



# On the equivalence of ball conditions for simplicial finite elements in $\mathbf{R}^d$

Jan Brandts<sup>1</sup>, Sergey Korotov<sup>2,\*</sup>, Michal Křížek<sup>3,†</sup>

June 4, 2008

<sup>1</sup> Korteweg-de Vries Institute, University of Amsterdam  
Plantage Muidergracht 24, 1018 TV Amsterdam, Netherlands  
e-mail: brandts@science.uva.nl

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Helsinki University of Technology  
P.O. Box 1100, FI-02015 TKK, Finland  
e-mail: sergey.korotov@hut.fi

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences  
Žitná 25, CZ-115 67 Prague 1, Czech Republic  
e-mail: krizek@math.cas.cz

**Abstract:** We prove that the inscribed and circumscribed ball conditions, commonly used in finite element analysis, are equivalent in any dimension.

**Keywords:** finite element method, inscribed ball, circumscribed ball, regular family of simplicial partitions, Cayley-Menger determinant

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 65N12, 65N30

A *simplex*  $S$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $d \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , is the convex hull of  $d+1$  vertices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d+1}$  that do not belong to the same  $(d-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane. We denote by  $h_S$  the length of the longest edge of  $S$ . Let  $F_i$  be the facet of  $S$  opposite to  $A_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$ . Assume that  $\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  is a closed domain (i.e. the closure of a domain). If its boundary  $\partial\bar{\Omega}$  is contained in a finite number of  $(d-1)$ -dimensional hyperplanes, we say that  $\bar{\Omega}$  is *polytopic*.

Next we define a *simplicial partition*  $\mathcal{T}_h$  over a bounded closed domain  $\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbf{R}^d$  as follows. We subdivide  $\bar{\Omega}$  into a finite number of simplices (called *elements*), so that their union is  $\bar{\Omega}$ , any two simplices have disjoint interiors and any facet of any simplex is a facet of another simplex from the partition or belongs to the boundary  $\partial\bar{\Omega}$ . The maximal diameter of all elements  $S \in \mathcal{T}_h$  is the so-called discretization parameter  $h$ .

---

\* The second author was supported by the Academy Research Fellowship no. 208628 and project no. 124619 from the Academy of Finland.

† The third author was supported by the Grant IAA 100190803 of the Grant Agency of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic and the Institutional Research Plan AV0Z 10190503.

The set  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{T}_h\}_{h \rightarrow 0}$  is called a *family of partitions* if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\mathcal{T}_h \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $h < \varepsilon$ .

In this paper we generalize recent results for triangular and tetrahedral elements (see [4]) to simplicial elements of arbitrary dimension. We were inspired by the paper [7], where the ball conditions were actually replaced by a simpler condition on the measure of every element to guarantee convergence of the finite element method. By  $\text{meas}_d$  we denote the  $d$ -dimensional measure. In what follows, all constants  $C_i$  are independent of  $S$  and  $h$ , but can depend on the dimension  $d$ .

**Condition 1:** There exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that for any  $\mathcal{T}_h \in \mathcal{F}$  and any  $S \in \mathcal{T}_h$  we have

$$\text{meas}_d S \geq C_1 h_S^d. \quad (1)$$

**Condition 2:** There exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that for any  $\mathcal{T}_h \in \mathcal{F}$  and any  $S \in \mathcal{T}_h$  we have

$$\text{meas}_d b \geq C_2 h_S^d, \quad (2)$$

where  $b \subset S$  is the inscribed ball of  $S$ .

**Condition 3:** There exists  $C_3 > 0$  such that for any  $\mathcal{T}_h \in \mathcal{F}$  and any  $S \in \mathcal{T}_h$  we have

$$\text{meas}_d S \geq C_3 \text{meas}_d B, \quad (3)$$

where  $B \supset S$  is the circumscribed ball about  $S$ .

Throughout the paper, we denote by  $r$  and  $R$  the radii of the inscribed and circumscribed ball of  $S$ , respectively.

**Lemma:** For any simplex  $S$  and any  $i \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$  we have

$$\text{meas}_d S \leq h_S^d, \quad \text{meas}_{d-1} F_i \leq h_S^{d-1}. \quad (4)$$

**P r o o f:** Relations (4) follow from the fact that the distance between any two points of  $S$  is not larger than  $h_S$ . Thus,  $S$  and any of its facets  $F_i$  are contained in a hypercube of the corresponding dimension  $d$  or  $d-1$  with edges of length  $h_S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem:** Conditions 1, 2, and 3 are equivalent.

