

# On the regular spectrum

Vladimír Müller

## Introduction

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator acting in a Banach space  $X$ . Denote by  $R(T) = TX$  and  $N(T) = \{x \in X, Tx = 0\}$  its range and kernel, respectively.

Continuity properties of the functions  $z \mapsto R(T - z)$  and  $z \mapsto N(T - z)$  were studied by a number of authors. The investigation was started by Kato [9], [10], who introduced also useful concepts of the reduced minimum modulus and the gap between two closed subspaces.

The spectrum  $\sigma_\gamma(T)$  was defined for Hilbert space operators by Apostol [3] as the set of all complex  $\lambda$  such that either  $R(T - \lambda)$  is not closed or  $\lambda$  is a discontinuity point of the function  $z \mapsto R(T - z)$ . Properties of this spectrum are analogous to the properties of the ordinary spectrum. It is always a non-empty compact subset of the complex plain, contains the topological boundary of  $\sigma(T)$  and satisfies the spectral mapping property.

The results of Apostol were generalized by Mbekhta [14], [15], Mbekhta and Ouahab [16], [17] and Harte [7] for operators in Banach spaces.

In this paper we continue the investigation of  $\sigma_\gamma$ . We define an essential version  $\sigma_{\gamma e}$  which exhibits similar properties as  $\sigma_\gamma$  and is closely related to the theory of semi-Fredholm operators. Further we study generalized inverses for  $T - \lambda$  and show that it is not possible to extend reasonably  $\sigma_\gamma$  for  $n$ -tuples of commuting operators.

The author would like to thank to the referee for drawing his attention to the paper of Rakočević [18] which is closely related to the present paper. Some of the results are already proved in [18], especially Theorem 3.1, equivalence  $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$  (see Theorem 2.1 of [18]) or the spectral mapping theorem for  $\sigma_{\gamma e}$ . We leave the proofs here for the sake of completeness and because they seem to us sometimes more direct. On the other hand the present paper solves some questions posed in [18]. Thus Example 2.2 gives a negative answer to both parts of Question 4 and Theorem 3.5 gives a positive answer to Question 2 of [18].

## I. Semi-regular operators and spectrum $\sigma_\gamma$

Throughout the paper we shall denote by  $X$  a fixed complex Banach space  $X$ . Denote by  $B(X)$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators in  $X$ . For  $T \in B(X)$  the reduced minimum modulus of  $T$  is defined by

$$\gamma(T) = \inf \{ \|Tx\|, x \in X, \text{dist}\{x, N(T)\} = 1 \}$$

(if  $T = 0$  then we set  $\gamma(T) = \infty$ ).

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two closed subspaces of  $X$ . Then we denote by

$$\delta(M_1, M_2) = \sup \{ \text{dist}\{x, M_2\}, x \in M_1, \|x\| = 1 \}$$

(if  $M_1 = \{0\}$  then  $\delta(M_1, M_2) = 0$ ) and the gap between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  by

$$\hat{\delta}(M_1, M_2) = \max\{\delta(M_1, M_2), \delta(M_2, M_1)\}.$$

We list the most important properties of the reduced minimum modulus and the gap between two subspaces (see [10], Chapter IV):

**Theorem 1.1.**

- 1)  $\gamma(T) > 0$  if and only if  $R(T)$  is closed,
- 2)  $\gamma(T) > r > 0$  if and only if for every  $y \in R(T)$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $Tx = y$  and

$$\|x\| \leq r^{-1}\|y\|,$$

- 3)  $\gamma(T^*) = \gamma(T)$ ,
- 4) the set  $\{T \in B(X), \gamma(T) \geq \varepsilon\}$  is norm-closed in  $B(X)$  for every  $\varepsilon$  (see [2]),
- 5)  $\delta(M_1, M_2) = \delta(M_2^\perp, M_1^\perp)$ ,
- 6) if  $\hat{\delta}(M_1, M_2) < 1$  then  $\dim M_1 = \dim M_2$ .

For  $T \in B(X)$  we have  $R(T) \supset R(T^2) \supset R(T^3) \supset \dots$  and  $N(T) \subset N(T^2) \subset \dots$ . Denote shortly  $R^\infty(T) = \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty R(T^n)$  and  $N^\infty(T) = \bigvee_{n=0}^\infty N(T^n)$ .

Consider the function  $z \mapsto \gamma(T - z)$  defined for complex  $z$ . Although this function is not continuous in general, it has good continuity properties. From a great number of equivalent conditions characterizing the continuity points of the function  $z \mapsto \gamma(T - z)$  we choose the most important:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be an operator with closed range. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) the function  $z \mapsto \gamma(T - z)$  is continuous at  $z = 0$ ,
- 2) the function  $z \mapsto \gamma(T - z)$  is bounded from below in a neighbourhood of 0, i.e. there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\inf_{|z| < \varepsilon} \gamma(T - z) > 0$ ,
- 3) the function  $z \mapsto R(T - z)$  is continuous at 0 in the gap topology, i.e.

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \hat{\delta}(R(T), R(T - z)) = 0,$$

- 4) the function  $z \mapsto N(T - z)$  is continuous at 0 in the gap topology, i.e.

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \hat{\delta}(N(T), N(T - z)) = 0,$$

- 5)  $N(T) \subset R^\infty(T)$ ,
- 6)  $N^\infty(T) \subset R(T)$ ,
- 7)  $N^\infty(T) \subset R^\infty(T)$ .

The previous theorem was proved in [16]. The equivalence of the first four conditions is true for any continuous operator-valued function  $z \mapsto T(z)$ ; in [19] this result was attributed to Markus, see [13].

**Definition 1.3.** (see [16]) An operator  $T \in B(X)$  is called  $s$ -regular (semi-regular) if  $T$  has closed range and satisfies any of the equivalent conditions of Theorem 1.2.

For  $s$ -regular operators the subspaces  $R^\infty(T)$  and  $N^\infty(T)$  can be described in another way. We start with two simple lemmas:

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be  $s$ -regular,  $x \in X$  and  $Tx \in R^\infty(T)$ . Then  $x \in R^\infty(T)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $T^{n+1}y = Tx$ , i.e.  $x - T^n y \in N(T) \subset R^\infty(T) \subset R(T^n)$ . So  $x \in R(T^n)$  and as  $n$  was arbitrary,  $x \in R^\infty(T)$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be an  $s$ -regular operator. Denote by  $U = \{z \in \mathbf{C}, |z| < \gamma(T)\}$ . Then for every  $\lambda \in U$  and  $x \in N(T - \lambda)$  there exists an analytic function  $f : U \rightarrow X$  such that  $(T - z)f(z) = 0$  ( $z \in U$ ) and  $f(\lambda) = x$ .

