrated that cast 57immobilization produces a time-dependent increase in mechanical hyperalgesia and 58that calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) expression in the deeper lamina layer of

61during the acute phase of inflammation. 62Previously, it has been demonstrated that exercise has an analgesic effect and prevents 63 development of chronic pain (Stagg et al. 2011, Detloff et al. 2014). In an experimental 64animal model, the use of intensive training using forced exercise wheel walking system 65after spinal cord injury can prevent the development of neuropathic pain (Detloff et al. 66 2014). Aerobic exercise training has been shown to reduce hyperalgesia following 67 injury (Stagg et al. 2011). However, the biological effects of passive joint motion on 68 pain have not yet been reported. Continuous passive motion (CPM) is a treatment 69protocol that is used to manage the ROM after joint surgery and/or treatment of the 70inflamed joint. CPM has biological effect on the healing and regeneration of articular 71tissues with better histologic properties compared to immobilization (Salter et al. 721981). Additionally, the expression of IL-16 and COX-2 decreases with CPM in rat 73meniscal chondrocytes immediately following inflammation whereas the expression of 74IL-10 increases (Ferretti et al. 2005). These results explained the molecular basis of 75the beneficial effect of CPM when applied during the acute phase of joint inflammation.

the spinal dorsal horn increases in rats that have been immobilized for 8 weeks. These

findings suggest that it is necessary to keep the affected limb as active as possible

59

60

76 Therefore, we hypothesized that CPM has a positive effect on the reduction of acute

77 pain originating from inflammation and alleviating the development of secondary

78 hyperalgesia. This study aimed to examine the effect of CPM that was initiated after

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

81 Animals 82 The Ethics Review Committee for Animal Experimentation at the authors' current 83 institution approved all experiments. Male Wistar rats (8 weeks old, Kyudo, Saga, 84 Japan) were used for the experiments. 85 All rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (40 mg/kg, i.p.), and 86 subsequently given a single 300-µL injection of a mixture of 3% kaolin and 3% 87 carrageenan (Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, USA) into the right knee joint anteriorly, 88 and then randomly divided into the following 3 groups: (1) control (n = 8), (2) 89immobilization (IM; n = 9), and (3) immobilization with CPM (CPM; n = 8). The rats in 90the control group were untreated. All rats were housed in a 12-h light/dark cycle. 91Behavioral testing was usually done between 9 AM and 5 PM. Food and water were 92available ad libitum. All treatments mentioned below were administered under 93pentobarbital sodium anesthesia (40 mg/kg). 94Immobilization 95The right knee joints of rat in the IM and CPM group were immobilized in full

the onset of arthritis on inflammation and secondary hyperalgesia in a rat model.

79

80

Methods

96 extension, the ankle joints were immobilized in full planter flexion using a plaster cast,

97 whereas the left leg remained free. The plaster cast was wrapped from the pelvic area

98 to the right distal foot while their right hind limbs were kept ramrod-straight. The

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

99plaster cast was replaced at least every 2 or 3 days to prevent loosening and edema in 100 the hind paw. The rats were able to move freely in the cage by using the three limbs 101 that were not immobilized. 102Range of Motion on Knee Joint Flexion 103 ROM was measured with a goniometer. It was defined as the angle of a straight line 104connecting the great trochanter and the center of the knee joint to a line connecting 105the center of the knee joint and the malleolus lateralis of the fibula when the knee was 106flexed passively under a tensile force of 0.3 N using a spring scale, as described 107previously (Honda et al. 2015). 108 **Continuous Passive Motion** 109In previous studies, CPM for 60 minutes once a day provided better pain response in 110patients with a frozen shoulder (Dundar et al. 2009). CPM treatment for 6 days per 111 week increased the active ROM of patient after total knee arthroplasty (Lau and Chiu 1122001). In this study, CPM was applied for 60 min, once a day, 6 days a week for 56 days 113from the day after injection. The knee joints were flexed to an angle equivalent to the

114 angle recorded at maximum flexion, at an angular velocity of 10 degrees/s using a

115 mechanical ankle stretcher (Sakai Iryo, Osaka, Japan). ROM was adjusted in each

116 individual rat using the above-mentioned method. Following completion of daily

117 treatment, right knee joints were re-immobilized with a plaster cast.

118 Knee Joint Swelling

7

119In order to follow the changes in joint swelling over time, we measured the transverse 120diameter of the right knee joint using a manual caliper. Rats were placed individually 121in a homemade restrainer (Nakano et al. 2012) so that loading on the right hind limb 122was avoided and the knee joint was held in the maximum extended position. 123Pressure Pain Threshold in the Knee Joint 124The pressure pain threshold (PPT) of the inflamed knee joint was assessed using a 125Randall-Selitto apparatus (Ugo Basile, Varese, Italy). Rats were lightly restrained by 126hand. The rounded tip of the transducer probe (base diameter = 9 mm) was applied to 127the lateral side of the knee joint with linearly increasing pressure (48 g/s). The 128threshold was defined as the force required for eliciting the hind limb flexion reflex or 129vocalization. Five measurements were taken at intervals of at least 5 minutes, and the 130average of three measurements (excluding the maximum and minimum) was recorded 131as the PPT.

132 Paw Withdrawal Response

Mechanical hyperalgesia of the hind paw was tested with von Frey filaments. The animals were placed individually in a homemade restrainer mentioned above. This technique was employed because ROM limitations of the hip, knee, and ankle joint prevented the immobilized rats from placing their right hind paws on the ground. After removing the plaster cast, the rats were allowed to acclimate for 20 min before testing. The glabrous skin of the hind paw was probed 10 times using 4 g and 15 g von Frey filaments (VFFs; North Coast Medical, Morgan Hill, CA, USA) every 10 s. Lifting or pulling back of the paw or vocalization was counted as the paw withdrawal response (PWR) by a single experimenter. The 4 g and 15 g filaments were used to ascertain mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia, respectively (Peleshok and Riberio-da-Silva,

144Analysis of Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide in the Spinal Dorsal Horn

139

140

141

142

143

2011).

145In this study, we focused on the expression of CGRP in the spinal dorsal horn, which

146may play a role in central sensitization (Kangrga and Randic 1990).

147At the end of the immobilization period, all the rats were anesthetized. The spinal cord

148(L2-3, L4-5) was removed following the transcardial perfusion of saline and 4%

149paraformaldehyde dissolved in a 0.1 M phosphate-buffer (PB; pH. 7.4). The tissue was

150soaked for 24 h in 10% sucrose dissolved in PB, followed by 24 h in 20% sucrose

151dissolved in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; ph7.4). Spinal cord frozen sections

152(10 µm) were cut with a cryostat. In order to inhibit endogenous peroxidases, the

153sections were incubated for 30 min at room temperature with 0.3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dissolved in

154methanol. Next, sections were blocked for 20 min with 5% bovine serum albumin

dissolved in PBS, followed by incubation with an anti-CGRP polyclonal antibody (1:500 155

156rabbit; ImmunoStar Inc. Hudson, WI, USA) overnight at 4 °C. Subsequently, they were

157incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to Texas Red® (1:600, Vector labs, CA,

158USA) for 1 h at room temperature. Quantitative evaluation of the calcitonin

159	gene-related peptide (CGRP) expression in the ipsilateral dorsal horn was performed
160	using image-analysis software (NIS-Element Ver.3, Nikon Instruments Inc., NY, USA).
161	The spinal dorsal horn was divided into the superficial (lamina I-II) and deeper layers
162	(lamina III-VI), according to previously described criteria (Molander <i>et al.</i> 1984). The
163	intensity of CGRP expression reflected the quantity of fluorescence observed in the
164	superficial (lamina I-II) and deeper (lamina III-VI) layers of the spinal dorsal horn in 5
165	sections per tissue.
166	Statistical Analysis
167	All data are presented as mean $\pm$ SE. Differences between groups were assessed using
168	the one-way analysis of variance followed by Fisher's protected least significant
169	difference post hoc test. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05.
170	Results
171	Changes in Knee Joint Swelling
172	The ipsilateral knee joint transverse diameter increased significantly 1 day after
173	injection in all groups compared to baseline. There were no significant differences in
174	the diameter among the groups at day 1 (Fig. 1). In the IM group, there were no
175	significant differences at each testing point compared to the control group. Similarly,
176	there was no significant difference between the CPM and control groups except at 56
177	days after injection.
178	Pressure Pain Threshold

179The PPT 1 day after injection was significantly lower in all groups compared to 180baseline (Fig. 2). In the control group, the PPT was recovered 14 days after injection. 181 The PPT in the IM group was significantly decreased compared to the control group at 18214 days after injection and then remained steady until 56 days after injection. In 183contrast, there were no significant differences between the control and CPM groups 184until 21 days after injection, at which point a significant increase was found compared 185with the IM group from 14 to 35 days after injection. However, the PPT in the CPM 186group significantly decreased compared with the control group on or after day 28. 187Moreover, there were no significant differences between the IM and CPM groups on or 188after day 42.

