

---

# MULTIPLES OF HYPERCYCLIC OPERATORS

by

Catalin Badea, Sophie Grivaux & Vladimir Müller

---

**Abstract.** — We give a negative answer to a question of Prajitura by showing that there exists an invertible bilateral weighted shift  $T$  on  $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $T$  and  $3T$  are hypercyclic but  $2T$  is not. Moreover, any  $G_\delta$  set  $M \subseteq (0, \infty)$  which is bounded and bounded away from zero can be realized as  $M = \{t > 0 ; tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$  for some invertible operator  $T$  acting on a Hilbert space.

## 1. Introduction

This note is devoted to the study of multiples of hypercyclic operators acting on a real or complex separable Banach space  $X$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to be *hypercyclic* if there exists a vector  $x \in X$  which has a dense orbit, i.e. the set  $\{T^n x ; n \geq 0\}$  is dense in  $X$ . Hypercyclic operators have been the subject of active investigation in the past twenty years, and we refer the reader to the book [1] for a thorough survey of this area. The first examples of hypercyclic operators were given by Rolewicz in 1969: if  $B$  is the backward shift on  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , or  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ , with the canonical basis  $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , defined by  $Be_0 = 0$  and  $Be_n = e_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\lambda B$  is hypercyclic for any complex number  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| > 1$ . This can be seen very easily using the Hypercyclicity Criterion, which is the most useful tool for proving that a given operator is hypercyclic. We recall it here in the version of Bès and Peris [2]:

**Hypercyclicity Criterion.** — Suppose that there exist a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k)$  of positive integers, two dense subsets  $V$  and  $W$  of  $X$  and a sequence  $(S_k)$  of maps (not necessarily linear nor continuous)  $S_k : W \rightarrow W$  such that:

1. for every  $x \in V$ ,  $T^{n_k} x \rightarrow 0$
2. for every  $x \in W$ ,  $S_k x \rightarrow 0$
3. for every  $x \in W$ ,  $T^{n_k} S_k x \rightarrow x$ .

Then the operator  $T$  is hypercyclic.

---

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** — 47A16, 47B37.

**Key words and phrases.** — Hypercyclic operators, bilateral weighted shifts.

The first two authors were partially supported by ANR-Projet Blanc DYNOP. The third author was partially supported by grant No. 201/06/0128 of GA CR. All three authors were partially supported by the RiP-programme of the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach.

Despite its somewhat involved aspect, the Hypercyclicity Criterion follows directly from a simple Baire Category argument, using the fact that  $T$  is hypercyclic if and only if it is *topologically transitive* (i.e. for every pair  $(U, V)$  of non empty open subsets of  $X$  there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $T^{-n}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ). The “legitimacy” of the Hypercyclicity Criterion comes from the fact [2] that  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion if and only if  $T \oplus T$  is hypercyclic on  $X \oplus X$ . Until very recently it was unknown whether every hypercyclic operator satisfied the Hypercyclicity Criterion or not: the answer is no, see [3].

Let  $T \in B(X)$  satisfy the Hypercyclicity Criterion. Note that for any  $0 < t < 1$  the operator  $tT$  satisfies condition (1) (for the same sequence  $(n_k)$  and set  $V$ ). Similarly, the operator  $tT$  for  $t > 1$  satisfies conditions (2) and (3) (for the same set  $W$  and for the mappings  $t^{-n_k}S_k$ ). Therefore in many concrete examples the set  $\{t > 0 ; tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$  is convex. This motivates the following question of Prajitura [6], see also [5] about multiples of hypercyclic operators:

**Question 1.1.** — *Let  $T$  be a bounded operator on  $X$ . Suppose that there exist two positive numbers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2$ , such that  $t_1T$  and  $t_2T$  are hypercyclic. Is it true that  $tT$  is hypercyclic for every  $t \in [t_1, t_2]$ ?*

We give a negative answer to this question, and prove the following stronger result:

**Theorem 1.2.** — *Let  $M$  be a subset of  $(0, +\infty)$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$M$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $(0, +\infty)$  which is bounded and bounded away from zero;*
- (2) *there exists an invertible operator  $T$  acting on a Hilbert space such that*

$$M = \{t > 0 : tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\}.$$

Remark that as soon as  $M$  coincides with the set of positive  $t$ 's such that  $tT$  is hypercyclic,  $M$  must be bounded away from zero, since  $tT$  is a contraction for small enough  $t$ . As a corollary we obtain for instance:

**Corollary 1.3.** — *There exists an operator  $T$  acting on a Hilbert space such that  $T$  and  $3T$  are hypercyclic but  $2T$  is not.*

Note that by [4], if  $T$  is a hypercyclic operator in a complex Banach space and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $e^{i\theta}T$  is hypercyclic (with the same set of hypercyclic vectors as  $T$ ). Thus the set  $M = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \lambda T \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$  is *circularly symmetric* ( $\lambda \in M \Rightarrow e^{i\theta}\lambda \in M$ ). We thus obtain the following variant of Theorem 1.2:

**Theorem 1.4.** — *Let  $M$  be a subset of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists an invertible operator  $T$  acting on a Hilbert space such that*

$$M = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \lambda T \text{ is hypercyclic}\};$$

- (ii)  *$M$  is circularly symmetric and  $M \cap (0, +\infty)$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $(0, +\infty)$  which is bounded and bounded away from zero.*

The operators constructed in Theorem 1.2 are bilateral weighted shifts on the space  $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and for these shifts the Hypercyclicity Criterion takes a particularly simple form (see [7] for a necessary and sufficient condition for a general bilateral weighted shift to be hypercyclic):

**Fact 1.5.** — Let  $T$  be an invertible bilateral weighted shift on the space  $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$  endowed with its canonical basis  $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Then  $T$  is hypercyclic if and only if there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k \geq 0}$  of positive integers such that  $\|T^{n_k} e_0\|$  and  $\|T^{-n_k} e_0\|$  tend to zero as  $k$  goes to infinity.

Multiples of the shifts constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.2 are not mixing (recall that  $T$  is said to be *mixing* if for every pair  $(U, V)$  of non empty open subsets of  $X$  there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $T^{-n}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every  $n \geq N$ ): this is coherent with the next result, which implies that the answer to Question 1.1 is affirmative for a large class of operators.

**Theorem 1.6.** — Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be such that for some  $0 < t_1 < t_2$ ,  $t_1 T \oplus t_2 T$  is hypercyclic. Then  $tT$  is hypercyclic for every  $t \in [t_1, t_2]$ . This holds true in particular if either  $t_1 T$  or  $t_2 T$  is mixing.

## 2. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.6

The proof of the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) in Theorem 1.2 is quite standard: suppose that  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is invertible. Let  $M = \{t > 0 ; tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$ , and we can suppose that  $M$  is non empty. As it was previously mentioned,  $\|tT\| \leq 1$  for  $0 < t \leq \|T\|^{-1}$  and so  $tT$  is not hypercyclic in this case. Hence  $M$  is bounded away from zero. Since  $T$  is invertible, the same argument applied to  $T^{-1}$  shows that  $M$  must be bounded above. Let  $(U_j)_{j \geq 1}$  be a countable basis of open subsets of  $X$  (which is separable). Clearly

$$M = \{t > 0 ; tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\} = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} \bigcap_{j \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{t > 0 ; (tT)^n U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset\},$$

which is a  $G_\delta$  set.

The first step in the proof of the reverse implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of Theorem 1.2 is the following proposition, which proves the result when  $M$  is an open set. One of its interests is that it shows the existence of *common* subsets  $V$  and  $W$  in the Hypercyclicity Criterion for *all* operators  $tT$  with  $t$  belonging to this open set.

