

SOME NEW SCALES OF CHARACTERIZATION OF HARDY'S INEQUALITY

AMIRAM GOGATISHVILI, ALOIS KUFNER, AND LARS-ERIK PERSSON

ABSTRACT. Let 1 . Inspired by some recent results concerningHardy type inequalities where the equivalence of four scales of integral conditions was proved, we use related ideas to find ten new equivalence scalesof integral conditions. By applying our result to the original Hardy typeinequality situation we obtain a new proof of a number of characterizationsof the Hardy inequality and obtain also some new weight characterizations.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the general one-dimensional Hardy inequality

(1.1)
$$\left(\int_0^b \left(\int_0^x f(t)dt\right)^q u(x)dx\right)^{1/q} \le C \left(\int_0^b f^p(x)v(x)dx\right)^{1/p}$$

with a fixed b, $0 < b \le \infty$, for measurable functions $f \ge 0$, weights u and v and for the parameters p, q satisfying

$$1$$

The inequality (1.1) is usually characterized by the (Muckenhoupt) condition

(1.2)
$$A_1 := \sup_{0 < x < b} A_M(x) < \infty,$$

where

(1.3)
$$A_M(x) := \left(\int_x^b u(t)dt\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^x v^{1-p'}(t)dt\right)^{1/p'}$$

Here and in the sequel p' = p/(p-1). Further, let us denote

(1.4)
$$U(x) := \int_{x}^{b} u(t)dt, \qquad V(x) := \int_{0}^{x} v^{1-p'}(t)dt,$$

and assume that $U(x) < \infty$, $V(x) < \infty$ for every $x \in (0, b)$.

In [2] the equivalence of four scales of integral conditions that characterize the inequality (1.1) (with the usual Muckenhoupt condition as a special case) was proved. The proof was carried out by first proving an equivalence theorem

Date: December 28, 2007.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D10, 26D15; Secondary 47B07, 47B38. Key words and phrases. Hardy operator, Hardy's inequality.

The research of the first author was partially supported by the grant no. 201/05/2033 of the Czech Science Foundation and the research of the first two authors by the Institutional Research Plan no. AV0Z10190503 of AS CR

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere .

of independent interest. We will here extend this theorem by finding some additional new scales of conditions.

As it was shown in [6], [2], [8] and [3], the validity of Hardy's inequality (1.1) for all functions $f \ge 0$ in fact can be characterized e.g. by prescribing that any of the following expressions is finite: (1.5)

$$A_M := \sup_{0 < x < b} U^{1/q}(x) V^{1/p'}(x);$$

$$A_{PS} \qquad := \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_0^x u(t) V^q(t) dt \right)^{1/q} V^{-1/p}(x);$$

$$A_W(r) \quad := \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_x^b u(t) V^{q(p-r)/p}(t) dt \right)^{1/q} V^{(r-1)/p}(x), \quad 1 < r < p;$$

$$A_{PS}^{*} \qquad := \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{p'}(t) dt \right)^{1/p'} U^{-1/q'}(x);$$

$$A_W^*(r) \quad := \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_0^x v^{1-p'}(t) U^{p'(q'-r)/q'}(t) dt \right)^{1/p'} U^{(r-1)/q'}(x), \quad 1 < r < q';$$

$$A_T \qquad := \inf_{h>0} \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\frac{1}{h(x)} \int_0^x u(t) (h(t) + V(t))^{\frac{q}{p'} + 1} dt \right)^{1/q};$$

$$A_T^* \qquad := \inf_{h > 0} \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\frac{1}{h(x)} \int_x^b v^{1-p'}(t) (h(t) + U(t))^{\frac{p'}{q} + 1} dt \right)^{1/p'}.$$

Here, we will extend this list.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we formulate an equivalence theorem of independent interest, and in Section 3 we use this equivalence theorem to describe some new scales of weight characterization of the Hardy inequality. The main result is formulated in Theorem 3.1, which includes the results mentioned in (1.5) but gives also ten new weight characterizations. In Section 4 we give some outlines of the proof of the equivalence theorem (Theorem 2.1), whose detailed proof can be found in the research note [1].