**Proof.** By [16], Theorem 2.10.,  $T - z$  is  $s$ -regular for  $z \in U$ . By [19], Theorem 2, there exists a Banach space  $Y$  and an analytic operator-valued function  $S : U \rightarrow B(Y, X)$  such that  $R(S(z)) = N(T(z))$  ( $z \in U$ ). Choose  $y \in Y$  such that  $S(\lambda)y = x$  and set  $f(z) = S(z)y$ . Clearly  $f$  satisfies all conditions of Lemma 1.5.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be  $s$ -regular and let  $r$  be a positive number,  $r \leq \gamma(T)$ . Then

- 1)  $N^\infty(T) = \bigvee_{|\lambda| < r} N(T - \lambda)$ ,
- 2)  $R^\infty(T) = \bigcap_{|\lambda| < r} R(T - \lambda)$ .

**Proof.** 1) Denote by  $U = \{z \in \mathbf{C}, |z| < \gamma(T)\}$ . Let  $\lambda \in U$  and  $x \in N(T - \lambda)$ . Then there exists an analytic function  $f : U \rightarrow X$  such that  $(T - z)f(z) = 0$  ( $z \in U$ ) and  $f(\lambda) = x$ . Let  $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i$  ( $z \in U$ ), where  $a_i \in X$ . The equality  $(T - z)f(z) = 0$  implies  $Ta_0 = 0$  and  $Ta_i = a_{i-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Thus  $T^n a_n = 0$  and  $a_n \in N(T^n) \subset N^\infty(T)$ , so that

$$x = f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda^i \in N^\infty(T).$$

Hence  $\bigvee_{|\lambda| < \gamma(T)} N(T - \lambda) \subset N^\infty(T)$ .

Conversely, let  $0 < r \leq \gamma(T)$  and  $x \in N(T^n)$ , i.e.  $T^n x = 0$ . Set  $a_0 = T^{n-1}x$ ,  $a_1 = T^{n-2}x$ , ...,  $a_{n-1} = x$ . As  $x \in N(T^n) \subset R^\infty(T)$ , we can find  $a_n \in X$  such that  $Ta_n = x = a_{n-1}$  and  $\|a_n\| \leq 2r^{-1}\|a_{n-1}\|$ . By Lemma 1.4,  $a_n \in R^\infty(T)$ , so that we can inductively construct elements  $a_i$  ( $i = n+1, n+2, \dots$ ), such that  $Ta_i = a_{i-1}$  and  $\|a_i\| \leq 2r^{-1}\|a_{i-1}\|$  ( $i = n, n+1, \dots$ ). Set  $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i$ . Clearly this series converges for  $|z| < r/2$  and  $(T - z)f(z) = 0$ , i.e.  $f(z) \in N(T - z)$  ( $|z| < r/2$ ). Further

$$x = a_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r/4} \frac{f(z)}{z^n} dz \in \bigvee_{|z| < r} N(T - z).$$

- 2) Let  $0 < r \leq \gamma(T)$  and  $x \in \bigcap_{|z| < r} R(T - z)$ .

By [19] there exists an analytic function  $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i$  such that  $(T - z)f(z) = x$  ( $|z| < r$ ). Hence  $Ta_0 = x$  and  $Ta_i = a_{i-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ), so that  $x \in R^\infty(T)$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in R^\infty(T)$  and  $|\lambda| < \gamma(T)$ . Choose  $r$ ,  $|\lambda| < r < \gamma(T)$ . Similarly as in 1) we can find points  $a_i \in X$  such that  $a_0 = x$ ,  $Ta_i = a_{i-1}$  and  $\|a_i\| \leq r^{-1}\|a_{i-1}\|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Set  $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i z^{i-1}$ . Then  $f(z)$  is defined and

$$(T - z)f(z) = x \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < r.$$

Thus  $x \in R(T - \lambda)$  and

$$R^\infty(T) \subset \bigcap_{|z| < \gamma(T)} R(T - z)$$

We shall need the following lemma (for better use we state it in a little bit more general form):

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be an operator with a closed range. Suppose that, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , there exist a finite dimensional subspace  $F_k \subset N(T)$  such that  $N(T) \subset \overline{R(T^k)} + F_k$ . Then  $R(T^k)$  is closed for each  $k$ .

In particular, if  $R(T)$  is closed and  $N(T) \subset \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{R(T^k)}$  then  $T$  is s-regular.

**Proof.** We prove by induction on  $k$  that  $R(T^k)$  is closed.

Suppose that  $k \geq 1$  and  $\overline{R(T^k)} = R(T^k)$ . Let  $u \in \overline{R(T^{k+1})}$ . By the induction assumption  $u \in R(T^k)$ , i.e.  $u = T^k v$  for some  $v \in X$ . Further there are elements  $v_j \in X$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $T^{k+1}v_j \rightarrow u$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ). Thus  $T(T^k v_j - T^{k-1}v) \rightarrow 0$ . Consider the operator  $\tilde{T} : X/N(T) \rightarrow R(T)$  induced by  $T$ . Clearly  $\tilde{T}$  is bounded below and  $\tilde{T}(T^k v_j - T^{k-1}v + N(T)) \rightarrow 0$ , so that  $T^k v_j - T^{k-1}v + N(T) \rightarrow 0$  ( $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) in the quotient space  $X/N(T)$ . Thus there exist vectors  $k_j \in N(T)$  such that  $T^k v_j + k_j \rightarrow T^{k-1}v$ . Since  $k_j \in N(T) \subset R(T^k) + F_k$  and  $R(T^k) + F_k$  is closed, we have  $T^{k-1}v = T^k a + f$  for some  $a \in X$  and  $f \in F_k \subset N(T)$ . Hence  $u = T^k v = T^{k+1}a \in R(T^{k+1})$  and  $R(T^{k+1})$  is closed.

The following theorem gives another characterization of s-regular operators (cf. [3], Lemma 1.4 and [15], Theorem 2.1).

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be an operator with closed range. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $T$  is s-regular,
- 2)  $N(T) \subset \bigvee_{z \neq 0} N(T - z)$ ,
- 3)  $R(T) \supset \bigcap_{z \neq 0} \overline{R(T - z)}$ .

