

# Spectral commutativity of multioperators

M. Chō\* and V. Müller \*\*

Dedicated to Professor Tadasu Huruya on his 60th birthday

**Abstract.** We give an example of pairs  $A = (A_1, A_2)$ ,  $B = (B_1, B_2)$  of operators such that  $AB = (A_1B_1, A_2B_2)$  and  $BA = (B_1A_1, B_2A_2)$  are commuting pairs but  $\sigma_T(AB) \setminus \{(0,0)\} \neq \sigma_T(BA) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ . This gives a negative answer to a problem posed by S. Li. Further, we show that  $\sigma_T(AB) = \sigma_T(BA)$  if  $A$  and  $B$  are criss-cross commuting  $n$ -tuples and  $A$  is normal. This gives a positive answer to a problem studied in [ChCH].

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  the set of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $X$ .

It is well-known for two operators  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  that the spectra of  $AB$  and  $BA$  are almost equal,

$$\sigma(AB) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(BA) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1)$$

Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$  be two  $n$ -tuples of operators on a Banach space  $X$ . We denote by  $AB$  the  $n$ -tuple

$$AB = (A_1B_1, A_2B_2, \dots, A_nB_n). \quad (2)$$

In [L1], S. Li posed the following problem:

Is it true that

$$\sigma_T(AB) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\} = \sigma_T(BA) \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$$

for all  $n$ -tuples  $A, B$  such that the  $n$ -tuples  $AB$  and  $BA$  are commuting (so that the Taylor spectrum  $\sigma_T$  is defined)?

In [L1], a positive answer was given under the assumption that the  $n$ -tuples  $(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  and  $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  are criss-cross commuting, i.e.,

$$A_iB_jA_k = A_kB_jA_i, \quad B_iA_jB_k = B_kA_jB_i \quad (3)$$

for all  $i, j, k$ . Criss-cross commuting tuples were further studied in [L2], [H], [ChCH].

**Remark 1.** Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$  be two tuples of operators on a Banach space  $X$ . Another natural possibility how to define the product of  $A$  and  $B$  is to consider the  $nm$ -tuple consisting of all products

$$(A_1B_1, A_1B_2, \dots, A_1B_m, A_2B_1, \dots, A_2B_m, \dots, A_nB_m).$$

---

Keywords and phrases: criss-cross commuting, spectral commutativity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47A10.

\* partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid No. 14540190.

\*\* partially supported by the grant No. 201/00/0208 of GA ĀR.

This  $mn$ -tuple is commuting if  $A$  and  $B$  are criss-cross commuting in the sense of (3). However, this  $mn$ -tuple can be expressed as  $\tilde{A}\tilde{B}$  where

$$\tilde{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots, A_n)$$

and

$$\tilde{B} = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m, B_1, \dots, B_m, \dots, B_1, \dots, B_m).$$

Thus all problems concerning this more general type of product can be reduced to the case of  $m = n$  and the product defined by (2).

The first result of this paper gives a negative answer to the above mentioned problem of S. Li.

**Example 2.** We give an example of pairs  $A = (A_1, A_2)$  and  $B = (B_1, B_2)$  of operators such that  $AB = (A_1B_1, A_2B_2)$  and  $BA = (B_1A_1, B_2A_2)$  are commuting pairs but  $\sigma_T(AB) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \neq \sigma_T(BA) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ .

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_i, f_i, g_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Define operators  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1e_i &= 0, & A_2e_i &= 0, & B_1e_i &= f_i, & B_2e_i &= g_i, \\ A_1f_i &= e_i, & A_2f_i &= 0, & B_1f_i &= 0, & B_2f_i &= 0, \\ A_1g_i &= 0, & A_2g_i &= e_{i+1}, & B_1g_i &= 0, & B_2g_i &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $A_1B_1$  and  $A_2B_2$  are commuting. Similarly,  $B_1A_1$  and  $B_2A_2$  are commuting. However,  $A$  and  $B$  are not criss-cross commuting since  $A_2B_1A_1 \neq A_1B_1A_2$  and  $B_1A_2B_2 \neq B_2A_2B_1$ .

