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#### Abstract

We exhibit an example of a continuous proper semicharacter on a Banach algebra. This gives an answer to the problem posed by Z. Słodkowski and W. Żelazko.


A semicharacter on a Banach algebra $A$ is a complex-valued function $f$ defined on $A$ such that, for every commutative subalgebra $A_{0} \subset A$, the restriction $f \mid A_{0}$ is a multiplicative linear functional (=character) on $A_{0}$ (we do not assume continuity of $f$ ).

Multiplicative linear functionals play an important role in the theory of generalized spectra (see [3],[6],[2]) in commutative Banach algebras. As generalized spectra in noncommutative Banach algebras are defined only for commuting systems of elements, it is natural to replace multiplicative linear functionals in the non-commutative case by semicharacters.

However, usually it is rather difficult to find a proper semicharacter (i.e. a semicharacter which is not a character). Note that a linear semicharacter is clearly continuous and by [5] it is already multiplicative, so that it is a character. In [4] the problem was raised whether a continuous semicharacter is already a character.

The aim of this note is to give a negative answer to this question.
Theorem: There exist a Banach algebra $B$ and a continuous semicharacter $f$ : $B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is not a multiplicative linear functional.

Proof: Denote by $\mathbb{R}_{+}$the set of all positive real numbers and by $D=\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<$ $1\}$ the open unit disc in the complex plain. Let $A$ be the disc algebra of all functions holomorphic in $D$ and continuous in $\bar{D}$. For $a \in A$ denote $\|a\|=\max _{z \in \bar{D}}|a(z)|$. Set $B=A \times A$. We define the norm and the algebraic operations in $B$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|(a, b)\|=\|a\|+\|b\| \\
& (a, b)+\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a+a^{\prime}, b+b^{\prime}\right) \\
& \alpha(a, b)=(\alpha a, b), \\
& (a, b) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}, a b^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(a, b, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in A, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this way $B$ becomes a Banach algebra.
Let $(a, b),\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B$. Then $(a, b) \cdot\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}, a b^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \cdot(a, b)=\left(a^{\prime} a, a^{\prime} b\right)$ so that $(a, b)$ and $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B$ commute if and only if $a b^{\prime}=a^{\prime} b$. Thus $B$ has only few commutative subalgebras which are easy to describe.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in D^{n}, r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ and $s>0$ we denote

$$
F_{\lambda, r, s}=\left\{z \in D,|z| \leq 1-s,\left|z-\lambda_{i}\right| \geq r_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)\right\} .
$$

Clearly $F_{\lambda, r, s}$ is a closed subset of $D$. Let $k>0$ and $0<s<1 / 2$. Denote by $M_{k, s}$ the set of all pairs $(a, b) \in B$ for which there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in D^{n}$ and $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}<s$ and

$$
z \in F_{\lambda, r, s} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a(z) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right|<k
$$

Clearly, if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}<s<1 / 2$ then $F_{\lambda, r, s}$ is a non-empty subset of $D$ so that $(a, b) \in M_{k, s}$ implies $a \neq 0$. On the other hand, if $a \neq 0$ then $(a, 0) \in M_{k, s}$ for every $k>0$ and $0<s<1 / 2$. Indeed, $a$ has only a finite number of zeros $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ in the $\operatorname{disc}\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \leq 1-s\}$ so that for any positive numbers $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}<s$ we have $z \in F_{\lambda, r, s} \Rightarrow a(z) \neq 0$.

Further, $M_{k, s} \subset M_{k^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}$ if $k<k^{\prime}$ and $s<s^{\prime}$.

1) If $k>0$ and $0<s<1 / 2$ then $M_{k, s}$ is an open subset of $B$.