**Proof.** Implications  $1 \Rightarrow 2$  and  $1 \Rightarrow 3$  follow from the previous theorem (note that  $R(T - z)$  is closed for  $|z| < \gamma(T)$  by [16], Theorem 2.10).

$2 \Rightarrow 1$ . Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $x \in N(T - \lambda)$ . Then  $Tx = \lambda x$  and  $x = \frac{T^n x}{\lambda^n} \in R(T^n)$ , so that  $x \in R^\infty(T)$ . Thus  $\bigvee_{\lambda \neq 0} N(T - \lambda) \subset \overline{R^\infty(T)}$ , so that  $N(T) \subset \overline{R^\infty(T)} \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{R(T^n)}$  and  $T$  is s-regular by the previous lemma.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$ . Let  $x \in N(T^n)$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then

$$(T - \lambda)(T^{n-1} + \lambda T^{n-2} + \dots + \lambda^{n-1})x = T^n x - \lambda^n x = -\lambda^n x,$$

so that  $x \in R(T - \lambda)$ . Thus  $N(T^n) \subset R(T - \lambda)$ . Hence  $N^\infty(T) \subset \bigcap_{z \neq 0} \overline{R(T - z)} \subset R(T)$  and  $T$  is s-regular.

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $T \in B(X)$ . Denote by  $\sigma_\gamma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}, T - \lambda \text{ is not s-regular}\}$ .

For properties of  $\sigma_\gamma(T)$  see [3] and [15]. The spectrum  $\sigma_\gamma(T)$  is always a non-empty compact subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  and

$$\partial\sigma(T) \subset \sigma_\gamma(T) \subset \sigma(T).$$

More precisely,  $\sigma_\gamma(T) \subset \sigma_\pi(T) \cap \sigma_\delta(T)$ , where  $\sigma_\pi(T)$  is the approximate point spectrum of  $T$ ,

$$\sigma_\pi(T) = \{\lambda, \inf\{\|(T - \lambda)x\|, x \in X, \|x\| = 1\} = 0\}$$

and  $\sigma_\delta(T) = \{\lambda, (T - \lambda)X \neq X\}$  is the defect spectrum of  $T$ .

The set  $\{\lambda \in \sigma_\gamma(T), R(T - \lambda) \text{ is closed}\}$  is at most countable and

$$\sigma_\gamma(T) = \{\lambda, \lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda} \gamma(T - z) = 0\}$$

(this limit always exists).

Further  $\sigma_\gamma(f(T)) = f(\sigma_\gamma(T))$  for every function  $f$  analytic in a neighbourhood of  $\sigma(T)$  (in particular for every polynomial).

## II. Generalized spectra

The axiomatic theory of spectrum was introduced by Żelazko [20]. A generalized spectrum in a Banach algebra  $A$  is a set-valued function  $\tilde{\sigma}$  which assigns to every  $n$ -tuple  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of commuting elements of  $A$  a non-empty compact subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  such that

- 1)  $\tilde{\sigma}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subset \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma(a_i)$ ,
- 2)  $\tilde{\sigma}(p(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = p(\tilde{\sigma}(a_1, \dots, a_n))$  for every  $m$ -tuple  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$  of polynomials in  $n$  variables.

Sometimes, a generalized spectrum is defined first only for single elements and one is looking for its extension for  $n$ -tuples of commuting elements, see e.g. [6]. We show that  $\sigma_\gamma$  can not be extended to a generalized spectrum. We start with the following simple criterion:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be a generalized spectrum defined in a Banach algebra  $A$ , let  $a, b \in A$  and  $ab = ba$ . Then  $0 \in \tilde{\sigma}(ab)$  if and only if either  $0 \in \tilde{\sigma}(a)$  or  $0 \in \tilde{\sigma}(b)$ .

**Proof.** If  $0 \in \tilde{\sigma}(a)$  then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $(0, \lambda) \in \tilde{\sigma}(a, b)$ . Then  $0 = 0 \cdot \lambda \in \tilde{\sigma}(ab)$ . Similarly  $0 \in \tilde{\sigma}(b)$  implies  $0 \in \tilde{\sigma}(ab)$ .

Conversely, let  $0 \notin \tilde{\sigma}(a)$  and  $0 \notin \tilde{\sigma}(b)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}(ab) &= \{\lambda\mu, (\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{\sigma}(a, b)\} \subset \{\lambda\mu, \lambda \in \tilde{\sigma}(a), \mu \in \tilde{\sigma}(b)\} \\ &\subset \{\lambda\mu, \lambda \neq 0, \mu \neq 0\} = \mathbf{C} - \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $0 \notin \tilde{\sigma}(ab)$ .

**Example 2.2.** We construct two commuting s-regular operators such that their product is not s-regular.

Let  $H$  be the Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{i,j}\}$  where  $i$  and  $j$  are integers such that  $ij \leq 0$ . Define operators  $T$  and  $S \in B(H)$  by

$$Te_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0, j > 0, \\ e_{i+1,j} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Se_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 0, i > 0, \\ e_{i,j+1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$TSe_{ij} = STE_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0, j \geq 0 \text{ or } j = 0, i \geq 0, \\ e_{i+1,j+1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so that  $T$  and  $S$  commute.

Further  $N(T) = \bigvee\{e_{0,j}, j > 0\} \subset R^\infty(T)$ ,  $N(S) = \bigvee\{e_{i,0}, i > 0\} \subset R^\infty(S)$  and both  $R(T)$  and  $R(S)$  are closed. Thus  $T$  and  $S$  are s-regular.

On the other hand  $TSe_{0,0} = 0$ , i.e.  $e_{0,0} \in N(TS)$  and  $e_{0,0} \notin R(TS)$ , so that  $TS$  is not s-regular.

**Corollary 2.3.** There exists no generalized spectrum  $\tilde{\sigma}$  such that  $\tilde{\sigma}(T) = \sigma_\gamma(T)$  for every  $T \in B(X)$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Note that one implication in Theorem 2.1 is true for  $\sigma_\gamma$ :

if  $TS = ST$  and either  $0 \in \sigma_\gamma(T)$  or  $0 \in \sigma_\gamma(S)$  then  $0 \in \sigma_\gamma(TS)$  (see [15], Lemma 4.15).

