Physiological Research Pre-Press Article

Application of Spike Sorting Algorithm to Neuronal Signals Originated from Boron Doped Diamond Micro-Electrode Arrays

Ondřej Klempíř¹, Radim Krupička¹, Jan Krůšek², Ivan Dittert², Vladimíra Petráková¹, Václav Petrák¹, Andrew Taylor³

¹Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Kladno, Czech Republic ²Institute of Physiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic ³Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

SHORT TITLE: Processing Neural Signals from Boron Doped Diamond MEA

TYPE: Short communication

NO. OF WORDS: 2588; main body of the text

NO. OF REFERENCES: 22

Summary

In this work we report on the implementation of methods for data processing signals from microelectrode arrays (MEA) and the application of these methods for signals originated from two types of MEAs to detect putative neurons and sort them into subpopulations. We recorded electrical signals from firing neurons using titanium nitride (TiN) and boron doped diamond (BDD) MEAs. In previous research, we have shown that these methods have the capacity to detect neurons using commercially-available TiN-MEAs. We have managed to cultivate and record hippocampal neurons for the first time using a newly developed custom-made multichannel BDD-MEA with 20 recording sites. We have analysed the signals with the algorithms developed and employed them to inspect firing bursts and enable spike sorting. We did not observe any significant difference between BDD- and TiN-MEAs over the parameters which estimated spike shape variability per each detected neuron. This result supports the hypothesis that we have detected real neurons, rather than noise, in the BDD-MEA signal. BDD materials with suitable mechanical, electrical and biocompatibility properties have a large potential in novel therapies for treatments of neural pathologies, such as deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease.

Key words

Microelectrode array, Boron doped diamond; Spike detection; Spike sorting, Dimensionality reduction

Corresponding author

Ondřej Klempíř, Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, nám. Sítná 3105, Kladno. E-mail: <u>klempond@gmail.com</u>

The use of microelectrode arrays (MEAs) is a widespread method for an in-vitro neuronal network communication investigation, measuring spontaneous or stimulated activity (Pine 1980, Kunzinger 2019). MEA technology can be applied to any electrogenic tissue (i.e., central and peripheral neurons, heart cells and muscle cells), and is therefore capable of recording from hippocampal neurons (Jonsson *et* al. 2016). The electrode material is considered to be a key element for successful recording. The optimal material for electrodes should be mechanically stable, biocompatible, enable low-noise recording and allow easy surface chemical functionalization (Obien *et* al. 2015). Prototyping and employing a certain type of custom-made MEA to record and analyse electrical signals from a cultivated population can be a valuable alternative to well-known commercial devices in specific cases, e.g. mapping of rat auditory cortex (Lindovsky *et al.* 2018).

Various studies have shown that boron-doped diamond (BDD) materials with suitable mechanical, electrical and biocompatibility properties have a large potential in novel therapies for treatments of neural pathologies, such as deep brain stimulation (DBS) in Parkinson's disease, which is linked to abnormal extracellular neurochemical concentrations (Bennet *et al.* 2013, Rusinek *et al.* 2018, Tyszczuk-Rotko *et al.* 2019). Apart from excellent electrochemical properties and biocompatibility, BDD is a perspective material in terms of recording. Clinical DBS usually relies on pre-determined stimulation parameters in an open-loop configuration. Results by (Bennet *et al.* 2016) suggest that BDD electrodes may be the solution for chronic DBS implantation, which could support long-term investigation of neurochemical responses in humans. This could potentially lead to close-loop in DBS and utilize neurochemical feedback to dynamically adjust stimulation parameters.

Furthermore, previous studies already demonstrated that BDD doping significantly improves the characteristics of sensing electrical signals (Matsubara *et al.* 2016, Zehani *et al.* 2015). Demonstrated application of BDD-MEAs in the biomedical field include all diamond MEAs for recording of electrogenic cells (Maybeck *et al.* 2014) and nanostructured BDD for MEA neural interfacing (Piret *et al.* 2015). However, these efforts have focused on the fabrication route challenges rather than on actual application of MEAs for recording from biological samples.

The processing of extracellular signals is a computationally and conceptually complex task with multiple sources of errors, which can affect downstream data interpretation. It is challenging to solve the problem of automatic detection of action potentials satisfactorily for the following reasons:

Spike shapes and background noise are different for a neuron.

• Attenuated action potentials from distant neurons can also penetrate into the recorded signal, it is difficult to clearly determine what we consider to be a relevant spike and what is noise. Indeed, often even two experts disagree on the makeup of a single recording.