Proof: Let $(a, b) \in M_{k, s}$. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in D^{n}$ and $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}<s$ and $z \in F_{\lambda, r, s} \Rightarrow a(z) \neq 0$ and $\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right|<k$. Denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{0}=\max _{z \in F_{\lambda, r, s}}\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right|<k, \\
& k_{1}=\max \{\|a\|,\|b\|\} \quad \text { and } \\
& k_{2}=\min _{z \in F_{\lambda, r, s}}|a(z)|>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\delta=\min \left\{k_{2} / 2,\left(k-k_{0}\right) k_{2}^{2} / 2 k_{1}\right\}>0$. Let $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B,\left\|(a, b)-\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\delta$, i.e. $\left\|a-a^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|b-b^{\prime}\right\|<\delta$. Then, for $z \in F_{\lambda, r, s}$, we have

$$
\left|a^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq|a(z)|-\delta \geq k_{2}-\frac{k_{2}}{2}=\frac{k_{2}}{2}>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{b^{\prime}(z)}{a^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{b^{\prime}(z)}{a^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right| \leq k_{0}+\left|\frac{a(z)\left(b^{\prime}(z)-b(z)\right)+b(z)\left(a(z)-a^{\prime}(z)\right)}{a^{\prime}(z) a(z)}\right|< \\
<k_{0}+\frac{k_{1} \delta}{k_{2}\left(k_{2}-\delta\right)} \leq k_{0}+\frac{2 k_{1} \delta}{k_{2}^{2}} \leq k
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in M_{k, s}$ and $M_{k, s}$ is an open subset of $B$.
2) Let $(a, b) \in M_{k, s}$ and let $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B$ satisfy $a^{\prime} \neq 0$ and $a^{\prime} b=b^{\prime} a$. Then $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in M_{k, s}$.

Proof: Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in D^{n}$ and $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}<s$ and

$$
z \in F_{\lambda, r, s} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a(z) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right|<k
$$

The function $a^{\prime}$ has only a finite number of zeros $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}^{\prime}$ in the disc $\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \leq$ $1-s\}$. Choose positive numbers $r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{m}^{\prime}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{j}^{\prime}<s-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}$. Consider the set

$$
F=\left\{z \in D,|z| \leq 1-s,\left|z-\lambda_{i}\right| \geq r_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, n),\left|z-\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right| \geq r_{j}^{\prime} \quad(j=1, \ldots, m)\right\} .
$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{j}^{\prime}<s$ and

$$
z \in F \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^{\prime}(z) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{b^{\prime}(z)}{a^{\prime}(z)}\right|=\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right|<k .
$$

Hence $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in M_{k, s}$.
3) Let $k, k^{\prime}, s, s^{\prime}$ be positive numbers such that $k<k^{\prime}$ and $s<s^{\prime}<1 / 2$. Then $\overline{M_{k, s}} \cap\{(a, b) \in B, a \neq 0\} \subset M_{k^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}$.

Proof: Let $(a, b) \in \overline{M_{k, s}}$ and $a \neq 0$. The function $a$ has only a finite number of zeros $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}^{\prime}$ in the disc $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z| \leq 1-s^{\prime}\right\}$. Choose positive numbers $r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{m}^{\prime}$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j}^{\prime}<s^{\prime}-s$. Consider the set

$$
F_{\lambda^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}=\left\{z \in D,|z| \leq 1-s^{\prime},\left|z-\lambda_{j}^{\prime}\right| \geq r_{j}^{\prime} \quad(j=1, \ldots, m)\right\} .
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=\max \{\|a\|,\|b\|\} \quad \text { and } \\
& k_{2}=\min _{z \in F_{\lambda^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}}|a(z)|>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta=\min \left\{k_{2} / 2,\left(k^{\prime}-k\right) k_{2}^{2} / 2 k_{1}\right\}>0$. Then there exists $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in M_{k, s}$ such that $\left\|\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)-(a, b)\right\|=\left\|a-a^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|b-b^{\prime}\right\|<\delta$. This means that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \in D^{n}$ and $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}<s$ and

$$
z \in F_{\lambda, r, s} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^{\prime}(z) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\frac{b^{\prime}(z)}{a^{\prime}(z)}\right|<k .
$$

