

RECTIFIABILITY AND PERIMETER IN STEP 2 GROUPS

BRUNO FRANCHI, Bologna, RAUL SERAPIONI, Trento,
FRANCESCO SERRA CASSANO, Trento

Abstract. We study finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups. In this way we extend the classical De Giorgi's theory, developed in Euclidean spaces by De Giorgi, as well as its generalization, considered by the authors, in Heisenberg groups. A structure theorem for sets of finite perimeter and consequently a divergence theorem are obtained. Full proofs of these results, comments and an exhaustive bibliography can be found in our preprint (2001).

Keywords: Carnot groups, perimeter, rectifiability, divergence theorem

MSC 2000: 49Q15, 22E30

1. DEFINITIONS

1.1. CARNOT GROUPS. We recall the definition of *Carnot groups of step 2* and some of its properties (see [5], [15], [12] and [16]). Let \mathbb{G} be a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group whose Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a *step 2 stratification*, i.e. there exist linear subspaces V_1, V_2 such that

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus V_2, \quad [V_1, V_1] = V_2, \quad [V_1, V_2] = 0,$$

where $[V_1, V_i]$ is the subspace of \mathfrak{g} generated by commutators $[X, Y]$ with $X \in V_1$ and $Y \in V_i$. A base e_1, \dots, e_n of \mathfrak{g} is adapted to the stratification if e_1, \dots, e_m is a base of V_1 and e_{m+1}, \dots, e_n is a base of V_2 . Let $X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be the family of left invariant vector fields such that $X_i(0) = e_i$. Given (1), the vector fields X_1, \dots, X_m together with their commutators of length 2 generate all \mathfrak{g} ; we will refer to X_1, \dots, X_m as a family of *generating vector fields* of the group.

The authors are supported by GNAMPA of INdAM, project "Analysis in metric spaces and subelliptic equations".

B.F. is supported by University of Bologna, Italy, funds for selected research topics.

R.S. is supported by MURST, Italy, and Politecnico of Milano, Italy.

F.S.C. is supported by MURST, Italy, and University of Trento, Italy.

The exponential map \exp is a one to one map from \mathfrak{g} to \mathbb{G} . Hence any $p \in \mathbb{G}$ can be written, in a unique way, as $p = \exp(p_1 X_1 + \dots + p_n X_n)$. Using these *exponential coordinates*, we identify p with the n -tuple $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and \mathbb{G} with (\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot) , where the new product in \mathbb{R}^n is such that $\exp(p \cdot q) = \exp(p) \exp(q)$. The identification $\mathbb{G} \simeq (\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot)$ is used from now on, without being mentioned anymore.

The n -dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathcal{L}^n is the Haar measure of the group \mathbb{G} .

As a consequence of the stratification (1), a natural family of automorphisms of \mathbb{G} are the so called intrinsic dilations. For any $x \in \mathbb{G}$ and $\lambda > 0$, the *dilation* $\delta_\lambda: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$, is defined as

$$(2) \quad \delta_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_m, \lambda^2 x_{m+1}, \dots, \lambda^2 x_n).$$

The subbundle of the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{G}$ spanned by the first m vector fields X_1, \dots, X_m is called the *horizontal bundle* $H\mathbb{G}$; the fibers of $H\mathbb{G}$ are

$$H\mathbb{G}_x = \text{span}\{X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x)\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{G}.$$

Sections of $H\mathbb{G}$ are called *horizontal sections* and vectors of $H\mathbb{G}_x$ are *horizontal vectors*. Each horizontal section φ is identified by its coordinates $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ with respect to the moving frame $X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x)$. That is, horizontal sections are functions $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

A subriemannian structure is defined on \mathbb{G} , endowing each fiber of $H\mathbb{G}$ with a scalar product making the basis $X_1(x), \dots, X_m(x)$ an orthonormal basis. That is, if $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ are in $H\mathbb{G}_x$, then $\langle v, w \rangle_x := \sum_{j=1}^m v_j w_j$ and $|v|_x^2 := \langle v, v \rangle_x$. It will simplify our notation to extend the scalar product $\langle v, w \rangle_x$ also to $v, w \in T\mathbb{G}_x$, keeping the same definition: $\langle v, w \rangle_x := \sum_{j=1}^m v_j w_j$.

