Kybernetika

VOLUME 38 (2002), NUMBER 4

The Journal of the Czech Society for Cybernetics and Information Sciences

Published by:

Institute of Information Theory and Automation of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

Editor-in-Chief: Milan Mareš

Managing Editors: Karel Sladký

Editorial Board:

Jiří Anděl, Marie Demlová, Petr Hájek, Jan Hlavička, Martin Janžura, Jan Ježek, Radim Jiroušek, George Klir, Ivan Kramosil, Friedrich Liese, Jean-Jacques Loiseau, František Matúš, Radko Mesiar, Jiří Outrata, Jan Štecha, Olga Štěpánková, Igor Vajda, Pavel Zítek, Pavel Žampa

Editorial Office:

Pod Vodárenskou věží 4, 18208 Praha 8

Kybernetika is a bi-monthly international journal dedicated for rapid publication of high-quality, peer-reviewed research articles in fields covered by its title.

Kybernetika traditionally publishes research results in the fields of Control Sciences, Information Sciences, System Sciences, Statistical Decision Making, Applied Probability Theory, Random Processes, Fuzziness and Uncertainty Theories, Operations Research and Theoretical Computer Science, as well as in the topics closely related to the above fields.

The Journal has been monitored in the Science Citation Index since 1977 and it is abstracted/indexed in databases of Mathematical Reviews, Current Mathematical Publications, Current Contents ISI Engineering and Computing Technology.

Kybernetika. Volume 38 (2002)

ISSN 0023-5954, MK ČR E 4902.

Published bi-monthly by the Institute of Information Theory and Automation of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Pod Vodárenskou věží 4, 182 08 Praha 8. — Address of the Editor: P. O. Box 18, 182 08 Prague 8, e-mail: kybernetika@utia.cas.cz. — Printed by PV Press, Pod vrstevnicí 5, 140 00 Prague 4. — Orders and subscriptions should be placed with: MYRIS TRADE Ltd., P. O. Box 2, V Štíhlách 1311, 142 01 Prague 4, Czech Republic, e-mail: myris@myris.cz. — Sole agent for all "western" countries: Kubon & Sagner, P. O. Box 34 01 08, D-8 000 München 34, F.R.G. Published in August 2002.

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague 2002.

ON THE STABILIZABILITY OF SOME CLASSES OF BILINEAR SYSTEMS IN \mathbb{R}^3

Hamadi Jerbi

In this paper, we consider some classes of bilinear systems. We give sufficient condition for the asymptotic stabilization by using a positive and a negative feedbacks.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stabilizability of bilinear systems of the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx \tag{1}$$

(where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and A, B are constant real matrices $(n \times n)$) has widely studied in the past years by many authors (see e.g. [1-13]). In [4], the authors give a necessary and sufficient condition, algebraically computable, for the global stabilization of the planar bilinear systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z \\ z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad v \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A}, \quad \tilde{B} \in M(2, \mathbb{R}). \end{cases}$$
 (2)

It turns out that the stabilizability by homogeneous feedback is equivalent to the asymptotic controllability to the origin which is equivalent to the stabilizability. Moreover, they show that there exists a large class of planar bilinear systems that are not C^1 stabilizable but stabilizable by means of homogeneous feedback of the form $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$, where Q_1 is a quadratic form and Q_2 is a positive-definite quadratic form.

For the three dimensional case, in [3] the authors deal with a particular class of bilinear systems of form (1) with A diagonal and B skew symmetric. For these systems a necessary and sufficient condition for global asymptotic stabilization by constant feedback and a sufficient condition for stabilization by a family of linear feedbacks are given. Another interesting problem is considered in the literature. The question is: does the local asymptotic stabilizability of (1) imply the global asymptotic stabilizability? More precisely let us assume that there exists a feedback law (locally defined) $u: x \mapsto u(x)$ such that the closed system $\dot{x} = Ax + u(x) Bx$ (Σ)

is locally asymptotically stable about the origin. Does there exist a feedback law (globally defined) $\bar{u}(x)$ which makes the origin of (1) globally asymptotically stable?

To the closed-loop system (Σ) a positive-definite function V (locally defined) is associated, such that $\dot{V}(x)$ (the derivative of V along the trajectories of system (Σ)) is negative definite.

Hammouri and Marques [8], proved that local asymptotic stabilizability implies global asymptotic stabilizability under some assumption on the level surfaces of the Lyapunov function related to system (Σ). Andriano [1] assert, that the answer to the above question is yes without any assumption on the level surfaces of the Lyapunov function. In [5] the authors clarify the result of Andriano given in [1].

This work is a contribution to the study of stabilization of bilinear systems by homogeneous feedback. The results concern single-input bilinear systems of the form

$$\dot{x} = Ax + uBx \tag{3}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $^T\!A$, $^T\!B$ two matrices supposed have a same eigenvector ($^T\!A$ denotes the transpose of matrix A). In a suitable basis matrices A and B can be written as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{(2.1)} & a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(3.1)} & a_{(3.2)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ b_{(2.1)} & b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(3.1)} & b_{(3.2)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define matrices \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} as

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(2.3)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \ \ \text{and} \ \ \tilde{B} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(2.3)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{array} \right).$$

We suppose more that system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback and \tilde{B} is not diagonalizable.

In this paper we show how to compute the homogeneous feedback of the system (3) when the planar bilinear system (2) is stabilizable by a positive and negative feedback.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, for the convenience of the reader we recall two results of constant use in the sequel.

Section 3: In the case where the eigenvalues of B associate to the common eigenvector of $^T\!A$ and $^T\!B$ is zero we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the stabilizability of system (3), the feedback is given explicitly. Next we prove that if the planar bilinear system is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) by a feedback v(z) such that $b_{1.1}v(z) < 0$ then system (2) is GAS.

In Section 4, we suppose that the system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback and \tilde{B} is not diagonalizable. As an application of the last result of the Section 2, we give a necessary and sufficient condition, algebraically computable, for the global stabilization of the planar bilinear systems by a positive and negative feedback

2. TWO RESULTS ON STABILIZATION

We recall the following theorem, because we need these results to prove that we can stabilize some bilinear system by a positive and negative feedback (see Theorems 5, 6, 7 and 8).

Theorem 1. Consider the two-dimensional system,

$$^{T}[\dot{z}_{1},\dot{z}_{2}] = ^{T}[f_{1}(z_{1},z_{2}),f_{2}(z_{1},z_{2})]$$

where $^{T}[f_1, f_2]$ is Lipschitz continuous and is homogeneous of degree p. Then the system is asymptotically stable if and only if one of the following is satisfied:

(i) The system does not have any one-dimensional invariant subspaces and

$$I = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos\theta f_1(\cos\theta, \sin\theta) + \sin\theta f_2(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)}{\cos\theta f_2(\cos\theta, \sin\theta) - \sin\theta f_1(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)} d\theta$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f_1(1, s)}{f_2(1, s) - s f_1(1, s)} ds < 0$$

or

(ii) The restriction of the system to each of its one-dimensional invariant subspaces is asymptotically stable.

For a proof see [2, 7].

In the sequel we use constantly a result of asymptotic stability using positive-semi-definite function. The theorem can be found in [9] or [10], we use the formulation of [10]. Consider the differential equation

$$(\Gamma) \begin{cases} \dot{x} = X(x) \\ X(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where X is a smooth vector field on \mathbb{R}^n . For a differentiable function V, we denote the action of X, considered as a differential operator, on V by XV, which is defined by

$$XV(x) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}V(X_t(x))_{t=0}$$

 $X_t(x_0)$ is the solution of (Γ) starting at x_0 , i. e. $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}X_t(x_0)=X(X_t(x_0))$ and $X_0(x_0)=x_0$.