189 Paw Withdrawal Threshold

190The PWR of all rats, as measured by 4 g von Frey filaments for mechanical allodynia, 191significantly increased on the 1 day after injection compared to the baseline (Fig. 3A). 192In the IM group, a significant increase in the PWR was found from 21 to 56 days after 193injection compared to the control group. In contrast, the PWR in the CPM group was 194not significantly different from that of the control group, except at 21 and 42 days after 195injection. As measured by 15 g von Frey filaments for mechanical hyperalgesia, a 196remarkable increase in the PWR was identified in the IM group at 14 days after 197injection, which persisted for 42 days (Fig. 3B). The PWR of the CPM group was 198significantly decreased 14 to 56 days after injection, and no significant difference was

199 confirmed for 35 days following injection, when compared to the control group.

## 200 Expression of CGRP in the Spinal Dorsal Horn

201The CGRP immune response in the deep layer of the ipsilateral dorsal horn (L2-3) was 202greater in the IM and CPM groups compared to the control group (Fig. 4A). CGRP 203expression intensity analysis revealed no significant differences among the three groups in the superficial layer (laminae I - II) (Fig. 4C). Although CGRP expression 204205intensity was significantly higher in the IM and CPM groups compared to the control group in the deep layer (laminae III - VI) (Fig. 4D), there were no significant 206207differences between these two groups. In the superficial layer of the ipsilateral dorsal 208horn (L4-5), the CGRP expression intensity of the IM group was significantly higher 209than that of the control group (Fig. 4B). However, there were no significant differences 210between the control and CPM groups (Fig. 4E). Although the intensity of the IM and 211the CPM groups was significantly higher than that of the control group in the deep 212layer of the dorsal horn, no significant differences were noted between the IM and the CPM groups (Fig. 4F). 213

214 ROM on Knee Joint Flexion

ROM of right knee flexion was decreased in all groups on the first day after injection.
In the control group, this change was transient (Fig. 5). ROM in the IM and CPM
groups continued to decrease until 56 days after injection. However, ROM in the CPM
group significantly increased compared to the IM group from 3 to 56 days after

219 injection.

220 Discussion

221The current study examined the effects of CPM that was initiated after the onset of 222arthritis on inflammation and pain-related behavior in rats. In previous studies, the 223carrageenan model was commonly used for experimental joint inflammation (Okamoto 224et al. 1999, Radhakrishnan et al. 2003) because of plasma extravasation after the 225release of neuropeptides (Lam and Ferrell 1993) and other inflammatory mediators, 226such as prostaglandins (Nantel et al. 1999), and bradykinin (Birrell et al. 1993)). These 227noxious chemicals sensitize primary afferent fibers resulting in primary and secondary 228hyperalgesia (Schaible et al. 1988, Radhakrishnan et al. 2003). Therefore, this 229arthritis model is favorable for the examination of the effects of CPM on inflammation and secondary hyperalgesia. 230231In the present study, the results of knee joint transverse diameter and PPT at 1 day 232after injection indicated that injection of carrageenan produced acute inflammation 233and primary hyperalgesia in the affected joint. Moreover, these results indicated that 234injection produced the same level of arthritis in all animals. 235The PPT in the control group increased to a level higher than the baseline after day 21. 236Previous study reported that the increase of the PPT in rats was associated with the 237alteration of their body weight gain (Luis-Delgado et al. 2006). In this study, the rats of

238 the control group gained their weight gradually with aging (date are not shown).