Given a sub-riemannian structure there is a standard procedure introducing a natural distance, i.e. the Carnot-Carathéodory distance, on \mathbb{G} (see e.g. [11]). Consider the family of the so-called sub-unit curves in \mathbb{G} : an absolutely continuous curve $\gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a *sub-unit curve* with respect to X_1, \dots, X_m if for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) \in H\mathbb{G}_{\gamma(t)}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\dot{\gamma}(t)|_{\gamma(t)} \leq 1.$$

Definition 1.1 [Carnot-Carathéodory distance]. If $p, q \in \mathbb{G}$, their *cc-distance* is defined by

$$d_c(p, q) = \inf \{T > 0: \gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \text{ is sub-unit, } \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(T) = q\}.$$

It is a classical result in the control theory, usually known as Chow's theorem, that, under assumption (1), the set of sub-unit curves joining p and q is not empty.

Hence $d_c(p, q)$ is never infinity and d_c is a distance on \mathbb{G} inducing the same topology as the standard Euclidean distance.

The Carnot-Carathéodory distance is usually difficult to compute and sometimes it is more convenient to deal with distances, equivalent with d_c , but such that they can be explicitly evaluated. Several ones have been used in literature, here we choose $d_\infty(x, y) = d_\infty(y^{-1} \cdot x, 0)$, where

$$(3) \quad d_\infty(p, 0) = \max \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^m p_j^2 \right)^{1/2}, \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^n p_j^2 \right)^{1/4} \right\}.$$

Here $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is a suitable positive constant.

Finally, we denote by $U_c(p, r)$ and $U_\infty(p, r)$ the open balls associated, respectively, with d_c and d_∞ .

Related with these distances, different Hausdorff measures can be constructed, following Carathéodory's construction as in [4], Section 2.10.2.

Definition 1.2. For $\alpha > 0$ denote by \mathcal{H}^α the α -dimensional Hausdorff measure obtained from the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^n \simeq \mathbb{G}$, by \mathcal{H}_c^α the one obtained from d_c in \mathbb{G} , and by $\mathcal{H}_\infty^\alpha$ the one obtained from d_∞ in \mathbb{G} . Analogously, \mathcal{S}^α , \mathcal{S}_c^α and $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\alpha$ denote the corresponding spherical Hausdorff measures.

The *homogeneous dimension* of \mathbb{G} is the integer $Q := \dim V_1 + 2\dim V_2 = m + 2(n - m)$ that is the Hausdorff dimension of \mathbb{G} with respect to the cc-distance d_c (see [14]).

1.2. \mathbb{G} -regular functions and surfaces. The following definitions about intrinsic differentiability are due to Pansu ([16]), or have been inspired by his ideas.

A map $L: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathbb{G} -linear if it is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{G} \equiv (\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot)$ to $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ and if it is positively homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to the dilations of \mathbb{G} , that is $L(\delta_\lambda x) = \lambda Lx$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{G}$. It is easy to see that L is \mathbb{G} -linear if and only if there is $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $Lx = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j v_j$ for all $x \in \mathbb{G}$.

Given $f: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X_1 f, \dots, X_m f$ exist, we denote by $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f$ the horizontal section defined as

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f := \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i f) X_i,$$

whose coordinates are $(X_1 f, \dots, X_m f)$. Moreover, if $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ is a horizontal section such that $X_j \varphi_j$ exist for $j = 1, \dots, m$, we define $\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi$ as the real valued function

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}}(\varphi) := \sum_{j=1}^m X_j \varphi_j.$$

