

## SPECTRUM OF RANDOMLY SAMPLED MULTIVARIATE ARMA MODELS

AMINA KADI

The paper is devoted to the spectrum of multivariate randomly sampled autoregressive moving-average (*ARMA*) models. We determine precisely the spectrum numerator coefficients of the randomly sampled *ARMA* models. We give results when the non-zero poles of the initial *ARMA* model are simple. We first prove the results when the probability generating function of the random sampling law is injective, then we precise the results when it is not injective.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a discrete-time second order stationary process with zero-mean and values in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , satisfying an autoregressive moving-average model. Suppose that the process is sampled by a random walk  $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , independent of  $X$ . Denote the randomly sampled process by  $\tilde{X} = (X_{T_n})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Let us consider the situation where the available data are only from the process  $\tilde{X}$ . The problem is to recover the covariance properties of the original process  $X$ . According to Shapiro and Silverman [17], we know that the univalence of the sampling probability generating function is sufficient to allow unique recovering of the covariance function of  $X$ . Hence the study of the model structure of the process  $\tilde{X}$  arises. Robinson [15] proves that when  $X$  is an *ARMA* model,  $\tilde{X}$  is also an *ARMA*.

In a previous paper [12], we obtain the rational spectrum of the process  $\tilde{X}$ , when  $X$  is a univariate *ARMA* model. We give matrix representations for the spectrum numerator coefficients of  $\tilde{X}$ . The *AR* part is given in Robinson [15] in the univariate case, and in Kadi et al [11] in the multivariate case. A functional relation between the poles of  $X$  (the roots of the *AR* part) and those of  $\tilde{X}$  is derived. The problem of the zeros of  $\tilde{X}$  (the roots of the *MA* part) still arises in the multivariate case.

In the present paper, we examine the rational spectrum of  $\tilde{X}$  when  $X$  is a multivariate *ARMA* model. The spectrum numerator coefficients of  $\tilde{X}$  are expressed through block-matrices. The non-zero poles of the initial model are assumed to be simple.

Another interesting problem in random sampling situation is the estimation of the second order characteristics of the process  $X$  using directly the observations from

the process  $\tilde{X}$ . An extensive literature already exists for this statistical problem in the univariate case; see for instance Bloomfield [1], Brillinger [2], Dunsmuir [3, 4, 5], Dunsmuir and Robinson [6, 7, 8], Marshall [13], Parzen [14], Robinson [17], Toloi and Morettin [18].

The organization of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we introduce some definitions and recall some results about randomly sampled multivariate *ARMA* models. In Section 3, we derive the spectrum of  $\tilde{X}$  for initial *ARMA* process with simple non-zero poles. We examine cases when the sampling probability generating function is injective and when it is non-injective. The numerator spectrum coefficients are given in terms of the initial *ARMA* model parameters and of the sampling distribution convolution law.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a zero-mean white noise, with values in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\Sigma_\epsilon$  its covariance matrix.

Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a zero-mean second-order stationary process with values in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  satisfying the *ARMA*( $p, q$ ) equation:

$$\sum_{j=0}^p \Phi_j X_{t-j} = \sum_{j=0}^q \Theta_j \epsilon_{t-j}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\Phi_j$  ( $0 \leq j \leq p$ ) and  $\Theta_j$  ( $0 \leq j \leq q$ ) are the matrix coefficients with  $\Phi_0 = \Theta_0 = I_k$ .

Denote the *AR* matrix polynomial by  $\Phi(z) = \sum_{j=0}^p \Phi_j z^{p-j}$ , the *MA* matrix polynomial by  $\Theta(z) = \sum_{j=0}^q \Theta_j z^{q-j}$ , and, for any square matrix  $A$ , we write  $|A|$  for the determinant of  $A$  and  $com A$  for the matrix of cofactors of  $A$ . We will refer to the roots of  $|\Phi(z)|$  as the poles of the model and to the roots of  $|\Theta(z)|$  as the zeros of the model. Denote the spectrum of the process  $X$  by  $\hat{C}_X(z) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} C_X(h) z^{-h}$ , where  $C_X(h) = E(X_0 {}^t X_h)$ ,  ${}^t X$  is the transpose of  $X$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes any of the norms on the  $k \times k$  matrices with complex coefficients. The sequence  $(C_X(h))$  is square summable, i. e.,

$$\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}} \|C_X(h)\|^2 < \infty.$$

Consider now a sampling process  $T = (T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  where the random variables  $(T_{n+1} - T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are mutually independent and identically distributed. Denote by  $L$  the distribution of  $(T_{n+1} - T_n)$  and by  $L_j = P(T_{n+1} - T_n = j)$ . Let  $\hat{L}(z) = \sum_{j=\ell}^\infty L_j z^j$  be the probability generating function of  $L$  which is assumed to be defined in a domain including the unit disk;  $\ell$  is the smallest integer such that  $L_\ell \neq 0$ . Denote by  $L^{*h}$  the convolution of the distribution function  $L$  with itself,  $h$  times.

The sampled process  $\tilde{X} = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is defined by:

$$\tilde{X}_n = X_{T_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2}$$

We assume the following assumptions:

- $\mathcal{A}_1$ ) the poles of  $X$  are inside the unit circle;
- $\mathcal{A}_2$ ) the zeros of  $X$  are inside or on the unit circle;
- $\mathcal{A}_3$ )  $\Phi$  and  $\Theta$  have no common left divisors;
- $\mathcal{A}_4$ ) the matrix  $\Phi_p$  is of full rank;
- $\mathcal{A}_5$ )  $T_0 = 0$ ;
- $\mathcal{A}_6$ ) the support of  $L$  is  $\mathbb{N}^*$ ;
- $\mathcal{A}_7$ ) the sampling process  $T$  is independent of  $X$ .

