## On topologizable algebras

## V. MÜLLER, PRAGUE

Let A be a linear associative algebra. By [3] it is always possible to define a topology on A which makes of A a locally convex algebra with separately continuous multiplication (i.e.  $x_{\alpha}, x, y \in A, x_{\alpha} \to x$  implies  $x_{\alpha}y \to xy, yx_{\alpha} \to yx$ ).

On the other hand (cf. [3]) in general it is not possible to introduce a topology on A which makes of A a locally convex algebra with jointly continuous multiplication (i.e.  $x_{\alpha} \to x, y_{\beta} \to y \Rightarrow x_{\alpha}y_{\beta} \to xy$ ). The aim of this note is to exhibit two examples which continue these investigations.

In the first example we construct a commutative algebra which admits no topology. This gives a negative answer to the question raised in [2]. In the second example we construct a topological algebra which admits no locally convex topology.

All algebras in this paper will be complex (this condition, however, is not essential).

We say that an algebra A is topologizable (topologizable as a locally convex algebra) if there exists a topology on A which makes of A a topological (locally convex) algebra with jointly continuous multiplication.

It is easy to see that an algebra A is topologizable if and only if there exists a system  $\mathcal{V}$  of subset of A (zero-neighbourhoods in A) satisfying

(1) 
$$\bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V = \{0\}$$

(2)  $\lambda V \subset V$  for every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and complex number  $\lambda, |\lambda| \leq 1$ 

- (3) each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  is absorbent
- (4) for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  there exists  $W \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $W + W \subset V$
- (5) for every  $W \in \mathcal{V}$  there exists  $W \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $W \cdot W \subset V$ .

For basic properties of topological algebras see e.g. [1].

THEOREM 1. There exists a commutative algebra which is not topologizable.

PROOF. Denote by N the set of all positive integers and by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all sequences  $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers. Consider the linear space A of all formal linear combinations of elements  $c, x_i \ (i \in N)$  and  $a_f \ (f \in \mathcal{F})$ . We define the multiplication in A by

$$cz = zc = 0 \qquad \text{for every } z \in A,$$
  

$$x_i x_j = 0 \qquad (i, j \in N),$$
  

$$a_f a_{f'} = 0 \qquad (f, f' \in \mathcal{F}),$$
  

$$x_n a_f = a_f x_n = f_n \cdot c \qquad (n \in N, f \in \mathcal{F}).$$

Clearly these relations define uniquely a multiplication on A which makes of A a commutative algebra (for the associative law note that the product of any three of the basis elements is equal to zero).

We prove that A is not topologizable. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a system  $\mathcal{V}$  of zero-neighbourhoods in A satisfying (1) - (5). Let  $V, W \in \mathcal{V}$  satisfy  $c \notin V$ and  $W \cdot W \subset V$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$  choose  $s_n > 0$  such that  $x_n \in s_n \cdot W$ . Let  $f = \{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of positive integers  $f_n$  with  $f_n > n \cdot s_n$ . Then  $a_f \in r \cdot W$  for some r > 0. We have

$$c = \frac{1}{f_n}(x_n \cdot a_f) = \frac{r \cdot s_n}{f_n} \left(\frac{x_n}{s_n} \cdot \frac{a_f}{r}\right) \in \frac{rs_n}{f_n} \cdot W \cdot W \subset \frac{rs_n}{f_n} V.$$

Since  $c \notin V$  we have

$$\frac{rs_n}{f_n} > 1 \quad \text{ and } r > \frac{f_n}{s_n} > n \qquad (n \in N)$$

a contradiction.

Remark. Let x be a linear space of infinite dimension and let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  be the algebra of all linear mappings acting in X. By [3],  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  can not be topologized as a locally convex algebra. Using analogous method as in example 1 it is possible to show that  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is not topologizable. In fact even the algebra of all finite-dimensional operators in X is not topologizable.

THEOREM 2. There exists a commutative topological algebra which is not topologizable as a locally convex algebra.

PROOF. Let K be an uncountable set. Denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the set of all functions  $d: N \times K \to N$ . For  $d \in \mathcal{D}, n \in N$  and  $k \in K$  we shall write shortly  $d_{nk}$  instead of d(n,k).

Clearly for every  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $n \in N$  there exists a subset  $K_{d,n} \subset K$  and a positive integer  $d_n$  such that  $cardK_{d,n} = d_n$  and  $d_{nk} = d_n$  for every  $k \in K_{dn}$ . Let A be the linear space of all (finite) linear combinations of elements  $c, x_{nk}$   $(n \in N, k \in K), a_d$   $(d \in \mathcal{D})$ and  $y_{dnk}$   $(d \in \mathcal{D}, n \in N, k \in K_{dn} \subset K)$ .