Another drawback of the spectrum  $\sigma_\gamma$  is that it is not upper semicontinuous. For this it is sufficient to show that the set of all s-regular operators is not open.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $H$  be the Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis

$$\{e_{i,j}, i, j \text{ integers}, i \geq 1\}.$$

Let  $T \in B(H)$  be defined by

$$Te_{i,j} = \begin{cases} e_{i,j+1} & \text{if } j \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $N(T) = \bigvee\{e_{i,0}, i \geq 1\} \subset R^\infty(T)$  and  $R(T)$  is closed, so that  $T$  is s-regular.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define  $S \in B(H)$  by

$$Se_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{i}e_{i,1} & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\|S\| = \varepsilon$  and  $S$  is an infinite dimensional compact operator so that  $R(S)$  is not closed. Denote  $M = \bigvee\{e_{i,1}, i \geq 1\}$ . We have  $R(T) \perp M$  and  $R(S) \subset M$ , so that

$(T + S)x \in M$  implies  $x \in N(T)$  and  $(T + S)x = Sx$ . Thus  $R(T + S) \cap M = SN(T) = R(S)$ , so that  $R(T + S)$  is not closed. Therefore  $T + S$  is not s-regular.

### III. Essential case

In this section we admit finite dimensional jumps in  $N(T - z)$  or  $R(T - z)$ .

If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are subspaces of  $X$  then we shall write shortly  $M_1 \subset_e M_2$  if there exists a finite dimensional subspace  $F \subset X$  such that  $M_1 \subset M_2 + F$ . In this case we may assume that  $F \subset M_1$ . Clearly  $M_1 \subset_e M_2$  if and only if  $\dim(M_1 | (M_1 \cap M_2)) < \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be an operator with closed range. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $N(T) \subset_e R^\infty(T)$ ,
- 2)  $N^\infty(T) \subset_e R(T)$ ,
- 3)  $N^\infty(T) \subset_e R^\infty(T)$ ,
- 4) there exists a decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  such that  $\dim X_1 < \infty$ ,  $TX_1 \subset X_1$ ,  $TX_2 \subset X_2$ ,  $T|X_1$  is nilpotent and  $T|X_2$  is an s-regular operator,
- 5)  $N(T) \subset_e \bigvee_{z \neq 0} N(T - z)$ ,
- 6)  $R(T) \supset_e \bigcap_{z \neq 0} \overline{R(T - z)}$ ,
- 7)  $\dim(N(T) | \tilde{N}(T)) < \infty$ , where  $\tilde{N}(T)$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that there are complex numbers  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) tending to 0 and elements  $x_i \in N(T - \lambda_i)$  such that  $x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i$  (clearly  $\tilde{N}(T) \subset N(T)$ ),
- 8)  $\dim(\tilde{R}(T) | R(T)) < \infty$  where  $\tilde{R}(T)$  is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i$  for some  $x_i \in R(T - \lambda_i)$  and some  $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ . (Clearly  $R(T) \subset \tilde{R}(T)$ ).

**Proof.** Implications  $4 \Rightarrow 3$ ,  $3 \Rightarrow 1$  and  $3 \Rightarrow 2$  are clear.

$1 \Rightarrow 4$  and  $2 \Rightarrow 4$ . We prove these two implications simultaneously. The proof will be done in several steps.

a) Either 1) or 2) implies  $N(T^n) \subset_e R(T^k)$  for every  $n, k$ , i.e. there are finite dimensional subspaces  $F_{n,k} \subset N(T^n)$  such that

$$N(T^n) \subset R(T^k) + F_{n,k}. \quad (*)$$

Suppose first  $N(T) \subset_e R^\infty(T)$ . We prove (\*) by induction on  $n$ .

The statement is clear for  $n = 1$ .

Suppose that we have found subspaces  $F_{m,k} \subset N(T^m)$  for every  $m \leq n - 1$  and every  $k$  such that (\*) holds. Choose a subspace  $F'_{n,k} \subset X$  such that  $TF'_{n,k} = F_{n-1,k+1} \cap R(T)$  and  $\dim F'_{n,k} = \dim(F_{n-1,k+1} \cap R(T)) \leq \dim F_{n-1,k+1} < \infty$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(T^n) &= T^{-1}N(T^{n-1}) \subset T^{-1}(R(T^{k+1}) + F_{n-1,k+1}) \\ &\subset (R(T^k) + N(T)) + (F'_{n,k} + N(T)) \subset R(T^k) + F'_{n,k} + R(T^k) + F_{1,k} = R(T^k) + F_{n,k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_{n,k} = F'_{n,k} + F_{1,k} \subset N(T^n)$ .

We prove that 2) implies (\*). Suppose  $N^\infty(T) \subset_e R(T)$ . We prove (\*) by induction on  $k$ . The statement is clear for  $k = 1$ . Suppose (\*) is true for every  $n$  and every  $l \leq k - 1$ . Then  $N(T^{n+1}) \subset R(T^{k-1}) + F_{n+1,k-1}$ , so that

$$TN(T^{n+1}) \subset R(T^k) + TF_{n+1,k-1}.$$

Further  $TN(T^{n+1}) = N(T^n) \cap R(T)$  and  $N(T^n) \subset R(T) + F_{n,1}$  where  $F_{n,1} \subset N(T^n)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} N(T^n) &\subset (R(T) \cap N(T^n)) + F_{n,1} = TN(T^{n+1}) + F_{n,1} \\ &\subset R(T^k) + TF_{n+1,k-1} + F_{n,1} = R(T^k) + F_{n,k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_{n,k} = TF_{n+1,k} + F_{n,1} \subset N(T^n)$ .

b) Condition (\*) implies by Lemma 1.7 that  $R(T^k)$  is closed for each  $k$ .

c) We construct now the decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ . Suppose that  $T$  satisfies (\*).

If  $N(T) \subset R^\infty(T)$  then  $T$  is s-regular and we can take  $X_1 = \{0\}$ ,  $X_2 = X$ .

Therefore we may assume that  $N(T) \not\subset R(T^k)$  for some  $k$  and we take the smallest  $k$  with this property, i.e.  $N(T) \subset R(T^{k-1})$ . Find a subspace  $L_1$  such that

$$N(T) = L_1 \oplus (N(T) \cap R(T^k)).$$

Clearly  $1 \leq \dim L_1 = r < \infty$ .