Let us now recall some results on randomly sampled multivariate ARMA models (see Kadi et al [11]):

- i) The process  $\tilde{X}$  is an ARMA.
- ii) Since  $X$  has a rational spectrum, there exists  $a$  in  $]0, 1[$  such that  $\widehat{C}_X$  exists in the ring  $]a, a^{-1}[$ . Then, the spectrum of  $\tilde{X}$  exists for all  $z$  in the ring  $]a, a^{-1}[$

$$\widehat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) = \left[ \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C_\gamma} \left( \frac{{}^t\widehat{C}_X(x)}{1 - z\widehat{L}(x)} + \frac{\widehat{C}_X(x)}{1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(x)} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \right] - C_X(0), \quad (3)$$

$C_\gamma$  is the circle of radius  $\gamma$  with  $a < \gamma < \min(|z|, |z|^{-1})$ .

- iii) There exists a representation of  $\tilde{X}$  whose poles are the non-zero images by  $\widehat{L}$  of the non-zero poles of  $X$ , with fewer or the same multiplicity orders.

Before stating the next section, we need two technical lemmas which will be useful in the proofs. Denote by  $J$  the Jordan partitioned square matrix of finite dimension

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_k & 0_k & 0_k & \dots & 0_k \\ I_k & 0_k & 0_k & \dots & 0_k \\ 0_k & I_k & 0_k & \dots & 0_k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_k & 0_k & 0_k & I_k & 0_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $O_k$  and  $I_k$  have size  $k \times k$ . The dimension of  $J$  will be specified in every case. Note that the matrix  $J$  is nilpotent of order equal to its dimension.

We admit to set as notation

$$J^j = J^{-j}, \quad \text{if } j < 0.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two matrix polynomials of degree  $m$ ,  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m A_j z^j$  and  $Q(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m B_j z^j$ , where the size of matrices  $(A_j)$  and  $(B_j)$  is  $k \times k$ . Then the matrix coefficients  $C_j$  of the expression  $P(z) {}^t Q(z^{-1})$  are as

$$C_j = {}^t A J^j B, \quad \text{if } j \geq 0$$

and

$$C_j = {}^t A^t J^j B, \quad \text{if } j < 0$$

with  $A = {}^t(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m)$  and  $B = {}^t(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_m)$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two matrix polynomials of degree  $m$ ,  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m A_j z^j$  and  $Q(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m B_j z^j$ , where the size of matrices  $(A_j)$  and  $(B_j)$  is  $k \times k$ . Then the matrix coefficients  $C_j$  of the expression  ${}^t P(z^{-1})Q(z)$  are as

$$C_j = {}^t A^t J^j B, \quad \text{if } j \geq 0$$

and

$$C_j = {}^t A J^j B, \quad \text{if } j < 0$$

with  $A = {}^t({}^t A_0, {}^t A_1, \dots, {}^t A_m)$  and  $B = {}^t({}^t B_0, {}^t B_1, \dots, {}^t B_m)$ .

### 3. SPECTRUM OF RANDOMLY SAMPLED ARMA MODELS

Let us introduce the following notations.

$(r_j)$  are the simple non-zero poles of  $X$ ,  $|\Phi(x)| = \prod_{j=1}^{kp} (x - r_j)$ .  $\Phi_1(x) = x^p \Phi(x^{-1})$ ,

$\Theta_1(x) = x^q \Theta(x^{-1})$ , and  $M(x) = {}^t(\text{com } \Phi(x))\Theta(x)\Sigma_e {}^t \Theta_1(x)(\text{com } \Phi_1(x))$ . The elements of  $M(x)$  are polynomials in the variable  $x$ .

Set  $M = {}^t({}^t M_{q-p}, {}^t M_{q-p-1}, \dots, {}^t M_0)$  when  $q - p \geq 0$ .  $M_j$  is the coefficient of  $x^j$  in the matrix polynomial  $M(x)$ .

$$R_j = \frac{M(r_j)}{r_j^{q-p+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{kp} (1 - r_j r_\ell) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (r_j - r_\ell)} \quad \text{and} \quad R = {}^t({}^t R_1, {}^t R_2, \dots, {}^t R_{kp}).$$

Set  $\Psi = {}^t(\psi_0 I_k, \psi_1 I_k, \dots, \psi_{q-p} I_k)$ ;  $\psi_j$  are the first coefficients of the series

$$[x^{kp} |\Phi(x)| |\Phi(x^{-1})|]^{-1}.$$

$|\tilde{\Phi}(z)| = \prod_{j=1}^{kp} (z - \hat{L}(r_j)) = \sum_{i=0}^{kp} \tilde{\phi}_i z^{kp-i}$  is the determinant of the AR characteristic polynomial of  $\tilde{X}$  and set  $\tilde{\phi} = {}^t(\tilde{\phi}_0 I_k, \tilde{\phi}_1 I_k, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_{kp} I_k)$ .

$|\tilde{\Phi}(z)|^{(j)} = \prod_{h \neq j} (z - \hat{L}(r_h)) = \sum_{i=0}^{kp-1} \tilde{\phi}_i^{(j)} z^{kp-1-i}$  with  $\tilde{\phi}_0^{(j)} = 1, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, kp\}$ .

In fact, the polynomial  $|\tilde{\Phi}(z)|^{(j)}$  coincides with the determinant of the characteristic polynomial of the randomly sampled process  $\tilde{X}$  without the root  $\hat{L}(r_j)$ .

