

The Principles of the 'Ecological Biology' Commission for the Evaluation of Dissertations and the Person of the Applicant for the 'DSc.' Degree

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 3/2006, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertation and Person of the Applicant

The applicant applies a multidisciplinary approach in solving problems and is an internationally recognised expert in it. He/she has at least thirty (30) publications in international journals with an aggregate IF>20 and a number of responses according to the SCI of at least 100 (without self-citation). These criteria are evaluated individually with consideration of the specifics of the given field (e.g. the IF of the relevant cluster of journals) and with consideration for the creative contribution of the author in the publications. The significance of the role of the applicant in the team of co-workers (co-authors) is evaluated on the basis of doctoral students trained, management of grants, participation in international projects and organisation of international meetings.

2. Materials Required for the Defence:

Materials listed in the 'Rules':

- a) proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) proof of proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in twenty-five (25) copies,
- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

Besides the above-mentioned materials, the commission requires the presentation of the following supplementary materials:

- a) a list of doctoral candidates guided, grants managed and working groups led, international projects participated in and conferences organised.
- b) the commission recommends at least one opponent from abroad. If the work reaches into several fields, it recommends the representation of the corresponding specialists among the opponents.

3 March 2008

RNDr Viera Straškrábová DrSc.
Chairperson of the Committee

Discussed and approved on 15 May 2008 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. RNDr. Antonín Holý, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Panel
for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree