

Overview of Distributed Decision-Making for Urban Traffic Control

Jan Přikryl

Department of Adaptive Systems

Institute of Information Theory and Automation

Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

Pod vodárenskou věží 4, CZ-18207 Praha 8

`prikryl@utia.cas.cz`

Many current urban traffic control (UTC) strategies make use of *vehicle actuated* signal switching to improve throughput of single intersections. While this method significantly outperforms fixed signal control, the conflicting preferences of particular intersections present a major challenge in case of coordinated control of a larger traffic network. A traditional way of coping with this problem is the use of centralised control strategies where a single macro-controller limits the autonomy of intersection controllers.

A more scalable and flexible scheme is based on distributed control, where intersections are represented by intelligent agents that mutually cooperate in order to reach an optimum traffic state. A first attempt for real-world deployment of agent-based decision-making application was probably the distributed decision support system installed in Barcelona [1]. While it provided support for traffic management at urban motorways and at several critical approaches to the city, it was not used for traffic control in the inner city.

Control strategy can be also devised by playing a *distributed stochastic game* between agents [2]. This technique makes use of reinforcement learning to find an globally optimal policy which maps observed states to control actions. At least for a simple simulation scenario it gives very promising results.

Most of the presented systems use agents as impersonation for an intersection or even a group of intersections. Some approaches, however, use even finer granularity and place agents at individual signal groups at an intersection [3]. These agents then negotiate optimum signal plan setting for the intersection, given the constraints from other signal group agents at the

intersection and constraints imposed by neighbouring intersections.

The most advanced example of an agent coordination strategy based on *distributed evolutionary game* models different variants of traffic control policies and offers them to a traffic manager [4].

While all the methods presented above address one very important issue of urban traffic control, namely the uncertainty between causes and actions that is present in the system, the communication between agents is closely related to the physical construction of the underlying intersection controllers. A different approach is proposed by the Bayesian Agents [5] where every agent describes the whole intersection (traffic model, control aims, control actions) in form of probability density functions. In such a way, agents cooperate by exchanging their “wishes” as pdfs and the task of devising an optimum control strategy can be represented by the merging operation.

References

- [1] S. Ossowski, J. Cuenca, and A. García-Serrano, “A case of multiagent decision support: Using autonomous agents for urban traffic control,” in *Proceedings of IBERAMIA’98*, ser. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, H. Coelho, Ed., vol. 1484. Springer-Verlag, 1998, pp. 100–111.
- [2] E. Camponogara and W. Kraus Jr, “Distributed learning agents in urban traffic control,” in *Progress in Artificial Intelligence: Proceedings of the 11th Portuguese Conference on Artificial Intelligence (EPIA 2003)*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, F. Moura Pires and S. Abreu, Eds., vol. 2902. Beja, Portugal: Springer-Verlag, Dec. 2003, pp. 324–335.
- [3] I. Kosonen, “Multi-agent fuzzy signal control based on real-time simulation,” *Transportation Research Part C*, vol. 11, pp. 389–403, 2003.
- [4] A. L. C. Bazzan, “A distributed approach for coordination of traffic signal agents,” *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 131–164, Jan. 2005.
- [5] V. Šmídl and J. Přikryl, “From Bayesian decision makers to Bayesian agents,” in *Proceedings of the SOAS05 Conference*, 2005.