We define the multiplication in A by

$$cz = zc = 0 (z \in A), y_{dnk}z = zy_{dnk} = 0 (z \in A, d \in D, n \in N, k \in K_{dn}), a_d a_{d'} = 0 (d, d' \in D), x_{nk} \cdot x_{n'k'} = 0 (n, n' \in N, k, k' \in K),$$

$$x_{nk} \cdot a_d = a_d \cdot x_{nk} = \begin{cases} d_n y_{dnk} & (d \in D, n \in N, k \in K_{dn}) \\ 0 & (k \notin K_{dn}). \end{cases}$$

Clearly A is a commutative algebra. To define the topology on A we shall need the following notations:

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of all complex valued functions  $\lambda : k \mapsto \lambda_k$  defined on K with a finite support. For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$  define

$$m_i(\lambda) = \min_{\substack{M \subset K \\ cardM=i}} \max_{j \in K-M} |\lambda_j|.$$

Clearly  $\max_{j \in K} |\lambda_j| = m_0(\lambda) \ge m_1(\lambda) \ge \dots$  and  $card\{j \in K, |\lambda_j| > m_i(\lambda)\} \le i$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{L}$  and let  $s, t \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ . Then

$$m_{s+t}(\lambda + \mu) \le m_s(\lambda) + m_t(\mu)$$

where  $\lambda + \mu \in \mathcal{L}$  is defined by  $(\lambda + \mu)_k = \lambda_k + \mu_k \quad (k \in K).$ 

PROOF. Suppose  $j \in K$ ,  $|\lambda_j + \mu_j| > m_s(\lambda) + m_t(\mu)$ . Then either  $|\lambda_j| > m_s(\lambda)$  or  $|\mu_j| > m_t(\mu)$ . Since

 $card\{j, |\lambda_j + \mu_j| > m_s(\lambda) + m_i(\mu)\} \leq card\{j, |\lambda_j| > m_s(\lambda)\} + card\{j, |\mu_j| > m_t(\lambda)\} \leq s + t,$ we conclude that  $m_{s+t}(\lambda + \mu) \leq m_s(\lambda) + m_t(\mu)$ .

For 
$$\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$$
 define  $h(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i+1)m_i(\lambda)$ .

LEMMA 4. If  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{L}$  then

$$h(\lambda + \mu) \le 4 [h(\lambda) + h(\mu)].$$

PROOF. We have

$$h(\lambda + \mu) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2r+1)m_{2r}(\lambda + \mu) + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2r+2)m_{2r+1}(\lambda + \mu) \le \\ \le \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2r+1)[m_r(\lambda) + m_r(\mu)] + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2r+2)[m_r(\lambda) + m_{r+1}(\mu)] \le \\ \le \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (4r+3)[m_r(\lambda) + m_r(\mu)] \le 4[h(\lambda) + h(\mu)].$$

(continuation of the proof of Theorem 2):

Let  $u \in A$ , i.e. u can be expressed as

(6) 
$$u = \alpha c + \sum_{n \in N} \sum_{k \in K} \beta_{nk} X_{nk} + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \gamma_d a_d + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{n \in N} \sum_{k \in K_{dn}} \delta_{dnk} y_{dnk}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta_{nk}, \gamma_d, \delta_{dnk}$  are complex numbers such that only a finite number of them is non-zero. For u of form (6) define

$$f(u) = |\alpha| + \sum_{n \in N} h\left(\{\beta_{nk}\}_{k \in K}\right) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} |\gamma_d| + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{n \in N} \frac{2}{d_n + 1} h\left(\{\delta_{dnk}\}_{k \in K}\right)$$

(we put formally  $\delta_{dnk} = 0$  for  $k \in K - K_{dn}$ ).

The function  $f: A \to < 0, \infty$ ) has the following properties:

- a)  $u \in A, u \neq 0 \Rightarrow f(u) \neq 0$
- b)  $f(\varepsilon u) = |\varepsilon| f(u)$  for each complex number  $\varepsilon$  and  $u \in A$
- c)  $f(u+u') \le 4[f(u) + f(u')]$
- d)  $f(u, u') \le 8f(u)f(u')$ .