**Proposition 2.1.** — Let  $G$  be an open subset of an interval of the form  $(K^{-1}, K)$  for some  $K > 1$ . Then

- (i) there exists an invertible bilateral weighted shift on  $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\|T\| \leq K^3$  and  $G = \{t > 0 ; tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$ ;
- (ii) write  $G$  as a (finite or countable) union

$$G = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$$

of open intervals. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  let  $A_\lambda$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists an increasing sequence  $(m_{\lambda, k})_{k \geq 1}$  of integers belonging to  $A_\lambda$  such that for every  $t \in (a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$ ,  $\|(tT)^{m_{\lambda, k}} e_0\|$  and  $\|(t\bar{T})^{-m_{\lambda, k}} e_0\|$  tend to zero as  $k$  tends to infinity (where  $\{e_n ; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is the standard orthonormal basis in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ ).

*Proof.* — The statement is trivial if  $G$  is empty, so suppose that  $G$  is non empty. Order the intervals  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$  into a sequence  $(a_k, b_k)$  in which every interval  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$  appears infinitely many times. Then fix a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that  $(a_k, b_k) = (a_{f(k)}, b_{f(k)})$  and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $f(k) = \lambda$  for infinitely many  $k$ 's.

Set formally  $n_0 = 1$  and choose inductively a sequence  $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$  such that  $n_k \in A_{f(k)}$  and  $n_k \geq 4n_{k-1}$  for each  $k \geq 1$ .

The operator  $T$  will be the weighted bilateral shift defined on  $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$  by

$$Te_i = c_{i+1}e_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}e_i = \tilde{c}_{i+1}e_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 0,$$

i.e.  $Te_i = (1/\tilde{c}_i)e_{i+1}$  for  $i < 0$ . The weights  $c_i$  and  $\tilde{c}_i$  are defined for  $i \geq 1$  in the following way:

- $c_1 = c_2 = \tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_2 = K$ ;
- for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $2n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k$ ,

$$c_j = \left( \frac{1}{K^{2n_{k-1}} b_k^{n_k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_j = \left( \frac{a_k^{n_k}}{K^{2n_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}};$$

- for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_k < j \leq 2n_k$ ,

$$c_j = K^2 b_k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{c}_j = \frac{K^2}{a_k}.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  write the products of the  $n$  first coefficients  $c_i$  or  $\tilde{c}_i$  as  $w_n = \prod_{i=1}^n c_i$  and  $\tilde{w}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{c}_i$ . It is easy to show by induction that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$w_{2n_k} = \tilde{w}_{2n_k} = K^{2n_k}, \quad w_{n_k} = b_k^{-n_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{w}_{n_k} = a_k^{n_k}.$$

Since  $1/K < a_k < b_k < K$  for every  $k$ , we have for every  $k$  and every  $j$  such that  $n_k < j \leq 2n_k$

$$K \leq c_j \leq K^3 \quad \text{and} \quad K \leq \tilde{c}_j \leq K^3.$$

Then since  $n_k \geq 4n_{k-1}$ , we have for  $2n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k$

$$\frac{1}{c_j} = \left( K^{2n_{k-1}} b_k^{n_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}} \leq K^{\frac{2n_{k-1} + n_k}{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}} \leq K^3,$$

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{c}_j} = \left( \frac{K^{2n_{k-1}}}{a_k^{n_k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}} \leq K^{\frac{2n_{k-1} + n_k}{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}} \leq K^3,$$

$$\tilde{c}_j \leq K^{\frac{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}{n_k - 2n_{k-1}}} \leq K$$

and similarly,  $c_j \leq K$ . Hence  $K \leq c_j \leq K^3$  and  $K \leq \tilde{c}_j \leq K^3$  for every  $j$ , and this proves that  $T$  is bounded and invertible with  $\|T\| \leq K^3$  and  $\|T^{-1}\| \leq K^3$ . Note that for  $t \in (a_k, b_k)$  we have

$$\|(tT)^{n_k} e_0\| = t^{n_k} b_k^{-n_k} = (t/b_k)^{n_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(tT)^{-n_k} e_0\| = t^{-n_k} a_k^{n_k} = (a_k/t)^{n_k},$$

where  $t/b_k < 1$  and  $a_k/t < 1$ .