Theorem 2. We suppose that there exists a function $V \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ such that

- (1) $V(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and V(0) = 0
- $(2) \dot{V}(x) = XV(x) \le 0.$

We denote by $\mathcal L$ the largest positively invariant set of X contained in $M=\{x\in I\!\!R^n: \dot V(x)=0\}$

If the origin is asymptotically stable with respect to the system (Γ) restricted to \mathcal{L} , then the origin is asymptotically stable.

3. MAIN RESULT

Consider a single input bilinear system (3), we suppose that the ${}^{T}B$ and ${}^{T}\!A$ have a same eigenvector

we recall that, in a suitable basis of \mathbb{R}^3 , the matrices A, B take the following forms

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{(2.1)} & a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(3.1)} & a_{(3.2)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{(1.1)} & 0 & 0 \\ b_{(2.1)} & b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(3.1)} & b_{(3.2)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and \tilde{A} , \tilde{B} as follows

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_{(2.2)} & a_{(2.3)} \\ a_{(2.3)} & a_{(3.3)} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{(2.2)} & b_{(2.3)} \\ b_{(2.3)} & b_{(3.3)} \end{array} \right).$$

For the sake of clarity, we set $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)=(x_1,z)$ where $z=(x_2,x_3)$. We denotes $a_{(1,1)}=\alpha$ and $\beta=b_{(1,1)}$

Theorem 3. In the case when $\beta = 0$ we can assume that:

The bilinear system (3) is GAS if and only if $\alpha < 0$ and the planar bilinear system $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$, (2) is GAS.

If system (2) is GAS by the feedback law $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$ (where Q_1 is a quadratic form and Q_2 is a positive-definite quadratic form), then the feedback $u(x) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z) + x_1^2}$ stabilizes the system (3).

Proof. The system (3) takes the form

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_1 &= \alpha x_1 \\
\left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{array}\right) &= x_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_{(2.1)} \\ a_{(3.1)} \end{pmatrix} + u x_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_{(2.1)} \\ b_{(3.1)} \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} + u \tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{cases} (4)$$

It is clear that it is necessary for the stabilizability of (4) that $\alpha < 0$ and the system $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$ is stabilizable.

We suppose now that these two conditions are satisfied. Let $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$ be a stabilizing homogeneous feedback for $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$, where Q_1 is a quadratic form

and Q_2 is a positive-definite quadratic form. We define $u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z) + x_1^2}$ on \mathbb{R}^3 . This feedback is homogeneous and C^{∞} in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. We denote by X(x) = A(x) + u(x) B(x) the vectors field of the closed-loop system. We shall prove, by using Theorem 2, that this system is asymptotically stable. It is clear that the vectors field X and Y where $Y(x) = (Q_2(z) + ||x_1||^2) X(x)$ have the same orbits. We choose $V(x) = x_1^2$, V is clearly positive-semi-definite on \mathbb{R}^3 . Since $\alpha < 0$, then

$$YV(x) = \dot{V}(x) = \alpha(Q_2(z) + ||x_1||^2)||x_1||^2 \le 0.$$

Let M be the set $M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \dot{V}(x) = 0\}$. It is clear that M is $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then the vectors field Y is reduced on M to $\dot{z} = Q_2(z)(\tilde{A}z + u(0,z)\tilde{B}z$.

Since $Q_2(z)$ is positive-definite and $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v(z)\tilde{B}z$ is asymptotically stable, then Y and hence X, is asymptotically stable.

In the case when $\beta \neq 0$ and without loss of generality we can suppose that $a_{(1,1)} = \alpha < 0$.

Theorem 4. If the planar bilinear system $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$ (2) is globally asymptotically stabilizable by a feedback law of the form $v(z) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)}$ such that $\beta v(z) \leq 0$ $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$ then the feedback $u(x) = \frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z) + x_1^2}$ stabilizes the system (3).