2. The equivalence theorem

Theorem 2.1. For $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$, α, β and s positive numbers and f, g, h measurable functions positive a.e. in (a, b), let us denote

(2.1)
$$F(x) := \int_{x}^{b} f(t)dt, \qquad G(x) := \int_{a}^{x} g(t)dt$$

and

$$\begin{split} B_1(x;\alpha,\beta) &:= F^{\alpha}(x)G^{\beta}(x);\\ B_2(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_x^b f(t)G^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha}G^s(x);\\ B_3(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_a^x g(t)F^{\frac{\alpha-s}{\beta}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta}F^s(x);\\ B_4(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_a^x f(t)G^{\frac{\beta+s}{\alpha}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha}G^{-s}(x);\\ B_5(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_x^b g(t)F^{\frac{\alpha+s}{\beta}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta+s}F^{-s}(x);\\ B_6(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_x^b f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+s}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta+s}G^{-s}(x);\\ B_7(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_a^x g(t)F^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta+s}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta+s}G^{-s}(x);\\ B_8(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_a^b f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha-s}F^s(x), \quad \alpha > s;\\ B_9(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_x^b g(t)F^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta-s}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta-s}G^s(x), \quad \beta > s;\\ B_{10}(x;\alpha,\beta,s) &:= \left(\int_x^b g(t)F^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta-s}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta-s}G^s(x), \quad \beta < s;\\ B_{12}(x;\alpha,\beta,s;h) &:= \left(\int_a^x g(t)F^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta-s}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta}(h(x) + G(x))^s, \quad \beta < s;\\ B_{13}(x;\alpha,\beta,s;h) &:= \left(\int_a^x g(t)h^{\frac{\alpha-s}{\beta}}(t)dt\right)^{\beta}(h(x) + F(x))^s, \quad \alpha < s;\\ B_{14}(x;\alpha,\beta,s;h) &:= \left(\int_a^x g(t)(h(t) + G(t))^{\frac{\beta+s}{\alpha}}dt\right)^{\alpha}h^{-s}(x);\\ B_{15}(x;\alpha,\beta,s;h) &:= \left(\int_x^b g(t)(h(t) + F(t))^{\frac{\alpha+s}{\beta}}dt\right)^{\beta}h^{-s}(x). \end{split}$$

The numbers $B_1(\alpha, \beta) := \sup_{\substack{a < x < b}} B_1(x; \alpha, \beta), \ B_i(\alpha, \beta, s) = \sup_{\substack{a < x < b}} B_i(x; \alpha, \beta, s)$ (i = 2, 3, ..., 11) and $B_i(\alpha, \beta, s) = \inf_{\substack{h \ge 0\\ a < x < b}} B_i(x; \alpha, \beta, s; h)$ (i = 12, 13, 14, 15) are mutually equivalent. The constants in the equivalence relations can depend on α, β and s.

Remark 2.1. The proof of Theorem 2.1 (see [1] and Section 4) is carried out by determining positive constants c_i and d_i so that

(2.3)
$$c_i B_i(\alpha, \beta, s) \le B_1(\alpha, \beta) \le d_i B_i(\alpha, \beta, s), \ i = 2, 3, \dots, 15.$$

3. The main result

Theorem 3.1. Let $1 , <math>0 < s < \infty$, and define, for the weight functions u, v, the functions U and V by (1.4), and the functions $A_i(s)$, i =

