## The splitting spectrum differs from the Taylor spectrum

## V. Müller\*

**Abstract.** We construct a pair of commuting Banach space operators for which the splitting spectrum is different from the Taylor spectrum.

Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  be mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X. The Koszul complex of the *n*-tuple  $(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  is the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^0(X, e) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \Lambda^1(X, e) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_{n-1}} \Lambda^n(X, e) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\Lambda^p(X, e)$  denotes the vector space of all forms of degree p in indeterminates  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  with coefficients in X and the linear mappings  $\delta_p : \Lambda^p(X, e) \to \Lambda^{p+1}(X, e)$  are defined by

$$\delta^p(xe_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j xe_j \wedge e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p}.$$

It is well-known that  $\delta_{p+1}\delta_p = 0$  for every p. The Taylor spectrum  $\sigma_T(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  is the set of all *n*-tuples  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  of complex numbers for which the Koszul complex of  $(A_1 - \lambda_1, \ldots, A_n - \lambda_n)$  is not exact [5].

Instead of the Taylor spectrum it is sometimes useful to use the following variation of the Taylor spectrum, see e.g. [1], [3], [4]. We say that the *n*-tuple  $(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  is splitting-regular if its Koszul complex is exact and the ranges of the operators  $\delta_p$  are complemented in  $\Lambda^{p+1}(X, e)$ . Equivalently, there exists operators  $\varepsilon_p : \Lambda^{p+1}(X, e) \to$  $\Lambda^p(X, e) \quad (p = 0, \ldots, n - 1)$  such that  $\varepsilon_p \delta_p + \delta_{p-1} \varepsilon_{p-1}$  is the identity operator on  $\Lambda^p(X, e)$  for  $p = 0, \ldots, n$  (formally we set  $\delta_{-1} = \delta_n = 0$ ). The splitting spectrum  $\sigma_S(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  is the set of all  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that the *n*-tuple  $(A_1 - \lambda_1, \ldots, A_n - \lambda_n)$  is not splitting-regular.

The splitting spectrum has similar properties as the Taylor spectrum. Clearly  $\sigma_T(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \subset \sigma_S(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ . For Hilbert space operators these two spectra coincide and the same is true for *n*-tuples of operators in  $\ell_1$  or in  $\ell_{\infty}$ , [2]. Also for a single operator  $A_1$  in an arbitrary Banach space  $\sigma_T(A_1) = \sigma_S(A_1)$ . Consequently the polynomially convex hulls of  $\sigma_T(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  and of  $\sigma_S(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  are equal.

It was generally expected that these two spectra are different for n-tuple of operators on a Banach space but no example was known and it was believed that such an example would be complicated [2]. The aim of this note is to fill this gap in the theory. Surprisingly, the constructed example is rather simple.

We denote by R(T) and N(T) the range and the kernel of an operator T. If X and Y are Banach spaces then  $X \oplus Y$  denotes the direct sum endowed with the  $\ell_1$ -norm,  $\|(x,y)\|_{X \oplus Y} = \|x\|_X + \|y\|_Y$  ( $x \in X, y \in Y$ ). Similar convention we use for direct sums of more than two Banach spaces.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A13

<sup>\*</sup> The research was supported by the GA  $\dot{C}R$  grant 201/96/0411.

**Lemma 1.** There exists a Banach space Z and closed subspaces  $Y_1, Y_2 \subset Z$  such that  $Y_1 + Y_2 = Z$  and the subspace  $\{(x, x) : x \in Y_1 \cap Y_2\}$  is not complemented in  $Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ .

**Proof.** Fix a Banach space Y and a closed subspace  $X \subset Y$  which is not complemented in Y.

Clearly  $M = \{(x, -x) : x \in X\}$  is a closed subspace of  $Y \oplus Y$ . Denote  $Z = (Y \oplus Y)/M$  and let  $\pi : Y \oplus Y \to Z$  be the canonical projection. Clearly  $\pi(x, 0) = \pi(0, x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Consider operators  $J_1, J_2 : Y \to Z$  defined by  $J_1y = \pi(y, 0)$  and  $J_2y = \pi(0, y)$   $(y \in Y)$ . It is easy to check that  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are isometries. Denote  $Y_1 = J_1Y$  and  $Y_2 = J_2Y$ . Clearly  $Z = Y_1 + Y_2$  and  $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \{\pi(x, 0) : x \in X\} = \{\pi(0, x) : x \in X\}$ .

