CLOSED CONVEX ℓ -SUBGROUPS AND RADICAL CLASSES OF CONVERGENCE ℓ -GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received March 20, 1996)

Abstract. In this paper we prove that the system of all closed convex ℓ -subgroups of a convergence ℓ -group is a Brouwer lattice and that a similar result is valid for radical classes of convergence ℓ -groups.

Keywords: convergence ℓ -group, closed convex ℓ -subgroup, radical class of convergence ℓ -groups

MSC 1991: 06F20, 22C05

All ℓ -groups considered in the present paper are assumed to be abelian. For convergence ℓ -groups (shorter: cl-groups) we apply the same notation and definitions as in [6].

Let (G, α) be a cl-group (where G is an ℓ -group and α is a convergence in G). For the definition of a closed ℓ -subgroup (shorter: cl-subgroup) of (G, α) cf. Section 1 below. The system of all convex cl-subgroups of (G, α) will be denoted by $c(G, \alpha)$; this system is partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion.

In the present paper we prove that $c(G, \alpha)$ is a Brouwer lattice. The lattice operations in $c(G, \alpha)$ are constructively described.

For $X \subseteq G$ the meaning of $\lim_{\alpha} X$ is defined in a natural way. We show that if X is an ℓ -subgroup of G such that X can be represented as a direct product of a finite number of linearly ordered groups, then

$$\lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\alpha} X = \lim_{\alpha} X.$$

A nonempty class A of cl-groups is called a radical class of cl-groups if it is closed with respect to isomorphisms, convex cl-subgroups and joins of convex cl-subgroups. For radical classes A_1 and A_2 we put $A_1 \leq A_2$ if A_1 is a subclass of A_2 .

We prove that a certain form of distributive law (analogous to the condition applied when defining a Brouwer lattice) is valid for radical classes of cl-groups.

The analogous notion of a radical class of ℓ -groups was introduced in [5] and studied in several papers (cf., e.g., [1], [2], [7], [8]).

1. Preliminaries

For an ℓ -group G we denote by c(G) the system of all convex ℓ -subgroups of G; this system is partially ordered by the set theoretical inclusion. Then c(G) is a complete lattice. The lattice operations in c(G) will be denoted by \vee and \wedge .

Let \mathcal{G} be the class of all ℓ -groups. A nonempty subclass X of \mathcal{G} is said to be a radical class of ℓ -groups if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) X is closed with respect to isomorphisms.
- (ii) Whenever $G \in X$ and $G_1 \in c(G)$, then $G_1 \in X$.
- (iii) Whenever $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty subset of $X \cap c(G)$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} G_i$ belongs to X.

We suppose that the reader is acquainted with the definitions from Section 1 of [6].

Let (G, α) and (G_1, α_1) be cl-groups.

- **1.1. Definition.** (G_1, α_1) is said to be a cl-subgroup of (G, α) if
- (i) G_1 is an ℓ -subgroup of G;
- (ii) whenever (x_n) is a sequence in G_1 , $x \in G$ and $x_n \to_{\alpha} x$, then $x \in G_1$ and $x_n \to_{\alpha_1} x$;
- (iii) whenever (x_n) is a sequence in G_1 , $x \in G_1$ and $x_n \to_{\alpha_1} x$, then $x_n \to_{\alpha} x$.

If (G_1, α_1) is a cl-subgroup of (G, α) , then we often write (G_1, α) instead of (G_1, α_1) .

The meaning of a convex cl-subgroup of (G, α) is obvious. The system of all convex cl-subgroups of (G, α) will be denoted by $c(G, \alpha)$. If (G_1, α_1) and (G_2, α_2) belong to $c(G, \alpha)$ and $G_1 \subseteq G_2$, then we put $(G_1, \alpha_1) \leqslant (G_2, \alpha_2)$. It is easy to verify that under the relation \leqslant , the system $c(G, \alpha)$ is a complete lattice. The lattice operations in $c(G, \alpha)$ will be denoted by \vee^c and \wedge^c .

- **1.2. Definition.** A mapping φ of G into G_1 is called a cl-homomorphism if
- (i) φ is a homomorphism of the ℓ -group G into the ℓ -group G_1 ;
- (ii) whenever (x_n) is a sequence in $G, x \in G$ and $x_n \to_{\alpha} x$, then $\varphi(x_n) \to_{\alpha_1} \varphi(x)$.

