

On weak orbits of operators

C. Badea and V. Müller

Abstract. Let T be a completely nonunitary contraction on a Hilbert space H with $r(T) = 1$. Let $a_n > 0$, $a_n \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $x \in H$ with $|\langle T^n x, x \rangle| \geq a_n$ for all n . We construct a unitary operator without this property. This gives a negative answer to a problem of van Neerven.

Let X be a complex Banach space. Then each operator $T \in B(X)$ has orbits that are "large" in the following sense [M1], [B]:

Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $\|T^n x\| \geq a_n r(T^n)$ for all n . Moreover, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ it is possible to find $x \in X$ with $\|x\| < \sup_n a_n + \varepsilon$.

The corresponding question for weak orbits $\langle T^n x, x^* \rangle$ was considered by J. van Neerven [N], see also [M3].

(1) Let $T \in B(X)$. Let (a_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$. Do there exist $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$ such that $|\langle T^n x, x^* \rangle| \geq a_n r(T^n)$ for all n ?

There are several interesting cases when the answer is positive. In [N], it was proved for positive operators on Banach lattices. In [M2] and [M4] the statement was shown for Banach space operators satisfying $T^n \rightarrow 0$ in the strong operator topology and $r(T) = 1$.

In the present paper we consider Hilbert space operators and generalize this for operators satisfying $T^n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology. As a consequence, we get that (1) is true for any completely non-unitary contraction with $r(T) = 1$.

Note that for unitary operators questions concerning weak orbits reduce to questions concerning Fourier coefficients of L^1 functions. We show that if μ is a Rajchman measure (in particular, an absolutely continuous measure) on the unit circle, then there is a positive function $f \in L^1(\mu)$ such that $|\hat{f}(n)| \geq a_{|n|}$ for all n (the statement is a folklore in case of the Lebesgue measure, see [K, p. 22 and 26]). However, the previous statement is not true in general. We construct an example of a Kronecker measure ν and a sequence (a_n) of positive numbers, $a_n \rightarrow 0$ such that there is no function $f \in L^1(\nu)$ with the above property. This also gives a negative answer to question (1) of van Neerven.

Let H be a complex Hilbert space and let $T \in B(H)$. We say that $T^n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology if $\langle T^n x, y \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for all $x, y \in H$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 47A05, 47B15

Keywords and Phrases: weak orbits, Fourier coefficients, Kronecker measure

The first author was supported by ANR Projet Blanc "Dynop". The second author was supported by grant No. 201/06/0128 of GA ĀR and Institutional Research Plan AV 0Z 10190503.

Theorem 1. Let $T \in B(H)$ satisfy $T^n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology, let $1 \in \sigma(T)$. Let $(a_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $x \in H$ with $\|x\| < \sup_n a_n + \varepsilon$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle T^n x, x \rangle > a_n$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \dots$. Indeed, we may replace the numbers a_n by $\sup_{j \geq n} a_j$ if necessary. Moreover, it is sufficient to show that if $1 > a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \dots$ then there exists $x \in H$ of norm 1 such that $\operatorname{Re} \langle T^n x, x \rangle > a_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, T is power bounded, i.e., $\sup_n \|T^n\| < \infty$. Let $K = \sup_n \|T^n\|$. Clearly $r(T) = 1$.

Suppose first that $1 \notin \sigma_e(T)$. Then 1 is an eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector x of norm 1 satisfies the required condition. Thus we may suppose that $1 \in \sigma_e(T)$. Hence $1 \in \partial\sigma_e(T)$, and by [HW], $T - I$ is not upper semi-Fredholm. Thus for every subspace $M \subset H$ of finite codimension and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a vector $x \in M$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $\|Tx - x\| < \varepsilon$. Moreover, given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find a vector $u \in M$ of norm 1 such that $\|T^j u - u\| < \varepsilon$ for all $j \leq k$.

By [M2], there are positive numbers c_i ($i \geq 1$) such that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i^2 = 1$ and $\sum_{i=k+1}^\infty c_i^2 > 3Kc_k$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Set formally $\delta_0 = 0$. Let $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$ be positive numbers satisfying $\delta_i < \frac{1-a_i}{2^i}$ and $\delta_i < \frac{K}{i^2 2^{i+2}} \min\{c_k : k = 1, \dots, i+1\}$.