4

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1,2,\ldots,15,\ as\ follows\\ (3.1) \\ A_{1}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} u(t) V^{q\left(\frac{1}{p'}-s\right)}(t) dt \right)^{1/q} V^{s}(x),; \\ A_{2}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{0}^{x} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{p'\left(\frac{1}{q}-s\right)}(t) dt \right)^{1/p'} U^{s}(x); \\ A_{3}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{p'\left(\frac{1}{q}+s\right)}(t) dt \right)^{1/p'} V^{-s}(x); \\ A_{4}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{p'\left(\frac{1}{q}+s\right)}(t) dt \right)^{1/p'} U^{-s}(x); \\ A_{5}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} u(t) V^{\frac{q}{p'(1+sq)}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1+sq}{q}} U^{-s}(x); \\ A_{6}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{0}^{x} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{\frac{p'}{q(1+sq')}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1+sq}{p'}} V^{-s}(x); \\ A_{7}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} u(t) V^{\frac{q}{p'(1-sq)}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1-sq}{q}} U^{s}(x), \ q s < 1; \\ A_{8}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{\frac{p'}{q(1-sq')}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1-sq}{p'}} V^{s}(x), \ p' s < 1; \\ A_{9}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{0}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{\frac{p'}{q(1-sq')}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1-sp'}{p'}} V^{s}(x), \ p' s > 1; \\ A_{10}(s) &:= \sup_{0 < x < b} \left(\int_{x}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) U^{\frac{p'}{q(1-sq')}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1-sp'}{p'}} V^{s}(x), \ p' s > 1; \\ A_{11}(s) &:= \inf_{h > 0_{0 < x < b}} \left(\int_{0}^{b} u(t) h(t)^{q(\frac{1}{p'}-s)} dt \right)^{1/p'} (h(x) + V(x))^{s}, \ q s > 1; \\ A_{13}(s) &:= \inf_{h > 0_{0 < x < b}} \left(\int_{0}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) H(t)^{p'(\frac{1}{q}-s)} dt \right)^{1/p'} V^{s}(x), \ p' s > 1; \\ A_{14}(s) &:= \inf_{h > 0_{0 < x < b}} \left(\int_{0}^{b} v^{1-p'}(t) h(t)^{p'(\frac{1}{q}-s)} dt \right)^{1/p'} h^{-s}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then the Hardy inequality (1.1) holds for all measurable functions $f \ge 0$ if and only if any of the quantities $A_i(s)$ is finite. Moreover, for the best constant C in (1.1) we have $C \approx A_i(s)$, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 14.

Remark 3.1. The conditions in (1.5) can be described in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A_{M} &= A_{1}(\frac{1}{p'}),\\ A_{PS} &= A_{3}(\frac{1}{p}),\\ A_{W}(r) &= A_{1}(\frac{r-1}{p}) \mbox{ with } 1 < r < p,\\ A_{PS}^{*} &= A_{4}(\frac{1}{q'}),\\ A_{W}^{*}(r) &= A_{2}(\frac{r-1}{q'}) \mbox{ with } 1 < r < q',\\ A_{T} &= A_{13}(\frac{1}{q}),\\ A_{T}^{*} &= A_{14}(\frac{1}{p'}). \end{array}$$

Hence, Theorem 3.1 generalizes the corresponding results in [2], [6] and also all previous results of this type.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In (2.1) we put $a = 0, f(x) = u(x), g(x) = v^{1-p'}(x)$, so that F(x) = U(x), G(x) = V(x), and choose

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{q}, \ \beta = \frac{1}{p'}$$

Then the assertion follows from the fact that

$$A_i(s) = B_{i+1}(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p'}, s), i = 1, 2, \dots, 14.$$

are all equivalent with A_1 from(1.2) according to Theorem 2.1 and the finiteness of A_1 is necessary and sufficient for the inequality (1.1) to hold. Moreover, since for the least constant C in (1.1) we have $C \approx A_1$ it is clear that $C \approx A_i(s)$ and the proof is complete.

Remark 3.2. The proof of Theorem 2.1 (cf. Remark 2.1) gives us also the possibility to estimate e.g. the quantities A_1 , $A_W(r)$, $A_W^*(r)$, A_{PS} , A_{PS}^* , A_T^* , and A_{PS}^* , in terms of each other.