Proof. It is straightforward that the the closed-loop system (3) with the feedback $u(x)=\frac{Q_1(z)}{Q_2(z)+x_1^2}$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 &= \alpha x_1 + u\beta x_1 \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{array}\right) &= x_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} a_{(2.1)} \\ a_{(3.1)} \end{array}\right) + ux_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} b_{(2.1)} \\ b_{(3.1)} \end{array}\right) + \tilde{A} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array}\right) + u\tilde{B} \left(\begin{array}{c} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{array}\right)$$
 is GAS.

The proof is organized as the proof of the preceding theorem. Since this system is in triangular forms (see [10]), and $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + u(0,z)\tilde{B}z$ is GAS, then the equation (5) is GAS.

4. STABILIZABILITY OF PLANAR BILINEAR SYSTEM BY A POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE FEEDBACK

In this section we consider the planar bilinear system $\dot{z} = Az + vBz$ (2) which it not be stabilizable by a constant feedback. We suppose that matrix \tilde{B} is not diagonalizable.

As an application of Theorem 4, in this section we construct a positive and negative feedbacks who's stabilize the planar bilinear systems (2).

ňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňň

4.1. \tilde{B} have no real eigenvalues

In a suitable basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , the matrix \tilde{B} takes the following form

$$\tilde{B} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \nu & \mu \\ -\mu & \nu \end{array} \right).$$

For the sake of clarity, we set $z = (z_1, z_2)$, $\tilde{z}_1 = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2$ and $\tilde{z}_2 = -e_2 z_1 + e_1 z_2$, where $e_1 = (a-d) - \sqrt{(b+c)^2 + (a-d)^2}$ and $e_2 = (b+c)$.

According to the assumption that system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback, it is the classification of planar bilinear systems we are speaking of [4], we have

(i)
$$\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) \ge 0$$
, $\text{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0$ and $(b+c)^2 - 4ad > 0$.

Theorem 5. If the condition (i) is satisfied then for $t_1 > 0$ and $t_2 > 0$ large enough and $\tilde{d}\sqrt{\frac{t_1}{t_2}} + \tilde{a} > 0$ the positive feedback law

$$\begin{split} v_1(z_1,z_2) &= \frac{t_1\tilde{z}_1^2 + (\tilde{d}-\tilde{a})\tilde{z}_1\tilde{z}_2 + t_2\tilde{z}_2^2}{\mu(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2)} + \frac{c-b}{2\mu} \quad \text{and the negative feedback law} \\ v_2(z_1,z_2) &= -\frac{t_1\tilde{z}_1^2 + (\tilde{a}-\tilde{d})\tilde{z}_1\tilde{z}_2 + t_2\tilde{z}_2^2}{\mu(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2)} + \frac{c-b}{2\mu} \quad \text{where} \\ \tilde{a} &= (ae_1^2 + (c+b)e_1e_2 + de_2^2)/(e_1^2 + e_2^2) \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{d} &= (ae_2^2 - (c+b)e_1e_2 + de_1^2)/(e_1^2 + e_2^2) \end{split}$$

stabilize the system (2).

Proof. We consider the closed-loop system (2) by the feedback $v_1(z_1, z_2)$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} Z_1(z_1,z_2) \\ Z_2(z_1,z_2) \end{array} \right) = (\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2) \left[\tilde{A} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \right) + v_1(z_1,z_2) \tilde{B} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \right) \right].$$

Since the function $(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2)$ is positive-definite then there is equivalence between asymptotic stability of the vector field $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$ and the closed-loop bilinear system (2), defining the function F as follows

$$F(z_1, z_2) = z_1 Z_2(z_1, z_2) - z_2 Z_1(z_1, z_2)$$

a simple computation gives

$$F(z_1, z_2) = -(t_1 \tilde{z}_1^2 + t_2 \tilde{z}_2^2)(\tilde{z}_1^2 + \tilde{z}_2^2).$$

From Theorem 1 one can deduce that the vector field Z is GAS if and only if

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_1(1,s)}{F(1,s)} \, \mathrm{d}s < 0.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\tilde{a}\tilde{d} < 0 \qquad I = -\frac{\pi}{t_2} \frac{\tilde{d}t + \tilde{a}}{t(t+1)} \quad \text{where} \quad t = \sqrt{\frac{t_1}{t_2}}.$$

Since t_1 and t_2 have been chosen large enough such that $v_1(z_1, z_2) > 0$ and $\tilde{d}t + \tilde{a} > 0$, then I < 0.