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi}_0^{(1)} I_k & \tilde{\phi}_0^{(2)} I_k & \dots & \tilde{\phi}_0^{(kp)} I_k \\ \tilde{\phi}_1^{(1)} I_k & \tilde{\phi}_1^{(2)} I_k & \dots & \tilde{\phi}_1^{(kp)} I_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\phi}_{kp-1}^{(1)} I_k & \tilde{\phi}_{kp-1}^{(2)} I_k & \dots & \tilde{\phi}_{kp-1}^{(kp)} I_k \\ 0_k & 0_k & \dots & 0_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$A$  is of dimension  $(k(kp + 1), k(kp))$ .  $\tilde{\varphi}_i$  ( $-kp \leq i \leq kp$ ) is the coefficient of  $z^i$  in the product  $|\tilde{\Phi}(z)| |\tilde{\Phi}(z^{-1})|$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_i = \tilde{\varphi}_{-i}$ ,  $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, kp\}$ .

Define  $\Delta_L = \sum_{j=\ell}^{q-p} L_j J^j$ . Our main result is as follows

**Theorem.** Assume that the poles of  $X$  are simple and that  $(q - p) \geq 0$ . Then the spectrum of the process  $\tilde{X}$  is

$$\hat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=-(kp+n)}^{kp+n} \tilde{V}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j}{\prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1 - z\hat{L}(r_j))(1 - z^{-1}\hat{L}(r_j))},$$

$n$  is the highest integer such that  $n\ell \leq q - p$ , and

$$\tilde{V}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) = \begin{cases} \tilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{j+n+kp}(\Phi, \Theta, L), & \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp\} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{j+n+kp}(\Phi, \Theta, L), & \forall j \in \{kp + 1, \dots, kp + n\} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_j^{(AR)} = {}^t R {}^t A J^j \tilde{\phi} + {}^t \tilde{\phi} {}^t J^j A \times R - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{h=1}^{kp} ({}^t R_h + R_h) \right] {}^t \tilde{\phi} J^j \tilde{\phi}, \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp\},$$

and

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) = \sum_{h=0}^j ({}^t M \Delta_L^{h-n} \Psi) \tilde{\varphi}_{kp-(i-h)}, \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp + n\}.$$

The numerator spectrum coefficients satisfy

$${}^t \tilde{V}_{-j} = \tilde{V}_j, \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n + kp\}.$$

**Proof.** We proceed to the calculation of  $\hat{C}_{\tilde{X}}$  (see formula (3)) by residues.

As  $X$  is an ARMA process,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}_X(x) &= \Phi^{-1}(x) \Theta(x) \Sigma_\epsilon {}^t \Theta \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) {}^t \Phi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \\ &= \frac{M(x)}{x^{q-p} \prod_{j=1}^{kp} (x - r_j) (1 - r_j x)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{C_\gamma} \left[ x^{q-p+1} \prod_{j=1}^{kp} (x - r_j) (1 - r_j x) \right]^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \left( \frac{M(x)}{1 - z^{-1}\hat{L}(x)} + \frac{{}^t M(x)}{1 - z\hat{L}(x)} \right) dx \right\} - C_X(0). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

For  $|x| \leq \gamma$ , we have  $|\widehat{L}(x)| \leq \gamma < \min(|z|, |z|^{-1})$ ; therefore  $1 - z\widehat{L}(x) \neq 0$  and  $1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(x) \neq 0$ . So the expression under the integral sign has as poles the roots  $r_j$  of  $|\Phi(x)|$  and zero.

We have to compute the three terms in the right-hand side (RHS) of formula (4):

**a)**

– The residue at the simple pole  $r_j$  is the constant term in the expansion of

$$M(x) \left[ x^{q-p+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{kp} (1 - r_\ell x) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (x - r_\ell) \left( 1 - \frac{\widehat{L}(x)}{z} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

in powers of  $(x - r_j)$ ; thus it is

$$\frac{M(r_j)}{r_j^{q-p+1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{kp} (1 - r_j r_\ell) \prod_{\ell \neq j} (r_j - r_\ell)} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\widehat{L}(r_j)}{z}}.$$

– The residue at the pole zero (this occurs when  $q - p + 1 > 0$ ) is the coefficient of  $x^{q-p}$  in the expansion of

$$M(x) \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1 - xr_j)(x - r_j) \left( 1 - \frac{\widehat{L}(x)}{z} \right) \right]^{-1} \tag{5}$$

in powers of  $x$ .

Since  $(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(x))^{-1}$  may be expanded into:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (z^{-1}\widehat{L}(x))^h,$$

and as  $(\widehat{L}(x))^h = \widehat{L}^{*h}(x) = \sum_{j=h\ell}^{\infty} L_j^{*h} x^j$ , the regular part of the expansion of  $(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(x))^{-1}$  in powers of  $x$  at order  $(q - p)$  is

$$\sum_{h=0}^n z^{-h} \left( \sum_{j=h\ell}^{q-p} L_j^{*h} x^j \right),$$

where  $n$  is the highest integer such that  $n\ell \leq (q - p)$ . Now, to obtain the regular part in the expansion of (5), we need only to express

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^{q-p} \psi_j x^j \right) \left[ \sum_{h=0}^n z^{-h} \left( \sum_{j=h\ell}^{q-p} L_j^{*h} x^{j+q-p} \right) \right] \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q-p} M_{-j+(q-p)} x^{-j} \right).$$

We find that the residue at the pole zero is a matrix polynomial in  $z^{-1}$  of degree  $n$ , and using Lemma 1, the coefficient of  $z^{-h}$  is

$$\sum_{j=h\ell}^{q-p} L^{*h} \Psi^t J^j M$$

but

$$\sum_{j=h\ell}^{q-p} L_j^{*h} {}^t J^j = \left( \sum_{j=\ell}^{q-p} L_j {}^t J^j \right)^h = {}^t \Delta_L^h.$$

Let us denote by  $\left( \tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) \right)_{-n \leq j < 0}$  the coefficients in  $z^{-1}$  of the residue at zero.