4. Outlines of the proof of the equivalence theorem

In the proof, which is rather technical, we use - among other tools - the fact that the function F from (2.1) is decreasing and the function of G from (2.1) is increasing, and that

$$f(x)dx = -dF(x), \ g(x)dx = dG(x)$$

so that

(4.1)
$$\int_{x}^{b} f(t)F^{\lambda}(t)dt = \frac{1}{\lambda+1}F^{\lambda+1}(x); \quad \int_{a}^{x} g(t)G^{\kappa}(t)dt = \frac{1}{\kappa+1}G^{\kappa+1}(x).$$

Moreover, the equivalences

(4.2)
$$B_i(\alpha,\beta,s) \approx B_1(\alpha,\beta), \quad i = 2, 3, 4, 5,$$

have been proved in [2, Theorem 2.1], so that it is remains to prove the other 10 equivalences.

Here, we will give a detailed proof only for some equivalence, in order to show the typical steps used. As mentioned, full proofs can be found in [1].

1.
$$B_1(\alpha, \beta) \approx B_6(\alpha, \beta, s).$$

(i) $B_1(\alpha, \beta) \lesssim B_6(\alpha, \beta, s):$
 $B_1(x; \alpha, \beta) = F^{\alpha}(x)G^{\beta}(x) = F^{\alpha+s}(x)F^{-s}(x)G^{\beta}(x)$
 $= \left(\int_x^b f(t)dt\right)^{\alpha+s}G^{\beta}(x)F^{-s}(x)$
 $= \left(\int_x^b f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+s}}(x)dt\right)^{\alpha+s}F^{-s}(x)$
 $\leq \left(\int_x^b f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha+s}F^{-s}(x) = B_6(x; \alpha, \beta, s).$

(we have used the fact that G is increasing). Now we take the suprema for $x \in (a, b)$ and have that $B_1(\alpha, \beta) \leq B_6(\alpha, \beta, s)$, i.e. in (2.3) it is $d_6 = 1$. (ii) $B_6(\alpha, \beta, s) \leq B_1(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$B_{6}(x;\alpha,\beta,s) = \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha+s}F^{-s}(x)$$

$$= \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)B_{1}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+s}}(t,\alpha,\beta)F^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+s}}(t)G^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha+s}}(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha+s}F^{-s}(x)$$

$$\leq B_{1}(\alpha,\beta)\left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)F^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha+s}F^{-s}(x)$$

$$= B_{1}(\alpha,\beta)\left(-\frac{\alpha+s}{s}F^{\frac{s}{\alpha+s}}|_{x}^{b}\right)^{\alpha+s}F^{-s}(x)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\alpha+s}{s}\right)^{\alpha+s}B_{1}(\alpha,\beta)F^{s}(x)F^{-s}(x) = \left(\frac{\alpha+s}{s}\right)^{\alpha+s}B_{1}(\alpha,\beta)$$

(we have used the fact that $B_1(t, \alpha, \beta) \leq B_1(\alpha, \beta)$, and formula (4.1) for F with $\lambda = -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+s}$). Taking the supremum on the left-hand side, we have that $B_6(\alpha, \beta, s) \leq \frac{1}{c_6} B_1(\alpha, \beta)$ with $c_6 = \left(\frac{s}{\alpha+s}\right)^{\alpha+s}$.

2. $B_1(\alpha, \beta) \approx B_8(\alpha, \beta, s); \alpha > s.$ (i) $B_1(\alpha, \beta) \lesssim B_8(\alpha, \beta, s)$: Fix $x \in (a, b)$ and define $y = y(x) \in (x, b)$ so that

(4.3)
$$\int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt = \int_{y}^{b} f(t)dt.$$

Then

$$F^{\alpha}(x) = \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)dt\right)^{\alpha} = \left(\int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt + \int_{y}^{b} f(t)dt\right)^{\alpha} = 2^{\alpha} \left(\int_{y}^{b} f(t)dt\right)^{\alpha}$$
$$= 2^{\alpha} \left(\int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt\right)^{\alpha-s} \left(\int_{y}^{b} f(t)dt\right)^{\alpha} = 2^{\alpha} \left(\int_{y}^{b} f(t)dt\right)^{\alpha-s} F^{s}(y).$$

