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#### Abstract

Classical solutions of the Oseen problem are studied on an exterior domain $\Omega$ with Ljapunov boundary in $R^{3}$. It is proved a maximum modulus estimate of the following form: If $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$ and $p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$, $-\Delta \mathbf{u}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0$ in $\Omega$, and if $|\mathbf{u}| \leq M$ on $\partial \Omega, \lim \sup |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq M$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, then $|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq c M$ in $\Omega$. Here the constant $c$ depends only on $\Omega$ and $\lambda$.
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## 1 Introduction

In the theory of partial differential equations the classical maximum principle is well-known. It states that each harmonic function $u$ takes its maximum and minimum values always at the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of the corresponding bounded domain $\Omega$. This result remains true also for solutions of more general elliptic equations of second order with regular coefficients. However, for solutions of higher order equations or for solutions of elliptic systems it is not true in general (see e.g. [38]). In these cases, a so-called maximum modulus estimate of the form

$$
\max _{\mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}}|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq c_{\Omega} \max _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega}|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|
$$

might be valid, with some constant $c=c_{\Omega}$ depending only on $\Omega$.
Concerning the linearized steady Stokes system

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

a maximum modulus estimate has been proved, recently (see [30], [31], [33]): Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be a bounded or an unbounded domain with a compact boundary $\partial \Omega \in C^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$. Let $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$ and $p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfy the Stokes system (1), where in case of unbounded $\Omega$ we require $|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right)$, $|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|+|p(\mathbf{x})|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-2}\right)$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, in addition. Then

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega}|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq c_{\Omega} \max _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega}|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|
$$

with a constant $c_{\Omega}$ depending only on $\Omega$. Moreover, if $\Omega$ is a ball, special statements about the size of $c_{\Omega}$ are possible (see Kratz [26, 27, 28]).

It is the aim of the present paper to prove a maximum modulus estimate for the Oseen equations. These equations represent a mathematical model describing the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid flow around an obstacle. They are obtained by linearizing the steady Navier-Stokes equations at a nonzero constant vector $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$, where $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ represents the velocity at infinity, and have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nu \Delta \mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ denotes an exterior domain, i.e. a domain having a compact complement $R^{3} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$. The velocity field $\mathbf{u}$ and the pressure function $p$ are unknown, while the kinematic viscosity $\nu>0$ and the nonzero constant velocity $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$ are given data.

The system (2) is well-known in hydrodynamics. It has been introduced in 1910 by C. W. Oseen [36] as a linearization at $t=\infty$ of the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations describing the motion of a viscous incompressible fluid. In contrast to the simpler Stokes approximation (1) the Oseen system (2) avoids certain paradoxes related to the flow behavior at infinity and shows, in particular, a paraboloidal wake region behind the obstacle, extending with axis directed to $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$. The Oseen equations have mostly been studied in exterior domains with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Early fundamental works are due to Finn [17, 18, 19] and Babenko [4] who considered these equations in twoand three-dimensional exterior domains using a weighted $L^{2}$-approach. Further important contributions are due to Farwig [14, 15] introducing anisotropically weighted spaces in an $L^{2}$-framework, and Farwig, Sohr [16], Kračmar, Novotný, Pokorný [25] using weighted Sobolev spaces. Galdi considered the system in $W_{l o c}^{m, p}$-spaces, and, moreover, investigated a generalized Oseen system recently (see [20]). Enomoto, Shibata [12] and Kobayashi, Shibata [23] studied the corresponding Oseen semigroup. Concerning the scalar Oseen equation, important results in weighted Sobolev spaces are given by Amrouche, Bouzit [1, 2] and Amrouche, Razafison [3]. The stationary Oseen system has been studied using a potential approach by Deuring, Kračmar [9, 10], the corresponding nonstationary Oseen system has been considered recently by Deuring [6, 7, 8].

Choosing $\nu=1$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}=(2 \lambda, 0,0)$, without loss of generality from (2) we obtain the Oseen system in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \mathbf{u}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in an exterior domain $\Omega$. Here $0 \neq \lambda \in R$ is fixed (for $\lambda=0$ the system (3) reduces to (1)). Without loss of generality, the Oseen equations are usually studied for $\lambda>0$ : If (3) holds true for $\mathbf{u}$ and $p$, then for $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{u}(-\mathbf{x})$ and $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{x})=-p(-\mathbf{x})$ we find $-\Delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}}-2 \lambda \partial_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}+\nabla \tilde{p}=0, \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}=0$ in $\tilde{\Omega}=\{\mathbf{x} ;-\mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}$.

We study the Dirichlet problem for the Oseen equations (3) in an exterior domain $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ with a compact Ljapunov boundary $\partial \Omega$ (i.e. of class $C^{1, \alpha}$, $0<\alpha<1$ ) by the method of integral equations. We look for a solution in form of a linear combination of an Oseen single layer potential and an Oseen double layer potential both with the same density $\Psi$. This leads to a system of boundary integral equations of the form $S \mathbf{\Psi}=\mathbf{g}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, where $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ is the prescribed Dirichlet boundary value. The operator $S-(1 / 2) I$ is a compact operator in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, where $I$ means the identity. To study the properties of the operator $S$ we can use Fredholm's alternative theorem. For this reason we investigate the Robin problem for the adjoint equations $-\Delta \mathbf{u}-2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0$ in the complementary bounded open set $G=R^{3} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$. We look for a solution of the Robin problem in form of an Oseen single layer potential with an unknown density $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. This leads to the boundary integral equations' system $S^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Phi}=\mathbf{f}$, where $\mathbf{f}$ is the Robin boundary value. We prove the unique solvability of the Robin problem and the corresponding integral equations $S^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Phi}=\mathbf{f}$. Since $S^{\prime}$ is the operator adjoint to $S$ we conclude that the operator $S$ is continuously invertible, too. Thus we have proved that for each $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ there exists a solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Oseen equations (3) with boundary value $\mathbf{g}$ such that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0, p(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$.

To prove a maximum modulus estimate for all classical solutions $\mathbf{u}, p$ of the Oseen equations we start with a Liouville-type theorem as follows: If $\mathbf{u}$ and $p$ are tempered distributions satisfying the Oseen equations (in a distributional sense) in the whole space $R^{3}$, then $\mathbf{u}$ and $p$ are polynomials. In particular, if $\mathbf{u}$ is bounded, then $\mathbf{u}$ and $p$ are constant. Similar results have been proved recently for the scalar Oseen equation (see [1], [3]). Using this result we prove that if $\mathbf{u}, p$ are solving the Oseen equations in an exterior domain and if $\mathbf{u}$ is bounded, then there are constants $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}, p_{\infty}$ with $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\infty}, p(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow p_{\infty}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that for $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}, \mathbf{u}_{\infty} \in R^{3}, p_{\infty} \in R$, there exists a unique solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Oseen equations (3) with the boundary condition $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{g}$ on $\partial \Omega$ such that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\infty}, p(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow p_{\infty}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, we also know the integral representation of this solution.