Find n_0 such that $a_{n_0} < \sum_{i=2}^\infty c_i^2 - 3Kc_1$. We construct an increasing sequence (n_k) of positive integers and a sequence (x_k) of unit vectors in H in the following way: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $x_i \in H$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ have already been constructed for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Find $x_k \in H$ of norm 1 such that

$$x_k \perp T^j x_t \quad (0 \leq j \leq n_{k-1}, 1 \leq t \leq k-1)$$

and

$$\|T^j x_k - x_k\| < \delta_k \quad (j \leq n_{k-1}).$$

Find $n_k > n_{k-1}$ such that

$$|\langle T^j x_t, x_s \rangle| < \delta_k \quad (j \geq n_k, 1 \leq s, t \leq k)$$

and

$$a_{n_k} < \sum_{i=k+2}^\infty c_i^2 - 3Kc_{k+1}.$$

Let the sequences (x_k) and (n_k) have been constructed in the above described way. Set $x = \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k x_k$. Since the vectors x_k are orthonormal, we have $\|x\| = (\sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k^2)^{1/2} = 1$.

For $j \leq n_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle T^j x, x \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^\infty c_s T^j x_s, x \right\rangle = \sum_{s=1}^\infty c_s \operatorname{Re} (\langle x_s, x \rangle - \langle x_s - T^j x_s, x \rangle) \\ &\geq \sum_{s=1}^\infty c_s^2 - \sum_{s=1}^\infty c_s \delta_s \geq 1 - \sum_{s=1}^\infty \delta_s > 1 - \sum_{s=1}^\infty \frac{1-a_1}{2^s} = a_1 \geq a_j. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \geq 1$ and $n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} \langle T^j x, x \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^k c_s T^j x_s, x \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} c_s T^j x_s, x \right\rangle \\
&\geq \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^k c_s T^j x_s, \sum_{t=1}^k c_t x_t \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^k c_s T^j x_s, \sum_{t=k+1}^{\infty} c_t x_t \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} c_s \operatorname{Re} (\langle x_s, x \rangle - \|T^j x_s - x_s\|) \\
&\geq \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} c_s T^j x_s, \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} c_t x_t \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} c_s T^j x_s, c_k x_k \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re} \left\langle c_k T^j x_k, \sum_{t=1}^k c_t x_t \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} c_s^2 - \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} c_s \delta_s \\
&\geq - \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} c_s c_t \delta_{k-1} - c_k \cdot \|T^j\| \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} c_s x_s \right\| - K c_k \left\| \sum_{t=1}^k c_t x_t \right\| + \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} c_s^2 - \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} \delta_s \\
&\geq \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} c_s^2 - 2K c_k - (k-1)^2 \delta_{k-1} - \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} \delta_s \geq \sum_{s=k+1}^{\infty} c_s^2 - 3K c_k > a_{n_{k-1}} \geq a_j.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that a contraction T acting on a Hilbert space H is called completely nonunitary if there is no subspace $H_0 \subset H$ reducing for T such that the restriction $T|_{H_0}$ is unitary.

Corollary 2. Let $T \in B(H)$ be a completely nonunitary contraction satisfying $1 \in \sigma(T)$. Let $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $x \in H$ with $\|x\| < \sup_n a_n + \varepsilon$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle T^n x, x \rangle > a_n$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $U \in B(K)$ be the minimal unitary dilation of T . By [NF], Proposition II.1.4, there are subspaces $M_1, M_2 \subset K$ reducing for U such that $M_1 \vee M_2 = K$ and $U|_{M_1}, U|_{M_2}$ are bilateral shifts (of some multiplicity). It implies that $U^n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology, and consequently, $T^n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology. □

Corollary 3. Let $T \in B(H)$ satisfies $T^n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology and $r(T) = 1$. Let $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $x \in H$ with $\|x\| < \sup_n a_n + \varepsilon$ such that

$$|\langle T^n x, x \rangle| > a_n$$

for all $n \geq 0$. In particular, this is true for each completely nonunitary contraction T with $r(T) = 1$.

Better results can be obtained if we consider the Cesaro means. For $T \in B(H)$ and $n \geq 1$ write $A_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i$.

Theorem 4. Let $T \in B(H)$ be a power bounded operator with $1 \in \sigma(T)$. Let $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $x \in H$ with $\|x\| < \sup_n a_n + \varepsilon$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle A_n x, x \rangle > a_n$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. If 1 is in the point spectrum of T then it is sufficient to take a corresponding eigenvector of norm 1. If 1 is not in the point spectrum then $\|A_n y\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $y \in H$ by the ergodic theorem, see [Kr, p. 73]. The proof of Theorem 1 then works word by word if we replace T^n by A_n . \square

We apply now the previous results to unitary operators. This gives statements about Fourier coefficients of L^1 functions.

