On the bound state induced by $\delta\text{-interaction}$ on a weakly deformed plane

Vladimir Lotoreichik

joint work with Pavel Exner and Sylwia Kondej

Czech Academy of Sciences, Řež near Prague





Aspect17, Trier, 28.09.2017

Locally deformed hyperplane

 $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ – a hyperplane.

Locally deformed hyperplane

Lip. surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{K} = \Pi \setminus \mathcal{K}$ for a compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Special locally deformed hyperplane

 $\Sigma = \{(x, f(x)) \colon x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$, where Lip. $f \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is compactly supported.



Avoiding handlebodies and other topological complications!

 $f(\cdot)$ – profile function.

 $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ – locally deformed hyperplane. lpha > 0 – the coupling constant.

Quadratic form for $-\Delta - \alpha \delta_{\Sigma}$

$$Q_{lpha,\Sigma}[u] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |
abla u|^2 - lpha \int_{\Sigma} |u|^2, \qquad \mathrm{dom} \ Q_{lpha,\Sigma} = H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Schrödinger operator with δ -interaction supported on Σ

$$Q_{\alpha,\Sigma} \xrightarrow{1^{s_{-repr}}} \mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\Sigma}$$
 self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

In physics

 $H_{\alpha,\Sigma}$ models a 'leaky' quantum system; a charged particle is confined to Σ but the tunnelling between different parts of Σ is not neglected.

V. Lotoreichik (NPI CAS) Interaction on a weakly deformed plane

Proposition

 $\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\Sigma}) = [-\frac{1}{4}\alpha^2, +\infty).$

- Separation of variables $\Rightarrow \sigma(H_{\alpha,\Pi}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_{\alpha,\Pi}) = [-\frac{1}{4}\alpha^2, \infty).$
- $(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\Sigma}-z)^{-1}-(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\Pi}-z)^{-1}$ is a compact operator for any $z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}.$
- Stability of essential spectrum $\Rightarrow \sigma_{ess}(H_{\alpha,\Sigma}) = \sigma_{ess}(H_{\alpha,\Pi}) = [-\frac{1}{4}\alpha^2, \infty)$

Geometrically induced bound states

Open problem (Exner-08)

 $\sigma_{\rm d}(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\Sigma}) \neq \varnothing$ for any $\Sigma \neq \Pi$ and all $\alpha > 0$?

The lowest spectral point of $H_{\alpha,\Sigma}$

$$\lambda_1^{\alpha}(\Sigma) = \inf_{\substack{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{Q_{\alpha, \Sigma}[u]}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2} = \inf \sigma(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha, \Sigma}).$$

It suffices to show that $\lambda_1^{\alpha}(\Sigma) < -\frac{1}{4}\alpha^2$.

For the Dirichlet Laplacian on a layer build over Σ : $\sigma_{\rm d} \neq \emptyset$ if $\int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{K} \leq 0$. (*Duclos-Exner-Krejčiřík-01, Carron-Exner-Krejčiřík-04, Lin-Lu-07*).

The method applied for layers **fails** for the δ -interaction

Parallel coordinates are globally ill-defined and flattening Σ is not possible.

V. Lotoreichik (NPICAS)

Large coupling behaviour

Assume that Σ is C^4 -smooth and let κ_1, κ_2 be its principal curvatures.

 $\kappa_1 \neq \kappa_2$ for $\Sigma \neq \Pi$.

Laplace-Beltrami operator on Σ

 $-\Delta_{\Sigma}$ – self-adjoint in $L^{2}(\Sigma)$.

Min-max with a properly chosen test function

$$\mu_1 := \inf \sigma \big(-\Delta_{\Sigma} - rac{1}{4} (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)^2 \big) < 0$$
 if $\Sigma
eq \Pi$.

Large coupling asymptotics for $\Sigma \neq \Pi$ (Exner-Kondej-03)

$$\lambda_1^{lpha}(\Sigma) = -rac{1}{4}lpha^2 + \mu_1 + \mathcal{O}(lpha^{-1}\log lpha) \text{ as } lpha o +\infty.$$

The answer is positive for all α large enough!