As  $L_1 \subset N(T) \subset R(T^{k-1})$ , we can find a subspace  $L_k$  such that  $\dim L_k = r$  and  $T^{k-1}L_k = L_1$ . Set  $L_i = T^{k-i}L_k$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Clearly  $L_i \subset R(T^{k-i})$  and  $L_i \cap R(T^{k-i+1}) = \{0\}$  for every  $i$ . Therefore subspaces  $L_k, L_{k-1}, \dots, L_1$  and  $R(T^k)$  are linearly independent in the following sense: if  $l_i \in L_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ),  $x \in R(T^k)$  and  $x + l_1 + \dots + l_k = 0$ , then  $x = l_1 = \dots = l_k = 0$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be a basis in  $L_1$ . As  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  are linearly independent modulo  $R(T^k) + L_2 + \dots + L_k$ , we can find linear functionals  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in (R(T^k) + L_2 + \dots + L_k)^\perp$  such that  $\langle x_i, f_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ ). Set

$$Y_1 = \bigvee_{i=1}^k L_i \quad \text{and} \quad Y_2 = \bigcap_{j=0}^{k-1} \bigcap_{i=1}^r \ker(T^{*j} f_i).$$

Clearly  $\dim Y_1 < \infty$ ,  $TY_1 \subset Y_1$  and  $(T|Y_1)^k = 0$ . Further  $TY_2 \subset Y_2$ . Indeed, if  $x \in Y_2$  then

$$\langle Tx, T^{*j} f_i \rangle = \langle x, T^{*(j+1)} f_i \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-2$$

and  $\langle Tx, T^{*(k-1)} f_i \rangle = \langle T^k x, f_i \rangle = 0$ .

Find  $y_1, \dots, y_r \in L_k$  such that  $x_i = T^{k-1} y_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ). Then

$$\{T^j y_i, 0 \leq j \leq k-1, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

form a basis of  $Y_1$  and

$$\{T^j y_i, 0 \leq j \leq k-1, 1 \leq i \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{T^{*j} f_i, 0 \leq j \leq k-1, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

form a biorthogonal system. Thus it is easy to show that  $X = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ .



Denote by  $T_1 = T|Y_1$  and  $T_2 = T|Y_2$ . We have  $N(T) = N(T_1) \oplus N(T_2) = L_1 \oplus N(T_2)$  and  $R^\infty(T) = R^\infty(T_1) \oplus R^\infty(T_2) = R^\infty(T_2)$ .

If  $T$  satisfies 1), i.e.  $\dim(N(T)|(N(T) \cap R^\infty(T))) < \infty$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(N(T_2)|(N(T_2) \cap R^\infty(T_2))) &= \dim(N(T)|(N(T) \cap R^\infty(T))) - r \\ &< \dim(N(T)|(N(T) \cap R^\infty(T))) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

and we can repeat the same construction for  $T_2$ . After a finite number of steps we obtain a decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  such that  $\dim X_1 < \infty$ ,  $TX_1 \subset X_1$ ,  $TX_2 \subset X_2$ ,  $T|X_1$  is nilpotent and  $N(T|X_2) \subset R^\infty(T)$ , i.e.  $T|X_2$  is s-regular.

Similarly, if  $T$  satisfies 2), i.e.

$$\dim(N^\infty(T)|(N^\infty(T) \cap R(T))) = a < \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(N^\infty(T_2)|(N^\infty(T_2) \cap R(T_2))) &= a - \dim(N^\infty(T_1)|(N^\infty(T_1) \cap R(T_1))) \\ &= a - \dim(Y_1 | \bigvee_{i=1}^{k-1} L_i) = a - r < a, \end{aligned}$$

so that after a finite number of steps we obtain the required decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ .

1  $\Rightarrow$  7: Since  $\tilde{N}(T|X_2) = N(T|X_2)$  by Lemma 1.5, we have  $\dim(N(T)|\tilde{N}(T)) = \dim(N(T|X_1)|\tilde{N}(T|X_1)) = \dim N(T|X_1) < \infty$ .

7  $\Rightarrow$  5: Clearly  $\tilde{N}(T) \subset \bigvee_{z \neq 0} N(T - z)$ .

5  $\Rightarrow$  1: It is easy to see that  $N(T - z) \subset R^\infty(T)$  for  $z \neq 0$ . Thus

$$N(T) \subset_e \bigvee_{z \neq 0} N(T - z) \subset \overline{R^\infty(T)}.$$

By Lemma 1.7 we have  $\overline{R(T^k)} = R(T^k)$  for each  $k$ , so that  $N(T) \subset_e R^\infty(T)$ .

4  $\Rightarrow$  8: By condition 2 of Theorem 1.2  $\tilde{R}(T|X_2) = R(T|X_2)$ , so that

$$\dim(\tilde{R}(T)|R(T)) \leq \dim X_1 < \infty.$$

8  $\Rightarrow$  6: Clearly  $\bigcap_{z \neq 0} \overline{R(T - z)} \subset \tilde{R}(T)$ .

6  $\Rightarrow$  2: This follows from the inclusion  $N^\infty(T) \subset \bigcap_{z \neq 0} \overline{R(T - z)}$  (see the proof of Theorem 1.7).

**Definition 3.2.** We say that an operator  $T \in B(X)$  is essentially s-regular if  $R(T)$  is closed and  $T$  satisfies any of the equivalent conditions of Theorem 3.1.

**Remark 3.3.** Condition 4 of Theorem 3.1 is the Kato decomposition which was proved in [9] for semi-Fredholm operators. Clearly, essentially s-regular operators are a generalization of semi-Fredholm operators.

This notion is closely related to quasi-Fredholm operators, see [11], [12].

**Corollary 3.4.** (cf. [18]). Let  $T \in B(X)$ .

- 1) If  $T$  is essentially s-regular, then  $T^n$  is essentially s-regular for every  $n$ .
- 2)  $T$  is essentially s-regular if and only if  $T^* \in B(X^*)$  is essentially s-regular.

**Proof.** 1) Let  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  be the Kato decomposition for  $T$  (see condition 4 of Theorem 3.1). Clearly the same decomposition satisfies all conditions for  $T^n$ .

2) We have  $X^* = X_2^\perp \oplus X_1^\perp$  where  $\dim X_2^\perp = \operatorname{codim} X_2 = \dim X_1 < \infty$ ,  $T^* X_2^\perp \subset X_2^\perp$ ,  $T^* X_1^\perp \subset X_1^\perp$ ,  $T^*|_{X_2^\perp}$  is a nilpotent operator and  $T^*|_{X_1^\perp}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(T|_{X_2})^*$ , so that  $T^*|_{X_1^\perp}$  is s-regular and  $T^*$  is essentially s-regular.

