

Logical Foundations of Mathematics and Computational Complexity

a gentle introduction

Pavel Pudlák

November 26, 2011

Preface

As the title states, this book is about logic, foundations and complexity. My aim is to present these topics in a readable form, accessible to a wide spectrum of readers. The message that I want to convey is that complexity, either in the form of computational complexity or in the form of proof complexity, is as important for foundations as are the more traditional concepts of computability and provability. Rather than presenting my own philosophical doctrine in the foundations, my goal is to isolate the most important problems and invite the reader to think about them.

The foundations of mathematics has always attracted mathematicians and philosophers. There were periods of time when many mathematicians were involved in the discussion about foundations. The most important such period was at the beginning of the 20th century. In that time set-theoretical foundations had been laid down, but set theory itself ran into problems—paradoxes were found showing that intuitive use of set theory sometimes leads to contradictions. This problem was solved by accepting a particular axiomatic system for set theory, and things settled down. Later the interest in the foundations was stirred by several events. In the 1930s it was Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem that showed that Hilbert's program to prove the consistency of the foundations was not possible. The second major event was Cohen's proof of the independence of the Continuum Hypothesis in the 1960s. This was an open problem concerning a basic question about the cardinality of the real numbers, posed by Cantor already in the 1870s. Also in the late 1960s a new field emerged that seemed to be somehow connected with foundations. This was the computational complexity theory.

Achievements in foundations can be viewed as solutions of important problems, but in fact they present us with much deeper open problems. Do the axioms of set theory describe the real universe of sets? Can we trust the axiomatic system for set theory to be free of contradiction? When the consistency of a theory is only provable in a stronger theory, according to the Incompleteness Theorem, what are we going to do with the consistency problem? How are we going to decide the Continuum Hypothesis, when it is independent of the axioms of set theory? In computational complexity there are a number of open problems. They may just be very difficult solvable problems, but their nature, which is similar to logical problems, and their resilience with which they resist any attempts to solve them, rather suggest that there are more fundamental reasons why they are still open.

These examples show that, in spite of all the progress that has been achieved, there are problems in the foundations that are still widely open. Many mathematicians and philosophers are aware of this fact and are thinking about the problems. But not only them; also physicists realized that they must know something about the foundations of mathematics if they want to find a unified foundations of physics. One can observe a renewed interest in the foundations in the past decade notwithstanding the fact that there has been no breakthrough result obtained recently.

However, a mathematician with deeper interest in this subject does not have much choice of suitable sources: on the one hand, there are many popular books that present the subject in a very superficial manner, and often incorrectly; on the other, there are monographs about various parts of logic, set theory and computational complexity theory that can only be read with a considerable effort. Furthermore, these monographs always cover much more than is needed for understanding the basic questions about the foundations, and someone not acquainted with the field does not know what to read and what to skip.

This book is intended to fill this gap by presenting a survey of results related to the foundations of mathematics and complexity theory in a readable form and with a sufficient amount of detail. It focuses on explaining the essence of concepts and the ideas of proofs, rather than presenting precise formal statements and full proofs. Each section starts with concepts and results that can easily be explained, and gradually proceeds to more difficult ones. The idea is that the readers should not be lost before they get to the heart of the matter. But since mathematicians are always curious how the things are actually done, some formal definitions and sketches of proofs are provided in the notes to the sections.

The prospective readers of this book are mathematicians with interest in the foundations, philosophers with a good background in mathematics and, perhaps, also philosophically minded physicists. Most of the book should be accessible to graduate students of mathematics. Logicians may find much of the material

familiar, but they can profit from chapters about computational and proof complexities, unless they also work in these fields.

The book consists of seven chapters. The first two chapters are an introduction to the foundations of mathematics and mathematical logic. The material is explained very informally and more detailed presentation is deferred to later chapters. For example, set theory is introduced by means of several informal principles that are presented more precisely as the axioms of Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory in Chapter 3. Similarly, the Incompleteness Theorem is only stated and the proof and the consequences are discussed in Chapter 4.

Chapter 3 is devoted to set theory, which is the most important part of the foundations of mathematics. The two main themes in this chapter are: (1) higher infinities as a source of powerful axioms, and (2) alternative axioms, such as the Axiom of Determinacy.