Let μ be a non-negative finite Borel measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Recall that μ is called Rajchman if its Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{-2\pi i n t} d\mu(t)$ vanishes at infinity, i.e., $\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(n) = 0$. In particular, each absolutely continuous measure is Rajchman (the converse is not true).

Let U_{μ} be the operator on $L^1(\mu)$ defined by $(U_{\mu} f)(z) = z f(z)$ ($f \in L^1(\mu), z \in \mathbb{T}$). It is easy to see that μ is Rajchman if and only if $U_{\mu}^n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak operator topology.

Theorem 5. Let μ be a Rajchman measure. Let $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sup a_n < 1$. Then there exists $f \in L^1(\mu)$ of norm 1 such that $f \geq 0$ a.e. and $|\hat{f}(n)| > a_{|n|}$ for all integers n .

If $1 \in \operatorname{supp} \mu$ then it is possible to find $f \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \hat{f}(n) > a_{|n|}$ for all non-zero n .

Proof. Let $H = L^2(\mu)$ and let $U : H \rightarrow H$ be the unitary operator defined by $(Uf)(z) = z f(z)$ ($f \in H, z \in \mathbb{T}$). Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle U^n f, g \rangle = 0$ for all $f, g \in H$. By Corollary 3, there is a $g \in H$ such that $\|g\|_H = 1$ and $|\langle U^n g, g \rangle| > a_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Set $f = |g|^2$. Then $f \in L^1(\mu)$, $\|f\|_1 = 1$ and $|\hat{f}(n)| \geq a_{|n|}$ for all nonzero integers n .

The second statement is similar. \square

The previous theorem is not true for non-Rajchman measures. An example concerning the real parts is relatively simple. Recall that a set $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called independent if given $x_1, \dots, x_r \in E$ and integers m_1, \dots, m_r , $\prod_{j=1}^r x_j^{m_j} = 1$ implies $m_1 = \dots = m_r = 0$.

Example 6. Let $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{T}$ be an independent sequence such that $z_n \rightarrow 1$. Let H be the Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$. Let $U \in B(H)$ be defined by $Ue_i = z_i e_i$. Clearly U is a unitary operator and $1 \in \sigma(T)$. We show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \langle U^n x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \langle U^n x, x \rangle = -\|x\|^2$$

for each $x \in H$. Let $x \in H$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Write $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j$ for some complex coefficients α_j . Then there exists an n_0 such that $\sum_{j=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^2 < \varepsilon$. By the Kronecker theorem there are positive integers k_1, k_2 such that

$$|z_j^{k_1} - 1| < \varepsilon \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_0)$$

and

$$|z_j^{k_2} + 1| < \varepsilon \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_0).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \langle U^{k_1} x, x \rangle &= \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j^{k_1} |\alpha_j|^2 \geq \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} z_j^{k_1} |\alpha_j|^2 - \sum_{j=n_0+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} (1 - \varepsilon) |\alpha_j|^2 - \varepsilon \geq (1 - \varepsilon)(\|x\|^2 - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \langle U^n x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$. Similarly,

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle U^{k_2} x, x \rangle \leq (-1 + \varepsilon)(\|x\|^2 - \varepsilon) + \varepsilon,$$

and so $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} \langle U^n x, x \rangle = -\|x\|^2$.

Recall that a non-empty closed subset $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called Kronecker if for all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sup_{z \in E} |f(z) - z^n| < \varepsilon$. Note that it is possible to find $n > 0$ with this property.

By the Kronecker theorem, every finite independent set is Kronecker. Moreover, there are perfect Kronecker sets, i.e., Kronecker sets without isolated points, see [K, p. 184].

The next example will show that if $\operatorname{supp} \mu$ is a perfect Kronecker set then there is a sequence (a_n) of positive numbers with $a_n \rightarrow 0$ such that there is no function $f \in L^1(\mu)$ with $|\hat{f}(n)| \geq a_n$ ($n \geq 0$). This gives also a negative answer to the van Neerven problem.

First we need a simple auxiliary lemma:

Lemma 7. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $\max_i |a_i| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$. Then there are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i = 0$.

Proof. We may assume that $a_i > 0$ for all i . The statement is clear for $n = 2$.

Let $n = 3$. Let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3$. The statement is clear if $a_1 = a_2 + a_3$. If $a_1 < a_2 + a_3$, then there is a triangle with sides a_1, a_2, a_3 . Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be the angle opposite the side a_1, a_2, a_3 , respectively. It is easy to verify that $\lambda_1 = -1$, $\lambda_2 = \cos \alpha_3 + i \sin \alpha_3$ and $\lambda_3 = \cos(-\alpha_2) + i \sin(-\alpha_3)$ satisfy the required condition.