If there exists a cl-homomorphism of (G, α) onto (G_1, α_1) , then (G_1, α_1) is said to be a homomorphic image of (G, α) .

- **1.3. Definition.** Let φ be a cl-homomorphism of (G, α) onto (G_1, α_1) such that
 - (i) φ is a monomorphism;
 - (ii) the inverse mapping φ^{-1} is a cl-homomorphism of (G_1, α_1) onto (G, α) .

Then φ is an isomorphism of (G, α) onto (G_1, α_1) ; if such φ does exist, then (G_1, α_1) is said to be cl-isomorphic to (G, α) .

Let \mathcal{G}_c be the class of all cl-groups.

- **1.4. Definition.** A nonempty subclass Y of \mathcal{G}_c is said to be a radical class of a cl-group if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) Y is closed with respect to cl-isomorphisms;
 - (ii) whenever $(G, \alpha) \in Y$ and $(G_1, \alpha_1) \in c(G, \alpha)$, then $(G_1, \alpha_1) \in Y$;
 - (iii) whenever $(G, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}_c$ and $\{(G_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty subset of $Y \cap c(G, \alpha)$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I}^c (G_i, \alpha_i) \in Y$.

We shall often apply without quotation the following facts:

- (a₁) If $a_n \to_{\alpha} a$ and $a_n \leqslant a$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n = a$ (and dually).
- (a₂) If G is linearly ordered, $a_n \to_{\alpha} a$, $c_1 < a < c_2$, then there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each n > m the relation $c_1 < a_n < c_2$ is valid.

(The assertion (a_1) is easy to verify; (a_2) is a consequence of (a_1) .)

2. The system
$$c(G, \alpha)$$

Again, let $(G, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}_c$.

A subset S of G is said to be closed with respect to (G, α) if, whenever (x_n) is a sequence in $S, x \in G$ and $x_n \to_{\alpha} x$, then $x \in S$.

- **2.1. Lemma.** Let H be an ℓ -subgroup of G such that it is closed with respect to (G, α) . For a sequence (x_n) in H and $x \in H$ we put $x_n \to_{\alpha(H)} x$ if $x_n \to_{\alpha} x$. Then
 - (i) $(H, \alpha(H))$ is a cl-group.
 - (ii) $(H, \alpha(H))$ is a cl-subgroup of (G, α) .

Proof. The first assertion is an immediate consequence of the definition of the cl-group. Since H is closed with respect to (G, α) and in view of (i), the assertion (ii) holds as well.

In view of the above remark concerning the notation (cf. Section 1) we will write (H, α) instead of $(H, \alpha(H))$.

Let X be a nonempty subset of G. We denote by $\lim_{\alpha} X$ the set of all $y \in G$ such that there exists a sequence (x_n) in X with $x_n \to_{\alpha} y$.

2.2. Lemma. Let H be an ℓ -subgroup of G. Then $\lim_{\alpha} H$ is an ℓ -subgroup of G. If, moreover, H is convex in G, then $\lim_{\alpha} H$ is convex in G as well.

Proof. Let $y_1, y_2 \in \lim_{\alpha} H$. Hence there are sequences (x_n^i) in H such that $x_n^i \to_{\alpha} y_i$ (i=1,2). Thus $x_n^1 + x_n^2 \to_{\alpha} y_1 + y_2$, and analogously for the operations \wedge and \vee . Also, $-x_n^1 \to -y_1$. Hence $\lim H$ is an ℓ -subgroup of G.

Now suppose that H is convex in G and that $z \in G$, $y_1 \leqslant z \leqslant y_2$. Then

$$x_n^1 \wedge x_n^2 \to_{\alpha} y_1, \quad x_n^1 \vee x_n^2 \to_{\alpha} y_2.$$

Put

$$z_n = ((x_n^1 \wedge x_n^2) \vee z) \wedge (x_n^1 \vee x_n^2).$$

Hence $z_n \in H$ and $z_n \to_{\alpha} (y_1 \vee z) \wedge y_2 = z$. Thus $z \in \lim_{n \to \infty} H$.

Let H be as in 2.2. We put $H_0 = H$ and for each ordinal t > 0 we construct H_t by transfinite induction as follows. Suppose that for $t_1 < t$ all H_{t_1} are already defined and that they are ℓ -subgroups of G such that, whenever $t_1 < t_2 < t$, then $H_{t_1} \subseteq H_{t_2}$. If t is a limit ordinal, then we put

$$H_t = \bigcup_{t_1 < t} H_{t_1}.$$

If t is non-limit, then there exists t_1 with $t = t_1 + 1$. In this case we set

$$H_t = \lim_{\alpha} H_{t_1}$$
.