Proofs of impossibility, the topic of Chapter 4, are proofs that certain tasks are impossible, contrary to the original intuition. Nowadays we tend to equate impossibility with unprovability and non-computability, which is a rather narrow view. Therefore, it is worth to recall that the first important impossibility results were obtained in different contexts: geometry and algebra. The most important result presented in this chapter is the Incompleteness Theorem of Kurt Gödel. I believe that the essence of the proof of this theorem can be explained with very little formalism and this is what try to I do in this chapter. Due to the diversity of results and connections with concrete mathematics, this is probably the most interesting chapter.

Proofs of impossibility are, clearly, important in foundations. One field in which the most basic problems are about proving impossibility is computational complexity theory, the topic of Chapter 5. But there are more connections between computational complexity and the foundations. I think that one cannot study the foundations of mathematics without understanding computational complexity.

In fact, there is a field of research that studies connections between computational complexity and logic. It is called ‘*Proof Complexity*’ and it is presented in Chapter 6. Although we do have indications that complexity should play a relevant role in the foundations, we do not have any results proving this connection. In the last section of this chapter I present some ideas of mine about the possible nature of these connections. I state several conjectures which, if true, would give an explicit link between these two areas.

Every book about the foundations of mathematics should mention the basic philosophical approaches to the foundations of mathematics. I also do it in Chapter 7, but as I am not a philosopher, the main part of the chapter rather concentrates on mathematical results and problems that are at the border of mathematics and philosophy. Since I feel that the field lacks innovative approaches, I present one at the end of the chapter. It is based on the idea that natural numbers that can be represented in the physical universe are different from those studied in mathematics.

I tried to be as neutral as possible, but one cannot avoid using a certain philosophical standpoint when explaining the foundations. At the beginning of the book I assume the point of view of a realist, because it is easier to explain logic to a beginner from this viewpoint. My actual philosophy is the one of a moderate formalist, which is certainly apparent from my comments throughout the book. The only special feature of my philosophy is the stress on the importance of the complexity issues.

I should also say what the reader should not expect from the book. Although the style of the presentation is often light (such as in the quotations from science fiction stories), the book is not a popular science—its primary aim is not to entertain, but to educate the reader. So the readers will need to stop from time to time and ponder about what they read, or even to skip a part and return to it later. But the book is also not a typical dry monograph consisting of definitions, theorems and proofs. Concerning the history of mathematics, the facts that I occasionally mention are only meant to make the text more readable and are not intended to give a complete picture of the development of the field.

Even a thick volume like this cannot cover everything that is relevant to the foundations of mathematics. The main omission that I am aware of concerns intuitionistic type theories. These theories play a central role in the current research into the intuitionistic foundations of mathematics. The reasons for this omission is my lack of expertise in this field and the fact that the book is already too long as it is.

Contents

1	Mathematician's world	1
1.1	Mathematical structures	2
1.2	Everything is a set	18
1.3	Antinomies of set theory	25
1.4	The axiomatic method	30
1.5	The necessity of using abstract concepts	37
2	Language, logic and computations	47
2.1	The language of mathematics	48
2.2	Truth and models	57
2.3	Proofs	66
2.4	Programs and computations	88
3	Set theory	105
3.1	The axioms of set theory	106
3.2	The arithmetic of infinity	117
3.3	What is the largest number?	132
3.4	Controversial axioms	145
3.5	Alternative set-theoretical foundations	155
4	Proofs of impossibility	175
4.1	Impossibility proofs in geometry and algebra	176
4.2	The incompleteness theorems	188
4.3	Algorithmically unsolvable problems	206
4.4	Concrete independence	221
4.5	The independent sentences of set theory	238
5	The complexity of computations	255
5.1	What is complexity?	256
5.2	Randomness, interaction and cryptography	285
5.3	Parallel computations	304
5.4	Quantum computations	312
5.5	Descriptive complexity	333
6	Proof complexity	343
6.1	Proof theory	344
6.2	Theories, complexity classes and propositional proofs	361
6.3	Feasible incompleteness	389

7	Consistency, Truth and Existence	403
7.1	Consistency and existence	404
7.2	Attributes of reality	420
7.3	Finitism and physical reality	447