Definition 1.3. $f: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Pansu-differentiable* or \mathbb{G} -differentiable (see [16] and [13]) at x_0 if there is a \mathbb{G} -linear map $d_{\mathbb{G}}f_{x_0}$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0) - d_{\mathbb{G}}f_{x_0}(x_0^{-1} \cdot x)}{d_c(x, x_0)} = 0.$$

Notice that if f is \mathbb{G} -differentiable in x_0 then $X_j f(x_0)$ exist for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and

$$d_{\mathbb{G}}f_{x_0}(v) = \langle \nabla_{\mathbb{G}}f, v \rangle_{x_0} = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j X_j f(x_0).$$

Conversely, if for $j = 1, \dots, m$ all of $X_j f(x)$ are continuous in an open set Ω , then f is differentiable at each point of Ω . We denote by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\Omega)$ the set of continuous real functions in Ω such that $X_j f(x)$ are continuous in Ω for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\Omega, H\mathbb{G})$ the set of all sections φ of $H\mathbb{G}$ whose all canonical coordinates $\varphi_j \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\Omega)$. The corresponding spaces of Euclidean differentiable functions are denoted by $\mathbf{C}^1(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{C}^1(\Omega, H\mathbb{G})$; $\mathbf{C}_0^1(\Omega, H\mathbb{G})$ is the space of smooth, compactly supported sections of $H\mathbb{G}$.

Remark 1.4. We recall that $\mathbf{C}^1(\Omega) \subset \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\Omega)$ and that the inclusion may be strict, indeed, the functions in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\Omega)$ are, a priori, only Hölder continuous functions with respect to the Euclidean metric. An example is provided in Remark 6 of [7].

Following [8], we define \mathbb{G} -regular hypersurfaces in a Carnot group \mathbb{G} as non critical level sets of functions in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\mathbb{G})$.

Definition 1.5 [\mathbb{G} -regular hypersurfaces]. $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is a \mathbb{G} -regular hypersurface if for every $x \in S$ there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of x and $f \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\mathcal{U})$ such that

- (i) $S \cap \mathcal{U} = \{y \in \mathcal{U} : f(y) = 0\}$;
- (ii) $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}f(y) \neq 0$ for $y \in \mathcal{U}$.

Notice that the d_c Hausdorff dimension of a \mathbb{G} -regular hypersurface is always $Q - 1$ (see [8]).

Definition 1.6 [Tangent group]. If $S = \{x \in \mathbb{G} : f(x) = 0\}$ is a \mathbb{G} -regular hypersurface, the *tangent group* $T_{\mathbb{G}}^g S(x_0)$ to S at x_0 is

$$T_{\mathbb{G}}^g S(x_0) := \{v \in \mathbb{G} : d_{\mathbb{G}}f_{x_0}(v) = 0\}.$$

$T_{\mathbb{G}}^g S(x_0)$ is a proper subgroup of \mathbb{G} . We define the *tangent plane* to S at x_0 as

$$T_{\mathbb{G}} S(x_0) := x_0 \cdot T_{\mathbb{G}}^g S(x_0).$$

The above definition is a good one: indeed, the tangent group does not depend on the particular function f defining the surface S because of point (iii) of Implicit Function Theorem below that yields

$$T_{\mathbb{G}}^g S(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{G}: \langle \nu_E(x), v \rangle_x = 0\}$$

where ν_E , the inward unit normal, is defined in (7) and depends only on the set S .

Remark 1.7. The class of \mathbb{G} -regular hypersurfaces is strongly different from the class of Euclidean \mathbf{C}^1 embedded surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n . On one hand, Euclidean \mathbf{C}^1 -surfaces are not \mathbb{G} -regular at points x where the Euclidean tangent space $T_x S \supset H\mathbb{G}_x$. On the other hand, as one can guess from Remark 1.4, \mathbb{G} -regular surfaces can be very irregular as subsets of Euclidean \mathbb{R}^n . It is less obvious that they could even have Euclidean Hausdorff dimension larger than $n - 1$. It is rather amazing that, even for such surfaces, the notion of the tangent plane and the related properties are utterly natural.

