## Probability review

• Probability space  $\Omega$  - a finite (or for us at most countable) set endowed with a measure  $p: \Omega \to \mathcal{R}$  satisfying:

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega; \ p(\omega) \geq 0$$

and

$$\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) = 1.$$

- An event  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \Omega$   $\Pr[A] = \sum_{\omega \in A} p(\omega)$ .
- Random variable  $X X : \Omega \to \mathcal{R}$ .

Example: If **X** is a random variable then for a fixed  $t, t' \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $t \leq \mathbf{X} \leq t'$  and  $\mathbf{X} > t$  are probabilistic events.

- Two events  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are independent  $\Pr[\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B}] = \Pr[\mathbf{A}] \cdot \Pr[\mathbf{B}]$ .
- Conditional probability of  $\mathbf{A}$  given  $\mathbf{B}$   $\Pr[\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{B}] = \Pr[\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B}]/\Pr[\mathbf{B}]$ .

Example: **A** and **B** are independent iff  $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$  and **B** are independent iff . . . iff  $\Pr[\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{B}] = \Pr[\mathbf{A}]$ .

- For a random variable X and an event A, X is independent of A for all  $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\Pr[X \in S | A] = \Pr[X \in S]$ .
- Two random variables X and Y are independent for all  $S, T \subseteq \mathcal{R}, X \in S$  and  $Y \in T$  are independent events.
- Events  $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n$  are mutually independent for all  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\Pr[\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \cap \bigcap_{i \notin I} \overline{A_i}] = \prod_{i \in I} \Pr[A_i] \cdot \prod_{i \notin I} \Pr[\overline{A_i}].$$

- Random variables  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$  are mutually independent- for all  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{R}$ , events  $\mathbf{X}_1 = t_1, \mathbf{X}_2 = t_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n = t_n$  are mutually independent.
- Expectation of a random variable X  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) \mathbf{X}(\omega)$ . Three easy claims:

Claim: (Linearity of expectation) For random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ 

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 + \cdots \mathbf{X}_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}_i].$$

Claim: For independent random variables X and Y,  $E[X \cdot Y] = E[X] \cdot E[Y]$ .

Claim: For a random variable  $\mathbf{X}: \Omega \to \mathcal{N}, \ \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X} \ge k].$ 

Theorem: (Markov Inequality) For a non-negative random variable X and any  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ 

$$\Pr[\mathbf{X} \ge t] \le \frac{\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}]}{t}.$$

Proof:  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) \mathbf{X}(\omega) \ge \sum_{\omega \in \Omega, \ \mathbf{X}(\omega) \ge t} p(\omega) \mathbf{X}(\omega) \ge t \cdot \sum_{\omega \in \Omega, \ \mathbf{X}(\omega) \ge t} p(\omega) = t \cdot \Pr[\mathbf{X} \ge t].$ 

• Variance  $\mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}]$  of a random variable  $\mathbf{X}$  -  $\mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{E}[(\mathbf{X} - \mu)^2]$  where  $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}]$ . Claim: For any random variable  $\mathbf{X}$ ,  $\mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}^2] - (\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}])^2$ .

Claim: For any random variable **X** and a constant c,  $Var[cX] = c^2 Var[X]$ .

Claim: (Linearity of variance) For mutually independent random variables  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ ,  $\mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{X}_n] = \mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}_1] + \mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}_2] + \dots + \mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}_n]$ .

Theorem: (Chebyshev's inequality) Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a random variable. For any real number a>0 it holds:

$$\Pr(|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}]| > a) \le \frac{\mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}]}{a^2}.$$

*Proof:* Let  $\mu = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{X}]$ . Consider the non-negative random variable  $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{X} - \mu)^2$ . Clearly  $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}]$ . Using Markov inequality,

$$\Pr[|\mathbf{X} - \mu| > a] = \Pr[\mathbf{Y} > a^2]$$

$$\leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{Y}]}{a^2}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{Var}[\mathbf{X}]}{a^2}.$$