• Signal to noise ratio may be very low in some signals (Wilson and Emerson 2002).

The current study builds upon our previous work (Klempir *et al.* 2019, Krusek *et al.* 2019). In this short communication, we present BDD-MEA signals and application of quantitative signal processing.

The whole microelectrode recording pipeline includes multiple steps ranging from initial sample preparation to analysis and interpretation. Extracellular neural activity was acquired by two MEA devices independently. For experiments, we used a commercial 60 channel titanium nitride (TiN) microelectrode array (USB-ME64-System by Multi Channel Systems MCS GmbH, Reutlingen, Germany) as a reference platform (210 x 210 x 35 mm). 60 TiN electrodes are arranged in 8×8 grid, with a sampling rate of up to 50 kHz (Fig. 1C). The layout of the fabricated BDD-MEA is comparable to the commercial MEA (Fig. 1A), but due to technical reasons, the number of electrodes was reduced to 20 (Fig. 1D). Figure 1B corresponds to the distribution of contacts in Figure 1D with 20 electrodes. The visible imperfections, but still satisfying electrode alignment (Fig. 1B), is related to the ink-jet

printing technology used to produce localized nano-diamond seeding prior to BDD growth, which still requires optimisation. A total of 4 BDD chambers have been prepared with this technology.

Deposition of BDD is realized using a linear antenna microwave plasma enhanced chemical vapour deposition system using conditions described in (Taylor *et al.* 2018) to produce conductive diamond. Chip preparation included the fixation of a glass ring using Sylgard elastomer and sterilization. Chemical treatment of neuroelectrodes was performed by treating material with selected cationic polymer (poly-L-lysine). The role of this polymer is to enhance cell adherence to the surface and increase attachment of cells. This method builds upon previous results of molecular functionalization of planar nanocrystalline and porous nanostructured diamond to form an interface with new-born and adult neurons, which has been described in (Krusek *et al.* 2019, Taylor *et al.* 2018).

Adult hippocampal neurons of male rats of the Wistar strain were used in this experiment and were handled in accordance with law no. 246/1992 of the Czech Republic and the current Guidelines for the Treatment of Laboratory Animals (EU Guidelines 86/609/EEC).

The reference TiN-MEA dataset contains 6 continuous measurements of spontaneous activity of 60 channels. Signal time series included quiet spots, bursting and isolated spikes (Fig. 1E). In the case of BDD-MEA, the dataset contains 9 continuous measurements of spontaneous activity of 20 channels. Each electrode corresponds to an input time series channel and the recorded files had a length of approximately 6 minutes with a sampling rate of 20 kHz. Initial measurements were started immediately after the preparation of neural cultures with spiking activity becoming apparent after 1 week. Spikes were recorded for 14 days under the same conditions. A raw BDD-MEA electrophysiological signal of the neurons is presented in Figure 1F.

In order to obtain individual spikes from microelectrode recordings, we recently implemented an automated analytical pipeline (Klempir *et al.* 2019): containing signal filtering, spike detection, spike sorting and individual spike train features computation. The proposed pipeline in Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) was applied to automate the extraction of neuron signals from both types of MEAs.

Signals were band-pass filtered (Butterworth 2nd) over the 300–3000 Hz range. The number and time location of spikes were detected by the spike detection process. Since detection trade-off is related to the signal to noise ratio of recordings, an amplitude WaveClus detector was used for spike detection (Table 1). The WaveClus algorithm is an unsupervised spike detection and sorting tool, which has performed reasonably well on single-channel micro-recordings for both positive and negative spike polarities (https://github.com/csn-le/wave_clus). To capture the time courses of truly positive spikes, we used a threshold cut-off (Thr) of 5 times the standard deviation of the input signal noise (https://github.com/dbridges/mea-tools).

$$Thr = 5\sigma_n \ \sigma_n = median\{\frac{|filtered signal|}{0.6745}\}$$

The number of samples corresponding to the minimum refractory period was considered as 36 (i.e. 1.8 ms). Each detected spike bounded by a range of the pre- and post-event data points was modulated by a cubic spline curve and 20 equidistant shape-based features were computed (Table 1). Numerically, each row (i.e. spike waveform shape) in the dataset is represented by a vector of 20 numbers determining the neural morphologies and the distances and orientations relative to the recording electrode.

The main output of the detection function from the whole duration and all channels contains indexes of detected peak positions, threshold amplitudes and associated waveforms. For downstream analysis, we included only active channels. The channel must detect at least 20 spikes in order for the channel to be considered active.