6

and

$$B_{1}(x;\alpha,\beta) = 2^{\alpha} \left(\int_{x}^{y} f(t)dt \right)^{\alpha-s} F^{s}(y)G^{\beta}(x)$$

$$= 2^{\alpha} \left(\int_{x}^{y} f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-s}}(x)dt \right)^{\alpha-s} F^{s}(y)$$

$$\leq 2^{\alpha} \left(\int_{x}^{y} f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-s}}(t)dt \right)^{\alpha-s} F^{s}(y)$$

$$\leq 2^{\alpha} \left(\int_{a}^{y} f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-s}}(t)dt \right)^{\alpha-s} F^{s}(y) = 2^{\alpha}B_{8}(y;\alpha,\beta,s)$$

(we have used the fact that G is increasing). Taking the supremum with respect to y (right) and x (left), we have that $B_1(\alpha,\beta) \leq 2^{\alpha}B_8(\alpha,\beta,s)$, i.e. $d_8 = 2^{\alpha}$. (ii) $B_8(\alpha, \beta, s) \lesssim B_1(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$B_8(x;\alpha,\beta,s) = \left(\int_a^x f(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha-s} F^s(x)$$

= $\left(\int_a^x f(t)B_1^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-s}}(t;\alpha,\beta)F^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-s}}(t)G^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha-s}}(t)G^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha-s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha-s} F^s(x)$
 $\leq B_1(\alpha,\beta) \left(\int_a^x f(t)F^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-s}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha-s} F^s(x).$

Now (see (4.1)) $\int_{a}^{x} f(t)F^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-s}}(t)dt = \frac{\alpha-s}{s} \left(F^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-s}}(x) - F^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-s}}(a)\right)$ $\leq \frac{\alpha-s}{s}F^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-s}}(x) \text{ (even if } F(a) = \infty, \text{ since } -\frac{s}{\alpha-s} < 0\text{).}$ Hence

$$B_8(x;\alpha,\beta,s) \le B_1(\alpha,\beta) \left(\frac{\alpha-s}{s}\right)^{\alpha-s} \left(F^{-\frac{s}{\alpha-s}}(x)\right)^{\alpha-s} F^s(x)$$
$$= \left(\frac{\alpha-s}{s}\right)^{\alpha-s} B_1(\alpha,\beta)$$

and taking the supremum, we have

$$B_8(\alpha, \beta, s) \le \frac{1}{c_8} B_1(\alpha, \beta,)$$
 with $c_8 = \left(\frac{1}{\alpha - s}\right)^{\alpha - s}$.

3. $B_1(\alpha, \beta) \approx B_{12}(\alpha, \beta, s), \beta < s.$

(i) $B_1(\alpha,\beta) \lesssim B_{12}(\alpha,\beta,s)$; $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$: (i) $B_1(\alpha,\beta) \lesssim B_{12}(\alpha,\beta,s)$: Assume that $B_{12}(\alpha,\beta,s) < \infty$ and denote it for simplicity by B_{12} . Since $\inf_{h>0} \sup_x \left(\int_x^b f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{\alpha} (h(x) + G(x))^s = B_{12}$, there exists a positive function h such that

$$\left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t)h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t)dt\right)^{\alpha} (h(x) + G(x))^{s} \le B_{12},$$

and consequently

(4.4)
$$\int_{x}^{b} f(t)h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t)dt \le B_{12}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}h^{-\frac{s}{\alpha}}(x),$$

AMIRAM GOGATISHVILI, ALOIS KUFNER, AND LARS-ERIK PERSSON

(4.5)
$$\int_{x}^{b} f(t)h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t)dt \le B_{12}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}G^{-\frac{s}{\alpha}}(x)$$

From (4.4) we obtain, raising both sides to the power $\frac{s-\beta}{s} > 0$, multiplying by f(x) and integrating from y to b, that

(4.6)
$$\int_{y}^{b} f(x) \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{s-\beta}{s}} dx \le B_{12}^{\frac{s-\beta}{s\alpha}} \int_{y}^{b} f(x) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(x) dx.$$