**b)** In order to compute the second term in the RHS of formula (4), we need to replace  $1/z$  by  $z$  and  $M(x)$  by  ${}^t M(x)$ .

To derive  $\left( \tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) \right)$  for  $0 < j \leq n$ , we apply Lemma 2. We obtain

$$\tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) = {}^t M \Delta_L^j \Psi.$$

These coefficients satisfy

$${}^t \tilde{V}_{-j}^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) = \tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L), \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$\tilde{V}_0^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) = {}^t M \Psi + {}^t \Psi M.$$

**c)** The last term  $C_X(0)$  in the RHS of formula (4) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{4i\pi} \int_{C_\gamma} \left( {}^t \widehat{C}_X(x) + \widehat{C}_X(x) \right) \frac{dx}{x}$$

and the residue of this integral is  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{kp} (R_j + {}^t R_j)$ .

It follows from **a)**, **b)** and **c)** that the spectrum of the process  $\tilde{X}$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{kp} \frac{R_j}{1 - z^{-1} \widehat{L}(r_j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{kp} \frac{{}^t R_j}{1 - z \widehat{L}(r_j)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{kp} (R_j + {}^t R_j) + \sum_{j=-n}^n \tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j.$$

Now, we express the difference

$$\left[ \widehat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) - \sum_{j=-n}^n \tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j \right]. \tag{6}$$

After reduction to the same denominator, we obtain as numerator in the matrix expression (6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^t R_j \prod_{h \neq j} (1 - z \widehat{L}(r_h)) \right) \prod_h (1 - z^{-1} \widehat{L}(r_h)) \\ & + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{kp} R_j \prod_{h \neq j} (1 - z^{-1} \widehat{L}(r_h)) \right) \prod_h (1 - z \widehat{L}(r_h)) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{kp} (R_j + {}^t R_j) \right] \prod_h (1 - z \widehat{L}(r_h)) (1 - z^{-1} \widehat{L}(r_h)). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The matrix polynomial  $\sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j \prod_{h \neq j} (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_h))$  is equal to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j \prod_{h \neq j} (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_h)) = \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j [z^{kp-1} |\widetilde{\Phi}(z^{-1})|^{(j)}] = \sum_{i=0}^{kp-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j \widetilde{\phi}_i^{(j)} \right) z^i.$$

Set:

$$\widetilde{R}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{kp} R_j \widetilde{\phi}_i^{(j)}, \quad \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp - 1\},$$

the partitioned matrix  $({}^t\widetilde{R}_0, {}^t\widetilde{R}_1, \dots, {}^t\widetilde{R}_{kp-1}, 0_k)$  may be written in a matrix form:  $A \times R$  (The matrices  $A$  and  $R$  are introduced in the notations).

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp-1} {}^t\widetilde{R}_i z^i \right) \prod_h (1 - z^{-1} \widehat{L}(r_h)) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp-1} \widetilde{R}_i z^i \right) [z^{-kp} |\widetilde{\Phi}(z)|] \\ & = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp-1} \widetilde{R}_i z^i \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp} \widetilde{\phi}_i z^{-i} \right) = \sum_{h=-kp}^{kp} \beta_h z^h \end{aligned}$$

where by Lemma 1

$$\beta_h = \begin{cases} {}^tR^t A J^h \widetilde{\phi}, & \text{if } h \geq 0 \\ {}^tR^t A {}^t J^h \widetilde{\phi}, & \text{if } h < 0 \end{cases}$$

In the same way

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{kp} R_j \prod_{h \neq j} (1 - z^{-1} \widehat{L}(r_h)) \right] \prod_h (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_h)) \\ & = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp-1} \widetilde{R}_i z^{-i} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp} \widetilde{\phi}_i z^i \right) = \sum_{h=-kp}^{kp} \beta'_h z^h, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\beta'_h = \begin{cases} {}^t\widetilde{\phi} J^h A \times R, & \text{if } h \geq 0 \\ {}^t\widetilde{\phi} {}^t J^h A \times R, & \text{if } h < 0. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j \prod_h (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_h))(1 - z^{-1} \widehat{L}(r_h)) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j z^{kp} |\widetilde{\Phi}(z^{-1})| \right] \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp} \widetilde{\phi}_i z^{-i} \right) \\ & = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{kp} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j \right) \widetilde{\phi}_i z^i \right] \left( \sum_{i=0}^{kp} \widetilde{\phi}_i z^{-i} \right) = \sum_{h=-kp}^{kp} \beta''_h z^h \end{aligned}$$



it follows from Lemma 1 that the coefficients  $\beta''_h$  of this product are

$$\beta''_h = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j {}^t\tilde{\phi} J^h \tilde{\phi}, & \text{if } h \geq 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{kp} {}^tR_j {}^t\tilde{\phi} {}^tJ^h \tilde{\phi}, & \text{if } h < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it comes that

$$\widehat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) - \sum_{j=-n}^n \tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j = \frac{\sum_{j=-kp}^{kp} \tilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j}{\prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_j))(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(r_j))},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) &= {}^tR {}^tAJ^j \tilde{\phi} + {}^t\tilde{\phi} {}^tJ^j A \times R - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{h=1}^{kp} ({}^tR_h + R_h) \right] {}^t\tilde{\phi} J^j \tilde{\phi}, \\ & j \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp\}. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients  $\tilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L)$  satisfy

$${}^t\tilde{V}_{-j}^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) = \tilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L), \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, kp\}.$$

