INSTITUTE of MATHEMATICS

# Global smooth solution of the Cauchy problem for a model of radiative flow

*Bernard Ducomet*

*Šárka Nečasová*

ACADEMY of SCIENCES of the CZECH REPUBLIC

# Global smooth solution of the Cauchy problem for a model of radiative flow

Bernard Ducomet          Šárka Nečasová

CEA/DAM/DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

**Abstract**

We consider a simplified model of compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system coupled to the radiation. We prove existence and uniqueness of the solution for smooth data near a radiative equilibrium.

## 1  Introduction

We revisit a model of radiative flow investigated in [12] where the (non-relativistic) motion of the fluid is described by standard fluid mechanics giving the evolution of the mass density $\varrho = \varrho(t,x)$, the velocity field $\vec{u} = \vec{u}(t,x)$, and the absolute temperature $\vartheta = \vartheta(t,x)$ as functions of the time $t$ and the Eulerian spatial coordinate $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The effect of radiation appears through the radiative intensity $I = I(t,x,\vec{\omega},\nu)$, depending on the vector $\vec{\omega} \in \mathcal{S}^2$, where $\mathcal{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ denotes the unit sphere, and the frequency $\nu \geq 0$. The collective effect of radiation appears in the model as an average with respect to the variables $\vec{\omega}$ and $\nu$ of a quantity depending on $I$: the radiation energy $E^R$ given by

$$E^R(t,x) = \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \int_0^\infty I(t,x,\vec{\omega},\nu) \; \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega} \; \mathrm{d}\nu. \tag{1.1}$$

and the radiation momentum $\vec{F}^R$, given by

$$\vec{F}^R(t,x) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \int_0^\infty \vec{\omega} \; I(t,x,\vec{\omega},\nu) \; \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega} \; \mathrm{d}\nu. \tag{1.2}$$

The time evolution of $I$ itself is described by a transport equation with a source term depending on the absolute temperature, while the effect of radiation on the macroscopic motion of the fluid is represented by an extra source term in the energy equation evaluated in terms of $I$. More specifically, the system of equations to be studied reads as follows:

$$\partial_t \varrho + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho \vec{u}) = 0 \text{ in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \vec{u}) + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho \vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) + \nabla_x p = \mathrm{div}_x \mathbb{S} - \vec{S}_F \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\partial_t (\varrho e) + \mathrm{div}_x (\varrho e \vec{u}) + \mathrm{div}_x \vec{q} = \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \vec{u} - p \, \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} - S_E \text{ in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t I + \vec{\omega} \cdot \nabla_x I = S \text{ in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{S}^2. \tag{1.6}$$

In the right-hand sides of (1.4) and (1.5) appear the (radiative) momentum source

$$\vec{S}_F(t, x) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \int_0^\infty \vec{\omega} \cdot S(t, x, \vec{\omega}, \nu) \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega} \, \mathrm{d}\nu,$$

and the (radiative) energy source

$$S_E(t, x) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \int_0^\infty S(t, x, \vec{\omega}, \nu) \, \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega} \, \mathrm{d}\nu,$$

then, integrating (1.6) on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{S}^2$, one observes that (1.5) and (1.6) imply the total energy conservation

$$\partial_t \left( \varrho \left( \frac{1}{2}|\vec{u}|^2 + e \right) + E^R \right) + \mathrm{div}_x \left( \varrho \left( \frac{1}{2}|\vec{u}|^2 + e \right) \vec{u} + \vec{F}^R \right)$$

$$+ \mathrm{div}_x \left( p\vec{u} + \vec{q} - \mathbb{S}\vec{u} \right) = -\vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F \text{ in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{1.7}$$

The symbol $p = p(\varrho, \vartheta)$ denotes the thermodynamic pressure and $e = e(\varrho, \vartheta)$ is the specific internal energy.

The viscous stress tensor $\mathbb{S}$ is determined by

$$\mathbb{S} = 2\mu\mathbb{D} + \lambda \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} \, \mathbb{I}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathbb{D} \equiv (\nabla_x \vec{u} + \nabla_x^t \vec{u})$ is the strain tensor and $\mu(\varrho, \vartheta) > 0$ and $\lambda(\varrho, \vartheta) \geq 0$ are the viscosity coefficients. The right-hand side of (1.4) can be rewritten

$$\mathrm{div}_x \mathbb{S} = \mu\Delta\vec{u} + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla_x(\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u}) + 2\nabla_x \mu \cdot \mathbb{D} + \nabla_x \lambda \, \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u}. \tag{1.9}$$

The heat flux $\vec{q}$ is given by the Fourier's law

$$\vec{q} = -\kappa\nabla_x\vartheta, \tag{1.10}$$

2

with the heat conductivity coefficient $\kappa(\varrho, \vartheta) > 0$.

Finally,

$$S = S_{a,e} + S_s, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$S_{a,e} = \sigma_a\Big(B(\nu, \vartheta) - I\Big), \ S_s = \sigma_s\left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathcal{S}^2} I(\cdot, \vec{\omega}) \ \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega} - I\right), \tag{1.12}$$

with $B(\nu, \vartheta) = 2h\nu^3 c^{-2}\left(e^{\frac{h\nu}{k\vartheta}} - 1\right)^{-1}$ the radiative equilibrium function where $h$ and $k$ are the Planck and Boltzmann constants, $\sigma_a = \sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta) \geq 0$ is the absorption coefficient and $\sigma_s = \sigma_s(\nu, \vartheta) \geq 0$ is the scattering coefficient. More restrictions on these structural properties of constitutive quantities will be imposed in Section 2 below.

System (1.3 - 1.6) is supplemented with the initial conditions:

$$(\varrho, \vec{u}, \vartheta)(0, x) = (\varrho_0, \vec{u}_0, \vartheta_0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.13}$$

$$I(0, x, \nu, \vec{\omega}) = I_0(x, \nu, \vec{\omega}) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \vec{\omega} \in \mathcal{S}^2, \ \nu \in \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{1.14}$$

System (1.3 - 1.14) can be viewed as a simplied model in radiation hydrodynamics, the physical foundations of which were described by Pomraning [42] and Mihalas and Weibel-Mihalas [40] in the full framework of special relativity. Similar systems have been investigated more recently in astrophysics by Lowrie, Morel and Hittinger [36], Buet and Després [6], with a special attention to asymptotic regimes, see also Dubroca and Feugeas [10], Lin [33] and Lin, Coulombel and Goudon [34] for related numerical issues. Recall that a simplified version of the system (non conducting fluid at rest) has also been investigated by Golse and Perthame [22] (see also [23] [24]), where global existence was proved by means of the theory of nonlinear semi-groups under very general hypotheses on the transport coefficients.

Let us mention that a global existence result has been proved recently in the 3D setting in [12] for large data under some cut-off hypotheses on transport coefficients, a global existence result has also been proved in the stationary case in [31] for large data under different cut-off hypotheses on transport coefficient and that the low Mach number regime for a system close to (1.3 - 1.14) introduced by Seaïd, Teleaga and col. in [47] [11] [46], has also been investigated in [17]. Moreover a number of similar results concerning global existence and asymptotic behaviour for large times have also been considered in the recent past in the one-dimensional geometry [1, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Finally in the compressible but inviscid setting, the existence of a local-in-time solution has been proved recently in [48] together with the existence of global weak solutions to the Euler - Boltzmann equations in radiation hydrodynamics in [30] and the Cauchy problem for an inviscid diffusion model was investigated in [35].

Our goal in the following is to prove the global existence of a strong solution to (1.3 - 1.14). As far as a strong solution is concerned, we need to restrict ourselves to well prepared data in a neighbourhood of an equilibrium. A number of authors deal with global solutions of the perturbative compressible heat-conducting Navier-Stokes system, starting with the pioneer article by J. Nash [41]. Among these, let us quote the works of N. Itaya [28], A. Matsumura and T. Nishida [37][38], Bui An Ton [7], and recently D. Hoff [25] [26] [27] and S. Jiang [29].

In the following, we consider the global existence of a solution of the Cauchy problem for the system (1.3)-(1.6) near a (radiative) equilibrium, using the methods introduced by Hoff in [25] [26] [27], extending a previous work of S. Jiang [29] who considered a perfect gas without radiation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list the principal hypotheses imposed on constitutive relations and state the main result, in Section 3 we derive necessary $L^p$-estimates for the unknowns (density, velocity, temperature and radiative energy), in Section 4 we give the proof of Proposition 2.1 and in Section 5 we give the proof of Theorem 2.1. Finally in the Appendix is given, for completeness, a short proof of local existence of a solution for our Cauchy problem.

## 2 Hypotheses and main results

We suppose that the state functions ( pressure $p = p(\varrho, \vartheta)$ and internal energy $e = e(\varrho, \vartheta)$) are smooth positive functions of their arguments submitted to the thermodynamical constraint $\frac{\partial e}{\partial \vartheta} > 0$ and related through Maxwell's equation

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial \varrho} = \frac{1}{\varrho^2}\left(p(\varrho, \vartheta) - \vartheta\frac{\partial p}{\partial \vartheta}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

In order to simplify the arguments, we suppose in the sequel that the transport coefficients $\mu$, $\eta$, and $\kappa$ are positive constants. Moreover we assume that $\sigma_a$, $\sigma_s$, $B$ are continuous functions of $\nu$, $\vartheta$ such that

$$0 \leq \sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta), \sigma_s(\nu, \vartheta), |\partial_\vartheta \sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta)|, |\partial_\vartheta \sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta)| \leq c_1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$0 \leq \sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta)B(\nu, \vartheta), |\partial_\vartheta\{\sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta)B(\nu, \vartheta)\}| \leq c_2, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta), \sigma_s(\nu, \vartheta), \sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta)B(\nu, \vartheta) \leq h(\nu), \ h \in L^1(0, \infty), \tag{2.4}$$

for all $\nu \geq 0$, $\vartheta \geq 0$. Relations (2.2 - 2.4) represent "cut-off" hypotheses neglecting the effect of radiation at large frequencies $\nu$.

It will be convenient to replace (1.5) by the internal energy equation

$$\partial_t(\varrho e) + \text{div}_x(\varrho e\vec{u}) + \text{div}_x\vec{q} = \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x\vec{u} - p\text{div}_x\vec{u} - S_E. \tag{2.5}$$

4

Furthermore, dividing (2.5) by $\vartheta$ and using Maxwell's relation (2.1), we get the entropy equation

$$\partial_t \left( \varrho s \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \varrho s \vec{u} \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \frac{\vec{q}}{\vartheta} \right) = \varsigma, \qquad (2.6)$$

where

$$\varsigma = \frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \vec{u} - \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right) - \frac{S_E}{\vartheta} + \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F}{\vartheta}, \qquad (2.7)$$

where the first term $\varsigma_m := \frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \vec{u} - \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right)$ is the (positive) matter entropy production. In order to identify the second term we recall [2] the formula for the entropy of a photon gas

$$s^R = -\frac{2k}{c^3} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \nu^2 \left[ n \log n - (n+1) \log(n+1) \right] d\vec{\omega} d\nu, \qquad (2.8)$$

where $n = n(I) = \frac{c^2 I}{2h\nu^3}$ is the occupation number. Defining the radiative entropy flux

$$\vec{q}^R = -\frac{2k}{c^2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \nu^2 \left[ n \log n - (n+1) \log(n+1) \right] \vec{\omega} \ d\vec{\omega} d\nu, \qquad (2.9)$$

and using the radiative transfer equation, we get the equation

$$\partial_t s^R + \operatorname{div}_x \vec{q}^R = -\frac{k}{h} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \log \frac{n}{n+1} \ S \ d\vec{\omega} d\nu =: \varsigma^R. \qquad (2.10)$$

Checking the identity $\log \frac{n(B)}{n(B)+1} = \frac{h\nu}{k\vartheta}$ with $B = B(\vartheta, \nu)$ the Planck's function, and using the definition of $S$, the right-hand side of (2.10) rewrites

$$\varsigma^R = \frac{S_E}{\vartheta} - \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F}{\vartheta} - \frac{k}{h} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \left[ \log \frac{n(I)}{n(I)+1} - \log \frac{n(B)}{n(B)+1} \right] \sigma_a (B - I) \ d\vec{\omega} d\nu$$

$$- \frac{k}{h} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \left[ \log \frac{n(I)}{n(I)+1} - \log \frac{n(\tilde{I})}{n(\tilde{I})+1} \right] \sigma_s (\tilde{I} - I) \ d\vec{\omega} d\nu. \qquad (2.11)$$

where we used the hypothesis that the transport coefficients $\sigma_{a,s}$ do not depend on $\vec{\omega}$. So we obtain finally

$$\partial_t \left( \varrho s + s^R \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \varrho s \vec{u} + \vec{q}^R \right) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \frac{\vec{q}}{\vartheta} \right) = \varsigma^m + \varsigma^R. \qquad (2.12)$$

Let us observe after [6] that only the first two terms in the right-hand side of (2.11) have a definite sign, satisfying the positivity of entropy production rate and that the last integral involving $\vec{S}_F$ is only positive up to a relativistic $\frac{\vec{u}}{c}$ correction. This fact exhibit a lack of consistency of a model coupling a non-relativistic fluid to a relativistic photon flow.

Let us give now some definitions used throughout the paper.

For any second rank tensor (matrix) we write the complete contraction as $M : M \equiv \sum_{i,k=1}^{3} M_{ik} M_{ik}$.

We note $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (resp. $W^{m,p} := W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$) the standard Lebesgue (resp. Sobolev) spaces for $p \geq 1$, with norms $\| \cdot \|_{L^p}$ (resp. $\| \cdot \|_{W^{m,p}}$). For a function depending on space, angular variable and frequency, we will also note $L^p$ for $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. We write $\| \cdot \|$ for $\| \cdot \|_{L^2}$, $H^m$ for $W^{m,2}$ and $\int f \, dx$ for $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) \, dx$.

We denote the vorticity tensor by $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_x \vec{u} - \nabla_x^t \vec{u} \right)$ with components

$$\omega_{ij} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_i u_j - \partial_j u_i \right), \tag{2.13}$$

The lagrangian derivative of a quantity $f$ is given by

$$\dot{f} \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \, f := \partial_t f + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla_x f, \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover one has the commutation rule

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \varrho \, \Phi \, dx = \int \varrho \, \dot{\Phi} \, dx. \tag{2.15}$$

Recall the following Euler-Lagrange result (see Lemma 3.2 in [25])

**Lemma 2.1** *Let $t_0 \in [0, T]$ be fixed. We define the family of particle trajectories $x(y,t)$ by*

$$\frac{dx}{dt}(y,t) = \vec{u}(x(y,t), t), \quad x(y, t_0) = y.$$

*Let $g \in L^1(0, T)$, $g \geq 0$, and let $t \in [0, T]$. Then each of the integrals*

$$\int g(x(y,t), t) \, dy \quad and \quad \int g(x, t) \, dx,$$

*is bounded by $C$ times the other, where $C$ is a positive constant.*

The effective viscous pressure $F$ is defined generally by (see [19], and also [25],[26] in the constant coefficients case)

$$F(t) := \left( \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \nabla_x \right) : \mathbb{S} - (p - \bar{p}). \tag{2.16}$$

It is well defined provided $\mathbb{S} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^9))$ (see [19]) and the singular operator rewrites

$$\left( \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \nabla_x \right)_{i,j} \equiv -\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_i$ is the Riesz operator with symbol $\mathcal{R}_i(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_i}{|\xi|}$. Recall that [19] as $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant

$$\left( \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \nabla_x \right) : \mathbb{S} = \mathcal{F}_{\xi \to x}^{-1} \left[ i \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \left( \mu \left( \xi_j \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}[u_i] + \xi_i \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}[u_j] \right) + \lambda \delta_{i,j} \xi_k \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}[u_k] \right) \right]$$

$$\equiv (2\mu + \lambda)\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u},$$

and we get the simple formula

$$F(t) := (2\mu + \lambda)\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} - (p - \overline{p}) . \tag{2.17}$$

For an equilibrium state defined by $\left(\overline{\varrho}, 0, \overline{\vartheta}, \overline{I}\right)$, with $\overline{\varrho} > 0$, $\overline{\vartheta} > 0$ and $\overline{I} > 0$ with the compatibility condition $\overline{I} = B(\nu, \overline{\vartheta})$, we put

$$e_0 := \|\varrho_0 - \overline{\varrho}\| + \|\varrho_0 - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^1} + \|\vartheta_0 - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^1}$$
$$+ \|E_0^R - \overline{E^R}\| + \|\vec{U}_0\| + \|\omega_0\|_{L^4}^2 , \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$E_0 := e_0 + \|\nabla_x \varrho_0\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho_0\|_{L^\alpha} + \|\nabla_x I_0\| + \|\nabla_x I_0\|_{L^\alpha} + \|\nabla_x \vec{U}_0\|, \tag{2.19}$$

for $3 < \alpha < 6$, with

$$\vec{U}_0 := \varrho_0^{-1} \left( \mu \Delta \vec{u}_0 + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla_x \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u}_0 - \nabla_x p(\varrho_0, \vartheta_0) \right),$$

$$\omega_0 := \omega_0|_{t=0} ,$$

and

$$\overline{E^R} = \int_0^\infty B(\nu, \overline{\vartheta}) \, d\nu.$$

Finally for a $T > 0$, we measure the size of the solution by the quantity

$$A(T) := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\{ \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 \right.$$

$$+ \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|^2 + \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \phi^2 \|\Delta\vartheta\|^2 + \phi\|\dot\vartheta\|^2 \bigg\} (t)$$

$$+ \int_0^T \left\{ \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \omega\|^2 + \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\dot\vartheta\|^2 + \|\dot{E^R}\|^2 \right.$$

$$+ \|\Delta\vartheta\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \phi\|\nabla_x \dot\vartheta\|^2 + \|\nabla_x I\|^2 \bigg\} (t) \, dt, \tag{2.20}$$

where $\phi \equiv \phi(t) := \min\{t, 1\}$.

The main result of the present paper can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let* $\left(\varrho_0 - \overline{\varrho}, \vec{u}_0, \vartheta_0 - \overline{\vartheta}, E_0^R - \overline{E^R}\right) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $\inf \vartheta_0 > 0$. *Then there exists a positive constant* $\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$ *depending only on the physical constants such that if* $E_0 \leq \varepsilon_1$, *the Cauchy problem (1.3 - 1.6), (1.3, 1.6) has a global unique solution* $(\varrho, \vec{u}, \vartheta, I)$ *satisfying*

$$\left(\varrho - \overline{\varrho}, \vec{u}, \vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}, E^R - \overline{E^R}\right) \in C([0, \infty), H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$\partial_t \vec{u}, \partial_t \vartheta \in C([0, \infty), H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, \infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$\partial_t \varrho \in C([0, \infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, \infty), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \, \overline{\varrho}, \ \sup_{t \geq 0} \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \, \overline{E^R}, \ \inf_{\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}_+} \vartheta(x, t) > 0.$$

Using the same strategies as in [25] and [29], the proof of Theorem 2.1 will be achieved provided that we prove a local existence result for the Cauchy problem (1.3 - 1.6), (1.3, 1.6) on a small interval $[0, T_*]$ which will be obtained by using a fixed point theorem (see the Appendix), and provided we prove suitable global estimates allowing to continuate the solution beyond $T_*$.

These a-priori estimates are the matter of the following proposition

**Proposition 2.1** *Let $(\varrho, \vec{u}, \vartheta, I)$ be a smooth solution of (1.3 - 1.6), (1.3, 1.6) defined for $t \in [0, T]$. Then there exist positive constants $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and $K$ depending only on the physical constants such that if $e_0 \leq \varepsilon$ then*

$$A(T) \leq Ke_0^2, \quad \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\{ \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} + \phi(t)\|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \leq Ke_0,$$

*and for all $q \in (2, \infty)$*

$$\left\{ \|\varrho(T) - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vartheta(T) - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^q} + \|\vec{u}(T)\|_{L^q} + \|E^R(T) - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \to 0,$$

*as $T \to \infty$.*

Our main goal in the following will be to prove Proposition 2.1. As our system is a perturbation of the viscous compressible Navier-Stokes system studied by S. Jiang in [29], we essentially follow his strategy (see also the closely related works of D. Hoff [25],[26] and [27]) and insist only on the difficulties involved in the radiative coupling. As evoked previously, once we have shown Proposition 2.1, the proof of Theorem 2.1 will follow the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [29] so we will only sketch its proof in the last Section.

# 3 A priori estimates on radiative and hydrodynamic variables

Let $e_0 \leq 1$ and assume that $(\varrho, \vartheta, I)$ satisfy

$$|\varrho(x,t) - \overline{\varrho}| < C, \quad \phi(t)|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}| < C, \quad |E^R - \overline{E^R}| < C, \qquad (3.1)$$

where $C \leq \frac{1}{2} \min\{\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}, \overline{E^R}\}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in (0, T)$.

## 3.1 $L^p$-estimates for density

We will show in this section that $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\int_0^T \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^4}^4 \, dt$ can be bounded by suitable powers of $e_0$ and $A$.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let $1 < p < \infty$. The following estimates hold for the velocity $\vec{u}$ and the effective viscous flux $F$*

$$\|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^p} \leq C \left( \|F\|_{L^p} + \|\omega\|_{L^p} + \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^p} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^p} + \|I - \overline{I}\|_{L^p} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|\nabla_x F\|_{L^p} \leq C \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^p} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^p} + \|I - \overline{I}\|_{L^p} \right). \tag{3.3}$$

$$\int_0^t \|F\|_{L^4} \, ds \leq C A^2(T), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\int_0^t \|F\|_{L^\infty} \, ds \leq C A^{1/2}(T), \tag{3.5}$$

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla_x \vec{u} : \nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 \, ds \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^2(T) + \int_0^t \|\omega : \omega\|^2 ds \right). \tag{3.6}$$

**Proof:**

1. Applying divergence operator to (1.4) we get after (2.16)

$$\Delta p = \mathrm{div}_x \mathrm{div}_x \mathbb{S} - \mathrm{div}_x \varrho \dot{\vec{u}} - \mathrm{div}_x \vec{S}_F. \tag{3.7}$$

   Then

$$\Delta F = \Delta \left( \nabla_x \Delta^{-1} \nabla_x : \mathbb{S} - (p - \overline{p}) \right) = \mathrm{div}_x \varrho \dot{\vec{u}} - \mathrm{div}_x \vec{S}_F.$$

   As $\overline{I}$ and the radiative coefficients are independent of $\omega$, we have

$$\vec{S}_F(\vartheta, I) = \vec{S}_F(\vartheta, I) - \vec{S}_F(\overline{\vartheta}, \overline{I}) = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \int_0^\infty \vec{\omega} \left[ S(t, x, \vec{\omega}, \nu) - \overline{S} \right] \, d\vec{\omega} \, d\nu$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \int_0^\infty c\vec{\omega} \left[ \Sigma(\nu, \vartheta) I(t, x, \vec{\omega}, \nu) - \Sigma(\nu, \overline{\vartheta}) \overline{I} \right] d\vec{\omega} \, d\nu +$$

$$+ \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \int_0^\infty c\vec{\omega} \left[ \sigma_a(B - \overline{B}) + \sigma_S(\tilde{I} - \overline{I}) \right] d\vec{\omega} \, d\nu,$$

   with $\Sigma(\nu, \vartheta) = \sigma_a(\nu, \vartheta) + \sigma_s(\nu, \vartheta)$. Taking Fourier transform implies, after (2.17)

$$\partial_j \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}[F] = \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}[\varrho \dot{\vec{u}}] - \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}[\vec{S}_F - \overline{\vec{S}_F}].$$

   Using the Hörmander-Mikhlin theorem (see [21],[45]) with Fourier multiplier $m(\xi) := \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2}$, we find (3.3).