239Therefore, the PPT in the control group increased with the growth of the rat. The PPT 240in the IM group was significantly decreased compared to the control group at 14 days 241after injection, which indicates that immobilization after induction of inflammation 242prolongs the recovery of mechanical hyperalgesia. In contrast, a significant increase in 243the PPT was confirmed in the CPM group compared to the IM group. Additionally, 244there were no significant differences between the CPM and control groups. Our 245findings revealed that CPM initiated after the onset of arthritis promotes the recovery 246of inflammatory-induced primary hyperalgesia. The CPM performed immediately after 247the induction of knee joint inflammation inhibited the expression of inflammatory 248cytokine and induced the expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine (Ferretti et al. 2492005). In this study, CPM of inflamed joints may have evoked a beneficial biological 250reaction that promoted the recovery of primary mechanical hyperalgesia. A significant 251decrease in the PPT was sustained in the IM group until day 56. Beginning 28 days 252after injection, the PPT in the CPM group was significantly decreased compared to the 253control group. In addition, there were no significant differences in the PPT between 254the IM and CPM groups from 42 to 56 days after injection. The knee joint 255immobilization for 6 week enhanced the medial articular nerve activity in rabbits 256during rest and knee joint motion to levels similar to those found in inflamed knee 257joints (Okamoto et al. 1999). Immobilization of the rat forelimb for 4 week produced

mechanical allodynia that was related to plastic changes in the dorsal horn neuron

258

13

(Ushida and Willis 2001). Our results showed that long-lasting immobilization negated
the beneficial effects of CPM and produced hyperalgesia derived from plastic changes
in the neurons.

262Although the CGRP expression intensity in the deep layer (laminae III - VI) of L2-3 263was significantly higher in the IM and CPM groups compared to the control group, 264there were no significant differences between these two treatment groups. It is known 265that CGRP increases the discharge frequency of WDR neurons in the dorsal horn, 266which is blocked by the CGRP receptor antagonist CGRP8-37 (Yan et al. 2004). This 267indicates that increased CGRP expression in the spinal dorsal horn reduces the pain 268threshold through activation of WDR neurons, which are distributed in the deep layer 269of the spinal dorsal horn. Therefore, we considered that the decrease in PPT in the IM 270and CPM groups, at least after 56 days, was induced by central sensitization. 271In this study, sensitivity of the hind paw was tested with 4 g von Frey filaments for 272mechanical allodynia and 15 g filaments for mechanical hyperalgesia. Allodynia is 273defined as a pain due to a stimulus that does not normally provoke pain, and 274hyperalgesia is an increased response to a stimulus which is normally painful. The 275increased PWR at 1 day after injection in all groups demonstrated mechanical 276allodynia and hyperalgesia in locations distal from an inflamed joint. Injection of 277carrageenan into deep tissues activates the dorsal horn neurons causing central 278sensitization (Neugebauer and Schaible 1990). Central sensitization is usually

279	observed in the areas adjacent to the injury and sometimes in distal locations
280	(Radhakrishnan et al. 2003), manifested as secondary hyperalgesia (Sluka and
281	Westlund 1993). In the IM group, mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia in the hind
282	paw were sustained until 56 days after injection. In contrast, in the CPM group,
283	mechanical allodynia in the hind paw was not seen from 49 to 56 days. Moreover,
284	mechanical hyperalgesia was not seen until 35 days after injection. This may indicate
285	that CPM reduces mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia in arthritis and may inhibit
286	central sensitization in the spinal dorsal horn. However, after 42 days injection,
287	secondary hyperalgesia in the CPM group were confirmed, which was mild compared to
288	that of the IM group. Previous study demonstrated that eight-week joint
289	immobilization induced hyperalgesia to mechanical stimulation associated with
290	central sensitization in the spinal cord (Hamaue <i>et al.</i> 2013). This may influence the
291	decrease in the pain threshold in the CPM group. Therefore, secondary hyperalgesia in
292	the IM and CPM groups is caused by inflammation or immobilization.
293	In the superficial layer (laminae I-II) of the spinal dorsal horn in L4-5, CGRP
294	expression intensity was significantly higher in the IM group compared to the control
295	group, whereas no significant differences were seen between the control and CPM
296	groups. Although CGRP expression intensity in the deep layer (laminae III-VI) of the
297	spinal dorsal horn was significantly increased in the IM and CPM groups compared to
298	the control group, there were no significant differences between the treatment groups.

299CGRP released into the superficial layer (laminae I-II) of the spinal dorsal horn 300 induces hypersensitivity via the increased release of substance P and other 301neuropeptides (Kangrga and Randic 1990, Sun et al. 2004). Our results indicate that 302CPM inhibits the central sensitization induced by immobilization during the acute 303 phase of arthritis. This is the one of the reasons why mechanical hyperalgesia in the 304 CPM group was mild compared to the IM group. It was found that the mobilization of 305the inflamed knee joints of rats at 4 weeks increased the mechanical withdrawal 306 threshold (Sluka et al. 2006). The authors discussed the possibility of the involvement 307 of non-opioid pathways in the descending inhibition using serotonin and noradrenaline 308 to produce analgesia. In the current study, descending inhibition may affect the decrease in secondary hyperalgesia. 309

310One day after injection, the ROM was significantly decreased compared to those at 311baseline in all groups, with significantly increased ipsilateral knee joint transverse 312diameter, which indicated knee joint swelling by acute inflammation. Increases in the 313synovial fluid induced an increase in the intra-articular pressure and a decrease in the 314joint angle (Wood et al. 1988). Therefore, the limitations in ROM on 1 day after 315injection can be attributed to the development of swelling of the knee joint. The ROM 316in the IM and CPM groups was significantly decreased from 3 to 56 days after injection 317compared to the control group. The time-dependent limitation in ROM in the IM and 318 CPM groups was induced by cast immobilization, which is derived from myogenic

320 CPM group was significantly increased compared to the IM group. These results
321 suggest that CPM is useful for the management, not only of hyperalgesia, but also of
322 ROM limitations.

(Honda et al. 2015) and arthrogenic changes (Akeson et al. 1973). The ROM in the

319

323In summary, CPM initiated after the onset of arthritis promotes the recovery of 324inflammatory primary hyperalgesia and prevents the development of secondary 325hyperalgesia by decreasing CGRP expression in the superficial layer of the spinal 326dorsal horn. Additionally, CPM can inhibit progression of the immobilization-induced 327joint contracture. Therefore, we believe that CPM initiated after the onset of arthritis 328is beneficial for acute and chronic pain management. However, this study has some 329limitations. First, this research evaluated the knee joint transverse diameter and 330pressure pain threshold according to the severity of arthritis. In order to clarify the 331effects of CPM on inflammation, alteration of inflammatory cytokines and histological 332changes in the synovium must be quantified from the acute phase. Second, we only 333examined the expression of CGRP in the spinal dorsal horn. However, there are many 334factors involved in the central sensitization such as activation of the glial cell and 335expression of other neurotransmitters, substance P, nitric oxide, and glutamate. 336Additionally, the analysis of CGRP was performed with only experimental endpoints. 337In order to elucidate the mechanism of central sensitization and biological mechanism 338 of CPM for decreased primary and secondary hyperalgesia, further research is

necessary

- 340 Conflict of Interest
- 341 There is no conflict of interest.
- 342 Acknowledgements
- 343 The JSPS KAKEN Grants-in-Aid for scientific research (B) Number 24300193 of the
- 344 Japan Society for the Promotion of Science supported this research study.
- 345 References
- 346 AKESON WH, WOO SL, AMIEL D, COUTTS RD, DANIEL D: The connective tissue
- 347 response to immobility: biochemical changes in periarticular connective tissue of the
- immobilized rabbit knee. Clin Orthop Relat Res 93: 356-362, 1973.
- 349 BIRREL GJ, MCQUEEN DS, IGGO A, GRUBB BD: Prostanoid-induced potentiation of
- 350 the excitatory and sensitizing effects of bradykinin on articular mechanonociceptors in
- 351 the rat ankle joint. *Neuroscience* **54**: 537-544, 1993.
- 352 BOOTH FW: Time course of muscular atrophy during immobilization of hindlimbs in
- 353 rats. JAppl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol 43: 656-661, 1977.
- 354 DETLOFF MR, SMITH EJ, QUIROS MOLINA D, GANZER PD, HOULE JD: Acute
- 355 exercise prevents the development of neuropathic pain and the sprouting of
- 356 non-peptidergic (GDNF- and artemin-responsive) c-fibers after spinal cord injury. *Exp*
- 357 Neurol 255: 38-48, 2014.
- 358 DUNDAR U, TOKTAS H, CAKIR T, EVICK D, KAVUNCU V: Continuous passive

- 19
- motion provides good pain control in patients with adhesive capsulitis. Int J Rehabil *Res* 32: 193-198, 2009.
- 361 FERRETTI M, SRINIVASAN A, DESCHNER J, GASSNER R, BALIKO F, PIESCO N,
- 362 SALTER R, AGARWAL S: Anti-inflammatory effects of continuous passive motion on
- 363 meniscal fibrocartilage. J Orthop Res 23: 1165-1171, 2005.
- 364 HAMAUE Y, NAKANO J, SEKINO Y, CHUGANJI S, SAKAMOTO J, YOSHIMURA T,
- 365 ORIGUCHI T, OKITA M: Immobilization-induced hypersensitivity associated with
- $_{366}$  spinal cord sensitization during cast immobilization and after cast removal in rats. J
- 367 *Physiol Sci* **63**: 401-408, 2013.
- 368 HONDA Y, SAKAMOTO J, NAKANO J, KATAOKA H, SASABE R, GOTO K, TANAKA
- 369 M, ORIGUCHI T, YOSHIMURA T, OKITA M: Upregulation of
- 370 interleukin-16/transforming growth factor-81 and hypoxia relate to molecular
- 371 mechanisms underlying immobilization-induced muscle contracture. *Muscle Nerve* 52:
  372 419-427, 2015.
- 373 KANGRGA I, RANDIC M: Tachykinins and calcitonin gene-related peptide enhance
- 374 release of endogenous glutamate and aspartate from the rat spinal dorsal horn slice. J
- 375 *Neurosci* **10**: 2026-2038, 1990.
- 376 LAM FY, FERRELL WR: Acute inflammation in the rat knee joint attenuates
- 377 sympathetic vasoconstriction but enhances neuropeptide-mediated vasodilatation
- assessed by laser Doppler perfusion imaging. *Neuroscience* **52**: 443-449, 1993.

- 379 LAU SK, CHIU KY: Use of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty. J
  380 Arthroplasty 16: 336-339, 2001.
- 381 LUIS-DELGADO OE, BARROT M, RODEAU JL, SCHOTT G, BENBOUZID M,
- 382 POISBEAU P, FREUND-MERCIER MJ, LASBENNES F: Calibrated forceps: a
- sensitive and reliable tool for pain and analgesia studies. *J Pain* 7: 32-39, 2006.
- 384 MOLANDER C, XU Q, GRANT G: The cytoarchitectonic organization of the spinal cord
- in the rat. I. The lower thoracic and lumbosacral cord. *J Comp Neurol* 230: 133-141,
- 386 1984.
- 387 NAKANO J, SEKINO Y, HAMAUE Y, SAKAMOTO J, YOSHIMURA T, ORIGUCHI T,
- 388 OKITA M: Changes in hind paw epidermal thickness, peripheral nerve distribution
- and mechanical sensitivity after immobilization in rats. *Physiol Res* **61**: 643-647, 2012.
- 390 NANTEL F, DENIS D, GORDON R, NORTHEY A, CIRINO M, METTERS KM, CHAN
- 391 CC: Distribution and regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 in carrageenan-induced
- 392 inflammation. Br J Pharmacol 128: 853-859, 1999.
- 393 NEUGEBAUER V, SCHAIBLE HG: Evidence for a central component in the
- 394 sensitization of spinal neurons with joint input during development of acute arthritis
- 395 in cat's knee. *J Neurophysiol* **64**: 299-311, 1990.
- 396 OKAMOTO T, ATUSTA Y, SHIMAZAKI S: Sensory afferent properties of immobilized
- 397 or inflamed rat knees during continuous passive movement. J Bone Joint Surg Br 81:
- 398 171-177, 1999.

399	PELESHOK JC,	RIBEIRO-DA-SILVA A:	Delayed reinnervati	on by nonpeptidergic
-----	--------------	---------------------	---------------------	----------------------

- 400 nociceptive afferents of the glabrous skin of the rat hindpaw in a neuropathic pain
- 401 model. *J Comp Neurol* **519**: 49-63, 2011.
- 402 RADHAKRISHNAN R, MOORE SA, SLUKA KA: Unilateral carrageenan injection into
- 403 muscle or joint induces chronic bilateral hyperalgesia in rats. *Pain* **104**: 567-577, 2003.
- 404 SALTER RB, BELL RS, KEELEY FW: The protective effect of continuous passive
- 405 motion in living articular cartilage in acute septic arthritis: an experimental
- 406 investigation in the rabbit. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* **159**: 223-247, 1981.
- 407 SCHAIBLE HG, SCHMIDT RF: Time course of mechanosensitivity changes in
- 408 articular afferents during a developing experimental arthritis. J Neurophysiol 60:
- 409 2180-2195, 1988.
- 410 SLUKA KA, SKYBA DA, RADHAKRISHNAN R, LEEPER BJ, WRIGHT A: Joint
- 411 mobilization reduces hyperalgesia associated with chronic muscle and joint
- 412 inflammation in rats. *J Pain* **7**: 602-607, 2006.
- 413 SLUKA KA, WESTLUND KN: Behavioral and immunohistochemical changes in an
- 414 experimental arthritis model in rats. *Pain* **55**: 367-377, 1993.
- 415 STAGG NJ, MATA HP, IBRAHIM MM, HENRIKSEN EJ, PORRECA F, VANDERRAH
- 416 TW, PHILLIP MALAN T JR: Regular exercise reverses sensory hypersensitivity in a
- 417 rat neuropathic pain model: role of endogenous opioids. *Anesthesiology* **114**: 940-948,
- 418 2011.

420	peptide receptor activation produces PKA- and PKC-dependent mechanical
421	hyperalgesia and central sensitization. J Neurophysiol 92: 2859-2866, 2004.
422	TERKELSEN AJ, BACH FW, JENSEN TS: Experimental forearm immobilization in
423	humans induces cold and mechanical hyperalgesia. <i>Anesthesiology</i> <b>109</b> : 297-307, 2008.
424	USHIDA T, WILLIS WD: Changes in dorsal horn neuronal responses in an
425	experimental wrist contracture model. J Orthop Sci 6: 46-52, 2001.
426	WOOD L, FERRELL WR, BAXENDALE RH: Pressures in normal and acutely
427	distended human knee joints and effects on quadriceps maximal voluntary
428	contractions. <i>Q J Exp Physiol</i> <b>73</b> : 305-314, 1988.YAN Y, YU LC: Involvement of opioid
429	receptors in the CGRP8-37-induced inhibition of the activity of wide-dynamic-range
430	neurons in the spinal dorsal horn of rats. J Neurosci Res 77: 148-152, 2004.
431	Figure captions and legends
432	Fig. 1. Time course changes in the transverse diameters of the knee joints. Data are
433	presented as the mean $\pm$ SE. *p < 0.05 continuous passive motion (CPM) versus the
434	control (CON) group. $\dagger p < 0.05$ immobilization (IM) versus CPM group. B, baseline.
435	Fig. 2. Time course changes in the pressure pain thresholds of the knee joints. Data are
436	presented as the mean $\pm$ SE. *p < 0.05 continuous passive motion (CPM) or
437	immobilization (IM) versus the control (CON) group. †p < 0.05 IM versus CPM group. B,

SUN RQ, TU YJ, LAWAND NB, YAN JY, LIN Q, WILLIS WD: Calcitonin gene-related

438 baseline.

440paws. (A) 4 g von Frey filament (VFF) as a measurement of mechanical allodynia. (B) 441 15 g VFF as a measurement of mechanical hyperalgesia. Data are presented as the 442mean ± SE. \*p < 0.05 continuous passive motion (CPM) versus the control (CON) group. 443<sup>†</sup>p < 0.05 immobilization (IM) versus CPM group. B, baseline. 444Fig. 4. Intensity of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) expression in the ipsilateral 445dorsal horn of the spinal cord. Representative photomicrographs of CGRP 446immunohistochemistry in the ipsilateral dorsal horn are shown, at the L2-3 (A) and 447L4-5 levels (B). The CGRP-positive neural fibers were clearly observed in the deep layer of the dorsal horn in the immobilization (IM) and continuous passive motion 448449(CPM) groups (arrowheads). Percentage control of fluorescence intensity of CGRP expression in the superficial (laminae I-II) (C, E) and deep layers (laminae III-VI) were 450451calculated (D, F) in the L2-3 (C, D) and L4-5 (E, F). Data are presented as the mean ± 452SE. \*p < 0.05 versus the control (CON) group. Scale bars =  $100\mu m$ 453Fig. 5. Time course changes in the range of motion (ROM) of the knee joints on flexion. Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SE. \*p < 0.05 continuous passive motion (CPM) 454versus the control (CON) group. †p < 0.05 immobilization (IM) versus CPM group. B, 455456baseline.

Fig. 3. Time course changes in the paw withdrawal thresholds of the ipsilateral hind

457

439













484 Fig.4