Now, using the integral representation just mentioned and the closed graph theorem we can prove a maximum modulus estimate of the following form: Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an exterior domain with $\partial \Omega$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1, \lambda \in$ $R \backslash\{0\}$. Then there exists a constant $c=c_{\Omega}$ with the following property: If $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$ and $p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ solve the Oseen equations (3) in $\Omega$, and if

$$
|\mathbf{u}| \leq M \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \quad \underset{|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq M
$$

then

$$
|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq c_{\Omega} M \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

## 2 Stokes potentials

Let $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right] \in R^{3}$ and $|\mathbf{x}|=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}}$. Then for $0 \neq \mathbf{x} \in R^{3}$ and $j, k \in\{1,2,3\}$ we define the Stokes fundamental solution by

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{j k}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left\{\delta_{j k} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|}+\frac{x_{j} x_{k}}{|\mathbf{x}|^{3}}\right\},  \tag{4}\\
Q_{k}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{x_{k}}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}|^{3}} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ has a compact support, then the convolution integrals (Stokes volume potentials)

$$
E * \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\int_{R^{3}} E(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{Q} * \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}
$$

are well defined, and it holds $E * \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}, Q * \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right), \partial_{j}(E * \mathbf{f})=$ $\left(\partial_{j} E\right) * \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ (see e.g. [40], II.2.3) and $-\Delta E * \mathbf{f}+\nabla Q * \mathbf{f}=\mathbf{f}, \nabla \cdot E * \mathbf{f}=0$ in $\Omega$ in the sense of distributions. If $\mathbf{f} \in W^{m, q}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ with $1<q<\infty, m \geq 0$, then $E * \mathbf{f} \in W_{l o c}^{m+2, q}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}, Q * \mathbf{f} \in W_{l o c}^{m+1, q}\left(R^{3}\right)$ (see e.g. [20], Chapter IV, Theorem 4.1).

Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an open set with compact boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, and $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$. Define the hydrodynamical single layer potential with density $\Psi$ by

$$
\left(E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} E(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

and the corresponding pressure by

$$
\left(Q_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} Q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

whenever it makes sense. Then the pair $\left(E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, Q_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)^{4}$ solves the Stokes system in $R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$. Moreover, $E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ and $E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ (see [35]).

For $\mathbf{u}, p$ we define the stress tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\mathbf{u}, p)=2 \hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}-p I \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity matrix and

$$
\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla \mathbf{u}+(\nabla \mathbf{u})^{T}\right]
$$

is the deformation tensor, with $(\nabla \mathbf{u})^{T}$ as the matrix transposed to $\nabla \mathbf{u}=\left(\partial_{j} u_{k}\right)$, $j, k=1,2,3$.

For $\mathbf{y} \in \partial \Omega$ we define $K^{\Omega}(\cdot, \mathbf{y})=T(E(\cdot-\mathbf{y}), Q(\cdot-\mathbf{y})) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y})$ on $R^{3} \backslash\{\mathbf{y}\}$. Here and in the following, $\mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y})$ is the outward unit normal of $\Omega$ at $\mathbf{y} \in \partial \Omega$. We set

$$
K_{k, j}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{3}{4 \pi} \frac{\left(y_{k}-x_{k}\right)\left(y_{j}-x_{j}\right)(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}|^{5}}
$$

for $j, k=1,2,3$, and

$$
\Pi_{j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\{-3 \frac{\left(y_{j}-x_{j}\right)(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{5}}+\frac{\mathbf{n}_{j}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{3}}\right\}
$$

for $j=1,2,3$.
For $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ we define the hydrodynamical double layer potential with density $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ by

$$
\left(D_{\Omega} \mathbf{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} K^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega
$$

and the corresponding pressure by

$$
\left(\Pi_{\Omega} \mathbf{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} \Pi^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega
$$

Then the pair $\left(D_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, \Pi_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)^{4}$ solves the Stokes system in $R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$. For $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$ we denote the so-called directed values of the above potentials by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(K_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} K^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \\
& \left(K_{\Omega}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} K^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega}} D_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z})+K_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{z} \in \partial \Omega$ (see [35], [29], Chapter III, §2).
For $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega, \beta>0$ denote the non-tangential approach region of opening $\beta$ at the point $\mathbf{x}$ by

$$
\Gamma_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}):=\{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega ;|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|<(1+\beta) \operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{y}, \partial \Omega)\}
$$

Suppose that $\beta$ is large enough. If

$$
c=\lim _{\substack{\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma_{\beta}(\mathbf{x})}} u(\mathbf{y}),
$$

we call $c$ the non-tangential limit of $u$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$. Note that $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Gamma_{\beta}(\mathbf{x})}$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$. If now $u$ is a function defined in $\Omega$, we denote the non-tangential maximal function of $u$ on $\partial \Omega$ by

$$
u^{*}(\mathbf{x})=\sup \left\{|u(\mathbf{y})| ; \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma_{\beta}(\mathbf{x})\right\} .
$$

If $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, then we obtain

$$
\left\|\left|E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right|^{*}+\left|\nabla E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right|^{*}+\left|Q_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right|^{*}\right\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)} \leq C\|\boldsymbol{\Psi}\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)^{3}}
$$

with some constant $C$ depending only on $\Omega$ (see [5], Lemma 6.1). If $\mathbf{z} \in \partial \Omega$, then $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z}) / 2-K_{\Omega}^{\prime} \Psi(\mathbf{z})$ is the non-tangential limit of $T\left(E_{\Omega} \mathbf{\Psi}, Q_{\Omega} \psi\right) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{z})$ (see [13] or [22]).

## 3 Oseen fundamental solution and potentials

In this section we recall some basic facts about the fundamental solution to the Oseen problem. Denote by $O(\cdot ; 2 \lambda)=\left(O_{i j}(\cdot ; 2 \lambda)\right), Q=\left(Q_{i}\right)$ its fundamental solution; it satisfies the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta O_{i j}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} O_{i j}+\partial_{j} Q_{i}=\delta_{i j} \delta, \quad \partial_{j} O_{i j}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the sense of distributions, where $\delta_{i j}$ denotes the Kronecker delta, while $\delta$ denotes the Dirac delta-distribution.

We can easily verify (see e.g. [20], Chapter VII, §VII.3) that for $\lambda>0$ the fundamental solution can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{i}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{x_{i}}{|\mathbf{x}|^{3}}  \tag{9}\\
O_{i j}(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)=\left(\delta_{i j} \Delta-\partial_{i} \partial_{j}\right) \varphi_{O}(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda), \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{O}(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)=\frac{-1}{8 \pi \lambda} \psi(\lambda s(\mathbf{x})) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1-e^{-t}}{t} \mathrm{~d} t=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!k} z^{k} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\mathbf{x})=|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formulas (11)-(13) yield useful rescaling property

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 \lambda| O(2 \lambda \mathbf{x} ; 1)=O(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda), \quad \lambda \in R . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $O(\mathbf{x},-2 \lambda)=O(-\mathbf{x}, 2 \lambda)$, an easy calculation yields that $(O(\mathbf{x}, 2 \lambda), Q(\mathbf{x}))$ is the fundamental solution of the Oseen equation (3) for arbitrary $\lambda \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.1 ([20, VII.3]). If $\beta$ is a multi-index, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\beta} O(\mathbf{x}, 2 \lambda) \mid=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1-|\beta| / 2}\right) \quad \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r>0$ and $q>4 / 3$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla O(\cdot, 2 \lambda)| \in L^{q}\left(R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; r)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $B(\mathbf{z} ; \mathbf{r})=\left\{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{3}} ;|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|<\mathbf{r}\right\}$ denotes the open ball with center $\mathbf{z}$ and radius $r>0$.

The integral representation (12) implies

$$
\psi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1-e^{-t}}{t}, \quad \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{-1+e^{-t}+t e^{-t}}{t^{2}}, \quad \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=\frac{2-2 e^{-t}-2 t e^{-t}-t^{2} e^{-t}}{t^{3}} .
$$

The representation by the sum in (12) yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{(k)}(t)=\frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k}+O(t) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow 0, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When differentiating (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial s(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{x_{i}}{|\mathbf{x}|}-\delta_{1 i} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here we get the estimates

$$
\left|\frac{\partial s(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{k}}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{s(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{x}|} & (k=1)  \tag{19}\\
\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{s(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{x}|}} & (k \neq 1)
\end{array} \quad\left|D^{\alpha} s(\mathbf{x})\right| \leq \frac{c(\alpha)}{|\mathbf{x}|^{|\alpha|-1}}\right.
$$

From (11)-(13) and (19) it is seen that $O(\cdot ; \cdot) \in C^{\infty}\left(\left(R^{3} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times R\right)$ and for fixed $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, O(\mathbf{x} ; \cdot)$ is an analytic function.

Now we calculate the derivatives of $\varphi_{O}(\cdot ; \lambda)$ in order to establish the asymptotic behaviour of the difference $R(\mathbf{x}, 2 \lambda)=O(\cdot ; 2 \lambda)-E(\mathbf{x})$ and of its first derivatives near zero. The behavior of this difference gives us the possibility to prove (24) analogous to (7), i.e. the jump relation property of the double layer potential of the Oseen problem, see proofs of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4. The asymptotic of this difference near zero implies also compactness
of operator $L_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}-K_{\Omega}$ and its dual operator, see proofs of Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.3. We follow here the approach used in $[24, \S 2]$, for another approach based on the explicit expressions of the Oseen fundamental solution see [37, $\S$ II.1.2]. The both approaches are applicable for the asymptotic of the second order derivatives.

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\partial_{i} \varphi_{O}(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)= & \frac{1}{8 \pi} \psi^{\prime}(\lambda s(\mathbf{x})) \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x}) \\
-\partial_{r} \partial_{i} \varphi_{O}(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)= & \frac{\lambda}{8 \pi} \psi^{\prime \prime}(\lambda s(\mathbf{x})) \partial_{r} s(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x})+\frac{1}{8 \pi} \psi^{\prime}(\lambda s(\mathbf{x})) \partial_{r} \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x}) \\
-\partial_{k} \partial_{r} \partial_{i} \varphi_{O}(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)= & \frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 \pi} \psi^{\prime \prime \prime}(\lambda s(\mathbf{x})) \partial_{k} s(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{r} s(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x}) \\
& +\frac{\lambda}{8 \pi} \psi^{\prime \prime}(\lambda s(\mathbf{x}))\left[\partial_{k} \partial_{r} s(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x})+\partial_{k} \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{r} s(\mathbf{x})\right. \\
& \left.+\partial_{r} \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{k} s(\mathbf{x})\right]+\frac{1}{8 \pi} \psi^{\prime}(\lambda s(\mathbf{x})) \partial_{k} \partial_{r} \partial_{i} s(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

These formulas, together with (17), (19) and (10) yield

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
|R(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)|=|O(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)-E(\mathbf{x})|=\lambda O(1) & \text { as } \lambda|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0, \\
|\nabla R(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)|=|\nabla O(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)-\nabla E(\mathbf{x})|=\lambda^{2} O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda|\mathbf{x}|}\right) & \text { as } \lambda|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0, \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

where $(E, Q)$ is the Stokes fundamental solution. In particular, for $\lambda \in\left(0 ; \lambda_{0}\right)$, $R>0, k=0,1$ and $|\lambda \mathbf{x}| \leq R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla^{k} O(\mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda)\right| \leq \frac{c\left(R ; \lambda_{0}, k\right)}{|\mathbf{x}|^{k+1}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E(\mathbf{x})=|2 \lambda| E(2 \mathbf{x})$ we obtain this relation also for $\lambda<0$. Formulas (20), (21) and Proposition 3.1 give us in particular that $O(\cdot ; 2 \lambda), R(\cdot ; 2 \lambda)$, and $\nabla R(\cdot ; 2 \lambda)$ are weakly singular kernels of integral operators in $R^{3}$ and in $R^{2}$.

Remark that

$$
\begin{gathered}
O_{11}(\mathbf{x}, 1)=\frac{1}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}|}\left\{e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}+\frac{x_{1}\left(1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}\right)}{|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}-\frac{\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{2|\mathbf{x}|}\right\}, \\
O_{22}(\mathbf{x}, 1)=\frac{e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}|}-\frac{\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}\right)\left(1-e^{\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}\right)}{4 \pi\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)|\mathbf{x}|^{3}} \\
\\
-\frac{x_{2}^{2} e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{8 \pi\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}+\frac{\left[1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}\right] x_{2}^{2}}{4 \pi\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)^{2}|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}, \\
O_{33}(\mathbf{x}, 1)=\frac{e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}|}-\frac{\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\left(1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}\right)}{4 \pi\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)|\mathbf{x}|^{3}} \\
\\
-\frac{x_{3}^{2} e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{8 \pi\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}+\frac{\left[1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}\right] x_{3}^{2}}{4 \pi\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)^{2}|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}, \\
O_{12}(\mathbf{x}, 1)= \\
O_{21}(\mathbf{x}, 1)=\frac{x_{2}}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}\left[\frac{e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{2}-\frac{1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
O_{13}(\mathbf{x}, 1)=O_{31}(\mathbf{x}, 1) & =\frac{x_{3}}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}|^{2}}\left[\frac{e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{2}-\frac{1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right] \\
O_{23}(\mathbf{x}, 1)=O_{32}(\mathbf{x}, 1) & =\frac{x_{2} x_{3}}{4 \pi|\mathbf{x}|^{3}}\left\{\frac{1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)}-\frac{|\mathbf{x}| e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}}{2\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{|\mathbf{x}|\left[1-e^{-\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right) / 2}\right]}{\left(|\mathbf{x}|-x_{1}\right)^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(See [20]), Chapter VII, §VII.3).
Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an open set with compact boundary $\partial \Omega \in \mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, and $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$. Define the Oseen single layer potential with density $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ by

$$
\left(O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} O(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}, 2 \lambda) \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

whenever it makes sense. Then the pair $\left(O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, Q_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)^{4}$ solves the Oseen system (3) in $R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$. Let $R_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}=O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}-E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ denote the difference of the Oseen and the Stokes single layer potentials. If $\beta$ is a multi-index then we have

$$
\left|\partial^{\beta} O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})\right|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1-|\beta| / 2}\right) \quad \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover, if $r>0, \partial \Omega \subset B(0 ; r)$ and $q>4 / 3$, then $\left|\nabla O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right| \in L^{q}\left(R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; r)\right)$.
For $\mathbf{y} \in \partial \Omega$ define $L^{\Omega}(\cdot, \mathbf{y} ; 2 \lambda)=T(O(\cdot-\mathbf{y} ; 2 \lambda), Q(\cdot-\mathbf{y})) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y})$ in $R^{3} \backslash\{\mathbf{y}\}$. If $G=R^{3} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$, then $L^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ; 2 \lambda)=-L^{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ; 2 \lambda)$.

For $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$ denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(L_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} L^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ; 2 \lambda) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}  \tag{22}\\
& \left(\tilde{L}_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} L^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} ; 2 \lambda) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Although the following statement is needed for the case $m=3$ only, we give the proof for general $m$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\Omega \subset R^{m}$ be an open set with bounded Lipschitz boundary. Let $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ be defined for $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \in R^{m} \times \partial \Omega ; \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ and $|k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})| \leq C|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{1-m+\beta}$ with positive constants $C, \beta$. Suppose that $k(\mathbf{x}, \cdot)$ is measurable and $k(\cdot, \mathbf{y})$ is continuous. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$. Then

$$
k f(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
$$

is a continuous function in $R^{m}$.
Proof. The function $k f$ is continuous in $R^{m} \backslash \partial \Omega$. Fix $\mathbf{z} \in \partial \Omega, \epsilon>0$ and choose $M>0$ such that $|f| \leq M$. Since $\partial \Omega$ is Lipschitz there exists a constant $c$ such that $\sigma(B(\mathbf{x} ; r) \cap \partial \Omega) \leq c r^{m-1}$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in R^{m}$ and $r>0$. Here $\sigma$ denotes the surfice measure.

Now fix $\mathbf{x} \in R^{m}, r>0$, and set $B(j)=\partial \Omega \cap B\left(\mathbf{x} ; 2^{-j+1} r\right) \backslash B\left(\mathbf{x} ; 2^{-j} r\right)$ for $j \in N$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \int_{\partial \Omega \cap B(\mathbf{x} ; r)} \mid k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) f\left(\mathbf{y}\left|\mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \leq C M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(j)}\right| x-\left.y\right|^{\beta+1-m} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}\right. \\
& \leq C M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(2^{-j} r\right)^{\beta+1-m} c\left(2^{-j+1} r\right)^{m-1}=\frac{C c M 2^{m-1-\beta}}{1-2^{-\beta}} r^{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $r>0$ such that $(2 r)^{\beta} C c M 2^{m-1-\beta} /\left(1-2^{-\beta}\right)<\epsilon / 2$. If $|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}|<r$ then

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega \cap B(\mathbf{z} ; r)}|k(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y})| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}+\int_{\partial \Omega \cap B(\mathbf{z} ; r)}|k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y})| \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \leq \epsilon
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega \backslash B(\mathbf{z} ; r)} k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) f\left(\mathbf { y } \mathrm { d } \sigma _ { \mathbf { y } } \rightarrow \int _ { \partial \Omega \backslash B ( \mathbf { z } ; r ) } k ( \mathbf { z } , \mathbf { y } ) f \left(\mathbf{y} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}\right.\right.
$$

as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z}$, we infer that $k f$ is continuous.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an open set with bounded boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$. If $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, then $O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ and $\left|\nabla O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right|^{*} \in$ $L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$. If $\mathbf{z} \in \partial \Omega$, then $\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{z}) / 2-\tilde{L}_{\Omega}^{-2 \lambda} \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{z})$ is the non-tangential limit of $T\left(O_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}), Q_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})\right) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{z})$ at $\mathbf{z}$.

Proof. It holds $|R(\mathbf{x}, 2 \lambda)|=O(1),|\nabla R(\mathbf{x}, 2 \lambda)|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right)$ as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow 0$. Thus $R_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, \nabla R_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ are continuous in $R^{3}$ by Lemma 3.2. The properties of $E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ imply $O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ and $\left|\nabla O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right|^{*} \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$. If $\mathbf{z} \in \partial \Omega$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \\
\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{\beta}}} T\left(O_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}), Q_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})\right) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{z})=\lim _{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \\
\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{\beta}}} T\left(E_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}), Q_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{z})\right. \\
& \\
& \quad+\lim _{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \\
\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_{\beta}}} T\left(R_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}), 0\right) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{z})=\frac{\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z})}{2}-K_{\Omega}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z}) \\
& \quad+\int_{\partial \Omega} 2 \hat{\nabla}_{\mathbf{z}} R(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{y}, 2 \lambda) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{z}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}=\frac{\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{z})}{2}-\tilde{L}_{\Omega}^{-2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an open set with compact boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$. For $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}, \mathbf{x} \in R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$ define

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega} L^{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ; 2 \lambda) \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}} \\
w_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial \Omega}\left[2 \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} Q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})+2 \lambda Q_{1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}(\mathbf{y})\right] \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\left(W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, w_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)^{4}$ solves the Oseen system (3) in $R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$. If $\mathbf{z} \in \partial \Omega$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega}} W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{z})+L_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{z}) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta$ is a multi-index then

$$
\left|\partial^{\beta} W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})\right|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-3 / 2-|\beta| / 2}\right) \quad \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Proof. An easy calculation yields that $\left(W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, w_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right) \in C^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)^{4}$ solves the Oseen system (3) in $R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$. Since $|\nabla R(\mathbf{x}, 2 \lambda)|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right)$ as $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow 0$, we infer that $\left|K_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})-L_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ; 2 \lambda)\right| \leq M|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}|^{-1}$. Hence the relation (24) is a consequence of (7) and Lemma 3.2.

Remark 3.5. If $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ solve the homogeneous Oseen system (3), then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{u}=O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}\left[T(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}\right]+W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{u}-2 \lambda O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}\left(n_{1} \mathbf{u}\right) \\
p=Q_{\Omega}\left[T(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n}^{\Omega}\right]+w_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{u}-2 \lambda Q_{\Omega}\left(n_{1} \mathbf{u}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

in $\Omega$ (compare [20], Chapter VII, Lemma 6.2 or [37], Chapter II, Lemma 2.5). The fact that $W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, w_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ solve the Oseen system (3) in $R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$ can be deduced from these relations.

## 4 Unique solvability of the Oseen problem

Concerning the Stokes system we have the following result (see [33], Theorem 5.5) :
Lemma 4.1. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be a bounded domain with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1, \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{u}, p$ solve the Stokes system (1), $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{g}$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega}|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq K \sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega}|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})|
$$

where the constant $K$ depends only on $\Omega$.

We say that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)^{3}, p \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\Omega)$ are an $L^{2}$-solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system in $\Omega$ with the boundary condition $\mathbf{g}$ if (1) holds true, $\mathbf{u}^{*} \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})$ is the non-tangential limit of $\mathbf{u}$ at almost all $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be a bounded domain with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1, \mathbf{g} \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$. Then there exist an $L^{2}$-solution $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)^{3}$, $p \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the Dirichlet problem of the Stokes system in $\Omega$ with the boundary condition $\mathbf{g}$ if and only if

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n}^{\Omega} \mathrm{d} \sigma=0 .
$$

The function $\mathbf{u}$ is unique and $p$ is unique $u p$ to an additive constant. If $\mathbf{g} \in$ $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, then $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}$. If $\mathbf{g} \in W^{1,2}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, then $(\nabla \mathbf{u})^{*}, p^{*} \in L^{2}(\partial \Omega)$.

For the proof of this Lemma see [33], Proposition 3.3 and [33], Theorem 5.3.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be a bounded domain with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$, $0<\alpha<1$, and let $v \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\Omega)$. If $v^{*} \in L^{s}(\partial \Omega), 1<s<\infty$, then $v \in L^{s}(\Omega)$.

For the proof of this Lemma see [32], Lemma 2 or [34], Lemma 4.1.
Lemma 4.4. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be a bounded open set with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1, \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{u}, p$ solve the Stokes system (1), $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{g}$ on $\partial \Omega$. If $\mathbf{g} \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ then $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)^{3}$, $p \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Lemma 4.2 gives that $\mathbf{g}$ is orthogonal to the unit normal $\mathbf{n}^{\Omega}$. Choose a sequence $\mathbf{g}_{k} \in\left[W^{1,2}(\partial \Omega)\right]^{3} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ orthogonal to the normal $\mathbf{n}^{\Omega}$ such that $\mathbf{g}_{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$ in $H^{1 / 2}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ and in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$. Then there exist $\mathbf{u}_{k} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3} \cap$ $W^{1,2}(\Omega)^{3}, p_{k} \in C^{1}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathbf{u}_{k}, p_{k}$ solve (1) and $\mathbf{u}_{k}=\mathbf{g}_{k}$ on $\partial \Omega$ (see Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3). According to Lemma 4.1 we have $\mathbf{u}_{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$. By virtue of [20], Chapter IV, Theorem 1.1 there exist $\mathbf{w} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)^{3}$ and $q \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathbf{w}, q$ solve (1) and $\mathbf{g}$ is the trace of $\mathbf{w}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{u}_{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{w}$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)^{3}$. Thus $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{w} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)^{3}$. Since $\nabla p-\nabla q=\Delta \mathbf{u}-\Delta \mathbf{w}=0$, the function $p-q$ is constant.

Now we are ready to state the uniqueness result for the Oseen equations:
Theorem 4.5. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an exterior domain with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$, $0<\alpha<1$. Let $\lambda \in R \backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ solve the Oseen equations (3) in $\Omega$. Fix $r>0$ such that $\partial \Omega \subset B(0 ; r)$. If $\mathbf{u}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, then $\mathbf{u} \in\left[W^{1,2}(\Omega \cap B(0 ; r))\right]^{3}, p \in L^{2}(B(0 ; r))$. If, moreover, $|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty,|\nabla \mathbf{u}| \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then $\mathbf{u} \equiv 0, p$ is constant.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose $\lambda>0$. Set $\mathbf{u}=0$ on $R^{3} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$. Then $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{u} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}, p \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ due to [20],

Chapter VII, Theorem 1.1. Choose a cut-off function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ such that $\varphi=1$ in $B(0 ; 2 r), \varphi=0$ in $R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; 3 r)$. Set $\mathbf{v}=E *(\mathbf{u} \varphi), q=Q *(\mathbf{u} \varphi)$. Then $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3} \cap\left[W^{2,2}(B(0 ; 3 r))\right]^{3}, q \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right) \cap W^{1,2}(B(0 ; 3 r))$. Since $\varphi \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)^{3}$, we have $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)^{3}, q \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega\right)$. Moreover, $-\Delta \mathbf{v}+\nabla q=\varphi \mathbf{u}$ in $R^{3} \backslash \partial \Omega$. Define $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{u}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{v}, \rho=p+2 \lambda \partial_{1} q$. Then $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}, \rho \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and

$$
-\Delta \mathbf{w}+\nabla \rho=-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\nabla p+2 \lambda \partial_{1}(\varphi \mathbf{u})=-2 \lambda \partial_{1} u+2 \lambda \partial_{1}(\varphi \mathbf{u}) .
$$

Therefore $-\Delta \mathbf{w}+\nabla \rho=0$ in $\Omega \cap B(0 ; 2 r)$. Similarly, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}=0$ in $\Omega \cap B(0 ; 2 r)$. Moreover, $\mathbf{w} \in H^{1 / 2}(\partial(\Omega \cap B(0 ; 2 r)))^{3}$. Thus $\mathbf{w} \in\left[W^{1,2}(\Omega \cap B(0 ; 2 r))\right]^{3}, \rho \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega \cap B(0 ; 2 r))$ by Lemma 4.4. Since $\partial_{1} \mathbf{v} \in\left[W_{l o c}^{1,2}\left(R^{3}\right)\right]^{3}, \partial_{1} q \in L_{l o c}^{2}\left(R^{3}\right)$, we conclude that $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega \cap B(0 ; 2 r))^{3}, p \in L^{2}(\Omega \cap B(0 ; 2 r))$. If $|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty,|\nabla \mathbf{u}| \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then $\mathbf{u} \equiv 0$ (see [20], Chapter VII, Theorem 2.1). Since $\nabla p=\Delta \mathbf{u}-2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}=0$, we infer that $p$ is constant.

## 5 Solution of the Oseen problem

Lemma 5.1. Let $G \subset R^{3}$ be a bounded open set with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$, $0<\alpha<1$. Let $c, \lambda \in R, 0<c$. If $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(G)^{3} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{G})^{3}, p \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(G),|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{*}+p^{*} \in$ $L^{2}(\partial G), \mathbf{u}, p$ solve the homogeneous Oseen system (3), $T(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n}^{G}-\lambda n_{1} \mathbf{u}+c \mathbf{u}=$ 0 on $\partial G$ in the sense of the non-tangential limit, then $\mathbf{u} \equiv 0, p \equiv 0$ in $G$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $G$ is connected. According to [42], Theorem 1.12, there exists a sequence of open sets $G(j)$ with $C^{\infty}$-boundary with the following properties:

1. $\bar{G}(j) \subset G$.
2. There exist homeomorphisms $\Lambda_{j}: \partial G \rightarrow \partial G(j)$ and $\beta>0$ such that $\Lambda_{j}(\mathbf{y}) \in \Gamma_{\beta}(\mathbf{y})$ for every $j$ and every $\mathbf{y} \in \partial G$, and

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\mathbf{y}-\Lambda_{j}(\mathbf{y})\right| ; \mathbf{y} \in \partial G\right\} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty
$$

3. There are positive functions $\sigma_{j}$ on $\partial G$ bounded away from zero and infinity uniformly in $j$ such that for any measurable set $E \subset \partial G$ we have

$$
\int_{E} \sigma_{j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=\int_{\Lambda_{j}(E)} \mathrm{d} \sigma
$$

and such that $\sigma_{j} \rightarrow 1$ point-wise a.e.
4. The normal vectors $\mathbf{n}^{j}\left(\Lambda_{j}(\mathbf{y})\right)$ to $G(j)$ converge point-wise almost everywhere to $\mathbf{n}^{G}(\mathbf{y})$.

Using Green's formula and Lebesque's lemma we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{\partial G} \mathbf{u} \cdot\left[T(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n}^{G}-\lambda n_{1} \mathbf{u}+c \mathbf{u}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial G(j)} \mathbf{u} \cdot\left[T(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n}^{G}+\lambda n_{1} \mathbf{u}+c \mathbf{u}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{G(j)}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}+|\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^{2}-\mathbf{u} \nabla p-2 \lambda \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}\right] \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}+\int_{\partial G(j)}|\mathbf{u}|^{2} c \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right\} \\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left[\int_{G(j)}|\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{y}+\int_{\partial G(j)}|\mathbf{u}|^{2} c \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right]=\int_{G}|\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{y}+\int_{\partial G}|\mathbf{u}|^{2} c \mathrm{~d} \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u} \equiv 0$ in $G, \mathbf{u}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Since $\hat{\nabla} \mathbf{u} \equiv 0$ there exists a skew symmetric matrix $A$ and a vector $\mathbf{b}$ such that $\mathbf{u}=A \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}$ (see [32], Lemma 6). Hence $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ are harmonic functions vanishing on $\partial G$. The maximum principle gives $\mathbf{u} \equiv 0$. Therefore $\nabla p=\Delta \mathbf{u}-2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u} \equiv 0$. Hence, there is a constant $a$ such that $p \equiv a$. But $0=T(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n}^{G}-\lambda n_{1} \mathbf{u}+c \mathbf{u}=-a \mathbf{n}^{G}$ on $\partial G$ yields $p \equiv a=0$.
Lemma 5.2. Let $G \subset R^{3}$ be a bounded open set with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1, \lambda \in R \backslash\{0\}, c \in R, c>0$. Suppose, moreover, that $R^{3} \backslash \bar{G}$ is connected. Denote by $I$ the identity operator. Then the operator $\frac{1}{2} I-\tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda}+$ $\left(c-\lambda n_{1}^{G}\right) O_{G}^{2 \lambda}$ is continuously invertible on $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial G)^{3}$. If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial G)^{3}$, then there exist unique $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{2}(G)^{3} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{G})^{3}, p \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(G)$ such that $|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{*}+p^{*} \in L^{2}(\partial G)$, $\mathbf{u}$, $p$ solve the homogeneous Oseen system (3), and $T(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n}^{G}-\lambda n_{1} \mathbf{u}+c \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f}$ on $\partial G$ in the sense of the non-tangential limit. This solution is given by $\mathbf{u}=O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \mathbf{\Psi}$, $p=Q_{G} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$, where $\boldsymbol{\Psi}=\left[\frac{1}{2} I-\tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda}+\left(c-\lambda n_{1}^{G}\right) O_{G}^{2 \lambda}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{f}$.

Proof. Proposition 3.3 gives that $\mathbf{u}=O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, p=Q_{G} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ with $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial G)^{3}$ is a solution of the Robin problem for the Oseen system with the boundary condition $\mathbf{f}$ if and only if

$$
\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}-\tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+\left(c-\lambda n_{1}^{G}\right) O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}=\mathbf{f}
$$

If $\mathbf{f} \equiv 0$, then the uniqueness of a solution of the Robin problem (see Lemma 5.1) implies $O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0, Q_{G} \boldsymbol{\Psi}=0$ in $G$. Since $O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is continuous in $R^{3}$, the functions $O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, Q_{G} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ solve the Oseen problem with zero boundary condition in $\Omega=R^{3} \backslash \bar{G}$. From Theorem 4.5 we find that $O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \Psi \equiv 0$ and $Q_{G} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is constant in $\Omega$. The behavior at infinity implies $Q_{G} \Psi=0$ in $\Omega$. The jump of the normal stresses of the single layer potential (Proposition 3.3) leads to

$$
\boldsymbol{\Psi}=\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}{2}-\tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right]+\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}{2}-\tilde{L}_{\Omega}^{-2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}\right]=0
$$

Hence the operator $\frac{1}{2} I-\tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda}+\left(c-\lambda n_{1}^{G}\right) O_{G}^{2 \lambda}$ is one to one. The integral operators $K_{G}^{\prime}, O_{G}^{2 \lambda}, \tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda}-K_{G}^{\prime}$ have weakly singular kernels, hence compact on
$\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial G)^{3}$ (compare [41] or [43]). By the Riesz-Schauder theory we obtain that the operator $\frac{1}{2} I-\tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda}+\left(c-\lambda n_{1}^{G}\right) O_{G}^{2 \lambda}$ is continuously invertible in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial G)^{3}$. So, if $\boldsymbol{\Psi}=\left[\frac{1}{2} I-\tilde{L}_{G}^{-2 \lambda}+\left(c-\lambda n_{1}^{G}\right) O_{G}^{2 \lambda}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{f}$, then $\mathbf{u}=O_{G}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}, p=Q_{G} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ solve the Robin problem for the Oseen system with the boundary value $\mathbf{f}$.
Theorem 5.3. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an exterior domain with compact boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1, \lambda \in R \backslash\{0\}, c \in R, c>0$. For $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ set $S \boldsymbol{\Psi}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+L_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}$. Then $S$ is a continuously invertible operator on $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$. For a fixed $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ put $\boldsymbol{\Psi}=S^{-1} \mathbf{f}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{u}=W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}  \tag{25}\\
p=w_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+Q_{\Omega}\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) \mathbf{\Psi} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

are the unique solution of the problem $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta \mathbf{u}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|+|p(\mathbf{x})|]=o(1) \quad \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

$|\nabla \mathbf{u}| \in L^{2}\left(R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; r)\right)$ for some $r>0$.
Proof. Let $G=R^{3} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$. The operator $\tilde{S}=\frac{1}{2} I-\tilde{L}_{G}^{2 \lambda}+\left(c-\lambda n_{1}^{G}\right) O_{G}^{-2 \lambda}=$ $\frac{1}{2} I+\tilde{L}_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}+\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) O_{\Omega}^{-2 \lambda}$ is continuously invertible on $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ (see Lemma 5.2). So, $\tilde{S}^{\prime}$, the adjoint operator of $\tilde{S}$, is also continuously invertible (on the space of vector measures on $\partial \Omega$ ). If $\boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{\Phi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$, then Fubini's theorem gives

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\tilde{S} \boldsymbol{\Phi}) \mathrm{d} \sigma=\int_{\partial \Omega}(S \boldsymbol{\Psi}) \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathrm{d} \sigma
$$

If we denote by $\sigma$ the surface measure on $\partial \Omega$ then $\tilde{S}^{\prime}(\mathbf{\Psi} \sigma)=(S \boldsymbol{\Psi}) \sigma$. Since $\tilde{S}^{\prime}$ is injective, the operator $S$ is also one to one. The integral operators $K_{\Omega}, O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}$, $L_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}-K_{\Omega}$ have weakly singular kernels. Hence they are compact on $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ (see [41] or [43]). The Riesz-Schauder theory implies that the operator $S$ is continuously invertible in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$.

If $\boldsymbol{\Psi}=S^{-1} \mathbf{f}$, then $\mathbf{u}, p$ given by (25), (26) are a solution of the Oseen problem with boundary value $\mathbf{f}$ (see Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.3). The uniqueness follows from Theorem 4.5.

## 6 Theorems of Liouville type

Proposition 6.1. Denote by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(R^{3}\right)$ the space of complex tempered distribution on $R^{3}$. Suppose that $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, p \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(R^{3}\right)$ satisfy (3) in $R^{3}$ in the sense of distributions. Then $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, p$ are polynomials.

Proof. Suppose first that $p \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(R^{3}\right)$. Denote by $\mathcal{F} h$ the Fourier transformation of $h$. Then

$$
0=\mathcal{F}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x})=i \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})
$$

$$
0=\mathcal{F}\left[-\Delta \mathbf{u}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}-\nabla p\right](\mathbf{x})=\left[|\mathbf{x}|^{2}+2 \lambda i x_{1}\right] \mathcal{F} u(x)-i \mathbf{x} \mathcal{F} p(\mathbf{x}) .
$$

Thus

$$
i|\mathbf{x}|^{2} \mathcal{F} p(\mathbf{x})=\left[|\mathbf{x}|^{2}+2 \lambda i x_{1}\right] \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})=0
$$

Therefore $\mathcal{F} p(\mathbf{x})=0$ in $R^{3} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence $\left[|\mathbf{x}|^{2}+2 \lambda i x_{1}\right] \mathcal{F} u(x)=i \mathbf{x} \mathcal{F} p(\mathbf{x})=0$ in $R^{3} \backslash\{0\}$.

Fix $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. Denote by $v$ the real part of $\mathcal{F} u_{j}$ and by $w$ the imaginary part of $\mathcal{F} u_{j}$. Then $|x|^{2} v(x)-2 \lambda x_{1} w(x)=0,|x|^{2} w+2 \lambda x_{1} v=0$. Hence $v(x)=2 \lambda x_{1} w(x) /|x|^{2}=-\left(2 \lambda x_{1}\right)^{2} v(x) /|x|^{4}$ and $w(x)=-2 \lambda x_{1} v(x) /|x|^{2}=$ $-\left(2 \lambda x_{1}\right)^{2} w(x) /|x|^{4}$ in $\left\{x \in R^{3} ;|x| \neq 0\right\}$. Since $\left[|x|^{4}+\left(2 \lambda x_{1}\right)^{2}\right] v(x)=0$, $\left[|x|^{4}+\left(2 \lambda x_{1}\right)^{2}\right] w(x)=0$ in $\left\{x \in R^{3} ;|x| \neq 0\right\}$, we infer that $\mathcal{F} u$ is supported in $\{0\}$. According to [39], Chapter II, $\S 10$, there exist $k \in N_{0}$ and constants $a_{\alpha}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F} u_{j}=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \delta_{0}
$$

Set

$$
P_{j}(x)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_{\alpha}(-i x)^{\alpha} .
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{F} P_{j}=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\left[(-i x)^{\alpha} 1\right]=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha} \delta_{0}=\mathcal{F} u_{j}
$$

Since the Fourier transform is an isomorphism on $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(R^{3}\right)$, we infer that $u_{j}=P_{j}$.
Let $p$ be general. Then $\partial_{k} u_{j} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(R^{3}\right), \partial_{k} p=\Delta u_{k}-2 \lambda \partial_{1} u_{k} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(R^{3}\right)$ by [11], Theorem 14.21. Moreover, $\partial_{k} \mathbf{u}, \partial_{k} p$ satisfy (3) in $R^{3}$. Thus we have proved that $\partial_{k} u_{j}$ are polynomials. Hence $u_{j}$ are polynomials. Since $\partial_{k} p=\Delta u_{j}-2 \lambda \partial_{1} u_{j}$ are polynomials, we infer that $p$ is a polynomial, too.
Corollary 6.2. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, p \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(R^{3}\right)$ be distributions in $R^{3}$. Suppose, moreover, that there exists a compact set $F \subset R^{3}$ such that $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(R^{3} \backslash F\right)^{3}$. If $\mathbf{u}, p$ satisfy in $R^{3}$ the homogeneous Oseen equations (3) in the sense of distributions, then $\mathbf{u}, p$ are constant.

Proof. Consider $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ with compact support such that $\varphi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $F$. The distribution $\varphi u_{j}$ has a compact support, hence it is a tempered distribution. The function $(1-\varphi) u_{j} \in L^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is also a tempered distribution. Proposition 6.1 implies that $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, p$ are polynomials. The behavior at infinity yields that $u_{j}$ is constant $(\mathrm{j}=1,2,3)$. Thus $\nabla p=\Delta \mathbf{u}-$ $2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}=0$, and it follows immediately that $p$ is constant.

## 7 Maximum modulus estimate

Proposition 7.1. Let $F \subset R^{3}$ be a compact set. Let $\mathbf{u}, p$ solve the Oseen equations (3) in $R^{3} \backslash F$, and let $\mathbf{u}$ be bounded. Then there exist constants $\mathbf{u}_{\infty}$,
$p_{\infty}$ such that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\infty}, p(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow p_{\infty}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. If $\beta$ is a multi-index, then $\left|\partial^{\beta}\left[\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right]\right|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1-|\beta| / 2}\right),\left|\partial^{\beta}\left[p(\mathbf{x})-p_{\infty}\right]\right|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-2-|\beta|}\right)$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. If $F \subset B(0 ; r)$ then $|\nabla \mathbf{u}| \in L^{2}\left(R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; r)\right)$.

Proof. Fix $r>0$ such that $F \subset B(0 ; r)$ and let $\Omega=R^{3} \backslash \bar{B}(0 ; r)$. According to Theorem 5.3 there exists $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ such that $\mathbf{v}=W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}$, $q=w_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \Psi+Q_{\Omega}\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) \Psi$ are a classical solution of the Oseen problem in $\Omega$ with the boundary value $\mathbf{u}$. We have $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0, q(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow 0$, and $\left|\partial^{\beta} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})\right|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1-|\beta| / 2}\right),\left|\partial^{\beta} q(\mathbf{x})\right|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-2-|\beta|}\right)$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $|\nabla \mathbf{v}| \in L^{2}\left(R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; r)\right)$.

Set $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}, \tilde{p}=p-q$ in $R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; r)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=0, \tilde{p}=0$ in $B(0 ; r)$. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3} \cap L^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{p}$ solve the Oseen equations (3) in $R^{3} \backslash \partial B(0 ; r)$. We have $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in W^{1,2}(B(0 ; 2 r) \backslash \overline{B(0 ; r)})^{3}, \tilde{p} \in L^{2}(B(0 ; 2 r))$ by Theorem 4.5, which implies $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in W^{1,2}(B(0 ; 2 r))^{3}$. Therefore $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in L^{2}(B(0 ; 2 r))$. Since $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}=0$ in $R^{3} \backslash \partial B(0 ; r)$, we infer $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}=0$ in $R^{3}$.

Define $\mathbf{f}=-\Delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}+\nabla \tilde{p}$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{p}$ satisfy (3) in $R^{3} \backslash \partial B(0 ; r)$, the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ are distributions supported on $\partial B(0 ; r)$. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)$ supported in $B(0 ; 2 r)$ such that $\varphi=1$ in a neighborhood of $\partial B(0 ; r)$. If $\mathbf{x} \in$ $R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; 2 r)$, then for each multi-index $\beta$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial^{\beta} O^{2 \lambda} * \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})\right|= \\
& \quad\left|\left\langle\mathbf{f}, \varphi \partial_{x}^{\beta} O^{2 \lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\cdot)\right\rangle\right|=\int_{R^{3}}\left\{\Delta_{\mathbf{y}}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \partial_{x}^{\beta} O^{2 \lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right]\right\} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \\
& \\
& +\int_{R^{3}}\left\{2 \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \partial_{x}^{\beta} O^{2 \lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right]\right\} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \\
& \left.\left.\left.\mid \partial^{\beta} Q * \mathbf{y}\right) \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\beta} O^{2 \lambda}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right]\right\} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{y})|=|\left\langle\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{y}), \varphi\left(\mathbf{y}=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1-|\beta| / 2}\right), \quad|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{R_{\mathbf{x}}^{\beta}} Q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right\rangle \mid=\int_{R^{3}}\left\{\Delta_{\mathbf{y}}\left[\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\beta} Q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right]\right\} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \\
& \quad \\
& \quad+\int_{R^{3}}\left\{\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot\left[\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \partial_{x}^{\beta} Q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right]\right\} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\left|\nabla O^{2 \lambda} * \mathbf{f}\right| \in L^{2}\left(R^{3} \backslash B(0 ; r)\right)$.
Set $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}=\tilde{\mathbf{u}}+O^{2 \lambda} * \mathbf{f}, \tilde{q}=\tilde{p}+Q * \mathbf{f}$. Since $\left(O^{2 \lambda}, Q\right)$ is the fundamental tensor of the Oseen equations (3), $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \tilde{q}$ solve the Oseen system (3) in $R^{3}$. Thus we have proved $O^{2 \lambda} * \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right)$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is bounded, $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \tilde{q}$ are constant by Corollary 6.2.

Corollary 7.2. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an exterior domain with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1, \lambda \in R \backslash\{0\}$. If $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}, \mathbf{u}_{\infty} \in R^{3}, p_{\infty} \in R$, then there exists a unique solution of the problem $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta \mathbf{u}+2 \lambda \partial_{1} \mathbf{u}+\nabla p=0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
p(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow p_{\infty}, \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\infty} \\
\text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. The Corollary is an easy consequence of Theorem 5.3 and Proposition 7.1.

Theorem 7.3. Let $\Omega \subset R^{3}$ be an exterior domain with boundary of class $\mathcal{C}^{1, \alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1$, and $\lambda \in R \backslash\{0\}$. Then there exists a constant $C$ such that the following statement holds true: If $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ solve the Oseen equations (3) in $\Omega$, and if $|\mathbf{u}| \leq M$ on $\partial \Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty}|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq M, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $|\mathbf{u}| \leq C M$ in $\Omega$.
Proof. For $\boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ set $S \boldsymbol{\Psi}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+L_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ on $\partial \Omega$, $\tau \boldsymbol{\Psi}=W_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda} \boldsymbol{\Psi}+O_{\Omega}^{2 \lambda}\left(c+\lambda n_{1}^{\Omega}\right) \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ in $\Omega, \tau \boldsymbol{\Psi}=S \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then $\tau$ is a linear mapping from $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}$ equipped with the supremum norm (see Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4). If $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{k} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\partial \Omega)^{3}, \tau \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3} \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)^{3}$, then $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=\lim \tau \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{k}(\mathbf{x})=\tau \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$. Thus $\mathbf{g}=\tau \mathbf{\Psi}$ and $\tau$ is a closed operator. By the Closed Graph Theorem ([21], Theorem II.1.9) there is a constant $C_{1}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}}|\tau \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_{1} \sup _{\mathbf{y} \in \partial \Omega}|\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{y})| .
$$

Now let $\mathbf{u} \in C^{2}(\Omega)^{3} \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})^{3}, p \in C^{1}(\Omega)$ solve the Oseen equations (3) in $\Omega$ satisfying $|\mathbf{u}| \leq M$ on $\partial \Omega$ and (27). According to Proposition 7.1 there exist $\mathbf{u}_{\infty} \in R^{3}, p_{\infty} \in R$ such that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_{\infty}, p(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow p_{\infty}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\left|\nabla\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right)(\mathbf{x})\right|=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-2}\right)$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly, $\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right| \leq M$. According to Theorem 5.3 and Corollary 7.2 the operator $S$ is continuously invertible and $\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}=\tau S^{-1}\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right)$ in $\Omega$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, then

$$
|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| \leq\left|\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right|+\left|\tau S^{-1}\left(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{\infty}\right)(\mathbf{x})\right| \leq M+C_{1}\left\|S^{-1}\right\| 2 M
$$

This proves the theorem.
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