Suppose on the contrary that the space  $D = \{(\pi(x,0), \pi(0,x)) : x \in X\}$  is complemented in  $Y_1 \oplus Y_2 = \{(\pi(y_1,0), \pi(0,y_2)) : y_1, y_2 \in Y\}$ . Then D is complemented also in the closed subspace

$$W = \{ (\pi(y,0), \pi(0,y)) : y \in Y \} = \{ (J_1y, J_2y) : y \in Y \} \subset Y_1 \oplus Y_2.$$

Let  $J: Y \to W$  be defined by  $Jy = (\pi(\frac{y}{2}, 0), \pi(0, \frac{y}{2}))$ . Clearly J is an isometry onto W and JX = D so that X is complemented in Y, a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.** There exist a Banach space W and commuting operators  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(W)$ such that  $\sigma_T(A_1, A_2) \neq \sigma_S(A_1, A_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $Z, Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  be the Banach spaces from the previous lemma. For  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  set

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} Z & (i, j \ge 1), \\ Y_1 & (i \ge 1, j \le 0), \\ Y_2 & (i \le 0, j \ge 1), \\ Y_1 \cap Y_2 & (i, j \le 0). \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $W_{ij} \subset W_{i+1,j}$  and  $W_{ij} \subset W_{i,j+1}$ . Set  $W = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} W_{ij}$  and let  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(W)$  be the shift operators to the right and up,

$$A_1\left(\bigoplus_{i,j} w_{ij}\right) = \bigoplus_{i,j} w_{i-1,j}, \qquad A_2\left(\bigoplus_{i,j} w_{ij}\right) = \bigoplus_{i,j} w_{i,j-1}.$$

Clearly  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are commuting isometries. Further  $W_{ij} = W_{i+1,j} \cap W_i, j+1$  and  $W_{ij} = W_{i-1,j} + W_i, j-1$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $R(A_1) + R(A_2) = W$ .

The Koszul complex of the pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow W \xrightarrow{\delta_0} W \oplus W \xrightarrow{\delta_1} W \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

where  $\delta_0 w = (A_1 w, A_2 w)$  and  $\delta(w, z) = -A_2 w + A_1 z$   $(w, z \in W)$ . Clearly  $\delta_0$  is bounded below and  $R(\delta_1) = R(A_1) + R(A_2) = W$ .

To show the exactness of the Koszul complex (1) it is sufficient to prove  $N(\delta_1) \subset R(\delta_0)$ . Let  $(\bigoplus w_{ij}, \bigoplus z_{ij}) \in N(\delta_1)$  for some  $w_{ij}, z_{ij} \in W_{ij}$ . Then, for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $w_{i,j-1} = z_{i-1,j}$  so that

$$w_{ij} = z_{i-1,j+1} \in W_{ij} \cap W_{i-1,j+1} = W_{i-1,j}$$

and

$$z_{ij} = w_{i+1,j-1} \in W_{ij} \cap W_{i+1,j-1} = W_{i,j-1}$$

Set  $u = \bigoplus w_{i+1,j} = \bigoplus z_{i,j+1}$ . Then  $\delta_1 u = (A_1 u, A_2 u) = (\bigoplus w_{ij}, \bigoplus z_{ij})$ . Hence  $N(\delta_1) = R(\delta_0)$ , the Koszul complex (1) is exact and  $(0,0) \notin \sigma_T(A_1, A_2)$ .

We show that  $R(\delta_0)$  is not complemented in  $W \oplus W$ . Suppose on the contrary that there exists a projection  $P \in \mathcal{L}(W \oplus W)$  with range  $R(\delta_0)$ . Let  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(W \oplus W)$ be defined by  $Q(\bigoplus w_{ij}, \bigoplus z_{ij}) = (w_{1,0}, z_{0,1}) \in W_{1,0} \oplus W_{0,1}$ . Clearly  $Q^2 = Q$  and PQP = QP so that  $(QP)^2 = Q(PQP) = QP$  is also a projection with

$$R(QP) = \{(w_{1,0}, z_{0,1}) : w_{1,0} = z_{0,1} \in W_{0,0}\} = \{(x, x) : x \in Y_1 \cap Y_2\}.$$

Clearly R(QP) is complemented also in  $W_{1,0} \oplus W_{0,1} = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$  which is a contradiction with Lemma 1.

Hence  $(0,0) \in \sigma_S(A_1, A_2)$  and  $\sigma_S(A_1, A_2) \neq \sigma_T(A_1, A_2)$ .

## References

- J. Eschmeier, Analytic spectral mapping theorems for joint spectra, in: H. Helson, B. Sz.-Nagy, F.H. Vasilescu, D. Voiculescu (eds.), Operator theory: advances and applications, vol. 24, 167–181, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart, Birkhäuser.
- [2] R. Harte, Invertibility, singularity and Joseph L. Taylor, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. 81A (1981), 71–79.
- [3] V. Kordula, V. Müller, Vasilescu-Martinelli formula for operators in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 113 (1995), 127–139.
- [4] M. Putinar, Some invariants for semi-Fredholm systems of essentially commuting operators, J. Operator Theory 8 (1982), 65–90.
- [5] J.L. Taylor, A joint spectrum for several commuting operators, J. Funct. Anal. 6 (1970), 172–191.

Mathematical Institute Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1 Czech Republic e-mail: vmuller@mbox.cesnet.cz