V. Lotoreichik (NPICAS)

Weak local deformation setting

 $\alpha > \mathsf{0-fixed}!$

Profile function

 C^2 -smooth, compactly supported $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ with a Lip. constant $\mathcal{L}_f > 0$.

The graph of $x \mapsto \beta f(x)$

$$\Sigma_{eta}(f) = \{(x,eta f(x)) \colon x \in \mathbb{R}^2\} ext{ for } eta \geq 0.$$

 $\mathsf{H}_{lpha,eta}:=\mathsf{H}_{lpha,\mathbf{\Sigma}_{eta}(f)}$ and $\lambda_1^{lpha}(eta):=\lambda_1^{lpha}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{eta}(f))$ – shorthand notation.

Proposition (Exner-Kondej-VL-17)

$$\lambda_1^{lpha}(eta) \geq -rac{lpha^2}{4}(1+eta^2\mathcal{L}_f^2) ext{ and thus } \lambda_1^{lpha}(eta) o -rac{lpha^2}{4} ext{ as } eta o 0^+.$$

Question

The exact behaviour of $\lambda_1^{\alpha}(\beta)$ in the limit $\beta \to 0^+$?

V.Lotoreichik (NPICAS)

Main result

 $\widehat{f} :=$ the Fourier transform of f.

$$\mathcal{D}_{lpha,m{f}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |m{p}|^2 |\widehat{f}(m{p})|^2 \left(lpha^2 - rac{2lpha^3}{\sqrt{4|m{p}|^2 + lpha^2} + lpha}
ight) \mathrm{d}m{p} > 0$$
 .

Theorem (*Exner-Kondej-VL-17*)

(i) $\#\sigma_{d}(H_{\alpha,\beta}) = 1$ for all sufficiently small $\beta > 0$.

(ii) The lowest eigenvalue behaves as

$$\lambda_1^{lpha}(eta) = -rac{1}{4}lpha^2 - \exp\left(-rac{16\pi}{\mathcal{D}_{lpha,f}eta^2}
ight) \left(1+o(1)
ight), \qquad eta o 0^+$$

Resembles the behaviour of the 1st-eigenvalue as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ for $-\Delta - \varepsilon V$ in \mathbb{R}^2 with $V \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $V \ge 0$ (*Simon-76*).

Birman-Schwinger principle in the flat metric

Green's function in \mathbb{R}^3

$$G_{\kappa}(x)=rac{e^{-\kappa|x|}}{4\pi|x|},\ \kappa>0.$$

Surface measure on $\Sigma_{eta}(f)$

$$\mathsf{d}\sigma(x)=g_eta(x)\mathsf{d}x$$
, where $g_eta(x)=ig(1+eta^2|
abla f(x)|^2ig)^{1/2}$

Selfadj. BS-operator $Q_{\beta}(\kappa): L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}) \to L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}), \kappa > 0 \ (\approx \text{Weyl function})$ $(Q_{\beta}(\kappa)\psi)(x):= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} g_{\beta}(x)^{1/2} G_{\kappa}((x,\beta f(x)) - (y,\beta f(y))) g_{\beta}(y)^{1/2} \psi(y) dy.$

 1^{st} BS-principle (Brasche-Exner-Kuperin-Šeba-94, Behrndt-Langer-VL-13)

$$\forall \, \kappa > \mathsf{0}, \qquad \mathsf{dim} \, \mathsf{ker} \left(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\beta} + \kappa^2\right) = \mathsf{dim} \, \mathsf{ker} \left(\mathsf{I} - \alpha \mathsf{Q}_\beta(\kappa)\right).$$

Original formulation in $L^2(\Sigma_\beta(f))$ is inconvenient because $\Sigma_\beta(f)$ is varying.

V. Lotoreichik (NPICAS)

Perturbative reformulation of the BS-principle

Recall that $\Sigma_{\beta}(f)$ is a local perturbation of Π .