For data exploration, we investigated transformations of individual spike waveforms through embedding into a lower dimension. We anticipated extending Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection) and replacing the subsequent clustering K-means algorithm with Louvain method. Namely, the current pipeline contains clustering and identification of waveforms types on the principle of Scanpy package in Python (Wolf *et al.* 2018), which stands out in its ability to identify subpopulations in single cell data. We reduced the dimensionality of the data by running PCA, which reveals the main axes of variation and denoises the waveform data. Then we computed the neighbourhood graph matrix using the 5 PCAs representation of the data matrix based on similarity of waveforms. We embedded the neighbourhood graph (n = 10) in 2 dimensions using UMAP with ScanPy default settings. Finally, the unsupervised Louvain graph-clustering method directly clusters the neighbourhood graph of waveforms to sort them automatically into subpopulations.

The level of spike-to-spike variability was further evaluated for the sorted spikes. We implemented the method published by (Stratton *et* al., 2012). Spike variability was calculated so that a variability of 0 indicated that every spike waveform from a given neuron was exactly the same (i.e. no variability) and that variability approached 1 as noise began to dominate the waveforms (i.e. spike shapes became random). Each spike in a unique putative neuron was then compared to the mean spike for that neuron using the dot product (cosine distance). The mean of the distribution of dot product values for all spikes provided a measure of the variability from spike to spike, independent of any amplitude changes (since the spike vectors were normalised). (Stratton *et* al., 2012)

We continued by checking for consistency between spike-to-spike variability and a second alternative variability estimation approach. For each putative neuron, the median from absolute values of individual coefficients of variation (CV) across individual 20 shape-based features was calculated. Distributions with a CV found to be less than 1 were considered to be low-variance, whereas those with a CV higher than 1 were considered to be high variance.

As voltages and spike-to-spike parameters were not found to be normally distributed by the one-sample Shapiro-Wilk test, statistical comparisons were performed using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. The correlation was assessed using the Spearman correlation coefficient, which measures monotonic relationship. The statistical significance was determined as p = 0.05.

Detected waveforms across all the measurements (>20 spikes on recording) were projected into a reduced UMAP space, as can be seen in Fig. 2E. Evaluation of voltage waveforms collected from BDD-MEA (n = 10403) vs. commercially available TiN-MEA (n = 11346) reveals that they differ mostly in

amplitude values (p < 0.05). Significantly smaller amplitudes were observed in BDD-MEA. The differences in amplitudes could be caused by HW and may not reflect a difference in physiology. Furthermore, we determined clusters using the unsupervised Louvain method, which found 14 waveform subpopulations (Fig. 2C). By assigning waveforms to subpopulations, in total 35 putative neurons in TiN-MEA and 31 putative neurons in BDD-MEA were found. Examples of variability in neuronal shapes for both types of MEAs are illustrated in Figure 2B.

Statistical testing did not show any significant difference (p = 0.27) in the spike-to-spike variability in BDD-MEA (n = 31) vs. TiN-MEA (n = 35), which supports the hypothesis that we detect real neurons rather than noise in the BDD signals. With the same Thr = 5, BDD-MEA has a slightly higher level of variability ($0.09 \pm (SD) \ 0.07$) against ($0.09 \pm (SD) \ 0.05$) for TiN-MEA (Fig. 2D). Scatter plot demonstrated significant consistency (rho = 0.43; p < 0.001) of both methods for variability estimation (Fig. 2A). Given the CV variability could be sensitive to outliers, the value of correlation coefficient is not representing a completely tight relationship. Overall, the detection method proves to be feasible for detection from various biological samples.

Apart from the clear BDD spike activity, we have noticed some other activities on some of the electrodes whose origin we do not understand. Either they are minor events covered in noise, but by shape they could be normal activity, only recorded from a greater distance, or with a worse contact. We even saw events of a completely different shape, whose origin is unknown. Sometimes rectangular events were recorded, which look like neural channel openings. However, their length was several times the length of the normal spike and were suppressed by filtering.

Comparing the performance of UMAP with five other tools, UMAP should provide the fastest run times, highest reproducibility and the most meaningful organization of cell clusters (Becht *et* al., 2019). The most notable is that UMAP, like t-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding, does not completely preserve density and can also create clusters that are not actually present, resulting in a finer clustering than is necessarily present in the data. On the other hand, the UMAP visualisations with apparent

separation of data could facilitate discovery of rare spikes population, which would not be possible without upgrading our pipeline.