Then for $z \in F_{\lambda, r, s} \cap F_{\lambda^{\prime}, r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}$ we have $a(z) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}\right| \leq\left|\frac{b^{\prime}(z)}{a^{\prime}(z)}\right|+\left|\frac{b(z)}{a(z)}-\frac{b^{\prime}(z)}{a^{\prime}(z)}\right|<k+\left|\frac{b(z)\left(a^{\prime}(z)-a(z)\right)+a(z)\left(\left(b(z)-b^{\prime}(z)\right)\right.}{a(z) a^{\prime}(z)}\right|< \\
<k+\frac{k_{1} \delta}{k_{2}\left(k_{2}-\delta\right)} \leq k+\frac{2 k_{1} \delta}{k_{2}^{2}} \leq k^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $(a, b) \in M_{k^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}$.
Denote $B_{0}=\{(a, b) \in B, a \neq 0\}$.
4) There exists a non-constant continuous function $\varphi: B_{0} \rightarrow\langle 0,1 / 2\rangle$ such that

$$
(a, b),\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B_{0}, a b^{\prime}=b a^{\prime} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi(a, b)=\varphi\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof: For $(a, b) \in B_{0}$ define

$$
\varphi(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \text { if }(a, b) \notin \cup_{0<s<1 / 2} M_{s, s} \\
\inf \left\{s,(a, b) \in M_{s, s}\right\} & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, by 2), $\varphi(a, b)=\varphi\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ if $a b^{\prime}=a^{\prime} b$. The function $\varphi$ is non-constant since $\varphi(1,0)=0$ and $\varphi(1,1)=1 / 2$. The proof of continuity of $\varphi$ is standard. Let $s_{0} \in$ $(0,1 / 2\rangle$. Then

$$
\left\{(a, b) \in B_{0}, \varphi(a, b)<s_{0}\right\}=\bigcup_{s<s_{0}} M_{s, s}
$$

which is an open subset of $B_{0}$. If $s_{0} \in\langle 0,1 / 2)$ then

$$
\left\{(a, b) \in B_{0}, \varphi(a, b) \leq s_{0}\right\}=\bigcap_{s>s_{0}} M_{s, s}=\bigcap_{s>s_{0}}\left(\overline{M_{s, s}} \cap B_{0}\right),
$$

which is a closed subset of $B_{0}$. Thus $\varphi$ is a continuous function.
Define a function $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
f(a, b)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } a=0 \\
a(\varphi(a, b)) & \text { if } a \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We show that $f$ is a proper continuous semicharacter.
5) Let $x=(a, b) \in B$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $f(\alpha x)=\alpha f(x)$.

Proof: This is clear if $\alpha=0$ or $a=0$. If $a \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\varphi(x)=\varphi(\alpha x)=t_{0}$ so that $f(\alpha x)=f(\alpha a, \alpha b)=\alpha \cdot a\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha f(x)$.
6) Let $x=(a, b), x^{\prime}=\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B$ be commuting elements. Then $f\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)=$ $f(x)+f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $f\left(x x^{\prime}\right)=f(x) \cdot f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof: We have $a b^{\prime}=a^{\prime} b$. We distinguish several cases:
a) If $a=0$ and $b=0$, then $f(x)=0=f\left(x x^{\prime}\right)$ so that the statement is clear.
b) If $a=0$ and $b \neq 0$, then $a^{\prime}=0$ so that $f(x)=f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=f\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)=f\left(x x^{\prime}\right)=0$.
c) If $a^{\prime}=0$, then the statement can be proved analogously.
d) The remaining case is $a \neq 0, a^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then

$$
\varphi(a, b)=\varphi\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(a a^{\prime}, a b^{\prime}\right)=t_{0}
$$

so that

$$
f\left(x x^{\prime}\right)=\left(a a^{\prime}\right)\left(t_{0}\right)=a\left(t_{0}\right) a^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=f(x) \cdot f\left(x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Further either $a=-a^{\prime}$ so that $b=-b^{\prime}$ and $f\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)=f(x)+f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$, or $a+a^{\prime} \neq 0$ so that $\varphi\left(a+a^{\prime}, b+b^{\prime}\right)=t_{0}$ and

$$
f\left(x+x^{\prime}\right)=\left(a+a^{\prime}\right)\left(t_{0}\right)=a\left(t_{0}\right)+a^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=f(x)+f\left(x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Hence $f$ is a semicharacter.
7) $f$ is a continuous semicharacter.