Conversely, if  $T^*$  is essentially s-regular, then  $R(T)$  and  $R(T^n)$  are closed for every  $n$  and  $T^{**} \in B(X^{**})$  is essentially s-regular, so that  $N(T^{**}) \subset_e R^\infty(T^{**})$ . Further  $N(T) = N(T^{**}) \cap X$  and  $R(T^n) = R(T^{**n}) \cap X$  for every  $n$ , so that  $R^\infty(T) = R^\infty(T^{**}) \cap X$  and  $N(T) \subset_e R^\infty(T)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $A, B \in B(X)$ ,  $AB = BA$ . If  $AB$  is essentially s-regular then  $A$  and  $B$  are essentially s-regular.

**Proof.** We have  $N(A) \subset N(AB) \subset_e R^\infty(AB) \subset R^\infty(A)$ , so that it is sufficient to prove that  $R(A)$  is closed.

There exists a finite-dimensional subspace  $F \subset X$  such that  $N(AB) \subset R(AB) + F$ . We prove that  $R(A) + F$  is closed. Let  $v_j \in X$ ,  $f_j \in F$  and  $Av_j + f_j \rightarrow u$ . Then  $BAv_j + Bf_j \rightarrow Bu$  and  $Bu \in R(AB) + BF$  since  $R(AB) + BF$  is closed. Thus  $Bu = ABv + Bf$  for some  $v \in X$  and  $f \in F$ , i.e.

$$Av + f - u \in N(B) \subset N(AB) \subset R(AB) + F \subset R(A) + F.$$

Hence  $u \in R(A) + F$  and  $R(A) + F$  is closed.

The closeness of  $R(A)$  follows from the following lemma, which is a particular case of lemma of Neubauer, see [11], Proposition 2.1.1.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $T \in B(X)$ , let  $F \subset X$  be a finite-dimensional subspace. Suppose that  $R(T) + F$  is closed. Then  $R(T)$  is closed.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we can assume  $R(T) \cap F = \{0\}$ . Let  $S : X|_{\operatorname{Ker} T} \oplus F \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $S((x + \operatorname{Ker} T) \oplus f) = Tx + f \in R(T) + F$ . Then  $S$  is a bounded injective operator onto  $R(T) + F$ . Hence  $S$  is bounded below and  $R(T) = S(X|_{\operatorname{Ker} T} \oplus \{0\})$  is closed.

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $T \in B(X)$ . Denote by

$$\sigma_{\gamma e}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}, T - \lambda \text{ is not essentially s-regular}\}.$$

**Theorem 3.8.** (cf. [18]). Let  $\dim X = \infty$  and  $T \in B(X)$ . Then

- 1)  $\sigma_{\gamma_e}(T) \subset \sigma_\gamma(T)$  and  $\sigma_\gamma(T) - \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$  consists of at most countably many isolated points,
- 2)  $\sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$  is a non-empty compact set,
- 3)  $\partial\sigma_e(T) \subset \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T) \subset \sigma_e(T)$ , where  $\sigma_e(T)$  denotes the essential spectrum of  $T$ . More precisely,  $\sigma_{\gamma_e}(T) \subset \sigma_{\pi_e}(T) \cap \sigma_{\delta_e}(T)$ , where  $\sigma_{\pi_e}(T)$  is the essential approximate point spectrum of  $T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\pi_e}(T) &= \{\lambda, T - \lambda \text{ is not upper semi-Fredholm}\} \\ &= \{\lambda, R(T - \lambda) \text{ is not closed}\} \cup \{\lambda, \dim N(T - \lambda) = \infty\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\delta_e}(T) = \{\lambda, T - \lambda \text{ is not lower semi-Fredholm}\} = \{\lambda, \text{codim } R(T - \lambda) = \infty\}.$$

**Proof.** 1) Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_\gamma(T) - \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$ . Then  $T - \lambda$  is essentially s-regular, so that there exists a decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  with  $TX_1 \subset X_1$ ,  $TX_2 \subset X_2$ ,  $\dim X_1 < \infty$ ,  $(T - \lambda)|_{X_1}$  nilpotent and  $(T - \lambda)|_{X_2}$  s-regular. Then  $(T - z)|_{X_2}$  is s-regular in a certain neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\lambda$  and  $(T - z)|_{X_1}$  is s-regular (even invertible) for every  $z \neq \lambda$ . It is easy to see that  $T - z$  is s-regular for  $z \in U - \{\lambda\}$ , i.e.  $U \cap \sigma_\gamma(T) = \{\lambda\}$ . Clearly  $\sigma_\gamma(T) - \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$  is at most countable.

2) If  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$  then either  $\lambda \notin \sigma_\gamma(T)$  or  $\lambda \in \sigma_\gamma(T) - \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$ . In both cases  $U \cap \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T) = \emptyset$  for some neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\lambda$ . Hence  $\sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$  is closed.

The non-emptiness of  $\sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$  follows from the inclusion  $\partial\sigma_e(T) \subset \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$  which will be proved next.

3) Suppose  $\lambda \in \partial\sigma_e(T)$  and  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$ . Then  $T - \lambda$  is essentially s-regular so that  $R(T - \lambda)$  is closed and there exists a decomposition  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  such that  $\dim X_1 < \infty$ ,  $TX_1 \subset X_1$ ,  $TX_2 \subset X_2$ ,  $(T - \lambda)|_{X_1}$  is nilpotent and  $(T - \lambda)|_{X_2}$  is s-regular. Choose a sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  such that  $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_e(T)$ , i.e.  $T - \lambda_n$  is Fredholm. We have

$$\dim N((T - \lambda_n)|_{X_2}) \leq \dim N(T - \lambda_n) < \infty$$

and, from the regularity of  $T|_{X_2}$  and property 6 of Theorem 1.1 we conclude that

$$\dim N((T - \lambda)|_{X_2}) < \infty$$

and also  $\dim N(T - \lambda) < \infty$ .

Similarly we can prove  $\text{codim } R(T - \lambda) < \infty$ , so that  $T - \lambda$  is a Fredholm operator and  $\lambda \notin \sigma_e(T)$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $\partial\sigma_e(T) \subset \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$ .

If  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\gamma_e}(T)$ , then  $T - \lambda$  is not semi-Fredholm by Remark 3.3, so that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\pi_e}(T) \cap \sigma_{\delta_e}(T)$ .

