

# Compressible fluid flows driven by stochastic forcing

Eduard Feireisl

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague

joint work with

B. Maslowski (Prague) and A. Novotný (Toulon)

# A (classical) result of Bensoussan and Temam

(Incompressible) Navier-Stokes system

$$d\mathbf{u} + \left[ \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x \Pi \right] dt = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} dt + d\mathbf{w}$$

or

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u}(\omega) + \operatorname{div}_x (\mathbf{u}(\omega) \times \mathbf{u}(\omega)) + \nabla_x \Pi = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u}(\omega) + \partial_t \mathbf{w}(\omega)$$

Initial conditions

$$\mathbf{u}(0, \cdot, \omega) = \mathbf{u}_0(\omega)$$

$$\omega \in \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$$

$\mathcal{B}$  family of Borel sets,  $\mu$  regular probability measure



# Abstract result of J. von Neumann

## Theorem

*Let  $X, Y$  be two separable Banach spaces and  $\Lambda$  a multivalued mapping of  $X$  into closed non-void subsets of  $Y$  with closed graph. Then  $\Lambda$  admits a section that is universally Radon measurable, meaning there exists a mapping*

$$\sigma : X \rightarrow Y$$

*such that  $\sigma(x) \in \Lambda(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ , and  $\sigma$  is measurable for any Radon measure defined on the family of Borel sets in  $X$ .*

# Compressible Navier-Stokes system

## Equation of continuity

$$d\rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u}) dt = 0$$

## Momentum equation

$$d(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \left( \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\rho) \right) dt = \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u})) dt + \rho d\mathbf{w}$$

## Newton's law

$$\mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) = \mu \left( \nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \right) + \eta \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}$$

## Pressure law

$$p(\rho) \approx a \rho^\gamma, \quad a > 0, a\gamma > 3/2$$

# Boundary and initial data

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  a regular bounded domain

No-slip

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Initial data

$$\varrho(0, \cdot, \omega) = \varrho_0(\omega), \quad (\varrho\mathbf{u})(0, \cdot, \omega) = (\varrho\mathbf{u})_0(\omega)$$

# Problems with variable density

- H. Fujita Yashima, Equations de Navier-Stokes non homogènes et applications, Tesi di Perfezionamento Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1992
- E. Tornatore, Global solution of bi-dimensional stochastic equation for a viscous gas  
*NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **7** (4) (2000), 343-360
- E. Tornatore, H. Fujita Yashima, One-dimensional equations of a barotropic viscous gas with a not very regular perturbation  
*Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.)* **40** (1996), 137-168
- E. Tornatore, H. Fujita Yashima, One-dimensional stochastic equations for a viscous barotropic gas  
*Ric. Mat.* **46** (1998), 255-283

# Weak formulation

## Renormalized equation of continuity

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left( (\varrho + b(\varrho)) \partial_t \varphi + (\varrho + b(\varrho)) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \right) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left( b'(\varrho) \varrho - b(\varrho) \right) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \varphi dx dt - \int_{\Omega} \left( \varrho_0 + b(\varrho_0) \right) \varphi(0, \cdot) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any test function  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T) \times \bar{\Omega})$ , and any  $b \in C_c^\infty[0, \infty)$

## Momentum equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left( \varrho (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \cdot \partial_t \varphi + \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \varphi + p(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \varphi \right) dx dt \\ & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \varphi(x) + \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x (\mathbf{w} \cdot \varphi) \right) dx dt - \int_{\Omega} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_0 \cdot \varphi(0, \cdot) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0, T) \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$

# Energy inequality

## Energy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}|^2 + P(\varrho) \right) dx \partial_t \psi dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} dx \psi dt \\ & \leq \psi(0) \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{|(\varrho \mathbf{u})_0|^2}{\varrho_0} + P(\varrho_0) \right) dx \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left( \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{w} - \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \mathbf{w} - p(\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{w} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x |\mathbf{w}|^2 \right) dx \psi dt \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\psi \in C_c^\infty[0, T)$ ,  $\psi \geq 0$



# Sequential stability

Suppose

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (0, T)} \|\mathbf{w}_n(t, \cdot)\|_{W^{1, \infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c, \mathbf{w}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{w} \text{ in } L^1(0, T; W^{1, 1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3))$$

$$\varrho_n(0, \cdot) \rightarrow \varrho_0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega)$$