Theorem: (Chernoff Bounds) Let  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$  be independent 0-1 random variables. Denote  $p_i = \Pr[\mathbf{X}_i = 1]$ , hence  $1 - p_i = \Pr[\mathbf{X}_i = 0]$ . Let  $\mathbf{X} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Denote  $\mu = \mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ . For any  $0 < \delta < 1$  it holds

$$\Pr[\mathbf{X} \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le \left[\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right]^{\mu}$$

and

$$\Pr[\mathbf{X} \le (1 - \delta)\mu] \le e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu\delta^2}.$$

*Proof:* For any real number t > 0,

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Pr[\mathbf{X} \geq (1+\delta)\mu] & = & \Pr[t\mathbf{X} \geq t(1+\delta)\mu] \\ & = & \Pr[e^{t\mathbf{X}} > e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}] \end{array}$$

where based on **X** we define new random variables t**X** and e<sup>t**X**</sup>. Notice, e<sup>t**X**</sup> is a non-negative random variable so one can apply the Markov inequality to obtain

$$\Pr[e^{t\mathbf{X}} \ge e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}] \le \frac{\mathbf{E}[e^{t\mathbf{X}}]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}}.$$

Since all  $\mathbf{X}_i$  are mutually independent, random variables  $e^{t\mathbf{X}_i}$  are also mutually independent so

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{t\mathbf{X}}] = \mathbf{E}[e^{t\sum_{i}\mathbf{X}_{i}}] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}[e^{t\mathbf{X}_{i}}].$$

We can evaluate  $\mathbf{E}[e^{t\mathbf{X}_i}]$ 

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{t\mathbf{X}_i}] = p_i e^t + (1 - p_i) \cdot 1 = 1 + p_i(e^t - 1) \le e^{p_i(e^t - 1)}.$$

where in the last step we have used  $1 + x \le e^x$  which holds for all x. (Look on the graph of functions 1 + x and  $e^x$  and their derivatives in x = 0.) Thus

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{tX}] \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{p_i(e^t - 1)}$$

$$= e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(e^t - 1)}$$

$$= e^{\mu(e^t - 1)}$$

By choosing  $t = \ln(1 + \delta)$  and rearanging terms we obtain

$$\Pr[\mathbf{X} \ge (1+\delta)\mu] = \Pr[e^{t\mathbf{X}} \ge e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}]$$

$$\le \frac{e^{\mu(e^t-1)}}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}}$$

$$= \left[\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right]^{\mu}$$

That proofs the first bound. The second bound is obtained in a similar way:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \Pr[\mathbf{X} \leq (1-\delta)\mu] & = & \Pr[-t\mathbf{X} \geq -t(1-\delta)\mu] \\ & = & \Pr[e^{-t\mathbf{X}} \geq e^{-t(1-\delta)\mu}] \\ & \leq & \frac{\mathbf{E}[e^{-t\mathbf{X}}]}{e^{-t(1-\delta)\mu}}. \end{array}$$

Bounding  $\mathbf{E}[e^{-t\mathbf{X}}]$  as before gives

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{-tX}] \le \mathrm{e}^{\mu(e^{-t}-1)}$$

By choosing  $t = -\ln(1 - \delta)$  and rearanging terms we obtain

$$\Pr[\mathbf{X} \le (1 - \delta)\mu] = \Pr[e^{-t\mathbf{X}} \ge e^{-t(1 - \delta)\mu}]$$

$$\le \frac{e^{\mu(e^{-t} - 1)}}{e^{-t(1 - \delta)\mu}}$$

$$= \left[\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1 - \delta)^{(1 - \delta)}}\right]^{\mu}$$

We use the well known expansion for  $0 < \delta < 1$ 

$$\ln(1-\delta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^i}{i}$$

to obtain

$$(1 - \delta) \ln(1 - \delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{i+1}}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{i}}{i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{i}}{i(i-1)} - \delta$$

Thus

$$(1 - \delta)^{(1 - \delta)} \ge e^{\frac{\delta^2}{2} - \delta}$$

Hence

$$\Pr[\mathbf{X} \le (1 - \delta)\mu] \le e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2} + \delta - \delta} = e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2}\mu}$$