This leads to

$$\widehat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=-kp}^{kp} \tilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j + \left( \sum_{j=-n}^n \tilde{V}_j^{(0)} z^j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_j))(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(r_j))}{\prod_{j=1}^p (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_j))(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(r_j))}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{j=-n}^n \tilde{V}_j^{(0)} z^j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_j))(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(r_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^{n+kp}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \tilde{V}_{n-i}^{(0)} z^{2n-i} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2kp} \tilde{\varphi}_{kp-i} z^{2kp-i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^{n+kp}} \sum_{i=0}^{2(n+kp)} \tilde{\Gamma}_i z^i = \sum_{i=-(n+kp)}^{n+kp} \tilde{\Gamma}_{i+n+kp} z^i \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i = \sum_{h=0}^i \tilde{V}_{h-n}^{(0)} \tilde{\varphi}_{kp-(i-h)}$ .

Finally, it comes that

$$\widehat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=-(kp+n)}^{kp+n} \tilde{V}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j}{\prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_j))(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(r_j))}$$

with

$$\tilde{V}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) = \begin{cases} \tilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{j+n+kp}(\Phi, \Theta, L), & \text{if } j \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp\} \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_{j+n+kp}(\Phi, \Theta, L), & \text{if } j \in \{kp + 1, \dots, kp + n\}. \end{cases}$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

**Remark 1.** The matrix  $J$  which we use to define  $\Delta_L$  is of dimension  $k(q-p+1) \times k(q-p+1)$  while it is of dimension  $k(kp+1) \times k(kp+1)$  elsewhere in this theorem. We keep the notation  $J$  for the same type of matrices.

**Remark 2.** The initial model  $X$  has no zero pole owing to assumption  $\mathcal{A}_4$ . There is no further difficulty to replace this assumption by weaker one: the matrix  $(\Phi_p, \Theta_q)$  is of full rank. In this case, we have to consider zero as a possible pole of  $X$  with multiplicity  $s_0$ .

**Remark 3.** When  $(q-p) < 0$ , there is no pole at 0 and the spectrum of  $\tilde{X}$  is simply given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^{kp} \frac{R_j}{1-z^{-1}\hat{L}(r_j)} + \sum_{j=1}^{kp} \frac{{}^tR_j}{1-z\hat{L}(r_j)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{kp} (R_j + {}^tR_j) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{j=-kp}^{kp} \tilde{V}_j(\Phi, 0, L)z^j}{\prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1-z\hat{L}(r_j))(1-z^{-1}\hat{L}(r_j))} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_j(\Phi, 0, L) &= {}^tR^tAJ^j\tilde{\phi} + {}^t\tilde{\phi}{}^tJ^jA \times R - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{h=1}^{kp} ({}^tR_h + R_h) \right] {}^t\tilde{\phi}J^j\tilde{\phi}, \\ & \quad j \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us consider the situation where the probability generating function  $\hat{L}$  is not injective. In this case, the randomly sampled model may be reduced. Denote by  $\hat{L}_1, \hat{L}_2, \dots, \hat{L}_b$  the distinct values in the sequence  $(\hat{L}(r_1), \hat{L}(r_2), \dots, \hat{L}(r_p))$ . Let us divide the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  into  $b$  distinct and non-empty classes  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_b$  such that  $E_j = \{h/\hat{L}(r_h) = \hat{L}_j\}$ . Then clearly  $\sum_{j=1}^{kp} \frac{R_j}{1-z^{-1}\hat{L}(r_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{R'_j}{1-z^{-1}\hat{L}(r_j)}$  where  $R'_j = \sum_{h \in E_j} R_h$ .

This leads to Corollary 1 where the matrix  $A$  is of dimension  $(b+1) \times b$  and  $R' = ({}^tR'_1, {}^tR'_2, \dots, {}^tR'_b)$ .

**Corollary 1.** Assume that the poles of  $X$  are simple and that  $(q - p) \geq 0$ . If  $b$  denotes the number of distinct and non zero values of  $\widehat{L}$ , then the spectrum of the process  $\widetilde{X}$  is

$$\widehat{C}_{\widetilde{X}}(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=-(b+n)}^{b+n} \widetilde{V}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) z^j}{\prod_{j=1}^b (1 - z\widehat{L}_j)(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}_j)},$$

$n$  is the highest integer such that  $n\ell \leq q - p$ , and

$$\widetilde{V}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{V}_j^{(AR)}(\Phi, \Theta, L) + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j+n+b}(\Phi, \Theta, L), & \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b\} \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}_{j+n+b}(\Phi, \Theta, L), & \forall j \in \{b + 1, \dots, b + n\} \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\widetilde{V}_j^{(AR)} = {}^t R^t A J^j \widetilde{\phi} + {}^t \widetilde{\phi}^t J^j A \times R - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{h=1}^{kp} ({}^t R_h + R_h) \right] {}^t \widetilde{\phi} J^j \widetilde{\phi}, \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b\},$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_j(\Phi, \Theta, L) = \sum_{h=0}^j ({}^t M \Delta_L^{h-n} \Psi) \widetilde{\varphi}_{b-(i-h)}, \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b + n\}.$$

The numerator spectrum coefficients satisfy

$${}^t \widetilde{V}_{-j} = \widetilde{V}_j, \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n + b\}.$$

Let us consider the  $AR(p)$  models.