Proof: Let $x=(0, b)$. Then $f(x)=0$. If $x^{\prime}=\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B$ then either $a^{\prime}=0$ so that $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0$, or $a^{\prime} \neq 0$ so that $\left|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|a^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \leq\left\|a^{\prime}\right\|$. In both cases we have $\left|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f(x)\right| \leq\left\|x^{\prime}-x\right\|$, hence $f$ is continuous at $x=(0, b)$.

Let $x=(a, b)$ where $a \neq 0$ and let $\epsilon>0$. Find $\delta>0$ such that $|t-\varphi(x)|<\delta \Rightarrow$ $|a(t)-a(\varphi(x))|<\epsilon / 2$. From the continuity of $\varphi$ it is possible to find a positive number $\delta_{1}<\epsilon / 2$ such that

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}-x\right\|<\delta_{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x^{\prime} \in B_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\varphi(x)\right|<\delta
$$

For $x^{\prime}=\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \in B,\left\|x^{\prime}-x\right\|<\delta_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f\left(x^{\prime}\right)-f(x)\right|=\left|a^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-a(\varphi(x))\right| \leq\left|a^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-a\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|+\left|a\left(\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)-a(\varphi(x))\right| \leq \\
\leq\left\|a^{\prime}-a\right\|+\epsilon / 2 \leq\left\|x^{\prime}-x\right\|+\epsilon / 2<\epsilon .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $f$ is a continuous semicharacter.
It remains to show that $f$ is not a multiplicative linear functional.To this end consider $x=(1,0)$ and $x^{\prime}=(z, z)$. Then $x^{\prime} x=(z, 0), \varphi(x)=0, \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1 / 2$ and $\varphi\left(x^{\prime} x\right)=0$ so that $f(x)=1, f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1 / 2$ and $f\left(x^{\prime} x\right)=0 \neq f(x) \cdot f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Remark 1: The above constructed algebra $B$ has no unit element. If we consider its unital extension $B_{1}=B \oplus\{\mathbb{C} e\}$ then $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be extended to a proper continuous semicharacter $f_{1}: B_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f_{1}(x+\lambda e)=f(x)+\lambda \quad(x \in B, \lambda \in \mathbb{C})$.

Problem: Suppose that $f$ is a uniformly continuous semicharacter on a Banach algebra $A$, i.e., for some constant $k$ we have $\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq k \cdot\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\| \quad\left(x, x^{\prime} \in A\right)$. Does it follow that $f$ is a multiplicative linear functional?

Remark 2: If $f$ is a semicharacter on a Banach algebra $A$ such that $z \rightarrow f(a+b z)$ is a holomorphic function for every $a, b \in A$, then $f$ is already a multiplicative linear functional. Indeed, function $\varphi: z \rightarrow f(a+b z)-f(a)-z \cdot f(b)$ is holomorphic and $\varphi(0)=0$ so that

$$
\varphi_{1}: z \rightarrow \frac{\varphi(z)}{z}=f\left(b+\frac{a}{z}\right)-\frac{f(a)}{z}-f(b) \quad(z \neq 0)
$$

extends to an entire function and $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{1}(z)=0$. Thus $\varphi_{1}(z)=0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular,

$$
0=\varphi_{1}(1)=f(a+b)-f(a)-f(b)
$$

so that $f$ is a linear functional, i.e. a semicharacter.
Remark 3: A notion analogous to semicharacters is that of a quasilinear functional on a Banach algebra $A$ ( $=$ a bounded function which is linear on each commutative subalgebra of $A$ ). This notion, which is motivated by quantum physics, has been studied intensively in the context of $C^{*}$-algebras, see [1].
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