From the fact that the vector field Z is GAS, we can assume that the differential equation

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{\xi}_{1} \\
\dot{\xi}_{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
a & -b \\
-c & d
\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}
t_{1}\bar{\xi}_{1}^{2} + (\tilde{d} - \tilde{a})\bar{\xi}_{1}\bar{\xi}_{2} + t_{2}\bar{\xi}_{2}^{2} \\
\mu(\bar{\xi}_{1}^{2} + \bar{\xi}_{2}^{2})
\end{pmatrix} + \frac{b - c}{2\mu} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\mu \\
\mu & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\xi_{1} \\
\xi_{2}
\end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

 $\bar{\xi}_1 = e_1 \xi_1 - e_2 \xi_2$ and $\bar{\xi}_2 = e_2 \xi_1 + e_1 \xi_2$ is GAS. Under a linear change in the state space of the form $z_1 = \xi_1$ and $z_2 = -\xi_2$ the differential equation (6) becomes $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v_2(z_1, z_2)\tilde{B}z$ which it GAS.

ňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňňň

4.2. Case where the eigenvalues of B are real without B being diagonalizable

In a suitable basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , matrices \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} take the following forms

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \qquad \tilde{B} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{array} \right).$$

According to the assumption that the system (2) is not stabilizable by a constant feedback, it is the classification of planar bilinear systems we are speaking of [4], we have

(i)
$$\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{A}) > 0$$
, $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c \neq 0$;

(ii)
$$\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{A}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0$$
 and $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c \neq 0$.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c > 0$.

4.2.1. Case when $Tr(\tilde{A}) > 0$

We will treated separately the two subcases: $4bc+(a-d)^2<0$ and $4bc+(a-d)^2\geq0$.

The sub case when $4bc + (a-d)^2 \ge 0$.

Theorem 6. If the condition (i) is satisfied then the negative feedback

$$v(z) = -b - \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} - \frac{(d+a)^2 P(z)}{4cQ(z)}$$

where

$$Q(z) = (cz_1 - (d+2a)z_2)^2 + \frac{29}{4}(d+a)^2 z_2^2 \text{ and}$$

$$P(z) = 26c^2 z_1^2 + (88ac + 140cd)z_1 z_2 + \left(\frac{849}{2}d^2 + 709ad + \frac{621}{2}a^2\right)z_2^2$$

stabilizes the system (2).

 ${\tt Proof.}$ Suppose that, the condition (i) is satisfied. We consider the Lyapunov function,

$$V(z) = \left(c^2 z_1^2 - \frac{dc + 5ac}{2} z_1 z_2 - \left(\frac{17}{2}a^2 + \frac{39}{2}da + 10d^2\right)z_2^2\right)^2 + \frac{133}{4} \left(\frac{d^2 - a^2}{2} z_2^2 + c(d+a)z_1 z_2\right)^2$$

for the system (2), and the feedback law

$$v(z) = -b - \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} - \frac{(d+a)^2 P(z)}{4cQ(z)}.$$

One can verify that, V is positive-definite and the feedback law is homogeneous of degree zero. A simple computation gives

$$\dot{V}(z) = \frac{-(a+d)D(z)R(z)}{Q(z)} < 0 \quad \forall z \neq 0$$

where $R(z) = (cz_1 + \frac{d-a}{2}z_2)^2 + \frac{19}{2}(a+d)^2z_2^2$, and

$$D(z) = \left(c^2 z_1^2 - (cd + 3ac)z_1 z_2 - \left(\frac{33}{4}a^2 + \frac{39}{2}ad + \frac{41}{4}d^2\right)z_2^2\right)^2 + 33\left(\frac{d^2 - a^2}{2}z_2^2 + c(d + a)z_1 z_2\right)^2.$$

This prove that the feedback v(z) stabilizes the system (2).