**P r o o f:** **(1)  $\implies$  (2):** Let  $o$  be the center of the inscribed ball  $b$  of  $S$ . We decompose  $S$  into  $d+1$  subsimplices  $S_i = \text{conv}\{o, F_i\}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$ . All of them have the same altitude  $r$  with respect to the facet  $F_i$ . Using the formula

$$\text{meas}_d S_i = \frac{1}{d} r \text{meas}_{d-1} F_i$$

for the volume of each subsimplex  $S_i$ , we find that

$$r \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \text{meas}_{d-1} F_i = d \text{meas}_d S.$$

Hence, by (4) and (1) we obtain

$$r(d+1)h_S^{d-1} \geq d \text{meas}_d S \geq C_1 d h_S^d,$$

which implies that

$$r \geq \frac{C_1 d}{d+1} h_S.$$

From this and the formula for the volume of a  $d$ -dimensional ball, we finally get

$$\text{meas}_d b = C_4 r^d \geq C_2 h_S^d,$$

where

$$C_4 = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}. \quad (5)$$

**(2)  $\implies$  (3):** By [2], [6], or [8, p. 125], the volume of  $S$  can be computed in terms of lengths of its edges using the so-called Cayley-Menger determinant of size  $(d+2) \times (d+2)$

$$D_d = (-1)^{d+1} 2^d (d!)^2 (\text{meas}_d S)^2 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & a_{12}^2 & \cdots & a_{1d}^2 & a_{1,d+1}^2 \\ 1 & a_{21}^2 & 0 & \cdots & a_{2d}^2 & a_{2,d+1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_{d+1,1}^2 & a_{d+1,2}^2 & \cdots & a_{d+1,d}^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where  $a_{ij}$  is the length of the edge  $A_i A_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

The radius of the circumscribed ball satisfies (see [1])

$$R^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta_d}{D_d}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Delta_d = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12}^2 & \cdots & a_{1d}^2 & a_{1,d+1}^2 \\ a_{21}^2 & 0 & \cdots & a_{2d}^2 & a_{2,d+1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{d+1,1}^2 & a_{d+1,2}^2 & \cdots & a_{d+1,d}^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this, (7), (6), and (2) we find that

$$R^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\Delta_d}{D_d} \right| = \frac{|\Delta_d|}{2^{d+1} (d!)^2 (\text{meas}_d S)^2} < \frac{|\Delta_d|}{2^{d+1} (d!)^2 (\text{meas}_d b)^2} \leq \frac{C_5 h_S^{2d+2}}{2^{d+1} (d!)^2 C_2^2 h_S^{2d}}.$$

Thus, there exists  $C_6 > 0$  such that for any  $S$  from any  $\mathcal{T}_h \in \mathcal{F}$  we have

$$R \leq C_6 h_S. \quad (8)$$

Using (2) once again, (8), and (5), we immediately see that

$$\text{meas}_d S > \text{meas}_d b \geq C_2 h_S^d \geq C_2 \frac{R^d}{C_6^d} = \frac{C_2}{C_6^d C_4} \text{meas}_d B. \quad (9)$$

**(3)  $\implies$  (1):** Since  $B \supset S$ , we obtain  $2R \geq h_S$ . Hence, in view of (3) and (5) we observe that

$$\text{meas}_d S \geq C_3 \text{meas}_d B = C_3 C_4 R^d \geq \frac{C_3 C_4}{2^d} h_S^d, \quad (10)$$

which implies Condition 1.  $\square$

**Definition:** A family of simplicial partitions is called *regular* if Condition 1 or 2 or 3 holds.

**Remark 1:** From (4) and (3) it follows that

$$\text{meas}_d B \leq C_3^{-1} h_S^d.$$

This condition is equivalent to (3) if (1) holds.

**Remark 2:** Formula (1) seems to be simpler than the ball conditions (2) and (3) from [5, 3] and therefore, it may be preferred in theoretical finite element analysis and also in computer implementations.

## References

- [1] BERGER, M., *Geometry*, vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [2] BLUMENTHAL, L. M., *Theory and Applications of Distance Geometry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, Chelsea, Publishing Co., New York, 1953, 1970.
- [3] BRANDTS, J., KŘÍŽEK, M., Gradient superconvergence on uniform simplicial partitions of polytopes, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 23 (2003), 489–505.
- [4] BRANDTS, J., KOROTOV, S., KŘÍŽEK, M., On the equivalence of regularity criteria for triangular and tetrahedral finite element partitions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 55 (2008), 2227–2233.
- [5] CIARLET, P. G., *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [6] IVANOFF, V. F., The circumradius of a simplex, *Math. Magazine* 43 (1970), 71–72.
- [7] LIN, J., LIN Q., Global superconvergence of the mixed finite element methods for 2-d Maxwell equations, *J. Comput. Math.* 21 (2003), 637–646.
- [8] SOMMERVILLE, D. M. Y., *An Introduction to the Geometry of  $n$  Dimensions*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1958.