1.3. $BV_{\mathbb{G}}$ -functions and finite perimeter sets. The definition of BV functions in a group follows closely the one in Euclidean \mathbb{R}^n ; simply the horizontal vector fields X_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$ take the place of the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ (see e.g. [10]).

If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{G} , then the space $BV_{\mathbb{G}}(\Omega)$ is the set of functions $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|(\Omega) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f(x) \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi(x) dx: \varphi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(\Omega, H\mathbb{G}), |\varphi| \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

The space $BV_{\mathbb{G}, \text{loc}}(\Omega)$ is the set of functions belonging to $BV_{\mathbb{G}}(U)$ for each open set $U \subset \subset \Omega$.

By Riesz representation theorem we have

Theorem 8 [Structure of $BV_{\mathbb{G}}$ functions]. *If $f \in BV_{\mathbb{G}, \text{loc}}(\Omega)$ then $\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|$ is a Radon measure on Ω , there exists a $\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|$ -measurable horizontal section $\sigma_f: \Omega \rightarrow H\mathbb{G}$ such that $|\sigma_f(x)| = 1$ for $\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|$ -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and, for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(\Omega, H\mathbb{G})$,*

$$(5) \quad \int_{\Omega} f(x) \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi(x) d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_{\Omega} \langle \varphi, \sigma_f \rangle d\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|.$$

Following De Giorgi, we define sets with a finite perimeter:

Definition 1.9 [\mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli sets]. A measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{G}$ is of *finite \mathbb{G} -perimeter* (of *locally finite \mathbb{G} -perimeter* or a *\mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set*) in Ω if the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}_E \in BV(\Omega)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{1}_E \in BV_{\mathbb{G},\text{loc}}(\Omega)$). We call the measure

$$(6) \quad |\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}} := \|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbf{1}_E\|$$

the *perimeter* of E , and the horizontal vector

$$(7) \quad \nu_E(x) := -\sigma_{\mathbf{1}_E}(x)$$

the (*generalized inward*) \mathbb{G} -normal to ∂E .

It is interesting to observe that (5), when applied to the characteristic function of a finite perimeter set E , reads as an abstract divergence theorem

$$(8) \quad \int_E \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi \, d\mathcal{L}^n = - \int_{\mathbb{G}} \langle \varphi(x), \nu_E(x) \rangle_x \, d|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}};$$

giving more geometric substance to (8) is one of the main results here presented.

Notice that for \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli sets whose boundary is a Euclidean regular surface, the perimeter measure coincides with the natural definition of the surface area in Carnot groups.

Proposition 1.10. *If E is a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set with a Euclidean C^1 -boundary, then there is an explicit representation of the \mathbb{G} -perimeter in terms of the Euclidean $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure: \mathcal{H}^{n-1}*

$$|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}}(\Omega) = \int_{\partial E \cap \Omega} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \langle X_j, n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \right)^{1/2} \, d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where $n = n(x)$ is the Euclidean unit outward normal to ∂E .

The topological boundary of a finite perimeter set can be really bad, and it can even have positive \mathcal{L}^n -measure. One of the main achievements of De Giorgi's theory is proving the existence of a subset of the topological boundary, the so called reduced boundary, that carries all the perimeter measure (the $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}}$ measure in our case) and is reasonably regular: i.e. it is a rectifiable set. So, following once more De Giorgi, we define the *reduced boundary* $\partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$ of a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set $E \subset \mathbb{G}$:

Definition 1.11 [Reduced boundary]. Let E be a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set; we say that $x \in \partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$ if

- (i) $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}}(U_c(x, r)) > 0$ for any $r > 0$;
- (ii) there exists $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_c(x, r)} \nu_E d|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}}$;
- (iii) $\left| \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_c(x, r)} \nu_E d|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}} \Big|_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1}} = 1$.

The limits in Definition 1.11 should be understood as convergence of the averages of the coordinates of ν_E .