2. Estimate (3.2) is proved in the same stroke by introducing the vorticity and the definition of $F$ in (1.4).

   In fact checking the identity

$$(\lambda + 2\mu)\Delta \vec{u} = \nabla_x F + (\lambda + 2\mu)\mathrm{div}_x \omega + \nabla_x(p - \overline{p}) - \vec{S}_F + \overline{\vec{S}_F}, \tag{3.8}$$

   observing that, using a Taylor expansion, $p - \overline{p}$ rewrites

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) - p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\varrho}) = \int_0^1 \left[ (\varrho - \overline{\varrho}) p_\varrho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta} + s((\varrho - \overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \right.$$

9

$$+(\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta})p_\vartheta(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta} + s((\varrho - \overline{\varrho})] \ ds, \qquad (3.9)$$

and taking Fourier transform in (3.8), we get

$$(\lambda + 2\mu)\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}[\partial_i u_j] = \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \ \mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}[F] + (\lambda + 2\mu)\frac{\xi_k \xi_i}{|\xi|^2} \ \mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}[\omega_{jk}]$$

$$+ \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \ \mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}[p - \overline{p}] - \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \ \mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}[\vec{S}_F - \overline{\vec{S}}_F].$$

Applying once more the Hörmander-Mikhlin theorem with the same Fourier multiplier $m(\xi) \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2}$, we find (3.2).

3. Estimates (3.4) and (3.5) follows from the definition of $A(T)$.

4. Estimate (3.6) is a consequence of (3.2) and (3.3).

Then we can estimate $\int_0^T \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^4}^4 \ dt$.

**Lemma 3.2**     *1.*

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_0^T \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^4}^4 \ dt \leq C(e_0^4 + A^2(T)), \qquad (3.10)$$

*2.*

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(e_0 + A^{1/2}(T)), \qquad (3.11)$$

**Proof:**

1. Using the definition of $F$, we rewrite (1.3) as

$$(\lambda + 2\mu) \ \frac{d}{dt}(\varrho - \overline{\varrho}) = -\varrho F - \varrho(p - \overline{p}), \qquad (3.12)$$

and multiplying by $(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^3$, we get

$$\frac{1}{4} \ (\lambda + 2\mu) \ \frac{d}{dt}(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^4 = -\varrho(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^3 F - \varrho(p - \overline{p})(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^3.$$

Using the previous decomposition

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) - p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) = (\varrho - \overline{\varrho}) \int_0^1 \partial_\varrho p(\overline{\varrho} + s(\varrho - \overline{\varrho}), \vartheta) \ ds$$

$$+ (\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}) \int_0^1 \partial_\vartheta p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta} + s(\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta})) \ ds,$$

and observing that, by (3.1) and thermodynamical stability

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\varrho p(\overline{\varrho} + s(\varrho - \overline{\varrho}), \vartheta) \geq C_1(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}), \\ \left|\partial_\vartheta p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta} + s(\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}))\right| \leq C_2(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}), \end{cases} \qquad (3.13)$$

for two positive constants $C_1, C_2$. We get after (3.1)

$$\frac{1}{4}(\lambda+2\mu)\frac{d}{dt}(\varrho-\overline{\varrho})^4+C_1\frac{\overline{\varrho}}{2}(\varrho-\overline{\varrho})^4 \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(\varrho-\overline{\varrho})^4+C_\varepsilon F^4+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}(\varrho-\overline{\varrho})^4+C_\varepsilon(\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta})^4.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon < \frac{C_1\overline{\varrho}}{2}$, integrating on a fixed particle trajectory $x(y,t)$ and using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$(\varrho-\overline{\varrho})^4(x(y,t),t) + \frac{2C_1\overline{\varrho}}{\lambda+2\mu}\int_0^t(\varrho-\overline{\varrho})^4(x(y,s),s)\,ds$$

$$\le (\varrho_0(y)-\overline{\varrho})^4 + \frac{4C_\varepsilon}{\lambda+2\mu}\int_0^t(F^4+(\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta})^4)(x(y,s),s)\,ds. \qquad (3.14)$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 and using the definitions of $F$ and $A(T)$ and the inequality $\|w\|_{L^4} \le C\|w\|^{1/4}\|\nabla_x w\|^{3/4}$, we have

$$\int_0^t(F^4+(\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta})^4)(x(y,s),s)\,ds \le \int_0^t(\|F\|\ \|\nabla_x F\|^3+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|\ \|\nabla_x\vartheta\|^3)(x(y,s),s)\,ds$$

$$\le C\int_0^t\left\{\left(\|\nabla_x\vec{u}\|+\|\varrho-\overline{\varrho}\|+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|\right)\left(\|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^3+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^3}^3+\|I-\overline{I}\|_{L^3}^3\right)\right\}x(y,s),s)\,ds$$

$$+C\int_0^t\left\{\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|\|\nabla_x\vartheta\|^3\right\}(x(y,s),s)\,ds$$

$$\le CA(T)\int_0^t\left(\|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2+\|\nabla_x\vartheta\|^2+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|^2+\|I-\overline{I}\|_2\right)\,ds \le CA^2(T),\ \text{ for } t \in [0,T].$$

Plugging into (3.14), integrating on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and using Lemma 2.1, we get (3.10).

2. Suppose first that $T \le 1$ and integrate (3.12) on trajectories. We find

$$\|\varrho-\overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} \le \|\varrho_0-\overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}+\frac{\overline{\varrho}}{\lambda+2\mu}\int_0^t\left(\|F\|_{L^\infty}+C_1\|\varrho-\overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}+C_2\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty}\right)\,ds,$$

so

$$\|\varrho-\overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} \le e_0 + C\int_0^t\|\varrho-\overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}\,ds + C\int_0^t\left(\|F\|_{L^\infty}+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty}\right)\,ds, \qquad (3.15)$$

for $0 \le t \le T \le 1$. The last term is bounded as follows

$$\int_0^t\left(\|F\|_{L^\infty}+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty}\right)\,ds \le C\int_0^t\left(\|F\|_{L^4}+\|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^4}+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^2}\right)\,ds$$

$$\le C\int_0^t\left\{\left(\|\nabla_x\vec{u}\|^{1/4}+\|\varrho-\overline{\varrho}\|^{1/4}+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|^{1/4}\right)\left(\|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^{3/4}+\|\vartheta-\overline{\vartheta}\|^{3/4}+\|I-\overline{I}\|^{3/4}\right)\right\}\,ds$$

11

$$+ \int_0^t \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^{1/4} \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^{3/4}\, ds + C \left( \int_0^t \left( \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 \right)\, ds \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C A(T)^{1/8} \left( \int_0^t \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 \right)\, ds \right)^{3/8}$$

$$+ C \left( \int_0^t \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 \right)\, ds \right)^{1/8} \left( \int_0^t \phi^{-3/4}(s)\, ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t \left( \phi \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 \right)\, ds \right)^{3/8} + C A(T)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C A(T)^{1/2}.$$

Plugging into (3.15) and using Gronwall's inequality we get (3.11) when $T \leq 1$.

For $T \geq 1$, multiplying (3.12) by $\varrho - \overline{\varrho}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\, (\lambda + 2\mu)\, \frac{d}{dt}(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^2 = -\varrho(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^2 F - \varrho(p - \overline{p})(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^2.$$

We get after (3.1)

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^2 + \frac{\overline{\varrho} C_1}{\lambda + 2\mu}(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})^2 \leq C \left( \|F\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_2 \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right).$$

Reasoning as above by integrating on a fixed particle trajectory $x(y,t)$ and using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \|\varrho_0 - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-s)} \left( \|F\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^4}^2 \right)\, ds,$$

with $\beta = \frac{\overline{\varrho} C_1}{\lambda + 2\mu}$. Then

$$\|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \|\varrho_0 - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \sup_{t \in [1,T]} \left( \|F\|^{1/2} \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^{3/2} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^1}^2 \right)(t)$$

$$+ C \int_0^t \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 \right)\, ds \leq C(e_0^2 + A(T)), \qquad (3.16)$$

for $1 \leq t \leq T$ and estimate (3.11) then also follows for $T \geq 1$.

## 3.2   Estimates for the radiative quantities

Making use of the "cut-off" hypothesis (2.2), we first deduce a uniform bound

$$0 \leq I(t, x, \nu, \vec{\omega})$$

$$\leq c(T)(1 + \sup_{x \in \Omega,\ \nu \geq 0, \vec{\omega} \in \mathcal{S}^2} I_0) \leq c(T)(1 + I_0) \text{ for any } t \in [0, T]. \qquad (3.17)$$

Observe that hypothesis (2.4) together with (3.17) yield

$$\|S_E\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} + \|\vec{S}_F\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C, \qquad (3.18)$$

which, combined with hypothesis (3.1), implies

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\vartheta}S_E\right\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)} + \left\|\frac{1}{\vartheta}\vec{S}_F\right\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega;\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C. \tag{3.19}$$

Finally using a result concerning the velocity averages over the sphere $\mathcal{S}^2$ established by Golse et al. [23, 24], see also Bournaveas and Perthame [5], and hypothesis (2.4), we have the following result (see [23]):

**Lemma 3.3** *Let $I \in L^q([0,T]\times R^{n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2)$, $\partial_t I+\omega\cdot\nabla_x I \in L^q([0,T]\times R^{n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2)$ for a certain $q > 1$. In addition, let $I_0 \equiv I(0,\cdot) \in L^\infty(R^{n+1}\times\mathcal{S}^2)$.*
*Then*
$$\tilde{I} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} I(\cdot,\nu) \; d\vec{\omega}$$

*belongs to the space $W^{s,q}([0,T]\times R^{n+1})$ for any $s$, $0 < s < \inf\{1/q, 1-1/q\}$, and*
$$\|\tilde{I}\|_{W^{s,q}} \le c(I_0)(\|I\|_{L^q} + \|\partial_t I + \omega\cdot\nabla I\|_{L^q}).$$

**Remark:** Note that improved average lemma techniques have been developed in a recent work of Berthelin and Junca [4].

**Lemma 3.4** *1.*
$$\sup_{t\ge 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathcal{S}^2}\left|I-\overline{I}\right|^4 \; d\vec{\omega} \; d\nu \; dx$$

$$+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathcal{S}^2}\left|I-\overline{I}\right|^4 \; d\vec{\omega} \; d\nu \; dx \; dt \le C(e_0^4 + A^2(T)), \tag{3.20}$$

*2.*
$$\sup_{t\ge 0}\|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty} \le C(e_0 + A^{1/2}(T)), \tag{3.21}$$

**Proof:** The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 3.2 so we only give the main lines.

1. From the transport equation (1.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\partial_t(I-\overline{I})^4 + \frac{c}{4}\operatorname{div}_x\left(\vec{\omega}\cdot\nabla_x(I-\overline{I})^4\right) + (\sigma_a + \sigma_s)(I-\overline{I})^4$$

$$= \sigma_a(B-\overline{B})(I-\overline{I})^3 + \sigma_s(\tilde{I}-\overline{I})(I-\overline{I})^3.$$

Integrating with respect to $\vec{\omega}, \nu$ and $x$ and using Young inequality we find

$$\sup_{t\ge 0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathcal{S}^2}\left|I-\overline{I}\right|^4 \; d\vec{\omega} \; d\nu \; dx$$

$$+\int_0^T(\sigma_a + \sigma_s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathcal{S}^2}\left|I-\overline{I}\right|^4 \; d\vec{\omega} \; d\nu \; dx \; dt$$

13

$$\leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \sigma_a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \left| I - \overline{I} \right|^4 \; d\vec{\omega} \; d\nu \; dx \; dt + C_\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \vartheta - \overline{\vartheta} \right|^4 \; dx \; ds.$$

Observing that

$$\int_0^T \left\| \vartheta - \overline{\vartheta} \right\|_{L^4}^4 \; ds \leq \int_0^T \left\| \vartheta - \overline{\vartheta} \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla_x \vartheta \right\|^3 ds \leq CA(T) \int_0^T \left\| \nabla_x \vartheta \right\|^2 \; ds,$$

we get (3.20).