**Corollary 2.** Assume that  $q = 0$  and that the poles of  $X$  are simple. Then the spectrum of the process  $\widetilde{X}$  is

$$\widehat{C}_{\widetilde{X}}(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=-kp}^{kp} \widetilde{V}_j(\Phi, 0, L) z^j}{\prod_{j=1}^{kp} (1 - z\widehat{L}(r_j))(1 - z^{-1}\widehat{L}(r_j))},$$

where

$$\widetilde{V}_j(\Phi, 0, L) = {}^t R^t A J^j \widetilde{\phi} + {}^t \widetilde{\phi}^t J^j A \times R - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{h=1}^{kp} ({}^t R_h + R_h) \right] {}^t \widetilde{\phi} J^j \widetilde{\phi},$$

$$\forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, kp\}.$$

The numerator spectrum coefficients satisfy

$${}^t \widetilde{V}_{-j} = \widetilde{V}_j, \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, kp\}.$$

**Proof.** In this case, we have no pole at 0 and the spectrum of  $\widetilde{X}$  is as in Remark 3. □

Let us now consider the  $MA(q)$  models. Denote  $\Omega = {}^t \left( \Sigma_c^{\frac{1}{2}}, \Theta_1 \Sigma_c^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, \Theta_q \Sigma_c^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$ .

**Corollary 3.** Assume that  $p = 0$ . Then the spectrum of the process  $\tilde{X}$  is

$$\hat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) = \sum_{j=-n}^n \tilde{V}_j(0, \Theta, L) z^j$$

where  $n$  is the highest integer such that  $n\ell \leq q$  and the coefficients  $\tilde{V}_j$  are quadratic in the parameter matrices  $\Theta_j$ ,

$$\tilde{V}_j(0, \Theta, L) = {}^t\Omega\Delta_L^j\Omega, \quad \forall j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

These coefficients satisfy

$${}^t\tilde{V}_{-j}(0, \Theta, L) = \tilde{V}_j(0, \Theta, L), \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

*Proof.* For  $p = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_j(0, \Theta, L) &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{j+n}(0, \Theta, L), \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{j+n} \tilde{V}_{h-n}^{(0)} \tilde{\varphi}_{-(j+n-h)}. \end{aligned}$$

All the terms  $\tilde{\varphi}_{-(j+n-h)}$  vanish except when  $h = j + n$ . So

$$\tilde{V}_j(0, \Theta, L) = \tilde{V}_j^{(0)}(0, \Theta, L) = {}^tM\Delta_L^j\Psi.$$

Given that the matrix  $\Delta_L^j$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0_{j\ell k} & 0_{j\ell k} & \dots & 0_k & 0_k \\ L_{j\ell}^{*j} I_k & 0_k & \dots & 0_k & 0_k \\ L_{j\ell+1}^{*j} I_k & L_{j\ell}^{*j} I_k & 0_k & \dots & 0_k \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_q^{*j} I_k & L_{q-1}^{*j} I_k & L_{j\ell+1}^{*j} I_k & L_{j\ell}^{*j} I_k & {}^t0_{j\ell k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the matrix  $0_{j\ell k}$  is as  $0_{j\ell k} = \underbrace{{}^t(0_k, \dots, 0_k)}_{j\ell \text{ times}}$ ; we obtain,

$$\tilde{V}_j(0, \Theta, L) = \sum_{h=j\ell}^q L_h^{*j} {}^tM_{q-h}.$$

The initial model is a  $MA(q)$ , so the matrix  $M(x)$  is as follows

$$M(x) = \Theta(x)\Sigma_\epsilon {}^t\Theta_1(x) = x^q\Theta(x)\Sigma_\epsilon {}^t\Theta(x^{-1}) = x^q \sum_{j=-q}^q C(j)x^j.$$

Therefore the matrix coefficients  $M_0, M_1, \dots, M_q$  are respectively equal to  $C(-q), C(-q+1), \dots, C(0)$  and the matrix covariances may be written by Lemma 1

$$C(j) = \begin{cases} {}^t\Omega J^j \Omega, & \text{if } j \geq 0 \\ {}^t\Omega {}^t J^j \Omega, & \text{if } j < 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{V}_j(0, \Theta, L) = \sum_{h=j\ell}^q L_h^{*j} {}^t C(-h) = {}^t\Omega \left( \sum_{h=j\ell}^q L_h^{*j} J^h \right) \Omega = {}^t\Omega \Delta_L^j \Omega. \quad \square$$

#### 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, let us examine some simple cases. The process considered is two-dimensional and the sampling law is such that  $L_1 \neq 0$ .

- Let  $X$  be a first order moving average process:  $X_t = \epsilon_t + \Theta \epsilon_{t-1}$ , where  $\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & -1 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\Sigma_\epsilon = I_2$ .