**Remark 3.9.** In fact we have proved  $\partial\sigma_e(T) \subset \sigma_{\pi_e}(T)$  and  $\partial\sigma_e(T) \subset \sigma_{\delta_e}(T)$ , which is not so trivial as in the non-essential case (see [8], cf. also [1]).

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $T \in B(X)$ . Then  $\sigma_{\gamma_e}(f(T)) = f(\sigma_{\gamma_e}(T))$  for every function  $f$  analytic in a neighbourhood of  $\sigma(T)$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to prove that  $0 \notin \sigma_{\gamma e}(f(T))$  if and only if  $T - \lambda$  is essentially s-regular whenever  $f(\lambda) = 0$ .

Since  $f$  has only a finite number of zeros  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  in  $\sigma(T)$  we can write  $f(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (z - \lambda_n)^{m_n} h(z)$  where  $h$  is analytic in a neighbourhood of  $\sigma(T)$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \sigma(T)$ .

We have  $f(T) = (T - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (T - \lambda_n)^{m_n} h(T)$ . If  $f(T)$  is essentially s-regular, then  $T - \lambda_1, \dots, T - \lambda_n$  are essentially s-regular by Theorem 3.5.

Conversely, suppose that  $T - \lambda_1, \dots, T - \lambda_n$  are essentially s-regular. Denote by  $q(z) = (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_n)$  and  $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \cdots (z - \lambda_n)^{m_n}$ . Then

$$N(q(T)) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n N(T - \lambda_i)$$

and

$$R(q(T)^m) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n R((T - \lambda_i)^m)$$

for every  $m$  (see [15], Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3). Thus  $R(q(T))$  is closed. Further  $N(T - \lambda_i) \subset R^\infty(T - \lambda_j)$  for  $j \neq i$  and  $N(T - \lambda_i) \subset R^\infty(T - \lambda_i) + F_i$  for some finite-dimensional subspace  $F_i \subset X$ . Thus

$$N(T - \lambda_i) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^n R^\infty(T - \lambda_j) + F_i$$

and

$$N(q(T)) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n R^\infty(T - \lambda_i) + F_1 + \cdots + F_n = R^\infty(q(T)) + F_1 + \cdots + F_n.$$

Hence  $q(T)$  is essentially s-regular. If  $m = \max\{m_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , then  $q(T)^m$  is essentially s-regular by Corollary 3.4 and  $p(T)$  is essentially s-regular by Theorem 3.5. Further  $h(T)$  is an invertible operator commuting with  $p(T)$ . Thus  $N(f(T)) = N(p(T))$  and  $R(f(T)^n) = R(p(T)^n)$  for every  $n$ , so that  $R(f(T))$  is closed and

$$N(f(T)) = N(p(T)) \subset_e R^\infty(p(T)) = R^\infty(f(T)).$$

Hence  $f(T)$  is essentially s-regular.

**Problem 3.11.** Example 2.5 shows that  $\sigma_{\gamma e}(T)$  is not stable under compact perturbations. We do not know if it is stable under finite-dimensional perturbations. Equivalently, taking into account the Kato decomposition, we can reformulate this question as follows:

Let  $T$  be s-regular and  $A$  a finite-dimensional operator. Is then  $T + A$  essentially s-regular?

#### IV. Generalized inverses

Let  $T \in B(X)$ . We say that  $S \in B(X)$  is a generalized inverse of  $T$  if  $TST = T$  and  $STS = S$ . In this case  $TS$  is a bounded projection onto  $R(T)$  and  $ST$  is a bounded projection with  $N(ST) = N(T)$ . Thus it is easy to see that  $T$  has a generalized inverse if and only if  $R(T)$  is closed and both  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)$  are ranges of bounded projections.

An operator  $T$  is called regular if  $T$  is s-regular and has a generalized inverse.

Let  $T$  be an operator in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there is an analytic generalized inverse of  $T - z$  defined on the open set  $G = \mathbf{C} - \sigma_\gamma(T)$  (see [3], Theorem 2.5). More precisely, there exists an analytic operator-valued function  $S : G \rightarrow B(X)$  such that  $(T - z)S(z)(T - z) = T - z$  and  $S(z)(T - z)S(z) = S(z)$  for all  $z \in G$ . One can see easily that  $\mathbf{C} - \sigma_\gamma(T)$  is the largest open set with this property.

If  $T$  is an operator in a Banach space  $X$  then another necessary condition for existence of an analytic generalized inverse of  $T - z$  is that  $R(T - z)$  and  $N(T - z)$  are ranges of bounded projections. We show that this is already a sufficient condition.

We start with a local version of this result, which was essentially proved in [14], Theorem 2.6, see also [7], Theorem 9.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $T \in B(X)$  be a regular operator. Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of 0 and an analytic function  $S : U \rightarrow B(X)$  such that  $(T - z)S(z)(T - z) = T - z$  and  $S(z)(T - z)S(z) = S(z)$  for all  $z \in U$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S \in B(X)$  be a generalized inverse of  $T$ , i.e.  $TST = T$  and  $STS = S$ . Set  $U = \{z \in \mathbf{C}, |z| < \|S\|^{-1}\}$ . For  $z \in U$  define  $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S^i(I - ST)z^i$ . Clearly the sum converges for  $z \in U$ . We have  $(I - ST)S = 0 = T(I - ST)$ , therefore  $P(z)^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} z^i S^i(I - ST)^2 = P(z)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (T - z)P(z) &= (T - z) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S^i(I - ST)z^i \\ &= T(I - ST) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z^i [TS^i(I - ST) - S^{i-1}(I - ST)] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (TS - I)S^{i-1}(I - ST)z^i. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $T(I - ST)x = 0$ , so that  $(I - ST)x \in N(T) \subset R^\infty(T)$ .

Further, if  $y \in R^\infty(T)$ ,  $y = Tz$  then  $y = Tz = TSTz = TSy$  and, by Lemma 1.4,  $Sy \in R^\infty(T)$ . Thus  $S(R^\infty(T)) \subset R^\infty(T)$ .

Finally, for  $u \in R(T)$ ,  $u = Tv$  we have  $(TS - I)u = (TS - I)Tv = 0$ . Thus

$$(TS - I)S^{i-1}(I - ST) = 0 \quad (i \geq 1)$$

and  $(T - z)P(z) = 0$ .