For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $B_2A_2f_i = 0$  and  $(B_1A_1 - I)f_i = 0$ , so the pair  $(B_1A_1 - I, B_2A_2)$  is Taylor singular and  $(1, 0) \in \sigma_T(BA)$ .

We show that  $(A_1B_1 - I, A_2B_2)$  is Taylor regular. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1B_1 - I)e_i &= 0, \\ (A_1B_1 - I)f_i &= -f_i, \\ (A_1B_1 - I)g_i &= -g_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2B_2e_i &= e_{i+1}, \\ A_2B_2f_i &= 0, \\ A_2B_2g_i &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Ker}(A_1B_1 - I) \cap \text{Ker}(A_2B_2) = \{0\}$  and  $\text{Ran}(A_1B_1 - I) + \text{Ran}(A_2B_2) = H$ .

It is sufficient to show that the Koszul complex of the pair  $(A_1B_1 - I, A_2B_2)$  is exact in the middle. Let  $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha_i e_i + \beta_i f_i + \gamma_i g_i)$  and  $y = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha'_i e_i + \beta'_i f_i + \gamma'_i g_i)$  be vectors in  $H$  satisfying  $A_2B_2x = (A_1B_1 - I)y$ . Thus

$$A_2B_2x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_i e_{i+1} = (A_1B_1 - I)y = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-\beta'_i f_i - \gamma'_i g_i).$$

So  $\alpha_i = 0, \beta'_i = 0$  and  $\gamma'_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Set  $u = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha'_{i+1} e_i - \beta_i f_i - \gamma_i g_i)$ . Then  $A_2 B_2 u = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha'_i e_i = y$  and  $(A_1 B_1 - I)u = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\beta_i f_i + \gamma_i g_i) = x$ . Hence  $(A_1 B_1 - I, A_2 B_2)$  is Taylor regular and  $(1, 0) \notin \sigma_T(AB)$ .

In the second half of this paper we consider criss-cross commuting normal tuples. Note that if  $A, B$  are operators on a Hilbert space and  $A$  is normal then the equality (1) is true in a stronger form:  $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(BA)$ . The analogous question for  $n$ -tuples of operators was investigated in [ChCH] and partial results were obtained. We show that  $\sigma_T(AB) = \sigma_T(BA)$  whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are criss-cross commuting tuples and  $A$  is normal, i.e.,  $A$  consists of mutually commuting normal operators. This gives a positive answer to a problem studied in [ChCH].

We start with a version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $H, K$  be Hilbert spaces, let  $A = (A_1, A_2) \in \mathcal{B}(H)^2$  and  $B = (B_1, B_2) \in \mathcal{B}(K)^2$  be commuting pairs of normal operators, let  $S : H \rightarrow K$  be a bounded linear operator. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $B_1 S A_1 + B_2 S A_2 = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $S H_A(F) \subset K_B(F^\perp)$  for each closed subset  $F \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ , where

$$F^\perp = \{(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2 = 0 \text{ for some } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in F\}$$

and  $H_A(\cdot), K_B(\cdot)$  are the spectral subspaces of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we can assume that  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$  are contractions. Denote by  $E_A(\cdot)$  and  $E_B(\cdot)$  the spectral projections corresponding to  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Suppose on the contrary that there is a closed subset  $F \subset \sigma_T(A)$  such that  $S H_A(F) \not\subset K_B(F^\perp)$ . Equivalently,  $E_B(\sigma_T(B) \setminus F^\perp) S E_A(F) \neq 0$ .

Since

$$\sigma_T(B) \setminus F^\perp = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \sigma_T(B) : \inf_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in F} |\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2| \geq n^{-1}\},$$

it is easy to see that there are  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a closed subset  $M \subset \sigma_T(B)$  such that  $E_B(M) S E_A(F) \neq 0$  and  $|\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2| \geq \varepsilon$  for all  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in F$  and  $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in M$ .