There exists an ordinal t such that $H_t = H_{t_2}$ whenever $t_2 > t$. We denote

$$\lim^{\alpha} H = H_t.$$

From 2.1, 2.2 and from the construction of $\lim_{n \to \infty} H$ we immediately obtain

- **2.3.** Lemma. Let H be an ℓ -subgroup of G. Put $\lim_{n \to \infty} H = H^*$. Then
- (i) (H^*, α) is a cl-subgroup of (G, α) ;
- (ii) if (K, α) is a cl-subgroup of (G, α) and $H \subseteq K$, then $H^* \subseteq K$;
- (iii) if, moreover, H is convex in G, then H^* is convex in G as well.

- **2.4.** Lemma. Let $\{(H_i,\alpha)\}_{i\in I}$ be a nonempty subset of $c(G,\alpha)$. Put $H_0=$ $\bigcap_{i\in I} H_i, H^0 = \bigvee_{i\in I} H_i.$ Then

 - (i) $\bigwedge_{i \in I}^{c} (H_i, \alpha) = (H_0, \alpha);$ (ii) $\bigvee_{i \in I}^{c} (H_i, \alpha) = (\lim_{\alpha \to 0} H^0, \alpha).$

Proof. The first assertion is obvious; the second is a consequence of 2.3.

- **2.5.** Lemma. Let H be an ℓ -subgroup of G. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
 - (i) H is closed with respect to (G, α) ;
 - (ii) H^+ is closed with respect to (G, α) .

Proof. Let (i) be valid and let (x_n) be a sequence in H^+ , $x \in G$, $x_n \to_{\alpha} x$. Then $x_n = x_n \vee 0 \rightarrow_{\alpha} x \vee 0$, whence $x \vee 0 = x$ and thus (ii) holds. Conversely, suppose that (ii) is satisfied. Let (x_n) be a sequence in $H, x \in G, x_n \to_{\alpha} x$. Then $x_n^+ \to_{\alpha} x^+$ and $x_n^- \to_{\alpha} x^-$. We have $x_n^+, x_n^- \in H^+$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus, in view of (ii), both x^+ and x^- belong to H^+ . Hence $x = x^+ - x^-$ is an element of H. \square

For subsets X and Y of G we denote

$$X - Y = \{x - y \colon x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}.$$

- **2.6.** Lemma. Let X be a subset of G^+ such that
- (i) X is a sublattice and a subsemigroup of G^+ ;
- (ii) $0 \in X$.

Then X-X is an ℓ -subgroup of G and $(X-X)^+=X$. If, moreover, X is a convex subset of G^+ , then X-X is a convex ℓ -subgroup of G.

The proof is routine, it will be omitted.

For each nonempty subset X of G we can perform an analogous construction as we did above for H; in this way we obtain a subset of G which will be denoted by $\lim X$ or by X^* .

From the construction of X^* we immediately obtain

- **2.7. Lemma.** Let X be as in 2.6. Then
- (i) X^* is a subset of G^+ and it satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) from 2.6;
- (ii) X^* is closed with respect to (G, α) ;
- (iii) if, moreover, X is convex in G, then X^* is convex in G as well.

2.8. Lemma. Let H be an ℓ -subgroup of G; put $X = H^+$. Then $H^* = X^* - X^*$.

Proof. In view of the constructions of H^* and X^* we have $X^* \subseteq H^*$. Then according to 2.3 (i), $X^* - X^* \subseteq H^*$. Further, 2.7 and 2.5 yield that $X^* - X^*$ is closed with respect to (G, α) . Moreover, $H = H^+ - H^+ \subseteq X^* - X^*$. Hence according to 2.3 (ii) we obtain the relation $H^* \subseteq X^* - X^*$, which completes the proof.

2.9. Lemma. Let $\{(H_i, \alpha)\}_{i \in I}$ and H^0 be as in 2.4. Put $(H^0)^+ = X$. Then

$$\bigvee_{i\in I}^{c}(H_i,\alpha)=X^*-X^*.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of 2.4 and 2.8.

Now, let (A, α) and (B_i, α) $(i \in I)$ be elements of $c(G, \alpha)$. Put

$$X = X_0 = \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} B_i\right)^+,$$

and let X^* be as above. For each ordinal t we define X_t analogously as when defining H_t .