The spectrum of the process  $X$  is given by:

$$\widehat{C}_X(z) = C_X(-1)z^{-1} + C_X(0) + C_X(1)z = \Sigma_\epsilon {}^t\Theta z^{-1} + (\Sigma_\epsilon + \Theta \Sigma_\epsilon {}^t\Theta) + \Theta \Sigma_\epsilon z.$$

So

$$z\widehat{C}_X(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{9}{4}z + \frac{1}{2} & -z^2 - \frac{1}{2}z \\ -\frac{1}{2}z - 1 & \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{5}{4}z + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spectrum of the process  $\tilde{X}$  is obtained by applying Corollary 3:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) &= \tilde{V}_{-1}(0, \Theta, L)z^{-1} + \tilde{V}_0(0, \Theta, L) + \tilde{V}_1(0, \Theta, L)z \\ &= L_1 \Sigma_\epsilon {}^t\Theta z^{-1} + (\Sigma_\epsilon + \Theta \Sigma_\epsilon {}^t\Theta) + L_1 \Theta \Sigma_\epsilon z. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$z\widehat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}L_1 z^2 + \frac{9}{4}z + \frac{1}{2}L_1 & -L_1 z^2 - \frac{1}{2}z \\ -\frac{1}{2}z - L_1 & \frac{1}{2}L_1 z^2 + \frac{5}{4}z + \frac{1}{2}L_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In Tables 1 and 2, we compute the zeros of the process  $\tilde{X}$  for different values of  $L_1$ .

- a) When the sampling distribution is a Bernoulli law, we have

$$L_j = p^{j-1}(1-p)^{2-j}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

- a) When the sampling distribution is a Poisson law, we have

$$L_j = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

**Remark.** In all the tables, we only report the models with zeros inside the unit disk.

**Table 1.** Bernoulli law.

$L_1$	zeros	modules of zeros
0.1	$-0.0245 \pm 0.0195i$	0.0313
0.2	$-0.0494 \pm 0.0391i$	0.0630
0.3	$-0.0755 \pm 0.0587i$	0.0956
0.4	$-0.1033 \pm 0.0783i$	0.1296
0.5	$-0.1338 \pm 0.0979i$	0.1658
0.6	$-0.1684 \pm 0.1173i$	0.2053
0.7	$-0.2096 \pm 0.1357i$	0.2497
0.8	$-0.2621 \pm 0.1512i$	0.3026
0.9	$-0.3381 \pm 0.1558i$	0.3722

We notice, from Table 1, that the zeros of the sampled process  $\tilde{X}$ , are more stable than those of the process  $X$ . We also see, that the more  $L_1$  is small, the more these zeros are stable. So the more the sampling process has increments of longer 2, the more the zeros of  $\tilde{X}$  are stable. In Kadi [10], we study by means of numerical examples the behaviour of the zeros of  $\tilde{X}$  in relation with the zeros and the poles of  $X$  in the univariate case. The same properties are reported.

**Table 2.** Poisson law.

$\lambda$	$L_1$	zeros	modules of zeros
0.1	0.9048	$-0.3427 \pm 0.1553i$	0.3764
0.3	0.7408	$-0.2293 \pm 0.1426i$	0.2700
0.4	0.6703	$-0.1965 \pm 0.1304i$	0.2358
0.6	0.5488	$-0.1501 \pm 0.1074i$	0.1846
1	0.3679	$-0.0941 \pm 0.0720i$	0.1185
3	0.0498	$-0.0122 \pm 0.0097i$	0.0156
6	0.0025	$(-0.6046 \pm 0.4837i) \times 10^{-3}$	$0.7742 \times 10^{-3}$
9	$1.2341 \times 10^{-4}$	$(-0.3010 \pm 0.2408i) \times 10^{-4}$	$0.3855 \times 10^{-4}$

We notice the same behaviour as in the case of the Bernoulli law.

- Let  $X$  be a first order autoregressive process:  $X_t + \Phi X_{t-1} = \epsilon_t$ ,  
where  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.5 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\Sigma_\epsilon = I_2$ .

The spectrum of the process  $\tilde{X}$  is obtained by applying Corollary 2:

$$\prod_{j=1}^2 (1 - z\hat{L}(r_j)) (1 - z^{-1}\hat{L}(r_j)) \hat{C}_{\tilde{X}}(z) = \sum_{j=-2}^2 \tilde{V}_j(\Phi, 0, L) z^j.$$

To compute the coefficients  $\tilde{V}_j$ , we need:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{9}{4}x - \frac{1}{2} & -1 - \frac{1}{4}x \\ -x^2 - \frac{1}{4}x & \frac{1}{4}x^2 + \frac{17}{16}x - \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \\
 |\tilde{\Phi}(z)| &= \tilde{\phi}_0 z^2 + \tilde{\phi}_1 z + \tilde{\phi}_2 \\
 &= z^2 - \left( \hat{L}(r_1) + \hat{L}(r_2) \right) z + \hat{L}(r_1)\hat{L}(r_2) \\
 A &= \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & I_2 \\ -\hat{L}(r_2)I_2 & -\hat{L}(r_1)I_2 \\ 0_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{M(r_1)}{(1-r_1^2)(1-r_1r_2)(r_1-r_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = \frac{M(r_2)}{(1-r_2^2)(1-r_1r_2)(r_2-r_1)}.$$

Then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{V}_0(\Phi, 0, L) &= \frac{1}{2}(R_1 + R_2 + {}^tR_1 + {}^tR_2) - (\tilde{\phi}_1\hat{L}(r_2) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_2^2)(R_1 + {}^tR_1) \\
 &\quad - (\tilde{\phi}_1\hat{L}(r_1) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_2^2)(R_2 + {}^tR_2) \\
 \tilde{V}_1(\Phi, 0, L) &= -(\hat{L}(r_2) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_2)(R_1 + {}^tR_1) - (\hat{L}(r_1) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_1 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_2)(R_2 + {}^tR_2) \\
 \tilde{V}_2(\Phi, 0, L) &= -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\phi}_2 (R_1 + R_2 + {}^tR_1 + {}^tR_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

In Tables 3 and 4, we compute the poles and the zeros of the process  $\tilde{X}$  for different values of the Bernoulli parameter  $p$  and the Poisson parameter  $\lambda$ .