Since $P(z) - Q(z) = 25 \left(cz_1 + \frac{(71d + 46a)}{25}z_2\right)^2 + \frac{21461}{100}(a+d)^2z_2^2$, is positive-definite then

$$\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} > 1, \ \forall z \neq 0.$$

Tacking into account the fact that $4bc + (a-d)^2 \ge 0$ and $\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} > 1$ then

$$v(z) < -\frac{(d+a)^2}{4c} - b - \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} < 0.$$

Proposition 1. The system (2) is not stabilizable by a positive feedback.

 ${\bf P}\,{\bf r}\,{\bf o}\,{\bf o}\,{\bf f}$. Consider the linear change of coordinates whose transformation matrix is given by

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \frac{d-a}{2c} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

The matrix \tilde{B} keep its initial form and the matrix \tilde{A} becomes

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{a+d}{2} & b + \frac{(a-d)^2}{4c} \\ c & \frac{a+d}{2} \end{array} \right).$$

In the new basis it is easy to verify that the set $H = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that } z_1 \geq 0$ $z_2 = 2\}$ is invariant by the open loop system $\dot{z} = \tilde{A}z + v\tilde{B}z$ where v lie in \mathbb{R}^+ . \square

The sub case where $4bc + (a-d)^2 < 0$. Under a change in input state of the form $\tilde{v} = (\frac{2c}{\sqrt{-4bc-(a-d)^2}})v$ and if we consider the linear change of coordinates whose transformation matrix is given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{d-a}{2c} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{-4bc - (a-d)^2}}{2c} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix \tilde{B} keep its initial form and the matrix \tilde{A} becomes

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a+d}{2} & -\tilde{c} \\ \tilde{c} & \frac{a+d}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

where
$$\tilde{c} = \frac{\sqrt{-4bc - (a-d)^2}}{2}$$
.

In the new basis, we prove the following result.

Theorem 7. If the condition (i) is satisfied, then for -t > 0 large enough the negative feedback

$$\tilde{v}(z) = \left(\frac{a+d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{-z_1^2 + \left(-\frac{10\tilde{c}}{a+d} + p\right)z_1z_2 + (t+7)z_2^2}{\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}z_1^2 - 5z_1z_2 + \left(\frac{7a+7d}{\tilde{c}}\right)z_2^2}\right)$$

where

$$p = -15\frac{(a+d)}{c} - 8\frac{(a+d)^2 + c^2}{c(a+d)^2}$$

stabilizes the system (2).

Proof. Suppose that, the condition (i) is satisfied. We consider the closed-loop system (2) by the feedback $\tilde{v}(z)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(z_1, z_2) \\ Z_2(z_1, z_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}z_1^2 - 5z_1z_2 + \left(\frac{7a+7d}{2\tilde{c}}\right)z_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + v(z_1, z_2)\tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the function $(\frac{2\bar{c}}{a+d}z_1^2 - 5z_1z_2 + (\frac{7a+7d}{2\bar{c}})z_2^2)$ is positive-definite then there is equivalence between asymptotic stability of the vector field $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$ and the closed-loop bilinear system (2), defining the function F as follows

$$F(z_1, z_2) = z_1 Z_2(z_1, z_2) - z_2 Z_1(z_1, z_2)$$

a simple computation gives

$$F(z_1,z_2) = \left(\frac{2\tilde{c}^2}{a+d}\right)z_1^4 - 5\tilde{c}z_1^3z_2 + \left(4a + 4d + \frac{2\tilde{c}^2}{a+d}\right)z_1^2z_2^2 - \frac{pa+pd}{2}z_1z_2^3 - \frac{ta+td}{2}z_2^4.$$