Again apply BS-principle, now in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$; unperturbed operator $\alpha Q_0(\kappa)$.

$$\delta := \sqrt{\kappa^2 - \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2} > 0$$
 for $\kappa > \frac{1}{2}\alpha$.

$$\mathsf{D}_eta(\delta):=\mathsf{Q}_eta(\kappa)-\mathsf{Q}_\mathsf{0}(\kappa)$$
 and $\mathsf{B}_lpha(\delta):=(\mathsf{I}-lpha\mathsf{Q}_\mathsf{0}(\kappa))^{-1}$

2nd BS-principle $(\forall \kappa > \frac{1}{2}\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \ker \left(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\beta} + \kappa^2 \right) &= \dim \ker \left(\mathsf{I} - \alpha \mathsf{Q}_{\beta}(\kappa) \right) \\ &= \dim \ker \left(\left(\mathsf{I} - \alpha \mathsf{Q}_{0}(\kappa) \right) \left(\mathsf{I} - \alpha \mathsf{B}_{\alpha}(\delta) \mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\delta) \right) \right) \\ &= \dim \ker \left[\mathsf{I} - \alpha \mathsf{B}_{\alpha}(\delta) \mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\delta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

V.Lotoreichik (NP∣CAS)

Implicit scalar equation on the lowest eigenvalue

For small $\beta > 0$ and $\kappa > \frac{1}{2}\alpha$

$$\dim \ker \left(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\beta} + \kappa^2 \right) = \dim \ker \left[\mathsf{I} - \alpha \mathsf{B}_{\alpha}(\delta) \mathsf{D}_{\beta}(\delta) \right]$$
$$= \dots \dots = \dim \ker [\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{P}_{\alpha,\beta}(\delta)]$$

with an "explicitly" given rank-one $\mathsf{P}_{\alpha,\beta}(\delta)$: $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

For $\beta > 0$ small

$$-\frac{1}{4}lpha^2 - \delta^2$$
 is a simple eigenvalue of $\mathsf{H}_{lpha,eta} \iff \left|\operatorname{Tr}\mathsf{P}_{lpha,eta}(\delta) = 1\right|.$

We show existence & uniqueness of the solution for $\operatorname{Tr} \mathsf{P}_{\alpha,\beta}(\delta) = 1$ for all $\beta > 0$ small.

Representation for relativistic Schrödinger operator (*Ichinose-Tsuchida-93*) \Rightarrow

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathsf{P}_{\alpha,\beta}(\delta) = -\frac{\beta^2 \ln \delta}{8\pi} \big(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,f} + o_{\mathrm{u}}(1) \big), \qquad \beta \to 0^+. \quad \Box$$

V. Lotoreichik (NPI CAS)

The technique applies for mild non-local perturbations

Almost flat cones $(f(x) = \gamma(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2}, \gamma \to 0^+)$ modification needed (formally $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,f} = \infty$); *Ourmières-Bonafos-Pankrashkin-Pizzichillo-17*: $\gamma \to \infty$.

Similar analysis can be performed for space dimensions $d \ge 4$

We expect that $\sigma_{\rm d}=arnothing$, $orall \beta>0$ sufficiently small.

Robin Laplacian in a locally perturbed half-space

- (i) Existence of a bound state for small $\beta > 0$ expectedly depends on the profile function; cf. *Exner-Minakov-14, Pankrashkin-Popoff-16*.
- $(\mathrm{ii})~$ Technique should be different, because BS-principle is not available.

Summary

Motivated by the open problem

 $\sigma_{\rm d}(\mathsf{H}_{\alpha,\Sigma}) \neq \varnothing$ for any $\Sigma \neq \Pi$ and all $\alpha > 0$?

The positive answer was known for suff. large $\alpha > 0$ (*Exner-Kondej-03*).

Main results

- We prove $\sigma_{\rm d}
 eq arnothing$ for any lpha > 0 fixed and a small local deformation.
- For sufficiently small local deformation $\#\sigma_{\rm d}=1$.
- The lowest eigenvalue is asymptotically expanded in terms of the Fourier transform of the profile function.

Key features of the proof

- Involves applying BS-principle several times.
- Its by-product is an implicit scalar equation on the lowest eigenvalue.

V. Lotoreichik (NPICAS)

Interaction on a weakly deformed plane

28.09.2017 16 / 17



P. Exner, S. Kondej, and V. L., Asymptotics of the bound state induced by δ -interaction supported on a weakly deformed plane, arXiv:1703.10854.

Thank you for your attention!