Further, we put

$$Y = Y_0 = \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} (A \wedge B_i)\right)^+;$$

the symbols Y^* and Y_t are defined analogously as X^* and X_t .

It is well-known that the relation

$$A \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} B_i\right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (A \wedge B_i)$$

is valid (cf., e.g., [5]). From this relation we immediately obtain that

$$A \wedge X_0 = Y_0$$

holds. Let t be an ordinal with t > 0 and assume that for each ordinal $t_1 < t$ the relation

$$A \wedge X_{t_1} = Y_{t_1}$$

is valid.

a) Suppose that t is a limit ordinal. Then we have

$$Y_t = \bigcup_{t_1 < t} Y_{t_1} = \bigcup_{t_1 < t} (A \wedge X_{t_1}) = \bigcup_{t_1 < t} (A \cap X_{t_1})$$
$$= A \cap \left(\bigcup_{t_1 < t} X_{t_1}\right) = A \cap X_t = A \wedge X_t.$$

b) Further, suppose that t is a non-limit ordinal. Hence there is an ordinal t_1 with $t=t_1+1$. Then

$$X_t = \lim_{lpha} X_{t_1}, \quad Y_t = \lim_{lpha} Y_{t_1} = \lim_{lpha} (A \cap X_{t_1}).$$

Let $z \in A \wedge X_t$. Hence $z \in A$ and $z \in X_t$. Also, $z \geqslant 0$. There exists a sequence (z_n) in X_{t_1} such that $z_n \to_{\alpha} z$. Clearly $z_n \geqslant 0$. Then $0 \leqslant z_n \wedge z \leqslant z$, whence $z_n \wedge z \in A \cap X_{t_1} = Y_{t_1}$ and $z_n \wedge z \to_{\alpha} z$. Thus $z \in Y_t$ and therefore $A \wedge X_t \subseteq Y_t$.

Assume that $v \in Y_t$. There exists a sequence (v_n) in Y_{t_1} with $v_n \to_{\alpha} v$. We have $v_n \in A$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since A is closed with respect to (G, α) we obtain that $v \in A$. Further, $v_n \in X_{t_1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus $v \in X_t$. Therefore $v \in A \land X_t$.

By summarizing, we obtain the relation

$$A \wedge X_t = Y_t$$

for each ordinal t. Thus

$$(*) A \wedge X^* = Y^*.$$

2.10. Theorem. Let (A, α) and (B_i, α) , $i \in I$, be elements of (G, α) . Then

$$(A,\alpha) \wedge^c \left(\bigvee_{i \in I}^c (B_i,\alpha)\right) = \bigvee_{i \in I}^c ((A,\alpha) \wedge^c (B_i,\alpha)).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of 2.8, 2.9 and of the relation (*).

2.11. Corollary. The system $c(G, \alpha)$ is a Brouwer lattice.

Let the symbol ω_1 have the usual meaning. It is easy to verify that if X is a nonempty subset of G and if t is an ordinal with $X^* = X_t$, then $t \leq \omega_1$.

If t is the first ordinal with $X^* = X_t$, then t will be said to be the degree of X in (G, α) .

Further, let t' be the first ordinal such that, whenever X is a nonempty subset of G, then the degree of X in (G, α) is less or equal to t'. We denote $d(G, \alpha) = t'$.

The following questions remain open:

- a) For which ordinals t there exist $(G, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}_c$ and $X \subseteq G$ such that t is the degree of X in (G, α) ?
 - b) For which ordinals t there exists $(G, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}_c$ such that $d(G, \alpha) = t$? For a related open question concerning convergence groups cf. [3].

3. The condition
$$\lim_{\alpha}^{2} X = \lim_{\alpha} X$$

Let (G, α) be as above. For $X \subseteq G$ we denote $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha} \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha} X$. In this section we prove that if X is an ℓ -subgroup of G such that X is a direct product of a finite number of linearly ordered groups, then the relation

$$\lim_{\alpha} X = \lim_{\alpha} X$$

is valid. In other words, the degree of X is either 0 or 1.

3.1. Lemma. Let X be a linearly ordered ℓ -subgroup of G and $g \in \lim_{\alpha} X$. Then the set $X \cup \{g\}$ is linearly ordered and there are $x^1, x^2 \in X$ such that $x^1 \leq g \leq x^2$.