Let now  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Since the interval  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$  appears in the sequence  $(a_k, b_k)$  infinitely many times, let  $(m_{\lambda,i})_{i \geq 1}$  be the increasing sequence consisting of the integers of the set  $\{n_k\}$  for which  $f(k) = \lambda$ . Then each  $m_{\lambda,i}$  belongs to  $A_\lambda$  since  $n_k \in A_{f(k)}$  for every  $k$ .

Let  $t$  belong to the interval  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$ . Then by the computation above  $\|(tT)^{m_{\lambda,i}} e_0\|$  and  $\|(tT)^{-m_{\lambda,i}} e_0\|$  tend to zero as  $i$  tends to infinity, and, by Fact 1.5,  $tT$  is hypercyclic. Since this is true for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  this shows that  $G \subseteq \{t > 0 ; tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $t$  does not belong to  $G$ . In order to show that  $tT$  is not hypercyclic, it suffices to prove that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\max\{\|(tT)^j e_0\|, \|(tT)^{-j} e_0\|\} \geq 1$ . Let  $2n_{k-1} < j \leq 2n_k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $t \notin G$ , either  $t \leq a_k$  or  $t \geq b_k$ .

- If  $n_k < j \leq 2n_k$  and  $t \geq b_k$  then

$$\|(tT)^j e_0\| = t^j \|T^j e_0\| \geq b_k^j \|T^{n_k} e_0\| \cdot (K^2 b_k)^{j-n_k} = b_k^{j-n_k} (K^2 b_k)^{j-n_k} = (K b_k)^{2(j-n_k)} \geq 1.$$

- if  $n_k < j \leq 2n_k$  and  $t \leq a_k$ , then

$$\|(tT)^{-j} e_0\| \geq a_k^{-j} \|T^{n_k} e_0\| \cdot \left(\frac{K^2}{a_k}\right)^{j-n_k} = a_k^{-(j-n_k)} \left(\frac{K^2}{a_k}\right)^{j-n_k} = \left(\frac{K}{a_k}\right)^{2(j-n_k)} \geq 1.$$

- if  $2n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , and  $t \geq b_k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(tT)^j e_0\| &\geq b_k^j \|T^j e_0\| = b_k^j \|T^{n_k} e_0\| \cdot (K^{2n_{k-1}} b_k^{n_k})^{\frac{n_k-j}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}} \\ &= b_k^{j-n_k} (K^{2n_{k-1}} b_k^{n_k})^{\frac{n_k-j}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}} = (K^{2n_{k-1}} b_k^{2n_{k-1}})^{\frac{n_k-j}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}} \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

since  $K b_k \geq 1$ .

- Finally if  $2n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k$  and  $t \leq a_k$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(tT)^{-j} e_0\| &\geq a_k^{-j} \|T^{-j} e_0\| = a_k^{-j} \|T^{-n_k} e_0\| \cdot \left(\frac{K^{2n_{k-1}}}{a_k^{n_k}}\right)^{\frac{n_k-j}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}} \\ &= a_k^{n_k-j} \left(\frac{K^{2n_{k-1}}}{a_k^{n_k}}\right)^{\frac{n_k-j}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}} = \left(\frac{K^{2n_{k-1}}}{a_k^{2n_{k-1}}}\right)^{\frac{n_k-j}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}} \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

since  $K/a_k \geq 1$  this time.

Hence  $\max\{\|(tT)^j e_0\|, \|(tT)^{-j} e_0\|\} \geq 1$  for all  $j$ , and consequently,  $tT$  is not hypercyclic for  $t \notin G$ . This shows that  $G = \{t > 0 ; tT \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$  and finishes the proof of Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* — Let  $K > 1$  be such that  $M \subseteq (1/K, K)$ . Write  $M = \bigcap_{j \geq 1} G_j$  where  $(G_j)_{j \geq 1}$  is a decreasing sequence of non empty open sets. Then each  $G_j$  can be decomposed as a disjoint union  $G_j = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} (a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$  of open intervals, where the intervals  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$  are defined for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  and the sets  $\Lambda_j$  are suitable finite or infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . By Proposition 2.1, there exists a bilateral weighted shift  $T_1$  such that  $\|T\| \leq K^3$  and  $G_1 = \{t > 0 ; tT_1 \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$ . Moreover, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$  there is an increasing sequence  $(m_{\lambda,i}^{(1)})_{i \geq 1}$  such that  $tT_1$  satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion with respect to this sequence for each  $t \in (a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ .

We then define a sequence of weighted bilateral shifts  $T_j$ ,  $j \geq 2$ , in the following way. For each  $j \geq 2$  define a (uniquely determined) function  $g_j : \Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda_{j-1}$  such that  $(a_\lambda, b_\lambda) \subseteq (a_{g_j(\lambda)}, b_{g_j(\lambda)})$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda_j$ . By Proposition 2.1 we can define inductively weighted bilateral shifts  $T_j$  such that

- $\|T_j\| \leq K^3$ ;
- $G_j = \{t > 0 ; tT_j \text{ is hypercyclic}\}$ ;
- for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_j$  there is an increasing sequence  $(m_{\lambda,i}^{(k)})_{i \geq 1}$  of integers such that  $tT_j$  satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion with respect to this sequence for each  $t \in (a_\lambda, b_\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_j$ . Moreover, we may assume that

$$\{m_{\lambda,i}^{(j)} : i \geq 1\} \subseteq \{m_{g_j(\lambda),i}^{(j-1)} : i \geq 1\}.$$

Consider now the direct sum  $T = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j$  acting on  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Clearly  $\|T\| \leq K^3$ . Suppose that  $tT$  is hypercyclic for some  $t > 0$ . Then  $tT_j$  is hypercyclic for each  $j \geq 1$  and thus  $t \in G_j$  for every  $j \geq 1$ . Hence  $t$  belongs to  $M$ .

Conversely, let  $t$  belong to  $M$ . For each  $j$  choose the (uniquely determined) element  $\lambda^{(j)}$  of  $\Lambda_j$  such that  $t \in (a_{\lambda^{(j)}}, b_{\lambda^{(j)}})$ . Consider then the sequence  $m_k = m_{\lambda^{(k)}, k}^{(k)}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\|(tT_j)^{m_k} e_0\|$  and  $\|(tT_j)^{-m_k} e_0\|$  tend to zero for each  $j$  as  $k$  goes to infinity, and hence  $tT$  satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion with respect to this sequence. Consequently,  $tT$  is hypercyclic, and Theorem 1.2 is proved.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.6 is a straightforward application of the Hypercyclicity Criterion:

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* — Let  $t \in (t_1, t_2)$ . In order to show that  $tT$  satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion, it suffices to prove that for every nonempty open subsets  $U, V$  of  $X$  and for any open neighborhood  $W$  of 0 there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T^n(W) \cap V$  and  $T^n(U) \cap W$  are non empty. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that the open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  is contained in  $W$ . Since  $t_1T \oplus t_2T$  is hypercyclic, there exists a vector  $x \oplus y$  with  $\|x\| < \varepsilon$  and  $y \in U$  which is hypercyclic for  $t_1T \oplus t_2T$ . Thus there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(t_1T)^n x \in V$  and  $\|(t_2T)^n y\| < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\|t_1^n t^{-n} x\| \leq \|x\| < \varepsilon$ , so  $t_1^n t^{-n} x \in W$ , and  $(tT)^n t_1^n t^{-n} x = (t_1T)^n x \in V$ . Hence  $(tT)^n(W) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Furthermore,  $\|(tT)^n y\| \leq \|(t_2T)^n y\| < \varepsilon$ , and so  $(tT)^n(U) \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $tT$  is hypercyclic.  $\square$

In view of Theorem 1.6, one may wonder whether the condition  $t_1T \oplus t_2T$  hypercyclic is necessary for  $tT$  to be hypercyclic whenever  $t$  belongs to  $[t_1, t_2]$ . This is not the case, as shown by the following example:

**Example 2.2.** — There exists a bilateral weighted shift  $T$  on  $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $tT$  is hypercyclic for every  $t \in (1, 4)$  but  $2T \oplus 3T$  is not hypercyclic.