For  $z \in G$  set  $S(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S^{i+1}z^i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(z)(T - z) + P(z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S^{i+1}z^i(T - z) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S^i(I - ST)z^i \\ &= ST + I - ST + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [S^{i+1}T - S^i + S^i(I - ST)]z^i = I, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $S(z)(T - z) = I - P(z)$ . We have  $(T - z)S(z)(T - z) = (T - z)(I - P(z)) = T - z$  and  $S(z)(T - z)S(z) = (I - P(z))S(z) = S(z)$  since

$$P(z)S(z) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} z^i S^i (I - ST) \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S^{i+1} z^i \right) = 0.$$

Clearly  $P(z)$  is a bounded projection onto  $N(T - z)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $S(z)$  be the function constructed in the previous theorem and let  $\lambda, \mu \in U$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(\lambda) - S(\mu) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^i - \mu^i) S^{i+1} = (\lambda - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda^{i-1} + \lambda^{i-2} \mu + \dots + \mu^{i-1}) S^{i+1} \\ &= (\lambda - \mu) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j S^{j+1} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k S^{k+1} \right) = (\lambda - \mu) S(\lambda) S(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $S(z)$  satisfies the resolvent identity and so it is not only a generalized inverse of  $T - z$  but also a generalized resolvent in the sense of [4] or [5].

The next theorem shows that it is possible to find a global analytic general inverse of  $T - z$ . It is an open question if there always exists a global analytic general resolvent.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $T \in B(X)$ . Denote by  $G = \{z \in \mathbf{C}, T - z \text{ is regular}\}$ . Then  $G$  is an open set and there exists an analytic function  $S : G \rightarrow B(X)$  such that

$$(T - z)S(z)(T - z) = T - z$$

and

$$S(z)(T - z)S(z) = S(z) \quad (z \in G).$$

**Proof.** For  $z \in G$  define the operator  $M(z) : B(X) \rightarrow B(X)$  by

$$M(z)A = (T - z)A(T - z) \quad (A \in B(X)).$$

Clearly  $M : G \rightarrow B(B(X))$  is an analytic function. Let  $\lambda \in G$ . By the previous theorem there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\lambda$  and an analytic function  $S_1 : U \rightarrow B(X)$  such that  $(T - z)S_1(z)(T - z) = T - z$  and  $S_1(z)(T - z)S_1(z) = S_1(z)$  ( $z \in U$ ).

Let  $\mu \in U$  and  $A \in B(X)$ . Set  $A_1 = S_1(\mu)(T - \mu)A(T - \mu)S_1(\mu)$ . Then

$$M(\mu)A_1 = (T - \mu)S_1(\mu)(T - \mu)A(T - \mu)S_1(\mu)(T - \mu) = (T - \mu)A(T - \mu) = M(\mu)A$$

and

$$\|A_1\| \leq \|S_1(\mu)\|^2 \|(T - \mu)A(T - \mu)\| = \|S_1(\mu)\|^2 \|M(\mu)A\|.$$

Thus  $\gamma(M(\mu)) \geq \|S_1(\mu)\|^{-2}$  so that  $\gamma(M(z))$  is bounded from below in a certain neighbourhood of  $\lambda$ . Further function  $z \mapsto T - z \in B(X)$  is an analytic vector-valued function and, by the definition of  $G$ ,  $T - z \in R(M(z))$  for every  $z \in G$ .

By [19], Theorem 2, there exists an analytic function  $S_2 : G \rightarrow B(X)$  such that  $M(z)S_2(z) = T - z$ , i.e.  $(T - z)S_2(z)(T - z) = T - z$  for  $z \in G$ . Set

$$S(z) = S_2(z)(T - z)S_2(z) \quad (z \in G).$$

Then

$$(T - z)S(z)(T - z) = (T - z)S_2(z)(T - z)S_2(z)(T - z) = T - z$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S(z)(T - z)S(z) &= S_2(z)(T - z)S_2(z)(T - z)S_2(z)(T - z)S_2(z) \\ &= S_2(z)(T - z)S_2(z) = S(z) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $z \in G$ .

## References

- [1] C. Apostol, *On the left essential spectrum and non-cyclic operators in Banach spaces*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 17 (1972), 1141–1147.
- [2] C. Apostol, *On the closed range points in the spectrum of operators*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. 21 (1976), 971–976.
- [3] C. Apostol, *The reduced minimum modulus*, Michigan Math. J. 32 (1984), 279–294.
- [4] C. Apostol, K. Clancey, *Generalized inverses and spectral theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (1976), 293–300.
- [5] C. Apostol, K. Clancey, *On generalized resolvents*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1976), 163–168.
- [6] R.E. Curto, A.T. Dash, *Browder spectral systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1988), 407–413.
- [7] R. Harte, *Taylor exactness and Kaplansky's lemma*, J. Oper. Th. 25 (1991), 399–416.
- [8] R. Harte, T. Wickstead, *Upper and lower Fredholm spectra II.*, Math. Zeit. 154 (1977), 253–256.
- [9] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators*, J. Anal. Math. 6 (1958), 261–322.
- [10] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [11] J.P. Labrouse, *Les opérateurs quasi-Fredholm: une généralisation des opérateurs semi-Fredholm*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo 29 (1989), 69–105.
- [12] J.P. Labrouse, *Relation entre deux définitions possibles du spectre essentiel d'un opérateur*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. 25 (1980), 1391–1394.
- [13] J. Leiterer, *The operator of multiplication by a continuous operator function* (Russian), Mat. Issled. 5 (1970), 115–135.
- [14] M. Mbekhta, *Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectraux*, Glasgow Math. J. 29 (1987), 159–175.
- [15] M. Mbekhta, *Résolvant généralisé et théorie spectrale*, J. Oper. Theory 21 (1989), 69–105.

- [16] M. Mbekhta, A. Ouahab, *Opérateur  $s$ -régulier dans un espace de Banach et théorie spectrale*, Pub. Irma, Lille -1990, Vol. 22, No XII.
- [17] M. Mbekhta, A. Ouahab, *Contribution a la théorie spectrale généralisée dans les espaces de Banach*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 313 (1991), 833–836.
- [18] V. Rakočević, *Generalized spectrum and commuting compact perturbations*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 36 (1993), 197–209.
- [19] Z. Słodkowski, *Operators with closed ranges in spaces of analytic vector-valued functions*, J. Func. Anal. 69 (1986), 155–177.
- [20] W. Żelazko, *Axiomatic approach to joint spectra I.*, Studia Math. 64 (1979), 249–261.

Institute of Mathematics AV ČR  
Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1  
Czech Republic