2. Suppose first that $T \leq 1$.

¿From the transport equation (1.6), we have

$$\partial_t (I - \overline{I}) + \text{div}_x \left( c\vec{\omega} \cdot \nabla_x I \right) = \sigma_a (B - \overline{B}) - \sigma_a (I - \overline{I}) + \sigma_s (\tilde{I} - I). \quad (3.22)$$

Integrating with respect to $\vec{\omega}, \nu$ and using the characteristics, we have

$$\|\tilde{E}^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\tilde{E}_0^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty} + C \int_0^t \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} \; ds. \quad (3.23)$$

Observing that

$$\int_0^t \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} \; ds \leq \int_0^t \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^2} \; ds$$

$$\leq C \left( \int_0^t \left( \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 \right) \; ds \right)^{1/2} \leq CA(T)^{1/2},$$

we get

$$\|\tilde{E}^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty} \leq CA(T)^{1/2}.$$

Plugging in (3.23), we find (3.21).

For $1 \leq t \leq T \geq 1$, using the method of characteristics, multiplying (3.22) by $e^{\zeta t}(I - \overline{I})$ and integrating with respect to $\vec{\omega}, \nu$ and $t$, we get

$$\|E^R(t) - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \|E^R(1) - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \int_1^t e^{-\zeta(t-s)} \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} \; ds,$$

with $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\sigma_a} + \underline{\sigma_a})$. Then

$$\|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq \|E^R(1) - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C \sup_{s \in [1,T]} \left( \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^1}^2 (t) + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 \right)(s),$$

so we conclude that

$$\|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \leq C(e_0^2 + A(T)),$$

for $1 \leq t \leq T$ and estimate (3.21) then also follows for $T \geq 1$.

## 3.3  $L^p$-estimates for vorticity, velocity and temperature

In the spirit of [29], we have vorticity-velocity estimates. We will adopt the slightly incorrect notation $\omega^p$ for $(\omega_{ik})^p$

**Lemma 3.5** *The following bounds hold*

1.

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_0^T \left(\|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega\|_{L^6}^6\right) \, dt \leq C\left(e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3\right), \quad (3.24)$$

2.

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^4}^4 \, dt \leq C\left(e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3\right). \quad (3.25)$$

**Proof:**

1. Applying $\partial_k$ to equation (1.4), we get

$$\rho\frac{d}{dt}\partial_k u_i = \mu\Delta\partial_k u_i + (\lambda+\mu)\partial_k\partial_i \mathrm{div}_x\vec{u}$$

$$-\partial_k\varrho \ \dot{u}_i - \varrho\partial_k((\vec{u}\cdot\nabla_x)u_i) - \partial_k\partial_i p - \partial_k S_{Fi}.$$

Subtracting the same relation with $k \leftrightarrow i$ we have

$$\rho\frac{d}{dt}\omega_{ik} = \mu\Delta\omega_{ik} - \partial_k\varrho \ \dot{u}_i + \partial_i\varrho \ \dot{u}_k$$

$$+\varrho\left[\partial_i(\vec{u}\cdot\nabla_x)u_k - \partial_k(\vec{u}\cdot\nabla_x)u_i\right] - \partial_k S_{Fi} + \partial_i S_{Fk}. \quad (3.26)$$

Multiplying by $(\omega:\omega)\omega_{ik}$ and integrating by parts on $[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^3$, we get

$$\|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_0^t \int \omega^2|\nabla_x\omega|^2 \, dx \, ds \leq C(e_0^2 + A^2)$$

$$+C\int_0^t \int \left(|\nabla_x\vec{u}|^2\omega|^3 + |\dot{\vec{u}}||\omega|^2|\nabla_x\omega| + |\omega|^3|\nabla_x\dot{\vec{u}}|\right) \, dx \, ds$$

$$+C\left|\int_0^t \int \omega^3\left(\partial_k S_{Fi} - \partial_i S_{Fk}\right) \, dx \, ds\right| \quad (3.27)$$

After the definition of $F$, using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we find

$$\int_0^t \int \omega^4 \, dx \, ds \leq \int_0^t \int \left(|\omega|^{10/3} + |\omega|^6\right) \, dx \, ds$$

$$\leq C\int_0^t \left(\|\omega\|^{4/3}\|\nabla_x\omega\|^2 + \|\omega\|^6\right) \, ds \leq CA^{5/3} + C\int_0^t \|\omega\|^6 \, ds.$$

15

So, adding $\int_0^t \int \left(|\omega|^4 + |\omega|^6\right) \ dx \ ds$ to (3.27), we get

$$\|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_0^t \int \omega^2 \left(|\omega|^2 + |\omega|^3 + |\nabla_x \omega|^2\right) \ dx \ ds \leq C \left(e_0^2 + A^2 + A^{5/3}\right)$$

$$+\varepsilon \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^4}^4 \ ds + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \|\omega\|^6 \ ds + C \int_0^t \int \left(|\dot{\vec{u}}|^2 |\omega|^2 + |\omega|^3 |\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}|\right) \ dx \ ds$$

$$+\left|\int_0^t \int (\omega : \omega) \omega_{ik} \left(\partial_k S_{Fi} - \partial_i S_{Fk}\right) \ dx \ ds\right| =: \Omega. \qquad (3.28)$$

Integrating by parts in $J := \int_0^t \int \omega^2 \omega_{ik} (\partial_k (S_F - \overline{S_F})_i) - \partial_i (S_F - \overline{S_F})_k)| \ dx \ ds$, we see that

$$J = -2 \int_0^t \int \partial_k \left(\omega_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{ik}\right) \left(S_F - \overline{S_F}\right)_i \ dx \ ds.$$

Then

$$|J| \leq C \left[e_0^2 + \sum_j \int_0^t \int |\partial_k \left(\omega_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \omega_{ik}\right)|^2 \left(S_F - \overline{S_F}\right)_i \ dx \ ds\right]$$

and using (3.21)

$$|J| \leq C \left[e_0^2 + \sup_{[0,T]} \left\{\int |\omega|^4 \ dx \cdot \int_0^t \int |\nabla_x \omega|^2 \ dx \ ds + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}\right\}\right]$$

$$\leq C \left(e_0^2 + A^2\right).$$

Plugging into (3.28), we get

$$|\Omega| \leq C \left(e_0^2 + A^2 + A^{5/3}\right) + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 \ ds + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \|\omega\|^6 \ ds$$

$$+C \left(\int_0^t \int \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^3}^3 \ ds\right)^{2/3} \left(\int_0^t \|\omega\|^6 \ ds\right)^{1/3} + CA^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \|\omega\|^6 \ ds\right)^{1/2}.$$

Applying now Gagliardo Nirenberg's inequality, we get

$$\int_0^t \int \|\omega\|_{L^6}^6 \ dx \leq CA^{3/4} \int_0^t \int \|\omega |\nabla_x \omega|\|^2 \ dx, \qquad (3.29)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \int \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^3}^3 \ dx \leq CA^{3/2}, \qquad (3.30)$$

then plugging (3.29) and (3.30) into (3.28), we obtain

$$\|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_0^t \left(\|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega\|_{L^6}^6\right) \ ds \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^4} \left(e_0^2 + A^3 + A^{4/3}\right) + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 \ ds$$

and taking $\varepsilon$ small enough, we get (3.24).

16

2. After Lemma 3.1

$$\|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^4} \leq C \left( \|F\|_{L^4} + \|\omega\|_{L^4} + \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^4} + \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^4} \right),$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^4} \ ds \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^2 \right) + C \int_0^t \|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 \ ds.$$

Using (3.24) gives (3.25).

**Lemma 3.6** *The following bound holds*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 + \|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|^2 \right)$$

$$+ \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 \right) \ dt \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right), \qquad (3.31)$$

**Proof:**

1. Let us consider first the case $T \leq 1$. Multiplying (1.4) and (1.5) respectively by $\vec{u}$ and $\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}$ and integrating by parts on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we get

$$\|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 \right) \ ds$$

$$\leq C e_0^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 \right) \ ds + C \int_0^t \int \left( |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^4 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^4 \right) \ dx \ ds$$

$$+ \left| \int_0^t \int (\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}) S_E \ dx \ ds \right| + \left| \int_0^t \int \vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F \ dx \ ds \right|, \qquad (3.32)$$

where the last two terms are respectively bounded by $C \left( \int_0^t \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 \ ds + e_0^2 \right)$ and $C \left( \int_0^t \|\vec{u}\|^2 \ ds + e_0^2 \right)$.

Multiplying the equation $\dot{\varrho} = -\varrho \ \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u}$ by $\varrho(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})$ and integrating on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we get

$$\|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 \leq C \int_0^t \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 \ ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 \ ds.$$

Adding to (3.32) and applying Gronwall's inequality, we find

$$\|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 + \|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 \right) \ ds$$

$$\leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right),$$

for any $0 \leq t \leq T \leq 1$.

