

Numerical Study of Discretizations of Multistage Stochastic Programs

Petri Hilli; Teemu Pennanen

Abstract: This paper presents a numerical study of a deterministic discretization procedure for multistage stochastic programs where the underlying stochastic process has a continuous probability distribution. The discretization procedure is based on quasi-Monte Carlo techniques originally developed for numerical multivariate integration. The solutions of the discretized problems are evaluated by statistical bounds obtained from random sample average approximations and out-of-sample simulations. In the numerical tests, the optimal values of the discretizations as well as their first-stage solutions approach those of the original infinite-dimensional problem as the discretizations are made finer.

Keywords: stochastic programming; discretization; integration quadratures; simulation;

AMS Subject Classification: 90C15; 49M25; 90C25;

References

- [1] J. Blomvall and A. Shapiro: Solving multistage asset investment problems by the sample average approximation method. *Math. Program.* 108 (2006), 571–595.
- [2] A. Chiralaksanakul: Monte Carlo Methods for Multi-stage Stochastic Programs. PhD. Thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 2003.
- [3] A. Chiralaksanakul and D. Morton: Assessing policy quality in multi-stage stochastic programming. *Stochastic Programming E-Print Series*, 2004.
- [4] R. Fourer, D. M. Gay, and B.W. Kernighan: *AMPL: A Modeling Language for Mathematical Programming*. Second edition. Thomson, Canada 2002.
- [5] K. Frauendorfer: Barycentric scenario trees in convex multistage stochastic programming. *Math. Programming* 75 (1996), 277–293.
- [6] P. Glasserman: *Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering*. Springer-Verlag, New York 2004.
- [7] H. Heitsch and W. Römisch: Scenario tree modelling for multistage stochastic programs. *Math. Programming*. To appear.

- [8] P. Hilli, M. Koivu, T. Pennanen, and A. Ranne: A stochastic programming model for asset liability management of a Finnish pension company. *Ann. Oper. Res.* 152 (2007), 115–139.
- [9] P. Kall and J. Mayer: *Stochastic Linear Programming*. Springer-Verlag, New York 2005.
- [10] D. Kuhn: *Generalized Bounds for Convex Multistage Stochastic Programs*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2005.
- [11] H. Niederreiter: *Random Number Generation and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods*. SIAM, Philadelphia 1992.
- [12] H. Niederreiter and D. Talay: *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods 2004*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2006.
- [13] P. Olsen: Discretizations of multistage stochastic programming problems. *Math. Programming Stud.* 6 (1976), 111–124.
- [14] T. Pennanen: Epi-convergent discretizations of multistage stochastic programs. *Math. Oper. Res.* 30 (2005), 245–256.
- [15] T. Pennanen: Epi-convergent discretizations of multistage stochastic programs via integration quadratures. *Math. Programming, Series B*. To appear.
- [16] T. Pennanen and M. Koivu: Integration quadratures in discretization of stochastic programs. *SPEPS E-Print Series*, 2002.
- [17] G. Pflug: Scenario tree generation for multiperiod financial optimization by optimal discretization. *Math. Programming* 89 (2001), 251–271.
- [18] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery: *Numerical Recipes in C*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1992.
- [19] R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets: Continuous versus measurable recourse in N -stage stochastic programming. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 48 (1974), 836–859.
- [20] R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets: Nonanticipativity and L^1 -martingales in stochastic optimization problems. *Math. Programming Stud.* (1976), 170–187.
- [21] A. Shapiro: Inference of statistical bounds for multistage stochastic programming problems. *Math. Methods Oper. Res.* 58 (2003), 57–68.
- [22] A. Shapiro: On complexity of multistage stochastic programs. *Oper. Res. Lett.* 34 (2006), 1–8.
- [23] I. H. Sloan and S. Joe: *Lattice Methods for Multiple Integration*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York 1994.
- [24] I. M. Sobol': The distribution of points in a cube and the approximate evaluation of integrals. *U.S.S.R. Computational Math. And Math. Phys.* (1967), 86–112.