2. MAIN RESULTS

The main results of the present paper are

- (1) At each point of the reduced boundary of a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set there is a (generalized) tangent group;
- (2) the reduced boundary is a $(Q - 1)$ -dimensional \mathbb{G} -rectifiable set;
- (3) $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}} = c S_{\infty}^{Q-1} \llcorner \partial^* E$, i.e. the perimeter measure equals a constant times the spherical $(Q - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure restricted to the reduced boundary;
- (4) an intrinsic divergence theorem holds for $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\mathbb{G}, H\mathbb{G})$ vector fields in \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli sets.

We now briefly discuss each of these points.

First of all we recall a result of independent interest. An Implicit Function Theorem holds in \mathbb{G} , stating that any \mathbb{G} -regular hypersurface $S = \{y \in \mathbb{G}: f(y) = 0\}$ is locally the graph, along the integral curves of a horizontal vector field, of a function of $n - 1$ variables. Moreover, the \mathbb{G} -perimeter of E can be written explicitly in terms of the associated parameterization and of $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f$.

Theorem 2.1 [Implicit Function Theorem]. *Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n , $0 \in \Omega$, and let $f \in \mathbf{C}_{\mathbb{G}}^1(\Omega)$ be such that $f(0) = 0$ and $X_1 f(0) > 0$. Define $E = \{x \in \Omega: f(x) < 0\}$, $S = \{x \in \Omega: f(x) = 0\}$, and, for $\delta > 0$, $h > 0$, $I_{\delta} = \{\xi = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, |\xi_j| \leq \delta\}$, $J_h = [-h, h]$. If $\xi = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $t \in J_h$, denote by $\gamma(t, \xi)$ the integral curve of the vector field X_1 at the time t issued from $(0, \xi) = (0, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.*

$$\gamma(t, \xi) = \exp(tX_1)(0, \xi).$$

Then there exist $\delta, h > 0$ such that the map $(t, \xi) \rightarrow \gamma(t, \xi)$ is a homeomorphism of a neighborhood of $J_h \times I_{\delta}$ onto an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and, if we denote by $\mathcal{U} \subset \subset \Omega$ the image of $\text{Int}(J_h \times I_{\delta})$ through this map, we have

- (i) E has a finite \mathbb{G} -perimeter in \mathcal{U} ;
- (ii) $\partial E \cap \Omega = S \cap \mathcal{U}$;

- (iii) $\nu_E(x) = -\frac{\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f(x)}{|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f(x)|_x}$ for all $x \in S \cap \Omega$, where ν_E is the generalized inner unit normal defined by (7). Moreover, there exists a unique continuous function $\varphi = \varphi(\xi): I_\delta \rightarrow J_h$ such that the following parameterization holds: if $\xi \in I_\delta$, put $\Phi(\xi) = \gamma(\varphi(\xi), \xi)$, then
- (iv) $S \cap \tilde{\Omega} = \{x \in \tilde{U}: x = \Phi(\xi), \xi \in I_\delta\}$; the \mathbb{G} -perimeter has an integral representation
- (v) $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}}(\tilde{U}) = \int_{I_\delta} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |X_j f(\Phi(\xi))|^2 \right)^{1/2} (X_1 f(\Phi(\xi)))^{-1} d\xi$.

2.1. The generalized tangent plane. For any set $E \subset \mathbb{G}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{G}$ and $r > 0$ we consider the translated and dilated sets E_{r,x_0} defined as

$$E_{r,x_0} = \{x: x_0 \cdot \delta_r(x) \in E\} = \delta_{\frac{1}{r}}(x_0^{-1} \cdot E).$$

If $v \in H\mathbb{G}_{x_0}$, then the halfspace $S_{\mathbb{G}}^+(v)$ is $\{x: \langle x, v \rangle_0 \geq 0\}$, and its topological boundary is the subgroup $T_{\mathbb{G}}^g(v)$ of \mathbb{G} defined as $\{x: \langle x, v \rangle_0 = 0\}$. We say that E has a *generalized tangent plane* at a point x_0 if the sets E_{r,x_0} converge to $S_{\mathbb{G}}^+(\nu_E(x_0))$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{G})$. The following blow-up theorem states that at each point of $\partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$ there is a generalized tangent plane. Besides its intrinsic interest, it provides one of the key tools for our structure theorem.