Proof. In the case $X = \{0\}$ we have g = 0. Assume that $X \neq \{0\}$. Then there exists $x_0^1 \in X$ with $x_0^1 > 0$. First we prove that the element g cannot be an upper bound of the set X. By way of contradiction, suppose that g > x for each $x \in X$.

Since $g \in \lim_{\alpha} X$, there is a sequence (x_n) in X such that $x_n \to_{\alpha} g$. Because $x_n \leqslant g$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that

$$\sup\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = g$$

and this yields that $\sup X = g$. For each $x \in X$ we have $x + x_0^1 \in X$, thus $x + x_0^1 \leqslant g$, hence $x \leqslant g - x_0^1 < g$. This is a contradiction with the relation $\sup X = g$. Hence there is $x^2 \in X$ such that $x^2 \nleq g$.

If x^2 is any element of X with this property, then there is a positive integer $m(x^2)$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant m(x^2)$ we have $x_n \leqslant x^2$ (otherwise the relation $g \geqslant x^2$ would be valid). Then $g \leqslant x^2$. By a dual argument we prove that there is $x^1 \in X$ with $x^1 \leqslant g$. Moreover, if x^3 is any element of X with $x^3 \not \geq g$, then $g \geqslant x^3$.

3.2. Lemma. Let X be a linearly ordered ℓ -subgroup of G. Then $\lim_{\alpha} X$ is also a linearly ordered ℓ -subgroup of G.

Proof. In view of 2.2, $\lim_{\alpha} X$ is an ℓ -subgroup of G. Hence it suffices to verify that whenever g_1 and g_2 are distinct elements of $\lim_{\alpha} X$, then g_1 and g_2 are comparable. In view of 3.1 there are ideals X_1 and X_2 of the linearly ordered set X such that

- (i) $X_1 \neq X \neq X_2$;
- (ii) $x \leq g_1$ if $x \in X_1$, and $x > g_1$ if $x \in X \setminus X_1$;
- (iii) $x \leqslant g_2$ if $x \in X_2$, and $x > g_2$ if $x \in X \setminus X_2$.

The ideals X_1 and X_2 are comparable. Since $g_1 \neq g_2$, we obtain that $X_1 \neq X_2$. Thus without loss of generality we can suppose that $X_1 \subset X_2$. Hence there is $z \in X_2 \setminus X_1$. Then in view of (ii), $z > g_1$. Further, according to (iii), $z \leqslant g_2$. Therefore $g_1 \leqslant g_2$.

3.3. Lemma. Let X be a linearly ordered ℓ -subgroup of G. Then (1) holds.

Proof. Let (y_n) be a sequence in $\lim_{\alpha} X$, $g \in G$ and $y_n \to_{\alpha} g$. Then in view of 3.1 and 3.2, g is comparable with all elements of $\lim_{\alpha} X$. Hence there exists a subsequence (y_n^1) of (y_n) such that either (i) $y_n^1 \geqslant g$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or (ii) $y_n^1 \leqslant g$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that (i) holds (in the case of (ii) the method is similar). If $y_n^1 = g$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $g \in \lim_{\alpha} X$. Thus it suffices to suppose that $y_n^1 < g$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and in this case we can assume without loss of generality that $y_n^1 < y_{n+1}^1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a sequence $(x_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $x_k^n \to_{\alpha} y_n^1$ (as $k \to \infty$). Hence there is $m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$y_{n-1}^1 < x_k^n < y_{n+1}^1$$

whenever $k \geqslant m(n)$. Since $y_{n-1}^1 \to_{\alpha} g$ and $y_{n+1}^1 \to_{\alpha} g$ we obtain that

$$x_{m(n)}^n \to_{\alpha} g$$

and thus $g \in \lim_{\alpha} X$. Hence (1) is valid.

- **3.4. Lemma.** Let L be a distributive lattice with the least element 0. Let A and B be sublattices of L such that
 - (i) $0 \in A \cap B$;
 - (ii) $a \wedge b = 0$ for each $a \in A$ and each $b \in B$;
 - (iii) for each $g \in L$ there are $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ with $g = a \vee b$.

Then the elements a, b from (iii) are uniquely determined and the mapping $g \to (a, b)$ gives an isomorphism of L onto the direct product $A \times B$.

The proof is routine, it will be omitted.

