

ON PERFECT AND UNIQUE MAXIMUM INDEPENDENT  
SETS IN GRAPHS

LUTZ VOLKMANN, Aachen

(Received September 24, 2003)

*Abstract.* A perfect independent set  $I$  of a graph  $G$  is defined to be an independent set with the property that any vertex not in  $I$  has at least two neighbors in  $I$ . For a nonnegative integer  $k$ , a subset  $I$  of the vertex set  $V(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -independent, if  $I$  is independent and every independent subset  $I'$  of  $G$  with  $|I'| \geq |I| - (k - 1)$  is a subset of  $I$ . A set  $I$  of vertices of  $G$  is a super  $k$ -independent set of  $G$  if  $I$  is  $k$ -independent in the graph  $G[I, V(G) - I]$ , where  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  is the bipartite graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting all edges which are not incident with vertices of  $I$ . It is easy to see that a set  $I$  is 0-independent if and only if it is a maximum independent set and 1-independent if and only if it is a unique maximum independent set of  $G$ .

In this paper we mainly investigate connections between perfect independent sets and  $k$ -independent as well as super  $k$ -independent sets for  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$ .

*Keywords:* independent sets, perfect independent sets, unique independent sets, strong unique independent sets, super unique independent sets

*MSC 2000:* 05C70

## 1. TERMINOLOGY AND INTRODUCTION

We will assume that the reader is familiar with standard terminology on graphs (see, e.g., Chartrand and Lesniak [2] or Lovász and Plummer [11]). In this paper, all graphs are finite, undirected, and simple. The vertex set and edge set of a graph  $G$  are denoted by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively. The *neighborhood*  $N_G(x)$  of a vertex  $x$  is the set of vertices adjacent to  $x$ , and the number  $d_G(x) = |N_G(x)|$  is the *degree* of  $x$ . If  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , then we define the *neighborhood* of  $S$  by  $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$ .

If  $S$  and  $T$  are two disjoint subsets of  $V(G)$ , then let  $G[S, T]$  be the bipartite graph consisting of the partite sets  $S$  and  $T$  and all edges of  $G$  with one end in  $S$  and the other one in  $T$ , and we define  $e_G(S, T) = |E(G[S, T])|$ . A graph without any cycle is called a *forest*.

A set  $I$  of vertices is *independent* if no two vertices of  $I$  are adjacent. The *independence number*  $\alpha(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the maximum cardinality among the independent sets of vertices of  $G$ . Croitoru and Suditu [3] call an independent set  $I$  of a graph  $G$  a *perfect independent set* if any vertex not in  $I$  has at least two neighbors in  $I$ .

For a nonnegative integer  $k$ , by Siemes, Topp, Volkmann [12], an independent set  $I$  of the vertex set  $V(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is said to be  *$k$ -independent*, if every independent subset  $I'$  of  $G$  with  $|I'| \geq |I| - (k - 1)$  is a subset of  $I$ . Furthermore, a set  $I$  of vertices of  $G$  is *super  $k$ -independent* if  $I$  is  $k$ -independent in the bipartite graph  $G[I, V(G) - I]$ . Obviously, a set  $I$  is 0-independent if and only if it is maximum independent and 1-independent if and only if it is a unique maximum independent set of  $G$ . In this paper we mainly deal with super  $k$ -independent sets for  $k = 0, 1$ . We call a super 0-independent and super 1-independent set also a *super independent* and *super unique independent* set, respectively.

If a bipartite graph  $G$  has partite sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $B$  is a unique maximum independent set of  $G$ , then Hopkins and Staton [5] speak of a *strong unique independence graph*. If a bipartite graph  $G$  has partite sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $B$  is a maximum independent set of  $G$ , then  $G$  will be called a *strong maximum independence graph*.

A *vertex cover* in  $G$  is a set of vertices that are incident with all edges of  $G$ . The minimum cardinality of a vertex cover in a graph  $G$  is called the *covering number* and is denoted by  $\tau(G)$ . A set of edges in a graph is called a *matching* if no two edges are incident. The size of any largest matching in  $G$  is called the *matching number* of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\nu(G)$ . It is easy to see and well-known that  $\nu(G) \leq \tau(G)$  and  $\alpha(G) + \tau(G) = |V(G)|$  for any graph  $G$ .