Now we use the equivalence relation

$$B_5(1,1,1) \approx B_5(1,1,\frac{\beta}{s}),$$

which holds, since both terms are equivalent to $B_1(1,1)$ (see (4.2)). This relation reads

$$\sup_{x} \left(\int_{x}^{b} g(t) F^{2}(t) dt \right) F^{-1}(x) \approx \sup_{x} \left(\int_{x}^{b} g(t) F^{1+\frac{\beta}{s}}(t) dt \right) F^{-\frac{\beta}{s}}(x).$$

We use this relation with $\int_x^b f(t)h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t)dt$ for F(x) and with $f(x)\left(\int_x^b f(t)h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t)dt\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{s}-1}$ for g(x). Then we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{x} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) dt \right) \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{s}} \\ &\approx \sup_{x} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(y) \left(\int_{y}^{b} f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{s-\beta}{s}} dy \right) \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{-1} \\ &\lesssim B_{12}^{\frac{s-\beta}{s\alpha}}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from (4.6). Therefore we get

(4.7)
$$\sup_{x} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) dt \right)^{\alpha} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{s}} \lesssim B_{12}^{\frac{s-\beta}{s}}.$$

Taking into account that due to (4.5)

$$G^{\beta}(x) \leq B_{12}^{\frac{\beta}{s}} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{s}}$$

we get from (4.7) that

$$\sup_{x} F(x)^{\alpha} G^{\beta}(x) \leq B_{12}^{\frac{\beta}{s}} \sup_{x} F^{\alpha}(x) \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) h^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{-\frac{\alpha\beta}{s}}$$
$$\lesssim B_{12}^{\frac{\beta}{s}} B_{12}^{1-\frac{\beta}{s}} = B_{12}.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$B_1(\alpha,\beta) \lesssim B_{12}(\alpha,\beta,s).$$

8

(ii) $B_{12}(\alpha, \beta, s) \leq B_1(\alpha, \beta)$: Since for h(x) = G(x), it is

$$B_{12}(\alpha,\beta,s,G) = 2^{s} \left(\int_{x}^{b} f(t) G^{\frac{\beta-s}{\alpha}}(t) dt \right)^{\alpha} G^{s}(x)$$
$$= 2^{s} B_{2}(x;\alpha,\beta,s) \lesssim 2^{s} B_{2}(\alpha,\beta,s) \lesssim B_{1}(\alpha,\beta,s)$$

(see (4.2) for i = 2), we immedatly obtain that $B_{12}(\alpha, \beta, s) \leq B_1(\alpha, \beta)$.

References

- A. Gogatishvili, A. Kufner and L.E. Persson and A. An equivalence theorem with application to Hardy's inequality Research Report, Department of Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, 2008.
- [2] A. Gogatishvili, A. Kufner, L.E. Persson and A. Wedestig, An equivalence theorem for some scales of integral conditions related to Hardy's inequality with applications, Real Anal. Exchange 29 (2004), No.2. 867-880.
- [3] P. Gurka, Generalized Hardy's inequality. Časopís Pěst. Mat. 109(1984), 194-203.
- [4] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda, and L.E. Persson, The Hardy Inequality. About its History and Some Related Results, Vydavatelský servis publishing house, Pilsen, 2007.
- [5] A. Kufner and L.E. Persson, Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type, World Scientific Publishing Co, Singapore/ New Jersey/ London/ Hong Kong, 2003.
- [6] A. Kufner, L.E. Persson and A. Wedestig, A study of some constants characterizing the weighted Hardy inequality, Orlicz Centenary Volume, Banach Center Publ. 64(2004), 135-146.
- [7] B. Opic and A. Kufner, *Hardy-Type Inequalities*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Vol 211, Longman Scientific and Technical Harlow, 1990.
- [8] G. Tomaselli, A class of inequalities, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 2 (1969), 622-631.

AMIRAN GOGATISHVILI, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC *E-mail address:* gogatish@math.cas.cz

ALOIS KUFNER, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE CZECH RE-PUBLIC, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC *E-mail address*: kufner@math.cas.cz

LARS-ERIK PERSSON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LULEÅ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOL-OGY, SE-971 87 LULEÅ, SWEDEN

E-mail address: larserik@sm.luth.se

 β)