17

2. When $T \geq 1$, we consider [21] the Helmholtz function

$$H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\varrho, \vartheta) = \varrho \left[ e(\varrho, \vartheta) - \overline{\vartheta} s(\varrho, \vartheta) \right],$$

and from (1.7) we have the energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^2 + E^R + H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\varrho, \vartheta) - (\varrho - \overline{\varrho}) \partial_\varrho H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) - H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) - \overline{\vartheta} \varrho s \right] dx$$

$$= - \int \vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F \ dx.$$

¿From the equation of entropy we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \overline{\vartheta} \rho s \ dx = \int \left\{ \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\vartheta} \left[ \mu (\operatorname{div}_x \vec{u})^2 + 2\mu \mathbb{D} : \mathbb{D} \right] + \kappa \overline{\vartheta} \frac{|\nabla_x \vartheta|^2}{\vartheta^2} \right\} dx$$

$$+ \int \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\vartheta} \left( \vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F - S_E \right) \ dx. \tag{3.33}$$

Recalling the definition of the radiative entropy production rate

$$\overline{\vartheta} \, \varsigma^R = \overline{\vartheta} \, \frac{S_E}{\vartheta} - \overline{\vartheta} \, \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F}{\vartheta}$$

$$- \frac{k\overline{\vartheta}}{h} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \left[ \log \frac{n(I)}{n(I)+1} - \log \frac{n(B)}{n(B)+1} \right] \sigma_a (B - I) \ d\vec{\omega} d\nu$$

$$- \frac{k\overline{\vartheta}}{h} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \left[ \log \frac{n(I)}{n(I)+1} - \log \frac{n(\tilde{I})}{n(\tilde{I})+1} \right] \sigma_s (\tilde{I} - I) \ d\vec{\omega} d\nu.$$

plugging into (3.33) and integrating on $[1, t] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we find

$$\int \left[ \frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^2 + H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\varrho, \vartheta) - (\varrho - \overline{\varrho}) \partial_\varrho - H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) + E^R - \overline{\vartheta} s^R - \overline{E^R} \right] dx$$

$$+ \overline{\vartheta} \int_1^t \int \left\{ \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{\vartheta} \, \mu (\operatorname{div}_x \vec{u})^2 + 2\mu \mathbb{D} : \mathbb{D} + \kappa \overline{\vartheta} \frac{|\nabla_x \vartheta|^2}{\vartheta^2} \right\} \ dx \ ds$$

$$+ \frac{k\overline{\vartheta}}{h} \int_1^t \int \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \left[ \log \frac{n(I)}{n(I)+1} - \log \frac{n(B)}{n(B)+1} \right] \sigma_a (B-I) \ d\vec{\omega} \ d\nu \ dx \ ds$$

$$+ \frac{k\overline{\vartheta}}{h} \int_1^t \int \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \left[ \log \frac{n(I)}{n(I)+1} - \log \frac{n(\tilde{I})}{n(\tilde{I})+1} \right] \sigma_s (\tilde{I}-I) \ d\vec{\omega} \ d\nu \ dx \ ds$$

$$= \int \left[ \frac{1}{2} \vec{u}^2 + H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\varrho, \vartheta) - (\varrho - \overline{\varrho}) \partial_\varrho - H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) + E^R - \overline{\vartheta} s^R \right]_{t=1} dx - \int \vec{u} \cdot \vec{S}_F \ dx.$$

Using the convexity of the functions $H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\varrho, \vartheta) - (\varrho - \overline{\varrho})\partial_\varrho - H_{\overline{\vartheta}}(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta})$ and $E^R - \overline{\vartheta}s^R - \overline{E^R}$ and hypothesis (3.1), we conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 + \|\vec{u}\|^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|^2 \right)$$

$$+ \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 \right) \, dt \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right),$$

for $T \geq 1$.

## 3.4 Estimates for derivatives

**Lemma 3.7** *The following bounds hold*

1.

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 \right) + \int_0^T \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\dot{\vartheta}\|^2 \right) \, dt$$

$$\leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right), \tag{3.34}$$

2.

$$\int_0^T \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 \, dt \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right). \tag{3.35}$$

3.

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla_x \omega\|^2 \, dt \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right). \tag{3.36}$$

**Proof:**

1. Using the formula

$$\int_0^t \int f \dot{g} \, dx \, ds = \int f g \, dx \Big|_0^t - \int_0^t \int \dot{f} g \, dx \, ds - \int_0^t \int f g \, \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} \, dx \, ds,$$

multiplying (1.4) by $\dot{\vec{u}}$ and (1.5) by $\dot{\vartheta}$, adding and integrating by parts, we get

$$\int \varrho \left( \dot{\vec{u}}^2 + e_\vartheta \dot{\vartheta}^2 \right) \, dx$$

$$\leq -\frac{d}{dt} \int \left\{ \mu |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u})^2 + \kappa |\nabla_x \vartheta|^2 \right\} \, dx$$

$$- \int \left( \nabla_x p \cdot \dot{\vec{u}} + \dot{\vartheta} S_E + \dot{\vec{u}} \cdot \vec{S}_F \right) \, dx$$

$$+ C \int \left\{ \vartheta^2 |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 + |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^4 + |\nabla_x \vec{u}||\nabla_x \vartheta|^2 + |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^3 \right\} \, dx.$$

19

Integrating in $t$ and using Young's inequality

$$\frac{\overline{\varrho}}{2}\int_0^t \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \underline{e_\vartheta}\dot{\vartheta}^2 \right)\ dx \le Ce_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left( \mu\|\nabla_x\vec{u}\|^2 + \kappa\|\nabla_x\vartheta\|^2 \right)$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int \left\{ (p - \overline{p})\mathrm{div}_x\dot{\vec{u}} - S_E\dot{\vartheta} \right\}\ dx$$

$$+C\int_0^t \int \left( |\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}|^4 + |\nabla_x\vec{u}|^2 + |\nabla_x\vec{u}|^4 + |\nabla_x\vartheta|^{8/3} \right)\ dx\ ds.$$

Observe now that

$$\int_0^t \int (p - \overline{p})\mathrm{div}_x\dot{\vec{u}}\ dx \le \int_0^t \int (p - \overline{p})\frac{d}{dt}\ \mathrm{div}_x\vec{u}\ dx\ ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int (1 + |\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}|)|\nabla_x\vec{u}|^2\ dx\ ds$$

$$\le \int (p - \overline{p})\frac{d}{dt}\ \mathrm{div}_x\vec{u}\ dx\Big|_0^t - \int_0^t \int \dot{p}\mathrm{div}_x\vec{u}\ dx\ ds - \int_0^t \int p(\mathrm{div}_x\vec{u})^2\ dx\ ds$$

$$\le \int (p - \overline{p})\frac{d}{dt}\ \mathrm{div}_x\vec{u}\ dx\Big|_0^t + \int_0^t \int p_\varrho\varrho(\mathrm{div}_x\vec{u})^2\ dx\ ds$$

$$- \int_0^t \int p_\vartheta\dot{\vartheta}\mathrm{div}_x\vec{u}\ dx\ ds - \int_0^t \int p(\mathrm{div}_x\vec{u})^2\ dx\ ds$$

$$\le C(e_0^2 + \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|^2 + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2) + \frac{1}{4}\mu\|\nabla_x\vec{u}\|^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\overline{\varrho}\underline{e_\vartheta}\int_0^t \|\dot{\vartheta}\|^2\ ds + C\int_0^t \int \left( |\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}|^4 + |\nabla_x\vec{u}|^2 + |\nabla_x\vec{u}|^4 \right)\ dx\ ds. \quad (3.37)$$

Let us estimate the right-hand side. We find first

$$\left| \int_0^t \int (p - \overline{p})\mathrm{div}_x\dot{\vec{u}}\ dx \right| \le C\left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right) + \frac{1}{4}\mu\|\nabla_x\vec{u}\|^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\overline{\varrho}\ \underline{e_\vartheta}\int_0^t \|\dot{\vartheta}\|^2\ ds. \quad (3.38)$$

We have also

$$\int_0^t \int |\nabla_x\vartheta|^{8/3}\ dx\ ds \le C\left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right) + C\int_0^t \|\nabla_x\vartheta\|^{4/3}\|\Delta\vartheta\|^2\ ds$$

$$\le C\left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 + A^{5/3} \right) \le C\left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right). \quad (3.39)$$

$$\left| \int_0^t \dot{\vartheta}S_E\ dx\ ds \right| \le Ce_0^2 + \frac{1}{4}\overline{\varrho}\ \underline{e_\vartheta}\int_0^t \|\dot{\vartheta}\|^2\ ds, \quad (3.40)$$

and

$$\left| \int_0^t \dot{\vec{u}} \vec{S}_F \ dx \ ds \right| \leq C e_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\varrho} \int_0^t \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 \ ds, \qquad (3.41)$$

Plugging (3.38), (3.39), (3.40) and (3.41) into (3.37), we get (3.34).

2. Multiplying (3.26) by $\omega_{ij}$, integrating by parts and using (3.34) gives estimate (3.35).

3. Finally (3.36) follows from (1.5) and (3.34).

**Lemma 3.8** *The following bounds hold*

*1.*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 \ dt \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right), \qquad (3.42)$$

*2.*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \phi(t) \|\dot{\vartheta}\|^2 + \int_0^T \phi(s) \|\nabla_x \dot{\vartheta}\|^2 \ dt \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right). \qquad (3.43)$$

*3.*

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \phi^2(t) \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 \leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right). \qquad (3.44)$$

**Proof:**

1. Applying $\frac{d}{dt}$ to momentum equation (1.4), we get

$$\varrho \ddot{u}_j = \mu \{ \Delta \partial_t u_j + \mathrm{div}_x (\vec{u} \Delta u_j \} + (\lambda + \mu) \{ \partial_j \mathrm{div}_x \partial_t \vec{u}) + \mathrm{div}_x (\vec{u} \partial_j \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u}) \}$$

$$- \partial_j \partial_t p + \mathrm{div}_x (\vec{u} \partial_j p) - \dot{S}_{Fj}.$$

Multiplying by $\dot{\vec{u}}$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$\frac{\overline{\varrho}}{2} \|\dot{u}_j\|^2 + \int_0^t \int \left( \mu |\nabla_x \dot{u}_j|^2 + (\lambda + \mu)(\mathrm{div}_x \dot{\vec{u}})^2 \right) \ dx \ ds$$

$$= \int_0^t \int (\lambda + \mu) \left\{ \partial_k u_j \partial_j u_k \mathrm{div}_x \dot{\vec{u}} - (\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u})^2 (\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u})^2 + \partial_k \dot{u}_j \partial_j u_k \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} \right\} \ dx \ ds$$

$$- \int_0^t \int \left\{ \dot{p} \mathrm{div}_x \dot{\vec{u}} + p (\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} \mathrm{div}_x \dot{\vec{u}} - \partial_k \dot{u}_j \partial_j u_k - \dot{u}_j \dot{S}_{Fj} \right\} \ dx \ ds.$$

So we get

$$\|\dot{u}_j\|^2 + \int_0^t \int \|\nabla_x \dot{u}_j\|^2 \ ds$$

$$\leq C e_0^2 + C \int_0^t \int \left\{ |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^4 + |\dot{\vec{u}}|^2 + |\dot{\vartheta}|^2 + \vartheta^2 |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 + |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 + |\dot{E^R}|^2 \right\} \ dx \ ds$$

Using (3.1) and Lemma 3.7, we get (3.42).