3.5. Lemma. Let X be an ℓ -subgroup of G such that X is a direct product of linearly ordered groups X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k . Then the ℓ -group $\lim_{\alpha} X$ is a direct product of linearly ordered groups $\lim_{\alpha} X_1, \lim_{\alpha} X_2, \ldots, \lim_{\alpha} X_k$.

Proof. We proceed by induction with respect to k. The case k=1 is trivial. Suppose that k>1 and that the assertion is valid for k-1.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $X_i \neq \{0\}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k. Put $Y_i = \lim_{\alpha} X_i$ (i = 1, 2, ..., k). According to 3.2, all Y_i are linearly ordered ℓ -subgroups of G. Also, $\lim_{\alpha} X = Y$ is an ℓ -subgroup of G. In view of Theorem 2.3, [4] it suffices to verify that the lattice Y^+ is a direct product of lattices $Y_1^+, ..., Y_k^+$.

Let $g \in Y^+$. In the same way as in the proof of 3.1 we can verify that g fails to be an upper bound of the set X^+ . For each $x \in X^+$ we have

$$x = x(X_1) \lor \ldots \lor x(X_k), \quad x(X_i) \ge 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, k),$$

where $x(X_i)$ is the component of x in X_i . Hence g fails to be an upper bound of the set $X_1^+ \cup X_2^+ \cup \ldots \cup X_k^+$. Thus we can suppose that g is not an upper bound of the set X_k^+ . Therefore there is $x_0 \in X_k^+$ such that $x_0 \nleq g$.

There is a sequence (z_n) in X such that $z_n \to_{\alpha} g$. Put $z'_n = z_n \vee 0$. Then we have $z'_n \to_{\alpha} g$ as well. Further,

$$z'_n \wedge x_0 = (z'_n(X_1) \vee z'_n(X_2) \vee \ldots \vee z'_n(X_k)) \wedge x_0 =$$
$$= z'_n(X_k) \wedge x_0 \in X_k$$

and $z'_n(X_k) \wedge x_0 \to_{\alpha} g \wedge x_0$, whence $g \wedge x_0 \in \lim_{\alpha} X_k \subseteq \lim_{\alpha} X$.

Put $N_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : z'_n(X_k) \geq x_0\}$. If the set N_1 is infinite, then there exists a subsequence (z''_n) of (z'_n) such that $z''_n \geq x_0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and then we would have $g \geq x_0$, which is a contradiction. Hence the set N_1 is finite; thus there is a subsequence (z''_n) of (z'_n) such that $z''_n(X_k) < x_0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence

$$z_n''(X_k) \wedge x_0 = z_n''(X_k)$$

and then $z_n''(X_k) \to_{\alpha} g \wedge x_0$. Therefore

$$z_n'' - z_n''(X_k) = z_n''(X_1) + z_n''(X_2) + \ldots + z_n''(X_{k-1}) \to_\alpha q - (q \wedge x_0).$$

Therefore by the induction hypothesis (since $z_n''(X_1) + \ldots + z_n''(X_{k-1})$ belongs to $X_1 \times \ldots \times X_{k-1}$) the element $g - (g \wedge x_0)$ belongs to the direct product $Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \ldots \times Y_{k-1}$. Since $g - (g \wedge x_0) \ge 0$ we obtain, moreover, that this element belongs to the direct product of lattices Y_1^+, \ldots, Y_{k-1}^+ .

Let $t \in Y_1^+ \times Y_2^+ \times \ldots \times Y_{k-1}^+$. Then by the induction hypothesis, there is a sequence (t_n) in $X_1^+ \times \ldots \times X_{k-1}^+$ such that $t_n \to_{\alpha} t$. We have

$$t_n \wedge z_n''(X_k) = 0$$
 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

thus

$$t \wedge (g \wedge x_0) = 0,$$
 $t + (g \wedge x_0) = t \vee (g \wedge x_0).$

In particular,

$$g = (g - (g \wedge x_0)) + (g \wedge x_0) = (g - (g \wedge x_0)) \vee (g \wedge x_0)$$

with $g - (g \wedge x_0) \in Y_1^+ \times \ldots \times Y_{k-1}^+$ and $g \wedge x_0 \in Y_k^+$.

Hence in view of 3.4 we obtain that for the lattice Y^+ there exists a direct product decomposition

$$Y^+ = Y_1^+ \times Y_2^+ \times \ldots \times Y_k^+.$$

Now we apply again Theorem 2.3 of [4] concluding that the ℓ -group Y has a direct product decomposition

$$(2) Y = Y_1 \times Y_2 \times \ldots \times Y_k.$$

3.6. Theorem. Let X be an ℓ -subgroup of G such that X is a direct product of a finite number of linearly ordered groups. Then (1) holds.