Theorem 2.2 [Blow-up Theorem]. *If E is a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set, $x_0 \in \partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$ and $\nu_E(x_0) \in H\mathbb{G}_{x_0}$ is the inward normal as defined in (7) then*

$$(9) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{1}_{E_{r,x_0}} = \mathbf{1}_{S_{\mathbb{G}}^+(\nu_E(x_0))} \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{G})$$

and for all $R > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} |\partial E_{r,x_0}|_{\mathbb{G}}(U_c(0, R)) = |\partial S_{\mathbb{G}}^+(\nu_E(x_0))|_{\mathbb{G}}(U_c(0, R)) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(T_{\mathbb{G}}^g(\nu_E(0)) \cap U_c(0, R)).$$

The proof of the above theorem relies on careful asymptotic estimates and on the following lemma, which is far from being trivial as the corresponding statement in the Euclidean space, and relies on the structure of step 2 groups.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathbb{G} be a step 2 group and let Y_1, \dots, Y_m be left invariant orthonormal (horizontal) sections of $H\mathbb{G}$. Assume that $g: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

$$(10) \quad Y_1 g \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j(g) = 0 \quad \text{if } j = 2, \dots, m.$$

Then the level lines of g are “vertical hyperplanes orthogonal to Y_1 ”, that is sets that are group translations of

$$S(Y_1) := \{p: \langle p, Y_1 \rangle_0 = 0\}.$$

Notice that for more complicated groups, as are groups of step 3 or larger, the above statement is false and also Theorem 2.2 fails; indeed, there are examples of points of the reduced boundary where no tangent group exists, even in our generalized sense.

The existence of a generalized tangent at each point of the reduced boundary, together with a suitable Whitney type extension theorem, yields, through a fairly standard procedure from the geometric measure theory, the rectifiability of the reduced boundary as stated in the following structure theorem.

2.2. Structure of \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli sets and Divergence Theorem. The following differentiation lemma plays a key role in the present paper, showing that in fact the perimeter measure is concentrated on the reduced boundary. In the Euclidean setting it is a simple consequence of Lebesgue-Besicovitch differentiation lemma, while in Carnot groups (where such lemma fails to hold: see [13], [17]) it relies on a deep asymptotic estimate proved by Ambrosio in [1].

Lemma 2.4. *Assume E is a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_c(x,r)} \nu_E d|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}} = \nu_E(x) \quad \text{for } |\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}}\text{-a.e. } x,$$

that is $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}}\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{G}$ belongs to the reduced boundary $\partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$.

We can now state our main results.

Theorem 2.5 [Structure of \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli sets]. *If $E \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ is a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set, then*

- (i) $\partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$ is $(Q - 1)$ -dimensional \mathbb{G} -rectifiable, that is $\partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E = N \cup \bigcup_{h=1}^{\infty} K_h$, where $\mathcal{H}_c^{Q-1}(N) = 0$ and K_h is a compact subset of a \mathbb{G} -regular hypersurface S_h ;
- (ii) $\nu_E(p)$ is \mathbb{G} -normal to S_h at p for all $p \in K_h$, that is $\nu_E(p) \in H\mathbb{G}_p$ and $\langle \nu_E(p), v \rangle_p = 0$ for all $v \in T_{\mathbb{G}} S_h(p)$;
- (iii) $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}} = \theta_c \mathcal{S}_c^{Q-1} \llcorner \partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$, where $\theta_c(x) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial S_{\mathbb{G}}^+(\nu_E(x)) \cap U_c(0, 1))$. If we replace the \mathcal{H}_c -measure by the \mathcal{H}_{∞} -measure, the corresponding density θ_{∞} turns out to be a constant. More precisely,
- (iv) $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}} = \theta_{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{Q-1} \llcorner \partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$, where (ε is the one from (3)) $\theta_{\infty} = \frac{\omega_{m-1} \omega_{n-m} \varepsilon^{2(m-n)}}{\omega_{Q-1}}$. Notice that $\omega_{m-1} \omega_{n-m} \varepsilon^{2(m-n)} = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial S_{\mathbb{G}}^+(\nu_E(0)) \cap U_{\infty}(0, 1))$ is independent of $\nu_E(0)$.