For $n \geq 4$ we prove the statement by induction. Let $n \geq 4$, $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ and $\max_i a_i \leq 1/2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq$

a_n . Then $a_{n-1} + a_n \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$ and by the induction assumption there are numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-2} a_{n-2} + \lambda_{n-1} (a_{n-1} + a_n) = 0.$$

So the statement is true for n . □

Example 8. Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be a perfect Kronecker set. Then E is topologically homeomorphic to the Cantor discontinuum and there are finite families \mathcal{P}_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) of closed disjoint intervals such that $E = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m$, where $P_m = \bigcup \{I : I \in \mathcal{P}_m\}$, $P_{m+1} \subset P_m$ for all m and $\max\{\text{diam}(I) : I \in \mathcal{P}_m\} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let μ be a positive measure such that $\text{supp } \mu = E$ and $\mu(E) = 1$. For each m , let F_m be the set of all functions

$$f : \mathcal{P}_m \rightarrow \{e^{2\pi i j / 2^m} : j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^m - 1\}.$$

Clearly F_m is a finite set. Since E is Kronecker, for each $f \in F_m$ there is a positive integer n_f such that

$$\sup_{z \in E} \left| z^{n_f} - \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_m} f(I) \cdot \chi_I \right| < 2^{-m-1},$$

where χ_I denotes the characteristic function of I . Let $n_m = \max\{n_f : f \in F_m\}$. Define a sequence (a_j) by $a_j = 2^{-m/2}$ for $n_m < j \leq n_{m+1}$ (where we set formally $n_0 = 0$). We show that there is no function $g \in L^1(\mu)$ such that $|\hat{g}(j)| \geq a_j$ for all $j > 0$. Let $g \in L^1(\mu)$, $g \neq 0$. Since the step functions are dense in $L^1(\mu)$, we can find m_0 such that

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_0}} \left| \int_I g(z) d\mu \right| > 0.9 \|g\|_1.$$

Find $m_1 \geq m_0$ such that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}} \left| \int_I g(z) d\mu \right| \leq 0.4 \|g\|_1$$

and $\|g\|_1 < 2^{m_1/2}$. By Lemma 7, there are complex numbers $\lambda_I \in \mathbb{T}$ ($I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}$) such that

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}} \lambda_I \cdot \int_I g(z) d\mu = 0.$$

Let $f : \mathcal{P}_{m_1} \rightarrow \{e^{2\pi i j / 2^{m_1}} : j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{m_1} - 1\}$ be a function satisfying

$$|f(I) - \lambda_I| < 2^{-m_1-1} \quad (I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int z^{n_f} g d\mu \right| &\leq \left| \int (z^{n_f} - \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}} f(I) \chi_I) g d\mu \right| + \left| \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}} \int f(I) \chi_I g d\mu \right| \\ &\leq 2^{-m_1-1} \cdot \|g\|_1 + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}} |\lambda_I - f(I)| \cdot \left| \int_I g d\mu \right| + \left| \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1}} \lambda_I \int_I g d\mu \right| \\ &\leq 2^{-m_1} \|g\|_1 < 2^{-m_1/2} \leq a_{n_f}. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [B] B. Beauzamy, Introduction to Operator Theory and Invariant Subspaces, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [HW] R. Harte, A. Wickstead, Upper and lower Fredholm spectra II, *Math. Z.* 154 (1977), 253–256.
- [K] Y. Katznelson, An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- [Kr] U. Krengel, Ergodic Theorems, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 6, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1985.
- [L] R. Lyons, Seventy years of Rajchman measures, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, Kahane special issue (1995), 363–377.
- [M1] V. Müller, Local spectral radius formula for operators in Banach spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 38 (1988), 726–729.
- [M2] V. Müller, Power bounded operators and supercyclic vectors, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003), 3807–3812.
- [M3] V. Müller, Orbits of operators, in: *Advanced Courses of Mathematical Analysis*, Cadiz, p. 53–79, 2004.
- [M4] V. Müller, Power bounded operators and supercyclic vectors II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133 (2005), 2997–3004.
- [NF] B. Sz-Nagy, C. Foiaş, Harmonic Analysis of Hilbert space operators, Akadémiai Kiadó/North Holland, Budapest/Amsterdam, 1970.
- [N] J.M.A.M. van Neerven, On the orbits of an operator with spectral radius one, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 45 (1995), 495–502.

Université Lille 1, UFR Mathématique, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France
Catalin.Badea@univ-lille1.fr

Mathematical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague
Czech Republic
muller@math.cas.cz