Proof. We apply the notation as in the proof of 3.5 and similarly as in 3.5 we proceed by induction with respect to k. The case k = 1 was dealt with in 3.3; let k > 1.

Since all Y_i are linearly ordered we can apply 3.5 to the relation (2) obtaining

$$\lim_{\alpha} Y = \lim_{\alpha} Y_1 \times \lim_{\alpha} Y_2 \times \ldots \times \lim_{\alpha} Y_k.$$

Since $\lim_{\alpha} Y = \lim_{\alpha}^{2} X$ and $\lim_{\alpha} Y_{i} = \lim_{\alpha}^{2} X_{i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$, by applying 3.3 we infer

$$\lim_{\alpha}^{2} X = Y_{1} \times \ldots \times Y_{k} = \lim_{\alpha} X.$$

4. The relation of partial order between radical classes

For a class X of cl-groups we denote by

Sub₀ X—the class of all cl-groups (G, α) having the property that there exist (H, β) in X and $(H_1, \beta) \in c(H, \beta)$ such that (G, α) and (H_1, β) are cl-isomorphic;

Join X—the class of all cl-groups (G, α) having the property that there exist (H_i, β_i) in X and $(G_i, \alpha) \in c(G, \alpha)$ $(i \in I)$ such that

a) for each $i \in I$, (H_i, β_i) and (G_i, α) are cl-isomorphic, and

b)
$$(G, \alpha) = \bigvee_{i \in I}^{c} (G_i, \alpha).$$

4.1. Proposition. Let X be a nonempty class of cl-groups. Then

- a) Join $\operatorname{Sub}_c X$ is a radical class of cl-groups.
- b) If Y is a radical class of cl-groups and $X \subseteq Y$, then Join Sub_c $X \subseteq Y$.

Proof. Put Join Sub_c X = Z. We have to verify that Z satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) from 1.4. It is obvious that Z is closed with respect to cl-isomorphisms. For each nonempty class Z_1 of cl-groups we have Join Join $Z_1 = \text{Join } Z_1$, whence Z satisfies the condition (iii) from 1.4.

Let $(G, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(G_1, \alpha) \in c(G, \alpha)$. Hence there exist (H_i, α_i) $(i \in I)$ belonging to $\operatorname{Sub}_c X \cap c(G, \alpha)$ such that

$$(G,\alpha) = \bigvee_{i \in I}^{c} (H_i, \alpha).$$

Then by applying 2.10

$$(G_1, lpha) = (G_1, lpha) \wedge^c (G, lpha) = (G_1, lpha) \wedge^c \left(\bigvee_{i \in I}^c (H_i, lpha)\right)$$

$$= \bigvee_{i \in I}^c ((G_1, lpha) \wedge^c (H_i, lpha)).$$

For each $i \in I$, the cl-group $(G_1, \alpha) \wedge^c (H_i, \alpha)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sub}_c \operatorname{Sub}_c X = \operatorname{Sub}_c X$ and therefore (G_1, α) belongs to Z. Hence the condition (ii) from 1.3 is valid, which completes the proof of a).

Let Y be a radical class of cl-groups and $X \subseteq Y$. Then $\operatorname{Sub}_c X \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}_c Y = Y$ and $\operatorname{Join} \operatorname{Sub}_c X \subseteq \operatorname{Join} Y = Y$. Thus b) is valid.

Let Y_1 and Y_2 be radical classes of cl-groups. We put $Y_1 \leq Y_2$ if Y_1 is a subclass of Y_2 .

We denote by Y_0 the class of all cl-groups (G, α) such that G is a one-element set. Then Y_0 is a radical class of cl-groups and for each radical class Y of cl-groups we have $Y_0 \leq Y \leq \mathcal{G}_c$.

Let G be an ℓ -group. For a sequence (x_n) in G and for $x \in G$ we put $x_n \to_{\alpha(G)} x$ if there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n = x$ for each positive integer n with $n \ge m$. Then $(G, \alpha(G))$ is a cl-group; $\alpha(G)$ is the discrete convergence on G.