It is clear that for -t > 0 large enough F is a positive-definite function. From Theorem 1 one can deduce that the vector field Z is GAS if and only if $I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_1(1,y)}{F(1,y)} \, \mathrm{d}y < 0$. It is easy to verify that

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Z_1(1,y) - 4\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_2}(1,y)}{F(1,y)} dy$$
$$= \frac{a+d}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{-(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}) - (8 + 2(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d})^2)y + (\frac{28a+28d}{2\tilde{c}} + p)y^2}{F(1,y)} dy.$$

Since $p = -15\frac{(a+d)}{c} - 8\frac{(a+d)^2 + c^2}{c(a+d)^2}$, then we can verify that $-(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d}) - (8+2(\frac{2\tilde{c}}{a+d})^2)y + (\frac{28a+28d}{2\tilde{c}} + p)y^2 < 0 \ \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, the proof of theorem follows from Theorem 1.

Theorem 8. If the condition (i) is satisfied, then for t > 0 large enough the positive feedback

$$\tilde{v}(z) = \frac{(2\tilde{c}(a+d) + \tilde{c}^3(a+d)/2 + 8\tilde{c}t)z_1^2 - 8(a+d)z_1z_2 - (16(a+d)/\tilde{c} + 8\tilde{c}t)z_2^2}{(\tilde{c}^2(a+d)/2)z_1^2 + 8tz_2^2}$$

stabilizes the system (2).

Proof. Suppose that, the condition (i) is satisfied. We consider the closed-loop system (2) by the feedback $\tilde{v}(z)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1(z_1, z_2) \\ Z_2(z_1, z_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}^2 \\ 8(a+d)^2 \end{pmatrix} z_1^2 + tz_2^2 \left[\tilde{A} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + v(z_1, z_2) \tilde{B} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Since the function $\left(\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{8(a+d)^2}z_1^2+tz_2^2\right)$ is positive-definite, then there is equivalence between asymptotic stability of the vector field $Z=(Z_1,Z_2)$ and the closed-loop bilinear system (2), defining the function F as follows

$$F(z_1, z_2) = z_1 Z_2(z_1, z_2) - z_2 Z_1(z_1, z_2)$$

a simple computation gives

$$F(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{a+d}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{a+d} z_1 + z_2\right)^2 \left(\frac{-\tilde{c}}{a+d} z_1^2 + 2z_1 z_2 - 2\frac{a+d}{\tilde{c}} z_2^2\right).$$

It is easy to see that, if $(1,\xi)$ verify $\mathcal{F}(1,\xi) = \xi X_1(1,\xi) - X_2(1,\xi) = 0$ then there exists $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(X_1(1,\xi), X_2(1,\xi)) = \nu(1,\xi)$.

In our case we have $\xi = \frac{-\tilde{c}}{a+d}$ and $\nu = \frac{\tilde{c}+(a+d)\xi/2}{\xi} = -(a+d)/2 < 0$. Consequently, the proof of theorem follows from Theorem 1.

4.2.2. Case where $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{A}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0$

Under the assumptions that $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{B}) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}(\tilde{A}\tilde{B}) = c > 0$, and in suitable basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , the matrices \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} can be written as $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}$,

 $\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where c > 0 consider the linear change of coordinates whose transformation matrix is given by

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \frac{-a}{c} \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

The matrix \tilde{B} keep its initial form and the matrix \tilde{A} becomes

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & b + \frac{a^2}{c} \\ c & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

In the new basis and in the case where $b + a^2/c < 0$ there is equivalence between the stabilizability of system $\dot{z} = (\tilde{A} + v\tilde{B})z$ by a positive feedback and the stabilizability of the system $\dot{z} = (\tilde{B} + v\tilde{A})z$ (7) where $v \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Moreover we can assume that there is equivalence between the stabilizability of system $\dot{z} = (\tilde{A} + v\tilde{B})z$ by a negative feedback and the stabilizability of the system $\dot{z} = (-\tilde{B} + v\tilde{A})z$ (8) where $v \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The stabilizability problem of systems (7) and (8) was treated in the subsection 4.1.

