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#### Abstract

Let $T$ be a Banach space operator. For ordinal numbers $\alpha$ we define the $\alpha$-ranges $R^{\alpha}(T)$ which generalize the ranges of powers $R\left(T^{n}\right)$. The intersection $\bigcap_{\alpha} R^{\alpha}(T)$ is the coeur algébrique of $T$. Moreover, the coeur algébrique (and more generally the $\alpha$-ranges for limit ordinals $\alpha$ ) have similar properties as the coeur analytique of $T$. So it is possible to introduce algebraic local spectra which have properties analogous to those of classical (analytic) local spectra studied in local spectral theory.


## 1. Introduction.

Let $X$ be a Banach space. As usually we denote by $B(X)$ the set of all bounded linear operators acting on $X$. For $T \in B(X)$ let $R(T)$ and $N(T)$ denote the range $R(T)=T X$ and kernel $N(T)=\{x \in X: T x=0\}$, respectively.

If $T \in B(X)$ then the ranges $R^{n}(T)=T^{n} X$ form a decreasing sequence of linear manifolds

$$
X=R^{0}(T) \supset R^{1}(T) \supset \cdots \supset R^{n}(T) \supset R^{n+1}(T) \cdots
$$

There are two possibilities: either there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{n}(T)=R^{n+1}(T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or not: if (1) holds then also $R^{m}(T)=R^{n}(T)$ for all $m \geq n$. In this situation we say that the operator $T$ has finite descent; the minimum $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which (1) holds is called the descent of $T$.

It is possible to extend this construction to more general ordinals $[\mathrm{S}]$. The $\alpha$ range of an operator $T \in B(X)$ is an extension to ordinal numbers $\alpha$ of the usual range $R^{n}(T)=T^{n} X$ associated with a natural number $n$. The intersection of all the $\alpha$ ranges coincides with the coeur algébrique, the largest linear manifold $Y \subset X$ for which $T Y=Y$.
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## 2. Descent

Let $X$ will be a (complex or real) Banach space and $T \in B(X)$. Then with

$$
R^{n}(T)=T^{n} X \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

there is inclusion

$$
R^{n+1}(T) \subset R^{n}(T) \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

Formally $R^{n}(T)$ is defined by induction: specifically

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{0}(T)=X ; R^{n+1}(T)=T R^{n}(T) \quad(n \geq 0) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The procedure (2) can be carried out for ordinals $\alpha \in$ Ord:

$$
R^{\alpha+1}(T)=T R^{\alpha}(T) \quad(\alpha \in \operatorname{Ord})
$$

while for limit ordinals

$$
R^{\beta}(T)=\bigcap_{\alpha<\beta} R^{\alpha}(T) .
$$

Let $\omega_{0}$ be the first infinite ordinal. Note that $R^{\omega_{0}}(T)=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{n} X$ (usually denoted by $\left.R^{\infty}(T)\right)$ is called the hyperrange of $T$ and used in operator theory frequently.

When in pursuit of $R^{\alpha}(T)$ we stray outside the natural numbers to more general ordinals we can no longer make sense of an operator

$$
T^{\alpha}: X \rightarrow X
$$

It is easy to see that the $\alpha$-ranges $R^{\alpha}(T)$ form a non-increasing "sequence" of linear manifolds, $R^{\alpha}(T) \subset R^{\beta}(T)$ if $\alpha \geq \beta$. Moreover, if $R^{\alpha+1}(T)=R^{\alpha}(T)$ for some $\alpha$, then $R^{\beta}(T)=R^{\alpha}(T)$ for all $\beta>\alpha$. A standard cardinality argument shows that the sequence $R^{\alpha}(T)$ eventually stops: if $\alpha>\operatorname{card} X$ (more precisely if $\alpha$ is greater than the cardinality of a Hamel basis in $X$ ) then $R^{\alpha+1}(T)=R^{\alpha}(T)$.

Definition 1. Let $T \in B(X)$. The descent dsc $(T)$ is the smallest ordinal number $\alpha$ for which $R^{\alpha+1}(T)=R^{\alpha}(T)$.

The coeur algébrique of $T$ is defined by co $(T)=\bigcap_{\alpha} R^{\alpha}(T)=R^{\mathrm{dsc}(T)}(T)$.