The first two properties are evident, property c) follows from Lemma 4. To prove d) suppose that  $u, u' \in A$  are of form (6) (i.e.  $u' = \alpha' c + \sum_n \sum_k \beta'_{nk} x_{nk} + \ldots$ ). Then

$$f(uu') = f\left(\sum_{d,n} \sum_{k \in K_{dn}} d_n y_{dnk} (\beta_{nk} \gamma'_d + \beta'_{nk} \gamma_d)\right) =$$
  
$$= \sum_{d,n} \frac{2d_n}{d_{n+1}} h\left(\{\beta_{nk} \gamma'_d + \beta'_{nk} \gamma_d\}_{k \in K_{dn}}\right) \leq$$
  
$$\leq 8 \sum_{d,n} \left[|\gamma'_d| h\left(\{\beta_{nk}\}_{k \in K_{dn}}\right) + |\gamma_d| h\left(\{\beta'_{nk}\}_{k \in K_{dn}}\right)\right] \leq 8f(u) f(u').$$

Let  $V = \{u \in A, f(u) < 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \{tV, t \in (0, \infty)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies conditions (1) - (5) so A with the topology given by  $\mathcal{V}$  is a topological algebra.

Let  $M \subset A$  be the subspace generated by the elements of form  $c - \frac{1}{d_n} \sum_{k \in K_{dn}} y_{dnk}$ ,

 $d \in \mathcal{D}, n \in N$ . Clearly M is a two-sided ideal in A.

Let  $u \in A$  be of form (6). If  $\beta_{nk} \neq 0$  for some  $n \in N$ ,  $k \in K$  or  $\gamma_d \neq 0$  for some  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  then  $(u + tV) \cap M = \phi$  for a suitable  $\epsilon > 0$ , so  $u \notin \overline{M}$ . Similarly,  $u \notin \overline{M}$  if  $\delta_{dnk} \neq \delta_{dnk'}$  for some d, n, k, k'. Finally, if  $u = \alpha c - \sum_{d,n} \sum_{k \in K_{dn}} \varepsilon_{dn} y_{dnk}$  and  $\alpha \neq \sum_{d,n} d_n \varepsilon_{dn}$  we have  $u \notin \overline{M}$  as  $f(\frac{1}{d_n} \sum_{k \in K_{dn}} y_{dnk}) = 1$   $(d \in \mathcal{D}, n \in N)$ .

Hence M is a closed ideal in A and  $c \notin M$ . Let B = A/M and let  $\pi : A \longrightarrow B$  be the canonical homomorphism. Then B is a topological algebra and  $\pi(c) \neq 0$ .

We prove that B is not topologizable as a locally convex algebra. Suppose on the contrary that there exists a system  $\mathcal{W}$  of convex zero-neighbourhoods in B satisfying (1) - (5). We shall need the following lemma:

LEMMA 5. For every  $W \in W$  there exists  $d \in D$  and  $n \in N$  such that  $\pi(y_{dnk}) \in W$  for every  $k \in K_{dn}$ .

PROOF. Let  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . Suppose on the contrary that for every  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $n \in N$  there exists  $k \in K_{dn}$  with  $\pi(y_{dnk}) \notin W$ . Let  $W' \in \mathcal{W}$  satisfy  $W'W' \subset W$ . For  $n \in N$  and  $k \in K$  choose  $s_{nk} > 0$  such that  $\pi(x_{nk}) \in s_{nk}W'$ .

Choose  $d = \{d_{nk}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $d_{nk} > ns_{nk} \ (n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{K})$ . Then  $a_d \in rW'$  for some r > 0.

We supposed that for every  $n \in N$  there exists  $k \in K_{dn}$  such that  $\pi(y_{dnk}) \notin W$ . On the other hand we have

$$\pi(y_{dnk}) = \frac{1}{d_n} \pi(x_{nk}) \pi(a_d) \in \frac{1}{d_n} s_{nk} W' r W' \subset \frac{s_{nk} r}{d_n} W.$$

So  $s_{nk}r/d_n > 1$ ,  $r > d_n/s_{nk} > n$  for every  $n \in N$  which is a contradiction.

(continuation of the proof of Theorem 2): Let  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . Let  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $n \in N$  be given by Lemma 5. Then

$$\pi(c) = \frac{1}{d_n} \sum_{k \in K_{dn}} \pi(y_{dnk})$$

and  $\pi(y_{dnk}) \in W$  for every  $k \in K_{dn}$ . Since W is convex and  $cardK_{dn} = d_n$  we have  $\pi(c) \in W$  for every  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , a contradiction with condition (1).

Problem: Is it possible to construct separable algebras with properties of Theorem 1 (Theorem 2)?

## REFERENCES

- [1] W.Żelazko, Selected topics in topological algebras, Aarhus University Lecture Notes, Series No 31 (1971).
- [2] W.Żelazko, On certain open problems in topological algebras, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano (in print).
- [3] W.Zelazko, Example of an algebra which is non-topologizable as a locally convex topological algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (in print).

Institute of Mathematics ČSAV Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1 Czechoslovakia