*Proof.* — We define  $T$  using the notation of the proof of Proposition 2.1 with  $M = (a_1, b_1) \cup (a_2, b_2)$ , where  $\Lambda = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $(a_1, b_1) = (1, 3)$  and  $(a_2, b_2) = (2, 4)$ . Then we define the function  $f$  as  $f(k) = 1$  if  $k$  is odd and  $f(k) = 2$  if  $k$  is even. Let  $K = 5$  and construct a sequence  $(n_k)$  and the operator  $T$  as in Proposition 2.1. The proof of Proposition 2.1 shows that  $tT$  is hypercyclic if and only if  $t \in (1, 3) \cup (2, 4) = (1, 4)$ . Furthermore, it is easy to check that  $2T \oplus 3T$  is not hypercyclic. Indeed if  $k$  is odd, then:

- if  $2n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k$ ,

$$w_j = 5^{2n_{k-1}} \left( \frac{1}{5^{2n_{k-1}} 3^{n_k}} \right)^{\frac{j-2n_{k-1}}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}}.$$

$$\text{Hence } \|(3T)^j e_0\| = 3^j w_j = (15)^{2n_{k-1}} \left( \frac{1}{15^{2n_{k-1}}} \right)^{2(j-n_k)} \geq 1.$$

- if  $n_k < j \leq 2n_k$ ,  $\|(3T)^j e_0\| = 3^j w_j = 15^{2(j-n_k)} \geq 1$ .

If  $k$  is even, then

- if  $2n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k$ ,

$$\|(2T)^{-j} e_0\| = 2^{-j} \tilde{w}_j = (5/2)^{2n_{k-1}} \left( \frac{1}{(2/5)^{2n_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{j-2n_{k-1}}{n_k-2n_{k-1}}} \geq 1.$$

- if  $n_k < j \leq 2n_k$ ,  $\|(2T)^{-j} e_0\| = 2^{-j} \tilde{w}_j = (5/2)^{2(j-n_k)} \geq 1$ .

Hence there is no sequence  $(m_j)$  such that both  $\|(2T)^{m_j} e_0 \oplus (3T)^{m_j} e_0\|$  and  $\|(2T)^{-m_j} e_0 \oplus (3T)^{-m_j} e_0\|$  tend to zero as  $j$  tends to infinity, and  $2T \oplus 3T$  is not hypercyclic.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment:** The main part of the paper was written during the stay of the authors in Oberwolfach, Germany, under the MFO-RiP (“Research in Pairs”) programme. We would like to thank the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach for excellent working conditions.

### References

- [1] F. BAYART, É. MATHERON, Dynamics of linear operators, book to appear, Cambridge University Press.
- [2] J. BÈS, A. PERIS, Hereditarily hypercyclic operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **167** (1999), pp 94 – 112.
- [3] M. DE LA ROSA, C. READ, A hypercyclic operator whose direct sum  $T \oplus T$  is not hypercyclic, to appear in *J. Operator Th.*
- [4] F. LEON, V. MÜLLER, Rotations of hypercyclic and supercyclic vectors, *Int. Eq. Op. Th.* **50** (2004), pp 385–391.
- [5] V. MÜLLER, Three problems, in *Oberwolfach Reports* **37** (2006), p. 2272.
- [6] G. PRAJITURA, personal communication.
- [7] H. SALAS, Hypercyclic weighted shifts, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), pp 993 – 1004.

---

CATALIN BADEA, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, UMR CNRS 8524, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Cité Scientifique, 59655 Villeneuve d’Ascq Cedex, France  
*E-mail* : [badea@math.univ-lille1.fr](mailto:badea@math.univ-lille1.fr)

SOPHIE GRIVAUX, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, UMR CNRS 8524, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Cité Scientifique, 59655 Villeneuve d’Ascq Cedex, France  
*E-mail* : [grivaux@math.univ-lille1.fr](mailto:grivaux@math.univ-lille1.fr)

VLADIMIR MÜLLER, Institute of Mathematics AV CR, Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1, Czech Republic  
*E-mail* : [muller@math.cas.cz](mailto:muller@math.cas.cz)