- a) When the sampling distribution is a Bernoulli law, we have  $\hat{L}(z) = (1-p)z + pz^2$ .
- b) When the sampling distribution is a Poisson law, we have  $\hat{L}(z) = z \exp(\lambda(z-1))$ .

**Table 3.** Bernoulli law.

$p$	poles	zeros
0.1	-0.2188; 0.4750	-0.1392; 0.1409
0.2	-0.1875; 0.4500	-0.1228; 0.1242
0.3	-0.1563; 0.4250	-0.1055; 0.1065
0.4	-0.1250; 0.4000	-0.0871; 0.0878
0.5	-0.093; 0.3750	-0.676; 0.0679; 0.7280
0.6	-0.0625; 0.3500	-0.466; 0.0468; 0.5559
0.7	-0.0313; 0.3250	-0.8662; -0.0242; 0.0242; 0.4575
0.8	$1.387 \times 10^{-17}$ ; 0.3000	-0.5467; 0.0000; 0.0000; 0.3862
0.9	0.0313; 0.2750	-0.4207; -0.0261; 0.0260; 0.3295

**Table 4.** Poisson law.

$\lambda$	poles	zeros
0.1	-0.2206; 0.4756	-0.1401; 0.1418
0.3	-0.1718; 0.4304	-0.1139; 0.1151
0.4	-0.1516; 0.4094	-0.1224; 0.1033
0.6	-0.1181; 0.3704	-0.0822; 0.0828; 0.9973
0.9	-0.0812; 0.3188	-0.0585; 0.0587; 0.5320
1	-0.0716; 0.3033	-0.8435; -0.0521; 0.0523; 0.4825
3	-0.0059; 0.1116	-0.1472; -0.0046; 0.0046; 0.1425
6	$-1.3827 \times 10^{-4}$ ; 0.0249	-0.0314; 0.0313
9	$-3.2518 \times 10^{-6}$ ; 0.0056	-0.0063; 0.0069

In the univariate case, we observe on many examples that the zeros of  $\tilde{X}$  are more stable than those of  $X$ . This property needs to be more studied to determine the conditions under which this property holds.

(Received September 19, 1996.)

#### REFERENCES

- 
- [1] P. Bloomfield: Spectral analysis with randomly missing observations. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B* 32 (1970), 369–380.
  - [2] D. R. Brillinger: Statistical inference for irregularly observed processes. In: *Proceeding of a Symposium Time Series Analysis of Irregularly Observed Data* (E. Parzen, ed., *Lecture Notes in Statistics* 25), Springer Verlag, Berlin 1983.
  - [3] W. Dunsmuir: Estimation for stationary time series when data are irregularly spaced or missing. In: *Applied Time Series II* (D. F. Findley, ed.), Academic Press, New York 1981.
  - [4] W. Dunsmuir: A central limit theorem for estimation in Gaussian stationary time series observed at unequally spaced times. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 14 (1983), 279–295.
  - [5] W. Dunsmuir: Large sample properties of estimation in time series observed at unequally spaced times. In: *Proceeding of a Symposium Time Series Analysis of Irregularly Observed Data* (E. Parzen, ed., *Lecture Notes in Statistics* 25), Springer Verlag, Berlin 1983.
  - [6] W. Dunsmuir and P. M. Robinson: Parametric estimators for stationary time series with missing observations. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* 13 (1981), 126–146.
  - [7] W. Dunsmuir and P. M. Robinson: Asymptotic theory for time series containing missing and amplitude modulated observations. *Sankhyā Ser. A* 43 (1981), Pt. 3, 260–281.
  - [8] W. Dunsmuir and P. M. Robinson: Estimation of time series models in the presence of missing data. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 76 (1981), 375, 560–568.
  - [9] E. J. Hannan: *Multiple Time Series*. Wiley, New York 1970.
  - [10] A. Kadi: *Agrégation et échantillonnage aléatoire de séries temporelles*. Thèse, Université de Paris–Sud 1994.
  - [11] A. Kadi, G. Oppenheim and M. C. Viano: Random aggregation of uni and multivariate linear proceses. *J. Time Ser. Anal.* 15 (1994), 1, 31–43.



- [12] A. Kadi and A. Mokkadem: Matrix representations of spectral coefficients of ARMA models randomly sampled. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 54 (1994), 1, 121–137.
- [13] R. J. Marshall: Autocorrelation estimation of time series with randomly missing observations. *Biometrika* 67 (1980), 3, 567–570.
- [14] E. Parzen: On spectral analysis with missing observations and amplitude modulation. *Sankhyā, Ser. A* 25 (1963), 383–392.
- [15] P. M. Robinson: Continuous model fitting from discrete data. In: *Directions in Time Series* (D. R. Brillinger and G. C. Tiao, eds.), Institute of Mathematical Statistics 1980, pp. 263–278.
- [16] P. M. Robinson: Multiple time series analysis of irregularly spaced data. In: *Proceeding of a Symposium Time Series Analysis of Irregularly Observed Data* (E. Parzen, ed., *Lecture Notes in Statistics* 25), Springer Verlag, Berlin 1983.
- [17] H. S. Shapiro and R. A. Silverman: Alias-free sampling of random noise. *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.* 8 (1960), 2, 225–248.
- [18] C. M. C. Toli and P. A. Morettin: Spectral analysis for amplitude-modulated time series. *J. Time Ser. Anal.* 14 (1993), 4, 409–432.

*Dr. Amina Kadi, Modélisation Stochastique et Statistique, Bât. 425, Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex. France.*

*e-mail: amina.kadi@math.u-psud.fr*