2. In the same stroke, applying $\frac{d}{dt}$ to energy equation (1.5), we get

$$\varrho e_\vartheta \ddot{\vartheta} = \varrho e_\vartheta \dot{\vartheta} \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} - \varrho e_{\varrho\vartheta} \dot{\varrho} \dot{\vartheta} - \varrho e_{\vartheta\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta}^2 - \left[\vartheta p_{\varrho\vartheta} \dot{\varrho} + (p_\vartheta + \vartheta p_{\vartheta\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta})\right] \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u}$$

$$-\vartheta p_\vartheta \dot{\mathrm{div}}_x \vec{u} + 2\mu \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbb{D} : \mathbb{D}) + \lambda \frac{d}{dt}(\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u})^2 + \kappa \frac{d}{dt}(\Delta\vartheta) - \dot{S}_E,$$

or

$$\varrho e_\vartheta \ddot{\vartheta} = \varrho e_\vartheta \dot{\vartheta} \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} + \varrho^2 e_{\varrho\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta} \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} - \varrho e_{\vartheta\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta}^2$$

$$+\varrho \vartheta p_{\varrho\vartheta} (\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u})^2 - (p_\vartheta + \vartheta p_{\vartheta\vartheta}) \dot{\vartheta} \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} - \vartheta p_\vartheta \dot{\mathrm{div}}_x \vec{u}$$

$$+2\mu D_{ij} \left[\partial_i \dot{u}_j + \partial_j \dot{u}_i - \partial_i u_k \partial_k u_j - \partial_j u_k \partial_k u_i\right]$$

$$+2\lambda \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} \left[\dot{\mathrm{div}}_x \vec{u} - \partial_k u_j \partial_j u_k\right] + \kappa \left(\Delta\dot{\vartheta} - \Delta\vartheta \,\mathrm{div}_x \vec{u}\right) - \dot{S}_E.$$

Observing that

$$\varrho e_\vartheta \ddot{\vartheta} \phi \dot{\vartheta} = \frac{1}{2}\,\varrho \frac{d}{dt}\{\phi e_\vartheta \dot{\vartheta}^2\} + \frac{1}{2}\,\varrho \phi' e_\vartheta \dot{\vartheta}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\,\varrho^2 \phi e_{\varrho\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta}^2 \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} + \frac{1}{2}\,\varrho \phi' e_{\vartheta\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta}^3,$$

and that

$$\dot{S}_E = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} c \left\{\left(\partial_\vartheta \sigma_a \mathrm{div}_x \vec{u} - \varrho \partial_\vartheta \sigma_a \dot{\vartheta}\right)(B - I) + \sigma_a \left(\partial_\vartheta B \dot{\vartheta} - \dot{I}\right)\right\} d\vec{\omega}\, d\nu,$$

multiplying by $\phi(t)\dot{\vartheta}$, integrating by parts on $[0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, using (3.1) together with Lemma 3.7 and (3.42)

$$\frac{1}{2}\int \varrho\phi e_\vartheta \dot{\vartheta}^2\, dx + \int_0^t \int \kappa\phi|\nabla_x \dot{\vartheta}|^2\, dx\, ds \leq C \int_0^t \int \left[|\dot{\vartheta}|^2 + |\dot{I}|^2\right]\, dx\, ds$$

$$+C\int_0^t \int \phi\left\{|\dot{\vartheta}|\left(|\vartheta||\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 + |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^3 + |\dot{\vartheta}|^2 + |\nabla_x \vec{u}||\dot{\vartheta}|\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.+|\vartheta||\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}| + |\nabla_x \vec{u}||\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}|\right) + |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 |\nabla_x \vartheta|^2\right\}\, dx\, ds.$$

$$\leq C\int_0^t \left[\|\dot{\vartheta}\|^2 + \|\dot{I}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^4}^4 + \phi\|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2\right]\, ds$$

$$+C\int_0^t \int \phi\left[\left(|\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 + |\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}|^2 + |E^R - \overline{E^R}|^2\right)|\dot{\vartheta}|^2 + |\nabla_x \vartheta|^2\right] dx\, ds.$$
$$(3.45)$$

Now observing that

$$\int_0^t \int |\omega|^5\, dx\, ds \leq \int_0^t \left(\|\omega\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\omega\|_{L^6}^6\right)\, dx\, ds \leq C\left(e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3\right),$$

and using Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\int_0^t \int \left(|\nabla_x \vec{u}|^5 + |\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}|^5\right)\, dx\, ds$$

$$\leq \int_0^t \left( \|\nabla_x F\|_{L^5}^5 + |\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}|_{L^5}^5 + |E^R - \overline{E^R}|_{L^5}^5 + \|\omega\|_{L^5}^5 + |\varrho - \overline{\varrho}|_{L^4}^4 \right) \, dx \, ds$$

$$\leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right) + C A^{1/4} \int_0^t \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + |\nabla_x \vartheta|^2 \right)^{9/4} \, dx \, ds$$

$$\leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right),$$

so we can bound the second term in (3.45) as follows

$$\int_0^t \int \phi \left[ \left( |\nabla_x \vec{u}|^2 + |\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}|^2 + |E^R - \overline{E^R}|^2 \right) |\dot{\vartheta}|^2 + |\nabla_x \vartheta|^2 \right] dx \, ds$$

$$\leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right) + C \int_0^t \phi^{5/3} \|\dot{\vartheta}\|^{4/3} |\nabla_x \dot{\vartheta}|^2 \, ds + C A \int_0^t |\Delta \vartheta|^2 \, ds$$

$$\leq C \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3} + A^3 \right).$$

Inserting into (3.45) we get (3.43).

3. Using energy equation (1.5), (3.1) and (3.43), we get (3.44).

**Lemma 3.9** *There is a positive constant $\mathcal{C}$ such that the following inequality holds*

$$A(T) \leq \mathcal{C} \left( e_0^2 + A^{4/3}(T) + A^3(T) \right). \tag{3.46}$$

**Proof:** The proof follows by combining the definition (2.20) of $A(T)$ and estimates in Lemmas 3.2, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8.

# 4   Proof of Proposition 2.1

As one checks that $A(0) \leq 2\mathcal{C} e_0^2$, and due to the continuity of $T \to A(T)$, it follows from Lemma 3.9 that inequality

$$A(T) \leq 2\mathcal{C} e_0^2. \tag{4.1}$$

also holds for any $T > 0$, provided $e_0$ is small enough, say $e_0 < \eta(\mathcal{C})$.

Using Lemma 3.2 and (4.1)

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} + \phi(t) \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|E^R - \overline{E}\|_{L^\infty} \right\}$$

$$\leq C(e_0 + A^{1/2}(T)) + C \sup_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \phi(t) \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta \vartheta\|_{L^\infty} \right\}$$

$$\leq C(e_0 + A^{1/2}(T)) \leq \tilde{\eta} e_0 \leq \frac{1}{3} \, \min\{|\overline{\vec{u}}|, \overline{\vartheta}\}, \tag{4.2}$$

provided that

$$e_0 \leq \, \min \left\{ \eta(\mathcal{C}), \frac{|\overline{\vec{u}}|}{3\tilde{\eta}}, \frac{\overline{\vartheta}}{3\tilde{\eta}} \right\} := \varepsilon.$$

So for data such that $e_0 \leq \varepsilon$ and provided that (3.1) holds, estimate (4.2) is valid. Since (4.2) is true for $t = 0$ and holds also for any $T \geq 0$ after continuity of $\varrho$ and $\vartheta$, one sees that (4.1) is also valid for any $T \geq 0$. Then provided that $e_0 \leq \varepsilon$, one concludes that (4.1) and (4.2) are valid for any $T \geq 0$.

Now using Sobolev imbedding theorem, we deduce that

$$\phi^2(t)\left(\|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|\vec{u}\|_{L^\infty}^4\right) \leq \mathcal{C} \, e_0^2 \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T], \tag{4.3}$$

and for $T > 1$

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty}^{10/3} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\}\|_{L^\infty}^{10/3} \leq C\left(\|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2\right).$$

So, multiplying (3.12) by $\operatorname{sgn}(\varrho - \overline{\varrho})|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}|^{7/3}$ and integrating by parts on $[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$, we find

$$|\varrho(T) - \overline{\varrho}|_{L^\infty}^{10/3} \leq e^{\gamma(1-T)}|\varrho(1) - \overline{\varrho}|_{L^\infty}^{10/3} + C\int_1^T e^{-\gamma(T-t)}\left(\|F\|_{L^\infty}^{10/3} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\}\|_{L^\infty}^{10/3}\right) dt$$

$$\leq Ce^{-\gamma\frac{T}{2}} + C\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left(\|\dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2\right) dt,$$

with $\gamma = \frac{\overline{\varrho} p_\varrho(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta})}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)}$, which shows that $\|\varrho(T) - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$. As one checks that $t \to \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2$ and $t \to \frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2\right)$ are in $L^1(1, \infty)$, we also see that $\|\nabla_x \vec{u}(T)\|^2 \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$ and also that $\|\nabla_x \vartheta(T)\|^2 \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$. As $t \to (\|\vec{u}\|, \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|, \|\vec{u}\|_{L^\infty}, \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty})$ are uniformly bounded for $t \geq 1$, we conclude that $\|\vec{u}\|_{L^q} \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$ and $\|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^q} \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$.

Finally, multiplying (1.6) by $I - \overline{I}$ and integrating by parts on $[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, we find

$$\|I - \overline{I}\|^2 \leq e^{\delta(1-T)}\|I(1) - \overline{I}\|^2$$

$$+C\int_1^T e^{-\delta(T-t)}\|\sigma_a\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \, d\nu) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \, dx)}\|\partial_\vartheta B\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \, d\nu) \times L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \, dx)}\|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|^2 \, dt,$$

with $\delta = c(\underline{\sigma_a} + \underline{\sigma_s})$, which shows that $\|I(T) - \overline{I}\| \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$, and consequently by integrating on $\mathbb{R}^3$ $\|E^R(T) - \overline{E}\| \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$, which ends the proof of Proposition 2.1.

# 5 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this Section, we suppose that the initial energy is close to equilibrium: we assume that $e_0 \leq \varepsilon$ where the $\varepsilon$ is the same as in the previous section. Following [25], we define

$$B(T) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]}\left(\|\nabla_x \varrho\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha}^\alpha\right)(t) + \int_0^{\phi(T)}\left(\|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}^2\right) ds$$

24

$$+ \left[ \int_0^{\phi(T)} \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha}^\alpha + \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^\infty} \right) ds \right]^2 + \int_1^{\max\{1,T\}} \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha}^2 \right) ds.$$

First note that, applying $\partial_j$ to equation (3.12), we get

$$(\lambda + 2\mu) \frac{d}{dt} \partial_j \varrho + \varrho \partial_\varrho p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \; \partial_j \varrho$$

$$= -\varrho \partial_j F - F \partial_j \varrho - \left[ \partial_\varrho p(\varrho, \vartheta) - \partial_\varrho p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \right] \partial_j \varrho - \varrho \partial_\vartheta p \; \partial_j \vartheta - (p - \overline{p}) \partial_j \varrho - (\lambda + 2\mu) \partial_j u_k \partial_k \varrho.$$

Multiplying, for $p \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, this identity by $sgn(\partial_j \varrho) \varrho |\partial_j \varrho|^{p-1} \|\varrho^{1/p} \partial_j \varrho\|_{L^p}^m$, integrating on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and using Hôlder's inequality, and the definition of $F$ we find

$$(\lambda + 2\mu) \frac{d}{dt} \|\varrho^{1/p} \partial_j \varrho\|_{L^p}^m + \partial_\varrho p(\overline{\varrho}, \overline{\vartheta}) \; \|\varrho^{1/p} \partial_j \varrho\|_{L^p}^m$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|F\|_{L^\infty} \right\} \|\partial_j \varrho\|_{L^p}^m$$

$$+ C \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^p}^m + \|\partial_j \vartheta\|_{L^p}^m + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^p}^m + \|I - \overline{I}\|_{L^p}^m \right). \tag{5.1}$$

Consider the case $T \leq 1$. Taking $m = 1$ and successively $p = 2$ and $p = \alpha$, summing, integrating over $[0,t]$ for $t \leq T \leq 1$ and using the definition of $B(T)$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) + \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) ds$$

$$\leq C \left( E_0 + B \right) + C \int_0^T \left( \|\nabla_x \vartheta\| + \|\Delta \vartheta\| \right) ds \leq C \left( E_0 + B \right). \tag{5.2}$$