Remark 2. There is a simple characterization of the coeur algébrique of $T \in B(X)$. A vector $x_{0} \in X$ belongs to co $(T)$ if and only if there exist vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ such that $T x_{i}=x_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Indeed, it is easy to see that $T \operatorname{co}(T)=\operatorname{co}(T)$. If $x_{0} \in \operatorname{co}(T)$ then we can find inductively vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots \in \operatorname{co}(T)$ such that $T x_{i}=x_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Conversely, suppose that there are vectors $x_{i}$ satisfying $T x_{i}=x_{i-1} \quad(i \geq$ $1)$. Let $M$ be the linear manifold generated by the vectors $x_{i} \quad(i \geq 0)$.

Clearly $T M=M$. It is easy to see that $M \subset R^{\alpha}(T)$ for all $\alpha$, and so $M \subset \operatorname{co}(T)$. Hence $x_{0} \in \operatorname{co}(T)$.

Thus co $(T)$ is the union of all linear manifolds $M \subset X$ satisfying $T M=$ $M$ and it is the largest linear manifold with this property.

Remark 3. All the previous definitions make sense for any set $X$ and a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$. Thus it is possible to define the $\alpha$-ranges $R^{\alpha}(f)$ of $f$ and the coeur $\operatorname{co}(f)=\bigcap_{\alpha} R^{\alpha}(f)$. The characterization of $\operatorname{co}(f)$ also remains true (of course the ranges $R^{\alpha}(f)$ and the coeur co $(f)$ are now sets, not linear manifolds).

Proposition 4. For each ordinal number $\alpha$ there exists a Banach space $X$ and an operator $T \in B(X)$ such that dsc $(T)=\alpha$.
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be an ordinal number. Let $X$ be the $\ell_{1}$ space with a standard basis $e_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are ordinal numbers satisfying $\alpha>\alpha_{1}>\cdots>\alpha_{n}$. More precisely, the elements of $X$ are the sums

$$
x=\sum_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}} c_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}} e_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}
$$

with (real or complex) coefficients $c_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}$ such that

$$
\|x\|:=\sum_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\left|c_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right|<\infty
$$

The operator $T \in B(X)$ is defined by $T e_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}=e_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}}$ if $n \geq 2$ and $T e_{\alpha_{1}}=0$. By the transfinite induction we can prove that $R^{\beta}(T)=$ $\bigvee\left\{e_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}: \alpha_{n} \geq \beta\right\}$. Thus $R^{\beta} \neq\{0\}$ for $\beta<\alpha$ and $R^{\alpha}(T)=\{0\}$. So $\operatorname{dsc}(T)=\alpha$.

Remark 5. It is interesting to note that the dual notion - ascent - behaves in a different way. If we define the transfinite kernels $N^{\alpha}(T)$ of an operator $T \in B(X)$ in a dual way by $N^{0}(T)=\{0\}, N^{\alpha+1}(T)=T^{-1} N^{\alpha}(T)$ and $N^{\alpha}(T)=\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} N^{\beta}(T)$ for limit ordinals $\alpha$, then this sequence stops at the latest at $\omega_{0}$. We have $N^{k}(T)=N\left(T^{k}\right)$ for $k<\infty$ and $N^{\omega_{0}}(T)=$ $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} N\left(T^{k}\right)$ (which is usually denoted by $\left.N^{\infty}(T)\right)$. It is easy to see that $T^{-1} N^{\omega_{0}}(T)=N^{\omega_{0}}(T)$.

It is well known that if asc $(T)<\infty$ and $\operatorname{dsc}(T)<\infty$ then $\operatorname{asc}(T)=$ dsc $(T)$. It may happen that $\operatorname{asc}(T)<\infty$ and dsc $(T)$ is infinite, however, in this case dsc $(T)=\omega_{0}$.