In the case when $b + a^2/c > 0$ we consider the change of feedback

$$\tilde{v}(z) = v(z) + \frac{a^2 + bc}{c} + c$$

the system (2) becomes

$$\dot{z} = (\bar{A} + \tilde{v}\tilde{B})z$$
 where $\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

The characteristic polynomial of matrix \bar{A} is equal to $X^2 + c^2$, so matrix \bar{A} admits a first integral, namely the positive-definite function

$$V(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + z_2^2).$$

Moreover, the rank of the family $\{\tilde{B}z, \operatorname{ad} \tilde{A}\tilde{B}z, \ldots\}$ is equal to two on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, hence for any positive constant δ the feedback law

$$\tilde{v}(z) = -\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{B}}V(z)}{\delta V(z_1, z_2)} = -\frac{z_1 z_2}{\delta(z_1^2 + z_2^2)}$$

stabilizes the system (2) (the proof is a modification of the result of [6] with the feedback rendered homogeneous; the proof is exactly the same). It follows for $\delta > 0$ large enough the negative feedback

$$v(z) = -\frac{z_1 z_2}{\delta(z_1^2 + z_2^2)} - \frac{a^2 + bc}{c} - c.$$

Proposition 2. The system (2) is not stabilizable by a positive feedback.

Proof. In the new basis it is easy to verify that the set $H = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ such that } z_1 \geq 2 \ z_2 \geq 2\}$ is invariant by the open loop system $\dot{z} = Az + v\tilde{B}z$ where v lie in \mathbb{R}^+ .

(Received January 30, 2001.)

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Andriano: Some results on global and semi global stabilization of affines systems. Systems Control Lett. 33 (1998), 259–263.
- [2] A. Cima and J. Llibre: Algebric and topological classification of the homogeneous cubic vector field in the plane. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 14 (1990), 420–448.
- [3] S. Čelikovský: On the stabilization of the homogeneuos bilinear systems. Systems Control Lett. 21 (1993), 503–510.
- [4] R. Chabour, G. Sallet, and J. C. Vivalda: Stabilization of nonlinear two dimensional system: a bilinear approach. Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems (1996), 224–246.
- [5] O. Chabour and J. C. Vivalda: Remark on local and global stabilization of homogeneuos bilinear systems. Systems Control Lett. 41 (2000), 141–143.
- [6] J. P. Gauthier and I. Kupka: A separation principle for bilinear systems with dissipative drift. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control AC-37 (1992), 12, 1970-1974.
- [7] W. Hahn: Stability of Motion. Springer Verlag, Berlin 1967.
- [8] H. Hammouri and J. C. Marques: Stabilization of homogeneuos bilinear systems. Appl. Math. Lett. 7 (1994), 1, 23–28.
- [9] A. Iggider, B. Kalitine, and R. Outbib: Semidefinite Lyapunov Functions Stability and Stabilization. (Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems 9.) Springer-Verlag, London 1996, pp. 95–106.
- [10] A. Iggider, B. Kalitine, and G. Sallet: Lyapunov theorem with semidefinite functions proceedings. In: Proc. 14th Triennial IFAC World Congress IFAC 99, Beijing 1999, pp. 231–236.
- [11] H. Jerbi, M. A. Hammami, and J. C. Vivalda: On the stabilization of homogeneous affine systems. In: Proc. 2nd IEEE Mediterranean Symposium on New Directions in Control & Automation T2.3.4, 1994, pp. 319–326.
- [12] V. Jurdjevic and J. P. Quinn: Controllability and stability. J. of Differentials 28 (1978), 381–389.
- [13] E. P. Ryan and N. J. Buckingham: On asymptotically stabilizing feedback control of bilinear systems. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control AC-28 (1983), 8, 863–864.

Dr. Hamadi Jerbi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Sfax. Tunisia. e-mail: hjerbi@voila.fr