Theorem 2.6 [Divergence Theorem]. *If E is a \mathbb{G} -Caccioppoli set, then*

- (i) $|\partial E|_{\mathbb{G}} = \theta_{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{Q-1} \llcorner \partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E$, and the following version of the divergence theorem holds:
- (ii) $-\int_E \operatorname{div}_{\mathbb{G}} \varphi d\mathcal{L}^n = \theta_{\infty} \int_{\partial_{\mathbb{G}}^* E} \langle \nu_E, \varphi \rangle d\mathcal{S}_{\infty}^{Q-1}$, for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(\mathbb{G}, H\mathbb{G})$.

References

- [1] *L. Ambrosio*: Some fine properties of sets of finite perimeter in Ahlfors regular metric measure spaces. *Adv. Math.* *159* (2001), 51–67.
- [2] *E. De Giorgi*: Su una teoria generale della misura $(r - 1)$ -dimensionale in uno spazio ad r dimensioni. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* *36* (1954), 191–213.
- [3] *E. De Giorgi*: Nuovi teoremi relativi alle misure $(r - 1)$ -dimensionali in uno spazio ad r dimensioni. *Ricerche Mat.* *4* (1955), 95–113.
- [4] *H. Federer*: *Geometric Measure Theory*. Springer, 1969.
- [5] *G. B. Folland, E. M. Stein*: *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*. Princeton University Press, 1982.
- [6] *B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, F. Serra Cassano*: Meyers-Serrin type theorems and relaxation of variational integrals depending on vector fields. *Houston J. Math.* *22* (1996), 859–889.
- [7] *B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, F. Serra Cassano*: Rectifiability and perimeter in the Heisenberg group. *Math. Ann.* *321* (2001), 479–531.
- [8] *B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, F. Serra Cassano*: Regular hypersurfaces, intrinsic perimeter and implicit function theorem in Carnot groups. Preprint (2001).
- [9] *B. Franchi, R. Serapioni, F. Serra Cassano*: On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups. Preprint (2001).
- [10] *N. Garofalo, D. M. Nhieu*: Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* *49* (1996), 1081–1144.
- [11] *M. Gromov*: *Metric Structures for Riemannian and non Riemannian Spaces*. vol. 152, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhauser, Boston, 1999.
- [12] *J. Heinonen*: Calculus on Carnot groups. *Ber., Univ. Jyväskylä* *68* (1995), 1–31.
- [13] *A. Korányi, H. M. Reimann*: Foundation for the theory of quasiconformal mappings on the Heisenberg group. *Adv. Math.* *111* (1995), 1–87.
- [14] *J. Mitchell*: On Carnot-Carathéodory metrics. *J. Differ. Geom.* *21* (1985), 35–45.
- [15] *R. Monti, F. Serra Cassano*: Surface measures in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* To appear.
- [16] *P. Pansu*: Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un. *Ann. Math.* *129* (1989), 1–60.
- [17] *E. Sawyer, R. L. Wheeden*: Weighted inequalities for fractional integrals on Euclidean and homogeneous spaces. *Amer. J. Math.* *114* (1992), 813–874.

Authors' addresses: *Bruno Franchi*, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna, Piazza di porta San Donato, 5, 40126 Bologna, Italy, e-mail: franchib@dm.unibo.it; *Raul Serapioni*, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento, Via Sommarive 14, 38050, Povo (Trento) Italy, e-mail: serapion@science.unitn.it; *Francesco Serra Cassano*, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento, Via Sommarive 14, 38050, Povo (Trento) Italy, e-mail: cassano@science.unitn.it.