When $T \geq 1$, using equation (1.5), estimates (4.1) and (4.2), and the elliptic regularity of $\mu \Delta + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x \mathrm{div}_x$, we get

$$\|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \left( \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\| + \|\nabla_x^2 \vec{u}\| + \|\nabla_x^2 \vec{u}\|_{L^\alpha} \right)$$

$$\leq C E_0 + C \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\| + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\| + \|I - \overline{I}\| + \|\nabla_x p\| + \|\vec{S}_F\| \right) +$$

$$+ C \left( \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^\alpha} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\alpha} + \|I - \overline{I}\|_{L^\alpha} + \|\nabla_x p\|_{L^\alpha} + \|\vec{S}_F\|_{L^\alpha} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left( E_0 + B^{1/2} + \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\| \right). \tag{5.3}$$

Taking $m = 1$ and successively $p = 2$ and $p = \alpha$ in (5.1), summing, integrating over $[1,t]$ for $t \leq T \leq 1$ and using the definition of $B(T)$ together with bounds (5.2) and (5.3), we have now

$$\sup_{t \in [1,T]} \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) + \int_1^T \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) ds$$

$$\leq C \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) (1)$$

25

$$+C\int_1^T \left\{ \left( E_0 + B^{1/2} + \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\| + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\| + \|\nabla_x I\| \right) \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha}^2 \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \|\nabla_x \dot{\vec{u}}\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|^2 + \|\dot{\vec{u}}\|_{L^\alpha}^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|_{L^\alpha}^2 \right\} ds$$

$$\leq C \left( E_0^2 + B^{3/2}(T) + B^2(T) \right). \tag{5.4}$$

Taking (5.2) into account, we get finally

$$\sup_{0\in[1,T]} \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) + \left\{ \int_1^{\min\{1,T\}} \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) ds \right\}^2$$

$$+ \int_1^{\max\{1,T\}} \left( \|\nabla_x \varrho\| + \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{L^\alpha} \right) ds \leq C \left( E_0^2 + B^{3/2}(T) + B^2(T) \right),$$

for any $T \geq 0$.

¿From Sobolev imbedding theorem, we have

$$\int_1^{\min\{1,T\}} \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds \leq C E_0^2, \tag{5.5}$$

and by the same arguments leading to (5.2), one find

$$\int_1^{\min\{1,T\}} \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 ds \leq C \left( E_0 + B^{3/4}(T) + B(T) \right). \tag{5.6}$$

Combining (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6) to (4.2), we get

$$B(T) \leq C \left( E_0^2 + B^{3/2}(T) + B^2(T) \right).$$

As $B(0) \leq 2CE_0^2$, we obtain finally

**Lemma 5.1** *The following bound hold*

$$B(T) < 2CE_0^2, \tag{5.7}$$

*provided that $E_0 \leq \min\{\frac{1}{8C^2}, \varepsilon\} := \varepsilon'$, where $\epsilon$ is the small number in (4.2).*

Now after Proposition 2.1 and Lemmas 5.2 and 5.1, using (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) and following straightfully the estimates of Jiang for higher order derivatives, we obtain the final a-priori estimates

**Proposition 5.1** *Let $T_*$ be a fixed number such that $0 < T_* < \min\{1, T\}$. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, the following estimates hold*

1.

$$\underline{\rho} \leq \varrho(x,t) \leq \overline{\rho}, \quad \underline{\theta} \leq \vartheta(x,t) \leq \overline{\theta} \quad \text{for all } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [T_*, T], \tag{5.8}$$

26

2.

$$\sup_{[T_*,T]} \left( \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{H^3} + \|\vec{u}\|_{H^3} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^3} + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{H^3} \right.$$

$$\left. + \|\partial_t \varrho\|_{H^2} + \|\partial_t E^R\|_{H^2} + \|\partial_t \vec{u}\|_{H^1} + \|\partial_t \vartheta\|_{H^1} \right) \leq K, \qquad (5.9)$$

where $K$ depends on physical constants and of $\underline{\rho}, \overline{\rho}, \underline{\theta}$ and $\overline{\theta}$.

3.

$$\int_{T_*}^{T} \left\{ \|\nabla_x \varrho\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x E^R\|_{H^2}^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \|\partial_t \varrho\|_{H^2} + \|\partial_t E^R\|_{H^2} + \|\partial_t \vec{u}\|_{H^1} + \|\partial_t \vartheta\|_{H^1} \right\} \ ds \leq K, \qquad (5.10)$$

provided that $E_0 \leq \epsilon'$, where $\epsilon'$ is the small number in (5.7).

Now we have the following local existence theorem (proved in the Appendix)

**Theorem 5.1** *Suppose that* $\|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{H^3} + \|\vec{u}\|_{H^3} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^3} + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{H^3} < \infty$ *and that* $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0(x) > 0$. *Then there exists a positive constant* $T_0$ *such that the Cauchy problem (1.3-2.1) has a unique solution* $(\varrho, \vec{u}, \vartheta)$ *on* $[0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ *and* $I$ *on* $[0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, *satisfying*

1.

$$(\varrho, \vec{u}, \vartheta, E^R) \in C^0(0, T_0; H^3), \quad (\partial_t \varrho, \partial_t E^R) \in C^0(0, T_0; H^2),$$

$$(\partial \vec{u}, \partial \vartheta) \in C^0(0, T_0; H^1), \quad \partial_t \varrho \in C^0(0, T_0; H^2),$$

$$(\vec{u}, \vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}) \in L^2(0, T_0; H^4),$$

2. *and for a* $M > 0$ *independent of* $T_*$

$$\varrho(x, t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varrho_0(x), \quad on \ [0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$$\sup_{[T_*,T]} \left\{ \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{H^3} + \|\vec{u}\|_{H^3} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^3} + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{H^3} \right\}$$

$$+ \int_{T_*}^{T} \left\{ \|\nabla_x \vec{u}\|_{H^3}^2 + \|\nabla_x \vartheta\|_{H^3}^2 + \|\nabla_x E^R\|_{H^3}^2 \right\} \ ds$$

$$\leq M \left( \|\varrho_0 - \overline{\varrho}\|_{H^3} + \|\vec{u}_0\|_{H^3} + \|\vartheta_0 - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{H^3} + \|E_0^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{H^3} \right).$$

*Moreover if* $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^3} \vartheta_0(x) > 0$, *then* $\vartheta(x, t) \geq 0$, *on* $[0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Using this local result, we can end the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, the Cauchy problem (1.3-2.1) has a unique solution $(\varrho, \vec{u}, \vartheta)$ on $[0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $I$ on $[0, T_0] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ after Theorem 5.1. Using the a priori estimates of Proposition 5.1, this solution can be continued globally in time and the estimates of Proposition 5.1 are valid for any $T \geq 0$.

The asymptotic behaviour follows by observing that after (2.14)

$$\int_1^\infty \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \|\Delta \varrho\|^2 + \|\Delta \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta E^R\|^2 \right\} \right| \ ds$$

$$\leq \int_1^\infty \left\{ \|\Delta \partial_t \varrho\|^2 + \|\Delta \partial_t \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\Delta \partial_t \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta \partial_t E^R\|^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \|\Delta \varrho\|^2 + \|\Delta \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta E^R\|^2 \right\} \ ds < \infty.$$

Combining this to (5.10), we see that $\|\Delta \varrho\|^2 + \|\Delta \vec{u}\|^2 + \|\Delta \vartheta\|^2 + \|\Delta E^R\|^2 \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Using now (5.8) and Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, we conclude that

$$\|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vec{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\|_{L^\infty}$$

$$\leq C \left( \|\varrho - \overline{\varrho}\| + \|\vec{u}\| + \|\vartheta - \overline{\vartheta}\| + \|E^R - \overline{E^R}\| \right)^{1/4}$$

$$\times \left( \|\Delta \varrho\| + \|\Delta \vec{u}\| + \|\Delta \vartheta\| + \|\Delta E^R\| \right)^{3/4} \to 0,$$

as $t \to \infty$, which ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

# A   Appendix: Proof of Theorem 5.1

In fact a proof can be derived in various ways from the works of Nash [41], Matsumura-Nishida [37], or Solonnikov-Kazhikov [44] (among others) and we only sketch the extension to the radiative case.   Let us mention that the existence local in time for inviscid case was proved in [33, 48].   It will be convenient to switch to Lagrangian variables. Conserving the same notations for the variables and unknowns, the problem rewrites

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho + \varrho \ \mathrm{div} \vec{u} = 0, \\ \varrho \ \partial_t \vec{u} + \nabla p - \nabla \left( \lambda \ \mathrm{div} \vec{u} \right) - 2 \nabla \cdot (\mu \mathbb{D}) = -\vec{S}_F, \\ \varrho e_\vartheta \ \partial_t \vartheta + \vartheta p_\vartheta \ \mathrm{div} \vec{u} + \mathrm{div} \left( \kappa \nabla \vartheta \right) - 2\mu \mathbb{D} : \mathbb{D} - \lambda \left( \mathrm{div} \vec{u} \right)^2 = -S_E, \\ \partial_t I + (c \ \vec{\omega} - \vec{u}) \cdot \nabla I = cS, \\ (\varrho(0, x), \vec{u}(0, x), \vartheta(0, x), I(0, x, \vec{\omega}, \nu)) = (\varrho_0(x), \vec{u}_0(x), \vartheta_0(x), I_0(x, \vec{\omega}, \nu)), \end{cases}$$
(A.1)

for $(t, x, \vec{\omega}, \nu) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, where $\nabla$ and div are the new operators.

We consider the auxiliary linear Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho + \varrho' \ \mathrm{div}\vec{u} = 0, \\ \varrho' \ \partial_t \vec{u} + p'_\varrho \nabla \varrho + p'_\vartheta \nabla \vartheta - \nabla \left( \lambda \ \mathrm{div}\vec{u} \right) - 2\nabla \cdot (\mu \mathbb{D}) = -\vec{S}'_F, \\ \varrho' e'_\vartheta \partial_t \vartheta + \vartheta' p'_\vartheta \mathrm{div}\vec{u} + \mathrm{div} \left( \kappa \nabla \vartheta \right) - 2\mu \mathbb{D}' : \mathbb{D} - \lambda \mathrm{div}\vec{u}' \mathrm{div}\vec{u} = -S'_E, \\ \partial_t I + (c \ \vec{\omega} - \vec{u}') \cdot \nabla I = cS', \\ (\varrho(0,x), \vec{u}(0,x), \vartheta(0,x), I(0,x,\vec{\omega},\nu)) = (\varrho_0(x), \vec{u}_0(x), \vartheta_0(x), I_0(x,\vec{\omega},\nu)), \end{cases}$$
$$(A.2)$$

where

$$p'_\varrho = p_\varrho(\varrho', \vartheta'), \quad p'_\vartheta = p_\vartheta(\varrho', \vartheta'), \quad e'_\vartheta = e_\vartheta(\varrho', \vartheta'),$$

$$S' = \sigma_a(\vartheta', \nu) \left( B(\vartheta', \nu) - I \right) + \sigma_s(\vartheta', \nu) \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} I(\cdot, \vec{\omega}) \ \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega} - I \right),$$

and

$$\vec{S}_F = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} \vec{\omega} S' \ d\vec{\omega} \ d\nu, \quad S'_E = \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} S' \ d\vec{\omega} \ d\nu.$$

Clearly, the first and last equations in (A.2) are hyperbolic equations which can be solved explicitly through the method of characteristics, and system (A.2) can be considered as a linear parabolic problem for the pair $U := (\vec{u}, \vartheta)$ and rewrites as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(U')U = h(U'), \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0 \equiv (\vec{u}_0, \vartheta_0). \end{cases} \tag{A.3}$$