Finding action potentials in a signal based on their shape, in other words, template matching, is another method of spike detection. It uses a manually created database of spike shapes, with which parts of the signal are continuously compared. With this method, it is possible to achieve much better results than simply thresholding the signal (Kim and McNames 2007). However, as each neuron or group of neurons has a different characteristic spike shape, use of this method requires manual creation of a database of spike shapes for each analysed signal. Therefore, this method is unsuitable for automatic spike detection.

The BDD-MEA density of the electrodes is lower compared to the TiN-MEA, which leads to a lower resolution of the electrophysiological picture of the neuronal network and therefore to a lower statistical significance with regard to the interpretation of the network functionality. To record all 20 BDD-MEA channels at 20 kHz generates a huge amount of data. A six-minute recording creates a file, which is 1 GB in size. In order to analyse this data, high demands must be placed on laboratory hardware and software (Kunzinger 2019).

We ultimately aim at the development of BDD MEA for dual recording, which will employ BDD based micro-probes positioned within close proximity of a firing neuron on an MEA electrode for simultaneous recording of electrical and chemical activity. As a first step towards this goal, we have measured cyclic voltammograms recorded on BDD micro-probe demonstrating dopamine detection under simulation conditions, i.e. controlled release into cell culture dishes to characterize micro-probe sensitivity (Baluchova *et* al., 2019).

In conclusion, we have presented extracellular recordings from cultures of hippocampal neurons on BDD-MEAs over a period of weeks allowing analysis of network development. Previous research showed only signals without closer analysis of BDD-MEA spikes (Piret *et al.* 2015).

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, no. 17-15319S (GAČR).

References

BALUCHOVA S, TAYLOR A, MORTET V, SEDLAKOVA S, KLIMSA L, KOPECEK J, HAK O, SCHWARZOVA-PECKOVA K: Porous boron doped diamond for dopamine sensing: Effect of boron doping level on morphology and electrochemical performance. *Electrochimica Acta* **327**: 135025, 2019.

BECHT E, MCINNES L, HEALY J, DUTERTRE CA, KWOK IWH, NG LG, GINHOUX F, NEWELL EW: Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. *Nature Biotechnology* **37**: 38-44, 2019.

BENNET KE, LEE KH, KRUCHOWSKI JN, CHANG SY, MARSCH MP, VAN ORSOW AA, PAEZ A, MANCIU FS: Development of conductive boron-doped diamond electrode: a microscopic, spectroscopic, and voltammetric study. *Materials (Basel)* **6**: 5726-5741, 2013.

BENNET KE, TOMSHINE JR, MIN HK, MANCIU FS, MARSH MP, PAEK SB, SETTELL ML, NICOLAI EN, BLAHA CD, KOUZANI AZ, CHANG SY, LEE KH: A diamond-based electrode for detection of neurochemicals in the human brain. *Front Hum Neurosci* **10**: 102, 2016.

JONSSON A, INAL S, UGUZ I, WILLIAMSON AJ, KERGOAT L, RIVNAY J, KHODAGHOLY D, BERGGREN M, BERNARD CH, MALLIARAS GG, SIMON DT: Bioelectronic neural pixel: Chemical stimulation and electrical sensing at the same site. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**: 9440-9445, 2016.

KIM S, MCNAMES J: Automatic spike detection based on adaptive template matching for extracellular neural recordings. *J Neurosci Meth* **165**: 165-174, 2007.

KLEMPIR O, KRUPICKA R, PETRAKOVA V, KRUSEK J, DITTERT I, TAYLOR A: Automated neurons recognition and sorting for diamond based microelectrode arrays recording: A feasibility study. In: *World Congress on Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering 2018*. L LHOTSKA, L SUKUPOVA, I LACKOVIC, G IBBOTT (eds), Springer, Singapore, 2019, pp 281-286.

KRUSEK J, DITTERT I, SMEJKALOVA T, KORINEK M, GOTTFRIEDOVA K, FREISLEBENOVA H, NEUHOFEROVA E, KLIMSA L, SEDLAKOVA S, TAYLOR A, MORTET V, PETRAK V, PETRAKOVA V: Molecular functionalization of planar nanocrystalline and porous nanostructured diamond to form an interface with newborn and adult neurons. *Phys. Status Solidi B* **256**, 2019.

KUNZINGER S: Signal recording of 3D neurospheres on high-resolution CMOS MEA platform. In: *Proceedings of the International Student Scientific Conference Poster – 23/2019.* L HUSNIK (eds), Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, 2019, pp 33-35.

LINDOVSKY J, PYSANENKO K, POPELAR J, SYKA J: Fast tonotopy mapping of the rat auditory cortex with a custom-made electrode array. *Physiol Res* **67**: 993-998, 2018.