Choose a positive number  $\delta < \varepsilon/8$ . Since  $F$  and  $M$  can be covered by a finite number of balls of radius  $\delta$ , there are  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in F, (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in M$  and Borel sets  $F', M'$  such that  $E_B(M') S E_A(F') \neq 0, M' \subset M \cap \{(z, w) : |z - \mu_1| \leq \delta, |w - \mu_2| \leq \delta\}$  and  $F' \subset F \cap \{(z, w) : |z - \lambda_1| \leq \delta, |w - \lambda_2| \leq \delta\}$ . Set  $S' = E_B(M') S E_A(F')$ .

Choose  $x \in H_A(F')$  of norm one such that  $\|S'x\| > \|S'\|/2$ . We have

$$\|B_1 S' A_1 x - \lambda_1 \mu_1 S' x\| \leq \|(B_1 - \mu_1) S' A_1 x\| + \|\mu_1 S' (A_1 x - \lambda_1 x)\| \leq 2\delta \|S'\|,$$

and similarly,  $\|B_2 S' A_2 x - \lambda_2 \mu_2 S' x\| \leq 2\delta \|S'\|$ . Since

$$B_1 S' A_1 + B_2 S' A_2 = E_B(M') (B_1 S A_1 + B_2 S A_2) E_A(F') = 0,$$

we have  $\|(\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2) S' x\| \leq 4\delta \|S'\|$ . On the other hand,

$$\|(\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2) S' x\| \geq \|S' x\| \cdot |\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2| \geq \varepsilon \|S' x\| > 4\delta \|S'\|,$$

a contradiction.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $(C_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  be nonempty disjoint Borel sets with diameters  $< \varepsilon$  such that  $\bigcup_i C_i = \mathbb{C}$ . For each  $i$  fix  $\lambda_i \in C_i$ . Thus  $C_i \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - \lambda_i| < \varepsilon\}$ . Set  $F_0 = \{(0, w) : w \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and, for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_i = \{(z, cz) : z \neq 0, c \in C_i\}$ . Then  $(F_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  are disjoint sets,  $\bigcup_{i=0}^\infty F_i = \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $F_0^\perp = \{(z, 0) : z \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and  $F_i^\perp = \{(-cz, z) : c \in C_i, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$  ( $i \geq 1$ ).

We have  $E_B(F_0^\perp)(B_1SA_1 + B_2SA_2)E_A(F_0) = 0$ . Clearly for each  $i \geq 1$  we have  $\|(A_2 - \lambda_i A_1)|H_A(F_i)\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|(B_1 + \lambda_i B_2)|K_B(F_i^\perp)\| < \varepsilon$ . For  $x \in H_A(F_i)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_1SA_1x + B_2SA_2x\| &= \|B_1SA_1x + \lambda_i B_2SA_1x - \lambda_i B_2SA_1x + B_2SA_2x\| \\ &\leq \|(B_1 + \lambda_i B_2)SA_1x\| + \|B_2S(A_2 - \lambda_i A_1)x\| < 2\varepsilon\|S\| \cdot \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|(B_1SA_1 + B_2SA_2)|H_A(F_i)\| \leq 2\varepsilon\|S\|$  for all  $i$ .

For  $x \in H_A(F_i)$  we have  $SA_1x \in K_B(F_i^\perp)$  and

$$B_1SA_1x = B_1E_B(F_i^\perp \setminus \{(0, 0)\})SA_1x + B_1E_B(\{(0, 0)\})SA_1x \in K_B(F_i^\perp \setminus \{(0, 0)\}).$$

Similarly  $B_2SA_2x \in K_B(F_i^\perp \setminus \{(0, 0)\})$  and we have  $(B_1SA_1 + B_2SA_2)H_A(F_j) \subset K_B(F_j^\perp \setminus \{(0, 0)\})$ . Since the sets  $F_j \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  are mutually disjoint, the spaces  $K_B(F_j \setminus \{(0, 0)\})$  are orthogonal. Thus  $\|B_1SA_1 + B_2SA_2\| \leq 2\varepsilon\|S\|$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary, we have  $B_1SA_1 + B_2SA_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Let  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, S$  satisfy the conditions of the previous theorem. Since the spectral subspaces of  $A$  and  $A^*$  coincide and satisfy  $H_A(F) = H_{A^*}(\bar{F})$  where  $\bar{F} = \{\bar{z} : z \in F\}$ , and similar relations hold for  $B$  and  $B^*$ , Theorem 3 implies the following general form of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, see [P], [W]: if  $B_1SA_1 + B_2SA_2 = 0$  then  $B_1^*SA_1^* + B_2^*SA_2^* = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ ,  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$  be criss-cross commuting tuples, let  $A$  be normal (i.e,  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are commuting normal operators). Then  $\sigma_T(AB) = \sigma_T(BA)$ .

**Proof.** If  $0 \in \sigma_T(A)$  then both  $AB$  and  $BA$  are Taylor singular by [ChCH], Theorem 2.1. Thus we may assume that  $A$  is Taylor regular. For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  write

$$M_j = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \sigma(A) : |z_j| > |z_i| \quad (i < j) \text{ and } |z_j| \geq |z_i| \quad (i > j)\}.$$

Let  $H_j$  be the corresponding spectral subspaces  $H_j = H_A(M_j)$ . Clearly  $H = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n H_j$  and  $A_i H_j \subset H_j$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Set  $c_j = \min\{|z_j| : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in M_j\}$ . Then  $c_j > 0$  and  $A_j|H_j$  is invertible for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Fix  $k, i, j$ ,  $1 \leq k, i, j \leq n$ ,  $i \neq j$ . We have  $A_i B_k A_j - A_j B_k A_i = 0$ . By Theorem 3 for the pairs  $(A_i, A_j), (A_j, -A_i)$  we have

$$B_k H_A(\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| \leq |z_j|, \|z_j\| \geq c_j/2\}) \subset H_A(\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| \leq |z_j|\})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_k H_A(\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| < |z_j|\}) &= \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} B_k H_A(\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| + r^{-1} \leq |z_j|\}) \\ &\subset H_A(\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) : |z_i| < |z_j| \text{ or } z_i = z_j = 0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the spaces  $H_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) are invariant with respect to the operators  $B_k$  for all  $k$ , and therefore also to all products  $A_k B_k, B_k A_k$ . Thus

$$\sigma_T(AB) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \sigma_T(AB|H_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_T(BA) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \sigma_T(BA|H_j).$$

Since  $A_j|H_j$  is invertible for all  $j$ , by [ChCH], Theorem 3.3 we have  $\sigma_T(AB|H_j) = \sigma_T(BA|H_j)$ . Hence  $\sigma_T(AB) = \sigma_T(BA)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The paper was written during the second author's stay at the Kanagawa University. The author would like to thank for perfect working conditions and warm hospitality there.

### References

- [ChCH] M. Chō, R.E. Curto, T. Huruaya,  $n$ -Tuples of operators satisfying  $\sigma_T(AB) = \sigma_T(BA)$ , *Lin. Alg. Appl.* 341 (2002), 291–298.
- [H] R. Harte, On criss-cross commutativity, *J. Operator theory* 37 (1997), 303–309.
- [L1] S. Li, On the commuting properties of Taylor's spectrum, *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 37 (1992), 1849–1852.
- [L2] S. Li, Taylor spectral invariance for crisscross commuting pairs on Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), 2069–2071.
- [P] C.R. Putnam, Normal operators and strong limit approximations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 32 (1983), 377–379.
- [W] G. Weiss, The Fuglede commutativity theorem modulo the Hilbert-Schmidt class and generating functions for matrix operators II, *J. Operator Theory* 5 (1981), 3–16.

Department of Mathematics  
 Kanagawa University  
 Yokohama 221-8686 Japan  
 chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp

Institute of Mathematics AV ČR  
 Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1  
 Czech Republic  
 muller@math.cas.cz