## Sequential stability

$$\varrho_n \rightarrow \varrho \text{ in } C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; L^\gamma(\Omega)) \text{ and in } \boxed{L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)},$$

$$\mathbf{u}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W_0^{1, 2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$\varrho_n(\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{w}_n) \rightarrow \varrho(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w}) \text{ in } C_{\text{weak}}([0, T]; L^{2\gamma/(\gamma+1)}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)),$$

# Effective viscous flux identity

Effective viscous flux identity (Lions):

$$\overline{\rho(\varrho)b(\varrho)} - \left(\frac{4}{3}\nu + \lambda\right) \overline{b(\varrho)\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}} = \overline{\rho(\varrho)} \overline{b(\varrho)} - \left(\frac{4}{3}\nu + \lambda\right) \overline{b(\varrho)\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}}$$

Supposing, for simplicity, that we can take  $b(\varrho) = \varrho$ , we get

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \overline{\varrho \log(\varrho)} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \overline{\varrho \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}} \, dx = 0$$

$$\partial_t \int_{\Omega} \varrho \log(\varrho) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \varrho \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \, dx = 0$$

whence

$$\overline{\varrho \log(\varrho)} = \varrho \log(\varrho)$$

# (Very) formal proof

$$\partial_t(\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n) + \nabla_x p(\varrho_n) = \Delta \mathbf{u}_n + \varrho_n \partial_t \mathbf{w}_n$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x \overline{p(\varrho)} = \Delta \mathbf{u} + \varrho \partial_t \mathbf{w}$$

**Step 1: Apply  $\Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x$**

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{-1} \partial_t \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n) + \operatorname{div}_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n) + p(\varrho_n) \\ = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_n + \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho_n \partial_t \mathbf{w}_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{-1} \partial_t \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \overline{p(\varrho)} \\ = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} + \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \partial_t \mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

## Step 2: Multiply by $b(\varrho_n)$ , $\overline{b(\varrho)}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( p(\varrho_n) - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_n \right) b(\varrho_n) \\ = & -b(\varrho_n) \Delta^{-1} \partial_t \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n) - b(\varrho_n) \operatorname{div}_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n) \\ & + b(\varrho_n) \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho_n \partial_t \mathbf{w}_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \overline{p(\varrho)} - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \right) \overline{b(\varrho)} \\ = & -\overline{b(\varrho)} \Delta^{-1} \partial_t \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \mathbf{u}) - \overline{b(\varrho)} \operatorname{div}_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) \\ & + \overline{b(\varrho)} \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \partial_t \mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

### Step 3: Replace terms by their equivalents modulo compact perturbation

$$\left( \rho(\varrho_n) - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_n \right) b(\varrho_n)$$

$$= \boxed{\mathbf{u}_n b(\varrho_n) \cdot \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n) - (\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n) : \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \nabla_x b(\varrho_n)}$$

$$+ b(\varrho_n) \operatorname{div}_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho_n \mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{w}_n) - b(\varrho_n) \mathbf{u}_n \cdot \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho_n \mathbf{w}_n)$$

$$\left( \overline{\rho(\varrho)} - \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \right) \overline{b(\varrho)}$$

$$= \boxed{\overline{\mathbf{u} b(\varrho)} \cdot \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \mathbf{u}) - (\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \nabla_x \overline{b(\varrho)}}$$

$$+ \overline{b(\varrho)} \operatorname{div}_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}) - \overline{b(\varrho)} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \operatorname{div}_x (\varrho \mathbf{w})$$

# Compactness lemma

$$\mathcal{R}_{i,j} = \partial_{x_i} \Delta^{-1} \partial_{x_j}$$

## A variant of Div-Curl lemma

$$\mathbf{v}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \text{ weakly in } L^p$$

$$\mathbf{w}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{w} \text{ weakly in } L^q$$

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} < 1$$

Then

$$v_n^i \mathcal{R}_{i,j} [w_n^j] - w_n^i \mathcal{R}_{i,j} [v_n^j] \rightarrow v^i \mathcal{R}_{i,j} [w^j] - w^i \mathcal{R}_{i,j} [v^j] \text{ weakly in } L^r$$

(summation convention)

# Commutator lemma

## Commutator lemma

$$\phi \in W^{1,r}(R^N), \mathbf{V} \in L^p(R^N; R^N)$$

$$1 < r < N, 1 < p < \infty, \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p} < 1 + \frac{1}{N}$$

Then

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{i,j}[\phi V_j] - \phi \mathcal{R}_{i,j}[V_j]\|_{W^{\beta,s}} \leq c \|\phi\|_{W^{1,r}} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{L^p}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

(summation convention)

for certain  $\beta > 0, s > 1$