Proposition 6. Let $T \in B(X)$ and asc $(T)<\infty$. Then dsc $(T) \leq \omega_{0}$.
Proof. Let asc $T=p<\infty$. We show that $T R^{\omega_{0}}(T)=R^{\omega_{0}}(T)$. Let $x \in R^{\omega_{0}}(T)$. Then there exists a vector $u \in X$ such that $T^{p+1} u=x$. Let $v=T^{p} u$. So $T v=x$. We show that $v \in R^{\omega_{0}}(T)$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n>p$. Since $x \in R\left(T^{n}\right)$, there exist $y \in X$ with $T^{n} y=x$. So $T^{p+1}\left(u-T^{n-p-1} y\right)=0$. Since $\operatorname{asc}(T)=p$, we have $v-T^{n-1} y=$
$T^{p}\left(u-T^{n-p-1} y\right)=0$. So $v=T^{n-1} y \in R\left(T^{n-1}\right)$. Since $n$ was arbitrary, we have $v \in R^{\omega_{0}}(T)$ and $T R^{\omega_{0}}(T)=R^{\omega_{0}}(T)$. Hence co $(T)=R^{\omega_{0}}(T)$ and $\operatorname{dsc}(T) \leq \omega_{0}$.

Proposition 7. Let $A, B \in B(X), A B=B A$, let $\alpha$ be an ordinal number. Then
(i) $B R^{\alpha}(A) \subset R^{\alpha}(A)$;
(ii) $R^{\alpha}(A B) \subset R^{\alpha}(A)$;
(iii) if $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $R^{\alpha}\left(A^{n}\right)=R^{\alpha}(A)$.

In particular, $B \operatorname{co}(A) \subset \operatorname{co}(A), \operatorname{co}(A B) \subset \operatorname{co}(A)$ and $\operatorname{co}\left(A^{n}\right)=\operatorname{co}(A)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. (i) By the transfinite induction. We have $B R^{0}(A)=B X \subset X=$ $R^{0}(A)$. If $B R^{\alpha}(A) \subset R^{\alpha}(A)$, then $B R^{\alpha+1}(A)=B A R^{\alpha}(A)=A B R^{\alpha}(A) \subset$ $A R^{\alpha}(A)=R^{\alpha+1}(A)$. If $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal and $B R^{\beta}(A) \subset R^{\beta}(A)$ for all $\beta<\alpha$, then

$$
B R^{\alpha}(A)=B \bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R_{\beta}(A) \subset \bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} B R^{\beta}(A) \subset \bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R^{\beta}(A)=R_{\alpha}(A)
$$

(ii) Again by transfinite induction. The statement is clear for $\alpha=0$. If $R^{\alpha}(A B) \subset R^{\alpha}(A)$, then $R^{\alpha+1}(A B)=A B R^{\alpha}(A B) \subset R^{\alpha+1}(A)$. If $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal and $R^{\beta}(A B) \subset R^{\beta}(A)$ for all $\beta<\alpha$, then $R^{\alpha}(A B)=$ $\bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R^{\beta}(A B) \subset \bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R^{\beta}(A)=R^{\alpha}(A)$.
(iii) Let $\alpha$ be a limit ordinal number. If $\alpha=\omega_{0}$ then we have $R^{\omega_{0}}(A)=$ $\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} R\left(A^{k}\right)=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} R\left(A^{n k}\right)=R^{\omega_{0}}\left(A^{n}\right)$.

Suppose that (iii) is not true and let $\alpha$ be the smallest limit ordinal for which this is not true. Then either $\alpha=\beta+\omega_{0}$ for some limit ordinal $\beta$ or $\alpha=\sup \{\beta<\alpha: \beta$ limit ordinal $\}$.

If $\alpha=\beta+\omega_{0}$ for some limit ordinal $\beta$ then

$$
R^{\alpha}(A)=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A^{k} R^{\beta}(A)=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A^{k} R^{\beta}\left(A^{n}\right)=R^{\alpha}\left(A^{n}\right)
$$

If $\alpha=\sup \{\beta<\alpha: \beta$ limit ordinal $\}$ then

$$
R^{\alpha}(A)=\bigcap_{\beta<\alpha, \beta \text { limit }} R^{\beta}(A)=\bigcap_{\beta<\alpha, \beta \text { limit }} R^{\beta}\left(A^{n}\right)=R^{\alpha}\left(A^{n}\right)
$$

## 3. Local spectra

In this section $X$ will be a complex Banach space.
Recall that the coeur analytique $K(T)$ is defined as the set of all vectors $x_{0} \in X$ for which there exist vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots \in X$ such that $T x_{i}=$ $x_{i-1} \quad(i \geq 1)$ and $\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{1 / n}<\infty$, see $[\mathrm{M}]$. Equivalently, $x_{0} \in K(T)$ if there exists an analytic function $f: U \rightarrow X$ defined on a neighborhood of 0
such that $(T-z) f(z)=x_{0} \quad(z \in U) \quad\left(f\right.$ is defined by $\left.f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_{n} z^{n}\right)$. Clearly $K(T) \subset \operatorname{co}(T)$.

The coeur analytique plays an important role in the local spectral theory. We show that the coeur algébrique co $(\cdot)$, and more generally the transfinite ranges $R_{\alpha}$ have similar properties and it is possible to construct parallel local spectra.

Recall $[\mathrm{KM}],[\mathrm{MM}]$ that a non-empty subset $\mathcal{R} \subset B(X)$ is called a regularity if it satisfies the following two conditions:
(i) Let $T \in B(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T \in \mathcal{R} \Leftrightarrow T^{n} \in \mathcal{R}$;
(ii) Let $A, B, C, D \in B(X)$ be mutually commuting operators satisfying $A C+B D=I$. Then

$$
A B \in \mathcal{R} \Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{R} \text { and } B \in \mathcal{R}
$$

Any regularity gives rise to an abstract spectrum $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$. For $T \in B(X)$ we define $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda \notin \mathcal{R}\}$.

The spectrum $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ defined as above exhibits nice properties, especially it satisfies the spectral mapping property: $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(f(T))=f\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(T)\right)$ for each $T \in$ $B(X)$ and each locally non-constant function $f$ analytic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$.

The abstract spectra $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ include most of the natural spectra considered in operator theory. For example, the local spectrum can be defined in the following way:

For $x \in X$ let $\mathcal{R}_{x, K}=\{T \in B(X): x \in K(T)\}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{x, K}$ is a regularity and the local spectrum at $x$ can be defined by

$$
\sigma_{x}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda \notin \mathcal{R}_{x, K}\right\}=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: x \notin K(T-\lambda)\}
$$

(the usual equivalent definition of the local spectrum is $\lambda \notin \sigma_{x}(T) \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a function $f: U \rightarrow X$ analytic on a neighbourhood $U$ of $\lambda$ such that $(T-z) f(z)=x \quad(z \in U)$; note that the traditional notation of the local spectrum is rather illogically $\left.\sigma_{T}(x)\right)$. This implies the spectral mapping property for the local spectrum: $\sigma_{x}(f(T))=f\left(\sigma_{x}(T)\right)$ for all $x \in X, T \in$ $B(X)$ and each locally non-constant function $f$ analytic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$.

We show that the coeur algébrique and the transfinite ranges give also rise to regularities, and so it is possible to define the corresponding spectra in a similar way as in the local spectral theory.

Definition 8. Let $x \in X$ and let $\alpha$ be a limit ordinal number. Write $\mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha}=$ $\left\{T \in B(X): x \in R^{\alpha}(T)\right\}$. Write further $\mathcal{R}_{x, \mathrm{co}}=\left\{T \in B(X): x \in R_{\mathrm{co}}(T)\right\}$.

For each $x \in X$ we have clearly $\mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha} \supset \mathcal{R}_{x, \beta}$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\mathcal{R}_{x, \text { co }}=\bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha}$.

Lemma 9. Let $A, B, C, D \in B(X)$ be mutually commuting operators satisfying $A C+B D=I$. Then $N(A) \subset \operatorname{co}(B)$ and $N(B) \subset \operatorname{co}(A)$.

Moreover, $N^{\infty}(A) \subset \operatorname{co}(B)$ and $N^{\infty}(B) \subset \operatorname{co}(A)$.
Proof. Let $x_{0} \in N(A)$. Then $B D x_{0}=x_{0}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ set $x_{j}=D^{j} x_{0}$. Then for $j \geq 1$ we have

$$
B x_{j}=B D^{j} x_{0}=D^{j-1} x_{0}=x_{j-1}
$$

So $x_{0} \in \operatorname{co}(B)$. The inclusion $N(B) \subset \operatorname{co}(A)$ follows from symmetry.
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $A C+B D=I$ implies $A^{n} C_{n}+B^{n} D_{n}=I$ for some $B_{n}, D_{n} \in B(X)$ commuting with each other and with $A^{n}, B^{n}$, see $[\mathrm{KM}]$, we have $N\left(A^{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{co}\left(B^{n}\right)=\operatorname{co}(B)$. Thus $N^{\infty}(A) \subset \operatorname{co}(B)$ and similarly $N^{\infty}(B) \subset \operatorname{co}(A)$.

Lemma 10. Let $A, B, C, D \in B(X)$ be mutually commuting operators satisfying $A C+B D=I$. Then $R^{\alpha}(A B)=R^{\alpha}(A) \cap R^{\alpha}(B)$ for each ordinal number $\alpha$. In particular, $\operatorname{co}(A B)=\operatorname{co}(A) \cap \operatorname{co}(B)$.

Proof. Clearly $R^{\alpha}(A B) \subset R^{\alpha}(A) \cap R^{\alpha}(B)$ by Proposition 7 (ii). We prove the second inclusion by the transfinite induction.

Suppose that $R^{\alpha}(A B)=R^{\alpha}(A) \cap R^{\alpha}(B)$ and $x \in R^{\alpha+1}(A) \cap R^{\alpha+1}(B)$. Then $x=A u=B v$ for some $u \in R^{\alpha}(A)$ and $v \in R^{\alpha}(B)$. So $x=A u \in$ $R^{\alpha}(A)$ and $x=B v \in R^{\alpha}(B)$. By the induction hypothesis $x \in R^{\alpha}(A B)$.

Let $\beta<\alpha$. Then $A B R^{\beta}(A B)=R^{\beta+1}(A B) \supset R^{\alpha}(A B)$, so there exists $w \in R^{\beta}(A B)$ with $A B w=x$. We have $u-B w \in N(A) \subset \operatorname{co}(B) \subset R^{\beta+1}(B)$ and $B w \in B R^{\beta}(A B) \subset B R^{\beta}(B)=R^{\beta+1}(B)$. Thus $u \in R^{\beta+1}(B)$. Hence $u \in \bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R^{\beta+1}(B)=R^{\alpha}(B)$. Thus $u \in R^{\alpha}(A) \cap R^{\alpha}(B)=R^{\alpha}(A B)$. In a similar way we can prove $v \in R^{\alpha}(A B)$.

Set $y=D u+C v \in R^{\alpha}(A B)$. Then

$$
A B y=A B D u+A B C v=B D A u+A C B v=B D x+A C x=x
$$

and $x \in A B R^{\alpha}(A B)=R^{\alpha+1}(A B)$.
If $\alpha$ is a limit ordinal and $R^{\beta}(A B)=R^{\beta}(A) \cap R^{\beta}(B)$ for all $\beta<\alpha$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{\alpha}(A) \cap R^{\alpha}(B)=\bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R^{\beta}(A) \cap \bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R^{\beta}(B) \\
=\bigcap_{\beta<\alpha}\left(R^{\beta}(A) \cap R^{\beta}(B)\right)=\bigcap_{\beta<\alpha} R^{\beta}(A B)=R^{\alpha}(A B) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 11. Let $\alpha$ be a limit ordinal number and $x \in X$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha}$ is a regularity. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{x, \text { co }}$ is a regularity.
Proof. Let $T \in B(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$
T \in \mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha} \Leftrightarrow x \in R^{\alpha}(T) \Leftrightarrow x \in R^{\alpha}\left(T^{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow T^{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha} .
$$

Let $A, B, C, D$, be mutually commuting operators satisfying $A C+B D=I$. Then
$A B \in \mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha} \Leftrightarrow x \in R^{\alpha}(A B) \Leftrightarrow x \in R^{\alpha}(A) \cap R^{\alpha}(B) \Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha}$ and $B \in \mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha}$.

So $\mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha}$ is a regularity.
Since co $(T)=R^{\alpha}(T)$ for all $T \in B(X)$ for any limit ordinal $\alpha>\operatorname{card} X$, we have that $\mathcal{R}_{x, \text { co }}$ is a regularity.

Definition 12. Let $x \in X$ and let $\alpha$ be a limit ordinal. For $T \in B(X)$ write $\sigma_{x, \alpha}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda \notin \mathcal{R}_{x, \alpha}\right\}$. Write further $\sigma_{x, \text { co }}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ : $\left.T-\lambda \notin \mathcal{R}_{x, \text { co }}\right\}$.

Clearly $\sigma_{x, \omega_{0}}(T)=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: x \notin R^{\omega_{0}}(T-\lambda)\right\}$ and and $\sigma_{x, c o}(T)=\{\lambda \in$ $\mathbb{C}: x \notin \operatorname{co}(T-\lambda)\}$. Clearly for all $x \in X$ and $T \in B(X)$ we have

$$
\sigma_{x, \omega_{0}}(T) \subset \sigma_{x, 2 \omega_{0}}(T) \subset \cdots \subset \sigma_{x, \mathrm{co}}(T) \subset \sigma_{x}(T) \subset \sigma_{\text {sur }}(T)
$$

where $\sigma_{x}(T)$ denotes the classical local spectrum defined above and $\sigma_{\text {sur }}(T)=$ $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:(T-\lambda) X \neq X\}$ is the surjective spectrum.

The spectrum $\sigma_{x, \text { co }}$ was implicitly considered for example in [JS], [L], [LV], [MMN], [PV]. In these papers there were considered algebraic spectral spaces $E_{T}(F)$ for any subset $F \subset \mathbb{C}$. In our terminology $E_{T}(F)=\{x \in$ $\left.X: \sigma_{x, \text { co }}(T) \subset F\right\}$. For a survey of results concerning the algebraic spectral subspaces see [LN], p. 48 .

Proposition 13. Let $T \in B(X)$ and let $\alpha$ be a limit ordinal. Then

$$
\bigcup_{x \in X} \sigma_{x, \alpha}(T)=\sigma_{\text {sur }}(T)
$$

Corollary 14. Let $T \in B(X), x \in X$ and let $f$ be a function analytic on a neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$. Then

$$
\sigma_{x, \alpha}(f(T))=f\left(\sigma_{x, \alpha}(T)\right)
$$

for each limit ordinal $\alpha$. In particular,

$$
\sigma_{x, \text { co }}(f(T))=f\left(\sigma_{x, \text { co }}(T)\right) .
$$

In general the spectra $\sigma_{x, \text { co }}$ and $\sigma_{x, \alpha}$ are not closed even for normal operator on a Hilbert space.

Example 15. Let $H$ be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Let $T \in B(H)$ be defined by $T e_{n}=$ $n^{-1} e_{n}$. For $k=0,1, \ldots$ let $x_{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-n} e_{n} \in H$. Then $T x_{k}=x_{k-1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So $x_{0} \in \operatorname{co}(T)$ and $0 \notin \sigma_{x_{0}, \mathrm{co}}(T)$.

On the other hand, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, x_{0} \notin R\left(T-n^{-1}\right)$, and so $n^{-1} \in$ $\sigma_{x, \mathrm{co}}(T)$. In fact for each limit ordinal $\alpha$ we have $n^{-1} \in \sigma_{x_{0}, \alpha}(T)$ and $0 \notin \sigma_{x_{0}, \alpha}(T)$.

The previous example shows also that in general $\sigma_{x_{0}, \text { co }}(T) \neq \sigma_{x_{0}}(T)$ since $\sigma_{x_{0}}(T)$ is always closed.

Another important notion studied in local spectral theory is that of analytic residuum. Let $T \in B(X)$. Denote by $S_{T}$ the set of all complex numbers $\lambda$ such that there exists a nonzero function $f: U \rightarrow X$ analytic on a neighbourhood of $\lambda$ such that $(T-z) f(z)=0 \quad(z \in U)$. The analytic residuum of $T$ is the closure $\overline{S_{T}}$.

The analytic residuum can be also introduced by means of regularities. Let

$$
\mathcal{S}(X)=\{T \in B(X): K(T) \cap N(T)=\{0\}\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is a regularity and the corresponding spectrum $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}(T)=S_{T}$, see $[\mathrm{KM}]$. In particular, $S_{f(T)}=f\left(S_{T}\right)$ and $\overline{S_{f(T)}}=f\left(\overline{\left.S_{T}\right)}\right.$ for each locally non-constant function $f$ analytic on a neighbourhood of $\sigma(T)$.

Again we can define a parallel algebraic notion.
Definition 16. Let $\mathcal{S}^{\text {alg }}(X)=\{T \in B(X): \operatorname{co}(T) \cap N(T)=\{0\}\}$.
Theorem 17. $\mathcal{S}^{\text {alg }}(X)$ is a regularity.
Proof. Let $A, B \in B(X), A B=B A \notin \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$. We prove that either $A \notin$ $\mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$ or $B \notin \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$. Let $x_{i} \in X$ satisfy $A B x_{i}=x_{i-1} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots)$, where $x_{0}=0$ and $x_{1} \neq 0$. Set $u_{i}=B^{i} x_{i} \quad(i=0,1, \ldots)$. Then $u_{0}=0$ and $A u_{i}=u_{i-1} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots)$. If $u_{1} \neq 0$ then $A \notin \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$.

Suppose on the contrary $u_{1}=B x_{1}=0$. Set $v_{0}=0, v_{i}=A^{i-1} x_{i} \quad(i=$ $1,2, \ldots)$. Then $B v_{i}=v_{i-1} \quad(i=1,2, \ldots)$ and $v_{1}=x_{1} \neq 0$. Thus $B \notin$ $\mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$. Hence $A, B \in \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X), A B=B A$ implies $A B \in \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$.

In particular $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\text {alg }}(X) \Rightarrow A^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text {alg }}(X) \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$.
Let $A \notin \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$ and let $x_{i} \in X$ satisfy $x_{0}=0, x_{1} \neq 0$ and $A x_{i}=$ $x_{i-1} \quad(i \geq 1)$. Then $y_{i}=x_{n i}$ satisfy the same conditions for $A^{n}$, so that $A^{n} \notin \mathcal{S}^{\text {alg }}(X)$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{S}^{\text {alg }}(X) \Leftrightarrow A^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$.

Suppose that $A, B, C, D$ are mutually commuting operators satisfying $A C+B D=I$ and $A \notin \mathcal{S}^{\text {alg }}(X)$. Let $x_{i} \in X$ satisfy $A x_{i}=x_{i-1} \quad(i=$ $1,2, \ldots), x_{0}=0$ and $x_{1} \neq 0$. Set $x_{i, 0}=x_{i} \quad(i \geq 0)$ and $x_{0, i}=0 \quad(i \geq 1)$.

Define inductively $x_{i, j}=C x_{i-1, j}+D x_{i, j-1} \quad(i, j \geq 1)$.
We show by induction

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x_{i, j}=x_{i-1, j} \quad(i \geq 1, j \geq 0) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B x_{i, j}=x_{i, j-1} \quad(i \geq 0, j \geq 1) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is clear for $i=0$ or $j=0$. Let $i, j \geq 1$ and suppose that (3) and (4) is true for all $i^{\prime} \leq i, j^{\prime} \leq j,\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \neq(i, j)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x_{i, j}= & A C x_{i-1, j}+A D x_{i, j-1}=(I-B D) x_{i-1, j}+A D x_{i, j-1} \\
& =x_{i-1, j}-D x_{i-1, j-1}+D x_{i-1, j-1}=x_{i-1, j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
B x_{i, j}=B C x_{i-1, j}+B D x_{i, j-1}=B C x_{i-1, j}+(I-A C) x_{i, j-1}=x_{i, j-1} .
$$

Set $y_{i}=x_{i, i} \quad(i \geq 0)$. Then $A B y_{i}=y_{i-1} \quad(i \geq 1), y_{0}=0$ and $y_{1} \neq 0$. Thus $A B \notin \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$, so that $A B \in \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X) \Rightarrow A, B \in \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)$.

For $T \in B(X)$ define $S_{T}^{a l g}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda \notin \mathcal{S}^{a l g}(X)\right\}$.
Corollary 18. Let $T \in B(X)$ and let $f$ be a locally non-constant function analytic on a neighbourhood of $\sigma(T)$. Then

$$
f\left(S_{T}^{a l g}\right)=S_{f(T)}^{a l g} \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(\overline{S_{T}^{a l g}}\right)=\overline{S_{f(T)}^{a l g}}
$$
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