A *block* of a graph is a maximal connected subgraph having no cut-vertex. A *block-cactus* graph is a graph whose blocks are either complete graphs or cycles.

In this paper we investigate connections between perfect independent sets and  $k$ -independent as well as super  $k$ -independent sets for  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$ . In addition, we present various families of graphs with a strong unique (or maximum) independence spanning forest.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In [1], p. 272, Berge proved that an independent set  $I$  in a graph  $G$  is 0-independent if and only if  $|N_G(J) \cap I| \geq |J|$  for every independent subset  $J$  of  $V(G) - I$ . In [12], the authors presented the following extensions of Berge's result.

**Theorem 2.1** (Siemes, Topp, Volkmann [12] 1994). *For a nonnegative integer  $k$ , an independent set  $I$  of vertices of a graph  $G$  is a  $k$ -independent set in  $G$  if and only*

if

$$|N_G(J) \cap I| \geq |J| + k$$

for every independent subset  $J$  of  $V(G) - I$  with  $J \neq \emptyset$  when  $k \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *For a nonnegative integer  $k$ , an independent set  $I$  of vertices of a graph  $G$  is a super  $k$ -independent set in  $G$  if and only if*

$$|N_G(J) \cap I| \geq |J| + k$$

for every subset  $J$  of  $V(G) - I$  with  $J \neq \emptyset$  when  $k \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** In view of the definition,  $I$  is a super  $k$ -independent set in  $G$  if and only if  $I$  is  $k$ -independent in the bipartite graph  $G^* = G[I, V(G) - I]$ . According to Theorem 2.1, this is equivalent to

$$|N_{G^*}(J) \cap I| \geq |J| + k$$

for every independent subset  $J$  of  $V(G^*) - I$  with  $J \neq \emptyset$  when  $k \geq 1$ . However, this is equivalent to

$$|N_G(J) \cap I| \geq |J| + k$$

for every subset  $J$  of  $V(G) - I$  with  $J \neq \emptyset$  when  $k \geq 1$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Theorem 2.1 as well as Corollary 2.2 play an important role in our investigations.

**Observation 2.3.** *If  $G$  is a claw-free graph, then every perfect independent set is also a maximum independent set.*

**Proof.** If  $I \subseteq V(G)$  is a perfect independent set and  $J \subseteq V(G) - I$  an independent set, then  $e_G(J, I) \geq 2|J|$ . Since  $G$  is claw-free, we observe that

$$2|J| \leq e_G(J, I) = e_G(J, I \cap N_G(J)) \leq 2|I \cap N_G(J)|$$

and hence  $|J| \leq |I \cap N_G(J)|$ . Theorem 2.1 with  $k = 0$  yields the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** (Listing [9] 1862, König [8] 1936). *A graph  $G$  is a forest if and only if  $|E(G)| - |V(G)| + \sigma(G) = 0$ , where  $\sigma(G)$  denotes the number of components of  $G$ .*

**Theorem 2.5** (König [6] 1916). *A graph is bipartite if and only if it contains no cycle of odd length.*

### 3. PERFECT AND SUPER UNIQUE INDEPENDENT SETS

Clearly, a super unique independent set is a unique maximum independent set, and a unique maximum independent set is a perfect independent set. In this section we will present some classes of graphs with the property that each perfect independent set is also a super unique independent set.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with a perfect independent set  $I$ . If  $I$  is not a super unique independent set, then the bipartite graph  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  contains a cycle.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is not a super unique independent set, there exists, in view of Corollary 2.2 with  $k = 1$ , a set  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq V(G) - I$  such that  $|N_G(J) \cap I| \leq |J|$ . Let  $H = G[N_G(J) \cap I, J]$  be the induced bipartite subgraph of  $G[I, V(G) - I]$ . Since  $I$  is a perfect independent set, it follows that  $|E(H)| \geq 2|J|$ , and this leads to

$$|V(H)| = |N_G(J) \cap I| + |J| \leq 2|J| \leq |E(H)|.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.4 implies that the graph  $H$  and hence also the bipartite graph  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  contains a cycle.  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 2.5 immediately yield the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph without any even cycle, and let  $I$  be an independent set. Then  $I$  is a perfect independent set if and only if  $I$  is a super unique independent set.*

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $G$  is a graph, then every even cycle of  $G$  induces a complete subgraph of  $G$  if and only if the bipartite graph  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  is a forest for each independent set  $I \subseteq V(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that every even cycle of  $G$  induces a complete graph. Suppose that there exists an independent set  $I \subseteq V(G)$  such that  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  contains a cycle  $C$ . This implies  $|I \cap V(C)| \geq 2$ . Since  $C$  induces a complete graph, we arrive at the contradiction that  $I$  is an independent set.

Conversely, let  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  be a forest for each independent set  $I \subseteq V(G)$ . Let  $C = v_1 v_2 \dots v_p v_1$  be an even cycle of length  $p \geq 4$ . We will prove by induction on  $p$  that  $C$  induces a complete subgraph. Let  $A = \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$  and  $B =$

$\{v_2, v_4, \dots, v_p\}$ . Neither  $G[A, V(G) - A]$  nor  $G[B, V(G) - B]$  is a forest and thus, neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is an independent set in  $G$ . Hence, there exist odd integers  $1 \leq i < j \leq p - 1$  and even integers  $2 \leq k < l \leq p$  such that  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  as well as  $v_k$  and  $v_l$  are adjacent. In the case that  $p = 4$ , it follows that  $C$  induces a complete graph. Let now  $p \geq 6$  and assume, without loss of generality, that  $i < k$ . Then there are the two possibilities, namely  $1 \leq i < k < l < j \leq p - 1$  or  $1 \leq i < k < j < l \leq p$ . In both cases we will show that  $C$  has a chord  $uw$  with  $u \in A$  and  $w \in B$ .

If  $1 \leq i < k < l < j \leq p - 1$ , then

$$C_0 = v_i v_{i+1} \dots v_k v_l v_{l+1} \dots v_j v_i$$

is an even cycle with  $|V(C_0)| < |V(C)|$ . Therefore, by the induction hypothesis,  $C_0$  induces a complete graph. In particular,  $v_i v_l$  is a chord of  $C$ .

If  $1 \leq i < k < j < l \leq p$ , then

$$C_1 = v_i v_{i+1} \dots v_k v_l v_{l-1} \dots v_{j+1} v_j v_i,$$

$$C_2 = v_i v_j v_{j-1} \dots v_{k+1} v_k v_l v_{l+1} \dots v_i$$

are even cycles such that  $|V(C_1)| + |V(C_2)| = |V(C)| + 4$  and hence  $|V(C_1)| = |V(C_2)| = |V(C)|$  if and only if  $|V(C)| = 4$ . Since  $|V(C)| \geq 6$ , we conclude that  $|V(C_1)| < |V(C)|$  or  $|V(C_2)| < |V(C)|$ . According to the induction hypothesis, the cycle  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  induces a complete graph. In particular,  $v_i v_k, v_k v_j, v_j v_l, v_l v_i \in E(G)$ . Since  $|V(C)| \geq 6$ , at least one of these four edges is a chord of  $C$ .

If  $C$  has a chord  $uw$  with  $u \in A$  and  $w \in B$ , then we will finally show that  $C$  induces a complete graph. Let, without loss of generality,  $u = v_1$  and  $w = v_q$  with an even integer  $4 \leq q \leq p - 2$ . The cycles

$$C_3 = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{q-1} v_q v_1, \quad C_4 = v_1 v_q v_{q+1} \dots v_{p-1} v_p v_1$$

are even and such that  $|V(C_3)|, |V(C_4)| < |V(C)|$ . By the induction hypothesis, the cycles  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  induce complete graphs. Now let  $x$  and  $y$  be two arbitrary vertices in  $V(C)$ . If  $x, y \in V(C_3)$  or  $x, y \in V(C_4)$ , then they are adjacent. If not, then  $v_1 x v_q y v_1$  is a cycle of length four, and by the induction hypothesis, the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent. Consequently,  $C$  induces a complete subgraph, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 immediately lead to the following results.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with the property that every even cycle induces a complete subgraph, and let  $I$  be an independent set. Then  $I$  is a perfect independent set if and only if  $I$  is a super unique independent set.*

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a block-cactus graph such that every even block is a complete subgraph, and let  $I$  be an independent set. Then  $I$  is a perfect independent set if and only if  $I$  is a super unique independent set.*

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph, and let  $I \subseteq V(G)$  be an independent set. Then  $I$  is a unique maximum independent set if and only if  $I$  is a super unique independent set.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a unique maximum independent set. Theorem 2.1 implies that  $|N_G(J) \cap I| > |J|$  for all independent sets  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq V(G) - I$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the partite sets of  $G$  and let  $L \neq \emptyset$  be an arbitrary subset of  $V(G) - I$ . It follows that  $L \cap A$  and  $L \cap B$  are independent sets such that, without loss of generality,  $L \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . We deduce from Theorem 2.1 that

$$|N_G(L \cap A) \cap I| > |L \cap A|, \quad |N_G(L \cap B) \cap I| \geq |L \cap B|.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$|N_G(L) \cap I| = |N_G(L \cap A) \cap I| + |N_G(L \cap B) \cap I| > |L \cap A| + |L \cap B| = |L|.$$

Thus, with respect to Corollary 2.2,  $I$  is a super unique independent set, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. PERFECT AND UNIQUE INDEPENDENT SETS

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with a perfect independent set  $I$ . If  $I$  is not a unique maximum independent set, then there exists an induced bipartite subgraph of  $G$  which is not a forest.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is not a unique maximum independent set, there exists, in view of Theorem 2.1 with  $k = 1$ , an independent set  $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq V(G) - I$  such that  $|N_G(J) \cap I| \leq |J|$ . If we define the induced bipartite graph  $H = G[N_G(J) \cap I, J]$ , then, since  $I$  is a perfect independent set, it follows that  $|E(H)| \geq 2|J|$ . This yields

$$|V(H)| = |N_G(J) \cap I| + |J| \leq 2|J| \leq |E(H)|.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.4 implies that the induced bipartite subgraph  $H$  is not a forest.  $\square$

**Observation 4.2.** *If  $G$  is a graph, then every even cycle of  $G$  contains a chord if and only if every induced bipartite subgraph of  $G$  is a forest.*

*Proof.* Assume that every even cycle contains a chord. Suppose that there exists an induced bipartite subgraph  $H$  with a cycle. Let  $C$  be a shortest cycle in  $H$ . Since  $C$  has a chord in  $G$ , this chord also belongs to  $H$ , a contradiction to the minimum length of  $C$ .

Conversely, assume that every induced bipartite subgraph of  $G$  is a forest. Let  $C$  be an even cycle in  $G$ . Suppose that  $C$  has no chord. Then  $C$  is an induced bipartite subgraph of  $G$  but no forest. This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

Proposition 4.1 and Observation 4.1 immediately lead to the next result.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with the property that every even cycle contains a chord, and let  $I$  be an independent set. Then  $I$  is a perfect independent set if and only if  $I$  is a unique maximum independent set.*

## 5. STRONG (UNIQUE) MAXIMUM INDEPENDENCE SPANNING FORESTS

In view of Theorem 2.1, we establish easily the following facts.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph.*

*The graph  $G$  is a strong maximum independence graph if and only if there exist partite sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S|$  for all  $S \subseteq A$ .*

*The graph  $G$  is a strong unique independence graph if and only if there exist partite sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $|N_G(S)| > |S|$  for all  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq A$ .*

**Theorem 5.2** (König [7] 1931). *If  $G$  is a bipartite graph, then*

$$\tau(G) = \nu(G).$$

**Theorem 5.3** (König-Hall, König [7] 1931, Hall [4] 1935). *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with partite sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Then  $G$  contains a matching  $M$  with the property that every vertex in  $A$  is incident with an edge in  $M$  if and only if  $|N_G(S)| \geq |S|$  for all  $S \subseteq A$ .*

**Theorem 5.4** (Lovász [10] 1970). *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with partite sets  $A$  and  $B$ . Then  $G$  contains a spanning forest  $F$  such that  $d_F(v) = 2$  for all  $v \in A$  if and only if  $|N_G(S)| > |S|$  for all  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq A$ .*

A proof of Theorem 5.4 can also be found in [11] on p.20. Corollary 5.1 shows that Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4 characterize the strong maximum and the strong unique independence graphs, respectively.

**Theorem 5.5.** *If  $G$  is a graph, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\nu(G) = \tau(G)$ .
- (b) *There exists a super independent set in  $G$ .*
- (c) *Every maximum independent set in  $G$  is a super independent set.*

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let  $I$  be a maximum independent set, and let  $M$  be a maximum matching in  $G$ . This leads to

$$|V(G) - I| = \tau(G) = \nu(G) = |M|.$$

This implies that  $M$  is a matching in the bipartite graph  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  with the property that every vertex in  $V(G) - I$  is incident with an edge in  $M$ . It follows that  $|N_G(S) \cap I| \geq |S|$  for all  $S \subseteq V(G) - I$ . Hence, by Corollary 2.2,  $I$  is a super independent set in  $G$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Let  $I$  be a super independent set in  $G$ . As a consequence of Corollary 2.2 we obtain  $|N_G(S) \cap I| \geq |S|$  for all  $S \subseteq V(G) - I$ . Hence, by Theorem 5.3, there exists a matching  $M$  in the bipartite graph  $G[I, V(G) - I]$  with the property that every vertex in  $V(G) - I$  is incident with an edge in  $M$ . It follows that  $\tau(G) = |V(G) - I| = |M| \leq \nu(G)$ . Because of  $\nu(G) \leq \tau(G)$ , we deduce that  $\nu(G) = \tau(G)$ .

Since (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is immediate, the proof is complete.  $\square$

For reason of completeness, we will give a short proof of the next theorem by Hopkins and Staton [5].

**Theorem 5.6** (Hopkins, Staton [5] 1985). *Let  $G$  be a connected bipartite graph. The graph  $G$  is a strong unique independence graph if and only if  $G$  has a strong unique independence spanning tree  $T$ . In addition, the unique maximum independent sets of  $G$  and  $T$  coincide.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $G$  is a strong unique independence graph. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be the partite sets such that  $B$  is a unique maximum independent set of  $G$ . Combining Corollary 5.1 and Theorem 5.4, we find that  $G$  contains a spanning forest  $F$  such that  $d_F(v) = 2$  for all  $v \in A$ . We now extend  $F$  to a spanning tree  $T$  of  $G$  by adding as many edges as necessary. This yields  $d_T(v) \geq 2$  for all  $v \in A$ . Hence,  $B$  is a perfect independent set in  $T$ , and Corollary 3.2 implies that  $B$  is a unique independent set in  $T$ .

Conversely, assume that  $G$  has a strong unique independence spanning tree  $T$  with the partite sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $B$  is the unique maximum independent set of  $T$ . It follows easily from Theorem 2.5 that  $A$  and  $B$  are also independent sets in  $G$ . Obviously,  $B$  is also a unique maximum independent set in  $G$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 5.3 instead of Theorem 5.4, one can prove the next result similar to Theorem 5.6. Its proof is therefore omitted.

**Theorem 5.7** (Volkman [13] 1988). *Let  $G$  be a connected bipartite graph. The graph  $G$  is a strong maximum independence graph if and only if  $G$  has a strong maximum independence spanning tree  $T$ . In addition, the maximum independent sets of  $G$  and  $T$  coincide.*

**Theorem 5.8.** *If  $G$  is a graph, then the following statements are valid.*

- (a) *If  $G$  has a super unique independent set, then  $G$  has a strong unique independence spanning forest  $T$  with  $\alpha(T) = \alpha(G)$ .*
- (b) *If  $G$  is a bipartite graph with a unique maximum independent set, then  $G$  has a strong unique independence spanning forest  $T$  with  $\alpha(T) = \alpha(G)$ .*
- (c) *If  $\nu(G) = \tau(G)$ , then  $G$  has a strong maximum independence spanning forest  $T$  with  $\alpha(T) = \alpha(G)$ .*
- (d) *If  $G$  is a bipartite graph, then  $G$  has a strong maximum independence spanning forest  $T$  with  $\alpha(T) = \alpha(G)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $I$  be a super unique independent set in  $G$ . This means that  $I$  is a unique maximum independent set in the bipartite graph  $H = G[I, V(G) - I]$ , and thus  $H$  is a strong unique independence graph. If  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_p$  are the components of  $H$ , then  $I \cap V(H_i)$  are strong unique independent sets in  $H_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . In view of Theorem 5.6, each component  $H_i$  has a strong maximum independence spanning tree  $T_i$  with a unique maximum independent set  $I \cap V(H_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ . Obviously,  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^p T_i$  is a strong maximum independence spanning forest of  $G$  with  $\alpha(T) = \alpha(G) = |I|$ .

(b) Let  $I$  be a unique maximum independent set in the bipartite graph  $G$ . According to Theorem 3.6,  $I$  is a super unique independent set in  $G$  and (a) yields the desired result.

(c) Let  $\nu(G) = \tau(G)$ . In view of Theorem 5.5,  $G$  has a super independent set. Using Theorem 5.7 instead of Theorem 5.6, the proof is analogous to the proof of (a) and is therefore omitted.

(d) If  $G$  is bipartite, then Theorem 5.2 yields  $\nu(G) = \tau(G)$ . Now (c) leads to the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $G$  be a block-cactus graph such that every even block is a complete subgraph. If  $I \subseteq V(G)$  is a perfect independent set, then  $F = G[I, V(G) - I]$  is a strong unique independence spanning forest of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 3.3,  $F$  is a spanning forest of  $G$ . According to Corollary 3.5,  $I$  is a super unique independent set in  $G$ . Altogether, we see that  $F$  is a strong unique independence spanning forest of  $G$  with the unique maximum independent set  $I$ .  $\square$

Theorem 5.8 (b) and Theorem 5.9 are generalizations of the following result by Hopkins and Staton [5].

**Corollary 5.10** (Hopkins, Staton [5] 1985). *A tree  $T$  has a unique maximum independent set  $I$  if and only if  $T$  has a spanning forest  $F$  such that each component of  $F$  is a strong unique independence tree and each edge in  $T - E(F)$  joins two vertices not in  $I$ .*

#### References

- [1] *C. Berge*: Graphs. Second revised edition, North-Holland, 1985.
- [2] *G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak*: Graphs and Digraphs. Third edition, Chapman and Hall, London, 1996.
- [3] *C. Croitoru, E. Suditu*: Perfect stables in graphs. Inf. Process. Lett. *17* (1983), 53–56.
- [4] *P. Hall*: On representatives of subsets. J. London Math. Soc. *10* (1935), 26–30.
- [5] *G. Hopkins, W. Staton*: Graphs with unique maximum independent sets. Discrete Math. *57* (1985), 245–251.
- [6] *D. König*: Über Graphen und ihre Anwendung auf Determinantentheorie und Mengenlehre. Math. Ann. *77* (1916), 453–465.
- [7] *D. König*: Graphs and matrices. Math. Fiz. Lapok *38* (1931), 116–119. (In Hungarian.)
- [8] *D. König*: Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen. Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1936; reprinted: Teubner-Archiv zur Mathematik, Band 6, Leipzig, 1986.
- [9] *J. B. Listing*: Der Census räumlicher Complexe oder Verallgemeinerungen des Eulerschen Satzes von den Polyedern. Göttinger Abhandlungen *10* (1862).
- [10] *L. Lovász*: A generalization of König’s theorem. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. *21* (1970), 443–446.
- [11] *L. Lovász, M. D. Plummer*: Matching Theory. Ann. Discrete Math. *29*, North-Holland, 1986.
- [12] *W. Siemes, J. Topp, L. Volkmann*: On unique independent sets in graphs. Discrete Math. *131* (1994), 279–285.
- [13] *L. Volkmann*: Minimale und unabhängige minimale Überdeckungen. An. Univ. Bucur. Mat. *37* (1988), 85–90.

*Author’s address: Lutz Volkmann, Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen, 52056 Aachen, Germany, e-mail: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de.*