MATSUBARA T, UJIE M, YAMAMOTO T, AKAHORI M, EINAGA Y, SATO T: Highly sensitive detection of influenza virus by boron-doped diamond electrode terminated with sialic acid-mimic peptide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**: 8981-8984, 2016.

MAYBECK V, EDGINGTON R, BONGRAIN A, WELCH JO, SCORSONE E, BERGONZO P, JACKMAN RB, OFFENHAUSSER A: Boron-doped nanocrystalline diamond microelectrode arrays monitor cardiac action potentials. *Adv Healthc Mater* **3**: 283-289, 2014.

OBIEN ME, DELIGKARIS K, BULLMANN T, BAKKUM DJ, FREY U: Revealing neuronal function through microelectrode array recordings. *Front Neurosci* **8**: 423, 2015.

PINE J: Recording action potentials from cultured neurons with extracellular microcircuit electrodes. *J Neurosci Meth* **2**: 19-31, 1980.

PIRET G, HEBERT C2, MAZELLIER JP, ROUSSEAU L, SCORSONE E, COTTANCE M, LISSORGUES G, HEUSCHKEL MO, PICAUD S, BERGONZO P, YVERT B: 3D-nanostructured boron-doped diamond for microelectrode array neural interfacing. *Biomaterials* **53**: 173-83, 2015.

RUSINEK CA, GUO Y, RECHENBERG R, BECKER MF, PURCELL E, VERBER M, MCKINNEY C, LI W: All-diamond microfiber electrodes for neurochemical analysis. *J Electrochem Soc* **165**: 3087-3092, 2018.

STRATTON P, CHEUNG A, WILES J, KIYATKIN E, SAH P, WINDELS F, ARABZADEH E: Action potential waveform variability limits multi-unit separation in freely behaving rats. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e38482, 2012.

TAYLOR A, ASHCHEULOV P, HUBIK P, KLIMSA L, KOPECEK J, REMES Z, VLCKOVA ZIVCOVA Z, REMZOVA M. Precursor gas composition optimisation for large area boron doped nanocrystalline diamond growth by MW-LA-PECVD. *Carbon* **128**: 164-171, 2018.

TYSZCZUK-ROTKO K, JAWORSKA I, JEDRUCHNIEWICZ K: Application of unmodified borondoped diamond electrode for determination of dopamine and paracetamol. *Microchem J* 146: 664-672, 2019.

WILSON SB, EMERSON R: Spike detection: a review and comparison of algorithms. *Clin Neurophysiol* **113**: 1873-1881, 2002.

WOLF FA, ANGERER P, THEIS FJ: SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. *Genome Biol* **19**: 15, 2018.

ZEHANI N, FORTGANG P, SADDEK LACHGAR M, BARAKET A, ARAB M, DZYADEVYCH SV, KHERRAT R, JAFFREZIC-RENAULT N: Highly sensitive electrochemical biosensor for bisphenol A detection based on a diazonium-functionalized boron-doped diamond electrode modified with a multi-walled carbon nanotube-tyrosinase hybrid film. *Biosens Bioelectron* **74**: 830-835, 2015.



Fig. 1. Signal acquisition of neuronal activity on MEAs. A) BDD-MEA with glass ring and gas permeable membrane lid. B) BDD-MEA with visible hippocampal neurites and neurons growth across electrodes. C) Reference TiN-MEA electrode distribution. (G = ground). D) BDD TiN-MEA electrode distribution. E) Raw recording of spontaneous hippocampal neuronal activity recorded in vitro from TiN-MEA. F) Raw recording of spontaneous hippocampal neuronal activity recorded in vitro from BDD-MEA. Peaks represent a spike, bursting activity is also evident. The noise level is around $\pm 5 \,\mu V$ while signal amplitudes are from $\pm 15 \,\mu V$.

hyperparameter	value
minimum refractory period (data points)	36
number of pre-event data points stored	10
number of post-event data points stored	10
spike waveform interpolation	cubic spline
maximum detection threshold	$30\sigma_{signal}$

 Table 1. Waveclus detector: an overview of the set hyperparameters.



Fig. 2. A) Scatter plot representing relationship between both variability estimations. B) Example spikes from both types of MEAs. The mean spikes (black) and the spikes of those means. C) UMAP plot visualizing cluster assignments of waveforms which are defined by Louvain clustering and denoted as

distinct colours. D) Distribution of spike-to-spike variability via boxplots. E) Colouring by individual measurements.