If X is a class of ℓ -groups, then we put

$$\varphi(X) = \{ (G; \alpha(G)) \colon G \in X \}.$$

Then we obviously have

4.2. Lemma. If X is a radical class of ℓ -groups, then $\varphi(X)$ is a radical class of cl-groups. Moreover, if X_1 and X_2 are distinct radical classes of ℓ -groups, then $\varphi(X_1) \neq \varphi(X_2)$.

Let \mathcal{R}_a and \mathcal{R}_c be the collection of all radical classes of ℓ -groups or the collection of all radical classes of cl-groups, respectively. (Let us remark that in [5] the symbol \mathcal{R} was used, but in [5] it was not assumed that the ℓ -groups under consideration were abelian.)

There exists an injective mapping of the class of all infinite cardinals into \mathcal{R}_a (this follows from the construction in [5], Section 3). Hence in view of 4.2, there exists an injective mapping of the class of all infinite cardinals into \mathcal{R}_c .

Suppose that I is a nonempty class and that for each $i \in I$, Y_i is a radical class of cl-groups. Put

$$Z_1 = \bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i.$$

Then in view of 1.4, Z_1 is a radical class of cl-groups. We obviously have

$$Z_1 = \inf\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}.$$

We express this fact by writing

$$Z_1 = \bigwedge_{i \in I} Y_i$$
.

Further, we put

$$Z_2 = \operatorname{Join} \operatorname{Sub}_c \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i.$$

Then 4.1 yields that the relation

$$Z_2 = \sup\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$$

is valid in \mathcal{R}_c . We express this fact by writing

$$Z_2 = \bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i.$$

We clearly have

$$\operatorname{Sub}_c \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} \operatorname{Sub}_c Y_i.$$

Since each Y_i is a radical class of cl-groups we obtain $\operatorname{Sub}_c Y_i = Y_i$. Hence

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i = \operatorname{Join} \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i.$$

4.3. Theorem. Let $\{Y_i\}_{i\in I}$ be as above and let Y be a radical class of cl-groups.

Then

$$Y \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i\right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (Y \wedge Y_i).$$

Proof. We have

$$\bigvee_{i \in I} (Y \wedge Y_i) \leqslant Y \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i\right).$$

Let $(G, \alpha) \in Y \land (\bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i)$. Thus $(G, \alpha) \in Y$ and

$$(G, \alpha) \in \operatorname{Join} \bigcup_{i \in I} Y_i.$$

Then there exist cl-groups (G_k, α) $(k \in K)$ such that, for each $k \in K$,

$$(G_k, lpha) \in c(G, lpha) \cap \left(igcup_{i \in I} Y_i
ight)$$

and

$$(G,\alpha) = \bigvee_{k \in K}^{c} (G_k, \alpha).$$

Hence for each $k \in K$ there exists $i(k) \in I$ with $(G_k, \alpha) \in Y_{i(k)}$. Denote

$$I_1 = \{i(k) : k \in K\}.$$

Thus $(G_k, \alpha) \in Y \wedge Y_{i(k)}$ and

$$(G,\alpha) \in \operatorname{Join} \bigcup_{i \in I_1} (Y \wedge Y_{i(k)}) \leqslant \operatorname{Join} \bigcup_{i \in I} (Y \wedge Y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (Y \wedge Y_i).$$

References

- [1] P. Conrad: K-radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Algebra, Proc. Conf. Carbondale (1980), Lecture Notes Math. 848 (1981), 186–207.
- [2] M. Darnel: Closure operations on radicals of lattice ordered groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 37 (1987), 51–64.
- [3] R. Frič, V. Koutník: Sequential convergence spaces: Iteration, extension, completion, enlargement. Recent Progress in General Topology. Elsevier Sci. Publ., Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 201–213.
- [4] J. Jakubík: Direct decompositions of partially ordered groups, II. Czechoslovak Math. J. 11 (1961), 490-515. (In Russian.)
- [5] J. Jakubik: Radical mappings and radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Symposia Math. 21. Academic Press, New York, 1977, pp. 451–477.
- [6] J. Jakubík: Sequential convergences in ℓ-groups without Urysohn's axiom. Czechoslovak Math. J. 42 (1992), 101–116.
- [7] N. Ya. Medvedev: On the lattice of radicals of a finitely generated ℓ -group. Math. Slovaca 33 (1983), 185–188. (In Russian.)
- [8] Dao-Rong Ton: Product radical classes of ℓ-groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 42 (1992), 129–142.

 $Author's \ address:$ Ján Jakubík, Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia.