

# An introduction to Abstract Algebraic Logic

## Part 2

Tommaso Moraschini

Institute of Computer Science of the Czech Academy of Sciences

June 29, 2017

1 / 20

## Abstract algebraizability

### Definition

Let  $\mathbf{M} = \langle M, \cdot, 1 \rangle$  be a monoid.

1. An **M-set** is a pair  $\mathbb{A} = \langle A, *_{\mathbb{A}} \rangle$  where  $*_{\mathbb{A}}: M \times A \rightarrow A$  s.t.

$$(\sigma \cdot \pi)(a) = \sigma *_{\mathbb{A}} (\pi *_{\mathbb{A}} a) \text{ and } 1 *_{\mathbb{A}} a = a.$$

2. A consequence relation  $\vdash$  on an **M-set**  $\mathbb{A}$  is **M-structural** when for every  $\sigma \in M$ ,

$$\text{if } X \vdash x, \text{ then } \sigma *_{\mathbb{A}} X \vdash \sigma *_{\mathbb{A}} x.$$

3. Let  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  be two **M-sets**. An **structural transformer** from  $\mathbb{A}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$  is a residuated map  $\tau: \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$  such that for every  $\sigma \in M$  and  $X \subseteq A$ ,

$$\sigma *_{\mathbb{B}} \tau(X) = \tau(\sigma *_{\mathbb{A}} X).$$

3 / 20

## Abstract algebraizability

### Definition

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  be **M-sets**. Two **M-structural** consequences relations  $\vdash_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $\vdash_{\mathbb{B}}$  (resp. on  $A$  and  $B$ ) are **equivalent** if there are **structural transformers**

$$\tau: \mathcal{P}(A) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(B): \rho$$

such that

$$X \vdash_{\mathbb{A}} x \iff \tau(X) \vdash_{\mathbb{B}} \tau(x) \\ y \dashv\vdash_{\mathbb{B}} \tau\rho(y)$$

### Remark

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be the monoid of substitutions,  $\vdash$  be a logic and  $\mathbf{K}$  be a gen. quasi-variety.  $\vdash$  is algebraizable with eq. alg. sem.  $\mathbf{K}$  iff  $\vdash$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{K}}$  are equivalent as **M-structural** consequences.

4 / 20

## Examples: order-algebraizability

- Let  $Ineq$  be set of inequalities  $\varphi \preceq \psi$  in  $\omega$  variables.

### Definition

1. Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a class of ordered algebras and  $\Theta \cup \{\varphi \preceq \psi\} \subseteq Ineq$ ,  
 $\Theta \mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\preceq} \varphi \preceq \psi \iff$  for every  $\langle \mathbf{A}, \leq \rangle \in \mathbf{K}$  and hom  $v: \mathbf{Fm} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ ,  
 if  $v(\alpha) \leq v(\beta)$  for every  $\alpha \preceq \beta \in \Theta$ ,  
 then  $v(\varphi) \leq v(\psi)$ .

The relation  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\preceq}$  is the **inequational consequence relative to  $\mathbf{K}$** .

2. A logic  $\vdash$  is **order algebraizable** if it is equivalent to the consequence  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\preceq}$  of some class of ordered algebras  $\mathbf{K}$ .

### Remark

Every algebraizable logic is order algebraizable.

5 / 20

## Examples: order-algebraizability

## Example: BCI

- ▶ A **CRPM** is a structure  $\langle A, \leq, *, 1, \rightarrow \rangle$  where  $\langle A, \leq, *, 1 \rangle$  is an ordered commutative monoid and

$$a \rightarrow b = \max\{c \in A : a * c \leq b\}.$$

- ▶ Consider the implication fragment **BCI** of linear logic:

$$\emptyset \vdash (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y))$$

$$\emptyset \vdash (x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))$$

$$\emptyset \vdash x \rightarrow x$$

$$x, x \rightarrow y \vdash y.$$

- ▶ **BCI** is **order algebraizable** w.r.t.  $\langle \leq, \rightarrow \rangle$ -subreducts of CRPMs.
- ▶ **BCI** is **not** algebraizable (hint: use semantic characterization of algebraizability).

6 / 20

## Examples: Gentzen-algebraizability

## Definition (very informal version)

A Gentzen system  $\vdash_G$  is **algebraizable** if it is equivalent to  $\vDash_K$  for some generalized quasi-variety  $K$ .

## Example: substructural logic

- ▶ Let **FL** be the Full Lambek Calculus and FL be the variety of FL-algebras.
- ▶ **FL** is algebraizable w.r.t. FL via the translations

$$\tau : \mathcal{P}(\text{Seq}) \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Eq}) : \rho$$

$$\tau(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \triangleright \varphi) := \{(1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n) \leq \varphi\}$$

$$\tau(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \triangleright \emptyset) := \{(1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n) \leq 0\}$$

$$\rho(\varphi \approx \psi) := \{\varphi \triangleright \psi, \psi \triangleright \varphi\}.$$

7 / 20

## Metalogical properties and bridge theorems

- ▶ Since algebraizable logics are essentially the same as relative equational consequences, they form a nice framework for the formulation of transfer theorems:

variants of interpolation  $\longleftrightarrow$  variants of amalgamation

variants of deduction theorem  $\longleftrightarrow$  variants of EDPC and CEP

variants of Beth definability  $\longleftrightarrow$  variants of ES

inconsistency lemma  $\longleftrightarrow$  variant of filtrality

having a disjunction  $\longleftrightarrow$  congruence distributivity

- ▶ We consider only very few of them...

9 / 20

## Deduction theorems: local deduction theorem

## Definition

A logic  $\vdash$  has the **local deduction detachment theorem** (LDDT) if there is a family  $\{\Phi_i(x, y) : i \in I\}$  of sets of formulas such that

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash \varphi \iff \text{there is } i \in I \text{ such that } \Gamma \vdash \Phi_i(\psi, \varphi).$$

## Example: Łukasiewicz logic

- ▶ In Łukasiewicz logic  $\mathbb{L}$  we have

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi \iff \text{there is } n \in \omega \text{ s.t. } \Gamma \vdash \underbrace{(\psi * \dots * \psi)}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \varphi.$$

- ▶  $\mathbb{L}$  has LDDT with  $\{\Phi_n(x, y) : n \in \omega\}$  as follows:

$$\Phi_n(x, y) = \left\{ \underbrace{(x * \dots * x)}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow y \right\}.$$

10 / 20

## Deduction theorems: local deduction theorem

## Example: global modal logic

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash_{\mathbf{K}}^g \varphi \iff \exists n \in \omega \text{ s.t. } \Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{K}}^g (\varphi \wedge \Box \varphi \wedge \dots \wedge \Box^n \varphi) \rightarrow \psi.$$

Then  $\vdash_{\mathbf{K}}^g$  has LDDT with  $\{\Phi_n(x, y) : n \in \omega\}$  as follows:

$$\Phi_n(x, y) = \{(x \wedge \Box x \wedge \dots \wedge \Box^n x) \rightarrow y\}.$$

## Definition

A generalized quasi-variety  $\mathbf{K}$  has the **relative congruence extension property** (RCEP) if for every  $\mathbf{A} \leq \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $\theta \in \text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{A}$ , there is  $\phi \in \text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{B}$  such that  $\theta = \phi \cap \mathbf{A}^2$ .

## Theorem

Let  $\vdash$  be a finitary algebraizable logic with equivalent algebraic semantics  $\mathbf{K}$ . Then  $\vdash$  has the LDDT if and only if  $\mathbf{K}$  has the RCEP.

11 / 20

## Deduction theorems: deduction theorem

## Definition

A logic  $\vdash$  has the **deduction detachment theorem** (DDT) if there is a finite set  $\Phi(x, y)$  of formulas such that

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash \varphi \iff \Gamma \vdash \Phi(\psi, \varphi).$$

## Example

- ▶ Intuitionistic logic **IPC** has the deduction theorem witnessed by  $\{x \rightarrow y\}$ , i.e.

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash_{\text{IPC}} \varphi \iff \Gamma \vdash_{\text{IPC}} \psi \rightarrow \varphi.$$

- ▶ The global consequence of **K4** have the deduction theorem witnessed by  $\{(x \wedge \Box x) \rightarrow y\}$ , i.e.

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash_{\mathbf{K4}}^g \varphi \iff \Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{K4}}^g (\psi \wedge \Box \psi) \rightarrow \varphi.$$

12 / 20

## Deduction theorems: deduction theorem

## Definition

A quasi-variety  $\mathbf{K}$  has **equationally definable principal relative congruences** (EDPRC) if there is a finite set of equations  $\Phi(x, y, z, v)$  such that for every algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $a, b, c, d \in A$ ,

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{A}}(c, d) \iff \mathbf{A} \models \Phi(a, b, c, d).$$

## Theorem

Let  $\vdash$  be a finitary algebraizable logic with equivalent algebraic semantics the **quasi-variety**  $\mathbf{K}$ . Then  $\vdash$  has the DDT if and only if  $\mathbf{K}$  has EDPRC.

- ▶ **Remark:** This is useful to disprove the fact that a logic has the DDT, e.g.  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\vdash_{\mathbf{K}}^g$  have not DDT.

13 / 20

## Deduction theorems: contextual deduction theorem

## Definition

A logic  $\vdash$  has the **contextual deduction detachment theorem** (CDDT) when for every  $n \in \omega$  there is a finite set of formulas  $\Phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2)$  such that for all  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi\}$  in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash \varphi \iff \Gamma \vdash \Phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, \varphi, \psi).$$

## Example: relevance logic

Relevance logic **R** has the CDDT as follows: for  $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi, \psi\}$  in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,

$$\Gamma, \psi \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi \iff \Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{R}} ((x_1 \rightarrow x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (x_n \rightarrow x_n) \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \varphi.$$

**R** has not the DDT since relevant algebras lack the (R)CEP.

14 / 20

## Deduction theorems: contextual deduction theorem

## Definition

A quasi-variety  $K$  has **equationally semidefinable principal relative congruences** (ESPRC) if for every  $n \in \omega$  there is a finite set of equations  $\Phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$  such that whenever  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  generate an algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in K$  and  $a, b, c, d \in A$ ,

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{Cg}_{\mathbf{A}}^K(c, d) \iff \mathbf{A} \models \Phi_n(\vec{e}, a, b, c, d).$$

## Theorem

Let  $\vdash$  be a finitary algebraizable logic with equivalent algebraic semantics the **quasi-variety**  $K$ . Then  $\vdash$  has the CDDT if and only if  $K$  has ESPRC.

15 / 20

## Deduction theorems: recap

## Transfer results

$$\begin{aligned} \text{local deduction theorem} &\iff \text{RCEP} \\ \text{deduction theorem} &\iff \text{EDPRC} \\ \text{contextual deduction theorem} &\iff \text{ESPRC}. \end{aligned}$$

► In quasi-varieties we have

$$\text{EDPRC} \iff \text{ESPRC and RCEP}.$$

## Observation

Let  $\vdash$  be a finitary algebraizable logic whose equivalent algebraic semantics is a **quasi-variety**. Then  $\vdash$  has DDT if and only if it has LDDT and CDDT.

16 / 20

## Inconsistency lemma

## Definition

A logic  $\vdash$  has the **classical inconsistency lemma** (CIL) if for every  $n \in \omega$  there is a finite set of formulas  $\Phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \cup \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \text{ is inconsistent} &\iff \Gamma \vdash \Phi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ \Gamma \cup \Phi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \text{ is inconsistent} &\iff \Gamma \vdash \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Example

► CPC has the CIL witnessed by

$$\Phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{\neg(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)\}.$$

► Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be a substructural logic algebraized by a subvariety of  $\text{FL}_{ew}$  satisfying  $x \vee (x^k \rightarrow 0) \approx 1$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .  $\mathbf{L}$  has CIL with

$$\Phi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{(x_1 * \dots * x_n)^k \rightarrow 0\}.$$

17 / 20

## Inconsistency lemma

## Definition

Let  $K$  be a quasi-variety.

1. Let  $\mathbf{A} \leq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$  be a  $K$ -representation and  $F$  a filter over  $I$ . Then define  $\theta_F \subseteq A \times A$  as

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in \theta_F \iff \{i \in I : a(i) = b(i)\} \in F.$$

This representation **admits only filtral  $K$ -congruences** if every congruence of  $\mathbf{A}$  has the form  $\theta_F$  for some filter  $F$ .

2.  $K$  is **filtral** if every  $K$ -representation admits only  $K$ -congruences.

## Theorem

A quasi-variety is filtral if and only if it is relatively semi-simple and it has EDPRC.

18 / 20

## Inconsistency lemma

### Theorem

Let  $\vdash$  be a finitary algebraizable logic with equivalent algebraic semantics the quasi-variety  $\mathbf{K}$ . TFAE:

1.  $\vdash$  has CIL.
2.  $\mathbf{K}$  has EDPRC, is relatively semi-simple, and for every  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{K}$  the total congruence is compact in  $\text{Con}_{\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{A}$ .
3.  $\mathbf{K}$  is filtral and the subalgebras of its non-trivial members are non-trivial.

In particular, in this case  $\vdash$  has the DDT.