The initial problem corresponds clearly to $U' = U$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(U)U = h(U), \\ U|_{t=0} = U_0 \equiv (\vec{u}_0, \vartheta_0), \end{cases} \tag{A.4}$$

and we can reduce this nonlinear problem to a fixed point problem in the Banach space $\left( C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Sigma_\tau), \ \| \cdot \|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)} \right)$ where $\Sigma_\tau$ is the domain $\Sigma_\tau = \{(t,x) \in [0,\tau] \times \mathbb{R}^3\}$, where $\tau > 0$ is small enough. So we define the vector $U_1$ as the solution of the Cauchy problem in $\Sigma_\tau$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(U_0)U_1 = h(U_0), \\ U_1|_{t=0} = U_0 \equiv (\vec{u}_0, \vartheta_0). \end{cases} \tag{A.5}$$

Introducing the difference $V = U_1 - U_0$ and subtracting (A.4) to (A.5), we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(U_1)V = [\mathcal{L}(U_1) - \mathcal{L}(U_1 + V)] (U_1 + V) + h(U_1 + V) - h(U_1) \\ \quad + [\mathcal{L}(U_0) - \mathcal{L}(U_1)] U_1 + h(U_1) - h(U_0) \equiv \mathcal{B}_\tau V, \\ V|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.6}$$

This problem is equivalent to the nonlinear equation

$$V = \mathcal{R}_\tau \left\{ [\mathcal{L}(U_1) - \mathcal{L}(U_1 + V)] (U_1 + V) + h(U_1 + V) - h(U_1) \right\}$$

$$+ \mathcal{R}_\tau \left\{ [\mathcal{L}(U_0) - \mathcal{L}(U_1)] U_1 + h(U_1) - h(U_0) \equiv \mathcal{B}_\tau V \right\} \equiv \mathcal{B}_\tau V, \tag{A.7}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_\tau$ is a linear operator defined on the Banach space $\left(C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Sigma_\tau),\ \|\cdot\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(\alpha)}\right)$ by considering $W = \mathcal{R}_\tau f$ as the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(U_1)W = f, \\ \ W|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.8}$$

Choosing $\tau$ sufficiently small, such that

$$\left(\tau^{1/2-\alpha/2}\right)\|U\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)} \le \delta, \tag{A.9}$$

holds for a small $\delta$, the vector $h(U)$ and the coefficients of the operator $\mathcal{L}(U)$ are smooth functions of their arguments. Then if $U_1$, $U_1 + V$ and $U_1 + W$ satisfy (A.9) and $V|_{t=0} = W|_{t=0} = 0$, then

$$\|\mathcal{B}_\tau V\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)} \le \tau^\gamma \|\mathcal{R}_\tau\| \left(C_1 \|V\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)} + C_2 \|U_0 - U_1\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)}\right), \tag{A.10}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{B}_\tau V - \mathcal{B}_\tau W\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)} \le C_3 \tau^\gamma \|\mathcal{R}_\tau\| \|V - W\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)}, \tag{A.11}$$

for a $\gamma > 0$ and constants $C_j$ independent of $\tau, V$ or $W$.

Choosing now the positive numbers $T_*$ and $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\left(T_* + T_*^{1/2-\alpha/2}\right)\|U_0\|_{\Sigma_{T_*}}^{(2+\alpha)} \le \delta, \quad \left(T_* + T_*^{1/2-\alpha/2}\right)\|U_1\|_{\Sigma_{T_*}}^{(2+\alpha)} \le \frac{\delta}{2}, \tag{A.12}$$

$$C_3 T_*^\gamma \|\mathcal{R}_{T_*}\| < 1, \quad C_1 T_*^\gamma \|\mathcal{R}_{T_*}\| < \frac{1}{2}, \tag{A.13}$$

and

$$2 C_2 T_*^\gamma \|\mathcal{R}_{T_*}\| \|U_0 - U_1\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)} \le \eta \le \frac{\delta}{2\left(T_* + T_*^{1/2-\alpha/2}\right)}, \tag{A.14}$$

and denoting by $\mathcal{K}_\eta$ the set

$$\mathcal{K}_\eta = \{V \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Sigma_\tau),\ V(x,0) = 0,\ \|V\|_{\Sigma_\tau}^{(2+\alpha)} \le \eta\},$$

we observe after (A.10)-(A.14) that $U_0$ and $U_1 + V$ together with any element of $\mathcal{K}_\eta$ satisfy (A.9) and that the norm $\|\mathcal{R}_{T_*}\|$ is bounded. Moreover $\mathcal{B}_{T_*}$ is a contraction from $\mathcal{K}_\eta$ into itself then equation (A.7) has a unique solution in the Hölder framework. Passing to the Sobolev setting is routine and we omit this step. This end the proof of Theorem 5.1.

# References

[1] A.A. Amosov. Well-posedness "in the large" initial and boundary-value problems for the system of dynamical equations of a viscous radiating gas. *Sov. Physics Dokl.*, **30**:129–131, 1985.

[2] R. Balian. *From microphysics to macrophysics. Methods and applications of statistical physics Vol. II.* Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1992.

[3] C. Bardos, F. Golse, B. Perthame, R. Sentis, The nonaccretive radiative transfer equations: Existence of solutions and Rosseland approximation, J. Funct. Anal. 77 (2) (1988) 434–460.

[4] F. Berthelin, S. Junca, Averaging lemmas with a force term in the transport equation, ArXiv 0811.4553v2.

[5] N. Bournaveas and B. Perthame, Averages over spheres for kinetic transport equations; hyperbolic Sobolev spaces and Strichartz inequalities, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (9) (2001) 517–534.

[6] C. Buet and B. Després. Asymptotic analysis of fluid models for the coupling of radiation and hydrodynamics. *J. Quant. Spectroscopy Rad. Transf.*, **85**:385–480, 2004.

[7] Bui An Ton, On the initial boundary-value problem for viscous heat-conducting compressible fluids, Kodai Math. J. (4) (1981) 97–128.

[8] S. Chandrasekhar. *Radiative transfer.* Dover Publications, New York, 1960.

[9] R.J. DiPerna, P.-L. Lions, Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces, Invent. Math. 98 (1989) 511–547.

[10] B. Dubroca, J.-L. Feugeas, Etude théorique et numérique d'une hiérarchie de modéles aux moments pour le transfert radiatif, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 329 (1999) 915–920.

[11] B. Dubroca, M. Seaïd, J.-L. Feugeas, A consistent approach for the coupling of radiation and hydrodynamics at low Mach number, J. of Comput. Phys. 225 (2007) 1039–1065.

[12] B. Ducomet, E. Feireisl, Š. Nečasová. On a model of radiation hydrodynamics. Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN 28 (2011) 797–812.

[13] B. Ducomet, Š. Nečasová, Global existence of solutions for the one-dimensional motions of a compressible gas with radiation: an "infrarelativistic model". Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 3258–3274.

[14] B. Ducomet, Š. Nečasová, Global weak solutions to the 1D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with radiation, Communications in Mathematical Analysis, 8 (2010) 23–65.

[15] B. Ducomet, Š. Nečasová, Large-time behavior of the motion of a viscous heat-conducting one-dimensional gas coupled to radiation,

[16] B. Ducomet, Š. Nečasová, Asymptotic behavior of the motion of a viscous heat-conducting one-dimensional gas with radiation: the pure scattering case, To appear in *Analysis and Applications*

[17] B. Ducomet, Š. Nečasová, Low Mach number limit in a model of radiative flow, *Submitted*

[18] S. Eliezer, A. Ghatak, and H. Hora. *An introduction to equations of states, theory and applications.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[19] E. Feireisl. *Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

[20] E. Feireisl, On the motion of a viscous, compressible, and heat conducting fluid, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004) 1707–1740.

[21] E. Feireisl and A. Novotný, *Singular limits in thermodynamics of viscous fluids.* Birkhauser, Basel, 2009.

[22] F. Golse and B. Perthame, Generalized solutions of the radiative transfer equations in a singular case, Comm. Math. Phys. 106 (1986) 211–239.

[23] F. Golse, P. L. Lions, B. Perthame, R. Sentis, Regularity of the moments of the solution of a transport equation, J. Funct. Anal. 16 (1988) 110–125.

[24] F. Golse, B. Perthame, R. Sentis, Un résultat de compacité pour les équations de transport et application au calcul de la limite de la valeur propre principale d'un opérateur de transport, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 301 (1985) 341–344.

[25] D. Hoff, Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional compressible flow with discontinuous initial data, J. Differential Equations (120) (1995) 215–254.

[26] D. Hoff, Strong convergence to global solutions for multidimensional flows of compressible viscous fluids with polytropic equation of state and discontinuous initial data, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. (132) (1995) 1–14.

[27] D. Hoff, Discontinuous solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional flows of heat-conducting fluids, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. (139) (1997) 303–354.

[28] N. Itaya, On the Cauchy problem for the system of fundamental equations describing the movement of compressible viscous fluids, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. (23) (1971) 60–120.

[29] S. Jiang, Global solutions of the Cauchy problem for a viscous polytropic ideal gas, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) Vol. XXVI (1998) 47–74.

[30] P. Jiang, D. Wang, Global weak solutions to the Euler-Boltzmann equations in radiation hydrodynamics, Quart. Appl. math. **70** (2012) 25–44.

[31] O. Kreml, Š. Nečasová, M. Pokorný, On the steady equations for compressible radiative gas, *Institute of Math. of the Ac. of Sci. of the Czech Rep. Preprint IM-2011-16.*

[32] O.A. Ladyženskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type.* AMS 1968.

[33] C. Lin, Mathematical analysis of radiative transfer models, PhD Thesis, 2007.

[34] C. Lin, J. F. Coulombel, T. Goudon, Shock profiles for non-equilibrium radiative gases, Physica D, 218 (2006) 83–94.

[35] C. Lin, T. Goudon, Global existence of the equilibrium diffusion model in radiative model in radiative hydrodynamics, Chin. Ann. Math.**32 B**,(2011),549–568.

[36] R. B. Lowrie, J. E. Morel, J. A. Hittinger, The coupling of radiation and hydrodynamics, The Astrophysical Journal, 521 (1999) 432–450.

[37] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (20) (1980) 67–104.

[38] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida, Initial boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids, Commun. Math. Phys. (89) (1983) 445–464.

[39] B. Mihalas. *Stellar Atmospheres.* W.H. Freeman and Cie, 1978.

[40] B. Mihalas and B. Weibel-Mihalas. *Foundations of radiation hydrodynamics.* Dover Publications, Dover, 1984.

[41] J. Nash, Le problème de Cauchy pour les équations différentielles d'un fluide général, Bull. Soc. math. France, 90 (1962) 487–497.

[42] G.C. Pomraning. *Radiation hydrodynamics.* Dover Publications, New York, 2005.

[43] J.F. Ripoll, B. Dubroca and G. Duffa, Modelling radiative mean absorption coefficients, Combust. Theory Modelling 5 (2001) 261–274.

[44] V.A. Solonnikov, A.V. Kazhikov, Existence theorems for the equations of motion of a compressible viscous fluid, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 13 (1981) 79–95.

[45] E. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

[46] I. Teleaga, M. Seaïd, Simplified radiative models for low Mach number reactive flows, Appl. Math. Modeling 32 (2008) 971–991.

[47] I. Teleaga, M. Seaïd, I. Gasser, A. Klar, J. Struckmeier, Radiation models for thermal flows at low Mach number, J. of Comput. Phys. 215 (2006) 506–525.

[48] X.Zhong, J. Jiang, Local existence and finite-time blow up in multidimensional radiation hydrodynamics, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 9 (2007) 543–564.