

THE LEAST EIGENVALUES OF NONHOMOGENEOUS  
DEGENERATED QUASILINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

PAVEL DRÁBEK,\* Plzeň

(Received January 6, 1994)

*Summary.* We prove the existence of the least positive eigenvalue with a corresponding nonnegative eigenfunction of the quasilinear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= \lambda b(x, u)|u|^{p-2}u && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain,  $p > 1$  is a real number and  $a(x, u)$ ,  $b(x, u)$  satisfy appropriate growth conditions. Moreover, the coefficient  $a(x, u)$  contains a degeneration or a singularity. We work in a suitable weighted Sobolev space and prove the boundedness of the eigenfunction in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . The main tool is the investigation of the associated homogeneous eigenvalue problem and an application of the Schauder fixed point theorem.

*Keywords:* weighted Sobolev space, degenerated quasilinear partial differential equations, weak solutions, eigenvalue problems, Schauder fixed point theorem, boundedness of the solution

*MSC 1991:* 35J20, 35J70, 35B35, 35B45

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to prove the existence of the least positive eigenvalue  $\lambda$  and the corresponding nonnegative eigenfunction  $u$  of the *nonhomogeneous degenerated quasilinear eigenvalue problem*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= \lambda b(x, u)|u|^{p-2}u && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

---

\* The autor has been supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under Grant No. 201/94/0008

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain,  $p > 1$  is a real number and  $a(x, s), b(x, s): \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are real functions satisfying appropriate growth conditions (see Section 4). Moreover, the function  $a(x, s)$  may contain a *degeneration* or a *singularity*. We work in a suitable *weighted Sobolev space*  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  with the weight function  $w > 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  (see Section 2) and prove that *for a given  $R > 0$  there exists the least  $\lambda > 0$  and a corresponding  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = R$  and the equation in (1.1) is fulfilled in the weak sense* (see Theorem 4.10). In fact, a more general result (dealing with more general growth conditions imposed on  $b(x, s)$ ) is proved in Theorem 4.5.

This paper generalizes the result of Boccardo [5] and Drábek, Kučera [6] (where *nondegenerated* uniformly elliptic quasilinear operators were considered) and completes the papers on eigenvalues of  $p$ -Laplacian published by Anane [2], Barles [3], Bhattacharya [4], García Azorero, Peral Alonso [9], Otani, Teshima [14] and others (where *nondegenerated* and *homogeneous* operators were considered). Let us note that neither global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, nor Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory can be used, since the operator in (1.1) is not (in general) a potential operator.

The *paper is organized* as follows. In Section 2, which has a *preliminary character*, we define appropriate weighted Sobolev spaces and prove some useful imbeddings. We prove also a version of Friedrichs inequality in the weighted Sobolev space. Moreover, an auxiliary assertion due to Stampacchia is proved and we present some consequences of Clarkson's inequality. In Section 3 we study the *homogeneous eigenvalue problem* associated with (1.1) (i.e. we consider the problem (1.1) with  $a(x, u) := a(x)$  and  $b(x, u) := b(x)$ ). We prove the existence of the least positive eigenvalue and the corresponding nonnegative eigenfunction of this problem. We show that the eigenfunction belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . We also prove the simplicity of the least eigenvalue and study some useful properties of the homogeneous operator associated with the principal part. The *main result* we prove in Section 4. The tools are an a priori estimate in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , the results for the homogeneous eigenvalue problem (namely the continuous dependence of the least eigenvalue and the corresponding nonnegative eigenfunction of the homogeneous problem with respect to  $a(x), b(x)$ ) and the Schauder fixed point theorem. Finally, Section 5 contains *examples* which illustrate our general result.

**2.1. Weighted Sobolev space.** Let us suppose that  $\Omega$  is an open bounded subset of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p > 1$  is an arbitrary real number and  $w$  is a *weight function* (i.e. positive and measurable) in  $\Omega$ . Assume that

$$(2.1) \quad w \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{w} \in L^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega).$$

Let us define the *weighted Sobolev space*  $W^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  as the set of all real valued functions  $u$  defined in  $\Omega$  for which

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{1,p,w} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx + \int_{\Omega} w |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

It follows from (2.1) that  $W^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is a *reflexive Banach space* and that  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is well defined as the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $W^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,p,w}$  (see e.g. Kufner, Sändig [11]).

Let  $s \geq \frac{1}{p-1}$  be a real number. A simple application of the Hölder inequality yields that the *continuous imbedding*

$$(2.3) \quad W^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$$

holds provided

$$\frac{1}{w} \in L^s(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad p_1 = \frac{ps}{s+1}.$$

**2.2. Compact imbeddings.** It follows from (2.3) and from the Sobolev imbedding theorem (see e.g. Adams [1], Kufner, John, Fučík [10]) that for  $s+1 \leq ps < n(s+1)$  we have

$$(2.4) \quad W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega),$$

where  $1 \leq q = \frac{np_1}{n-p_1} = \frac{nps}{n(s+1)-ps}$ , and for  $ps \geq n(s+1)$  the imbedding (2.4) holds with arbitrary  $1 \leq q < \infty$ .

Moreover, the *compact imbedding*

$$W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$$

holds provided  $1 \leq r < q$ .

An easy calculation yields that  $s > \frac{n}{p}$  implies  $q > p$ . In particular, we have

$$(2.5) \quad W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow L^{p+\eta}(\Omega)$$

for  $0 \leq \eta < q - p$  provided

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{w} \in L^s(\Omega) \text{ and } s \in \left( \frac{n}{p}, +\infty \right) \cap \left[ \frac{1}{p-1}, +\infty \right).$$

**2.3. Friedrichs inequality in weighted Sobolev spaces.** In what follows we will always assume that (2.6) is fulfilled. Let  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then due to  $q > p$  and the imbedding  $W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  we have

$$(2.7) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} [|u|^{p_1} + |\nabla u|^{p_1}] dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}.$$

The Friedrichs inequality in  $W_0^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$  yields

$$(2.8) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} [|u|^{p_1} + |\nabla u|^{p_1}] dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \leq c_3 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}.$$

Using the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} &= \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p_1} w^{\frac{p_1}{p}} \frac{1}{w^{\frac{p_1}{p}}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} w |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{w^{\frac{p_1}{p} \frac{p-p_1}{p_1}}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-p_1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{w^s} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{ps}} \left( \int_{\Omega} w |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

(see Subsection 2.1 for the relation between  $s$ ,  $p$  and  $p_1$ ). It follows from (2.7)–(2.9) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq c_4 \int_{\Omega} w |\nabla u|^p dx$$

with a constant  $c_4 > 0$  independent of  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . Hence the norm

$$\|u\|_w = \left( \int_{\Omega} w |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

on the space  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{1,p,w}$  defined by (2.2).

**2.4. Equivalent norms.** Let us assume that  $\tilde{w}$  is a weight function defined in  $\Omega$  and satisfying inequalities

$$(2.10) \quad c_5 w(x) \leq \tilde{w}(x) \leq c_6 w(x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  with some constants  $c_6 \geq c_5 > 0$ . Then obviously

$$W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{w}, \Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$$

and the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{w}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_w$  are *equivalent* on  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . It follows from Clarkson's inequality (see Kufner, John, Fučík [10]) that  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is a *uniformly convex Banach space* with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{w}}$  for any  $\tilde{w}$  satisfying (2.10).

**2.5. Lemma.** (cf. Murthy, Stampacchia [13]). *Let  $\zeta = \zeta(t)$  be a nonnegative, nonincreasing function on a half line  $t \geq k_0 \geq 0$  such that*

$$(2.11) \quad \zeta(h) \leq c_7(h-k)^{-\sigma}(\zeta(k))^\delta$$

for  $h > k \geq k_0$ . Then  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\delta > 1$  imply

$$\zeta(k_0 + d) = 0,$$

where  $d = c_7^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}(\zeta(k_0))^{\frac{\delta-1}{\sigma}} \cdot 2^{\frac{\delta}{\delta-1}}$ .

*Proof.* Let us define a sequence  $(k_n)$  by

$$(2.12) \quad k_n = k_{n-1} + \frac{d}{2^n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Substituting (2.12) into (2.11) we get by induction

$$\zeta(k_n) \leq \frac{\zeta(k_0)}{2^{n \frac{\sigma}{\delta-1}}} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = k_0 + d$  and  $\zeta$  is nonincreasing, we obtain  $\zeta(k_0 + d) = 0$ .  $\square$

**2.6. Lemma.** *Let  $p \geq 2$ . Then*

$$(2.13) \quad |t_2|^p - |t_1|^p \geq p|t_1|^{p-2}t_1(t_2 - t_1) + \frac{|t_2 - t_1|^p}{2^{p-1} - 1}$$

for all points  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $1 < p < 2$ . Then

$$(2.14) \quad |t_2|^p - |t_1|^p \geq p|t_1|^{p-2}t_1(t_2 - t_1) + \frac{3p(p-1)}{16} \frac{|t_2 - t_1|^2}{(|t_1| + |t_2|)^{2-p}}$$

for all points  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof of this lemma is based on Clarkson's inequality and can be found in Lindqvist [12].

2.7. Remark. It follows from (2.13) and (2.14) that the inequality

$$(2.15) \quad |t_2|^p - |t_1|^p > p|t_1|^{p-2}t_1(t_2 - t_1)$$

holds for any  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t_1 \neq t_2$  and for any  $p > 1$ . Note that inequality (2.15) is just a restating of the strict convexity of the mapping  $t \mapsto |t|^p$  and can be proved independently of (2.13) and (2.14).

### 3. HOMOGENEOUS EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

**3.1. Weak formulation.** Let us suppose that  $w$  is the weight function satisfying (2.1) and (2.6). Let  $a(x)$ ,  $b(x)$  be measurable functions satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{w(x)}{c_8} \leq a(x) \leq c_8 w(x),$$

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leq b(x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  with some constant  $c_8 > 1$ , and  $b(x) \in L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}}(\Omega)$  for  $p < q^* < q$ ,  $b(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  for  $q^* = p$  (see Subsection 2.2 for  $q$ ). Moreover, let

$$(3.3) \quad \text{meas}\{x \in \Omega; b(x) > 0\} > 0.$$

Further we will assume that  $p < q^* < q$ . The proofs in the forthcoming subsections can be performed in the same way also in the case  $q^* = p$ .

Let us consider *homogeneous eigenvalue problem*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= \lambda b(x)|u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We will say that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an *eigenvalue* and  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , is the corresponding *eigenfunction* of the eigenvalue problem (3.4) if

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2}u \varphi \, dx$$

holds for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ .

**3.2. Lemma.** *There exists the least (the first) eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and at least one corresponding eigenfunction  $u_1 \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  ( $u_1 \not\equiv 0$ ) of the eigenvalue problem (3.4).*

Proof. Set

$$\lambda_1 = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla v|^p dx; \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Obviously  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ . Let  $(v_n)$  be the minimizing sequence for  $\lambda_1$ , i.e.

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v_n|^p dx = 1 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla v_n|^p dx = \lambda_1 + \delta_n,$$

with  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0_+$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from (3.6) that  $\|v_n\|_a \leq c_9$ , with  $c_9 > 0$  independent of  $n$ . The reflexivity of  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  (see Subsection 2.4) yields the weak convergence  $v_n \rightharpoonup u_1$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  for some  $u_1$  (at least for some subsequence of  $(v_n)$ ). The compact imbedding  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  implies the strong convergence  $v_n \rightarrow u_1$  in  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$ . It follows from (3.2), (3.6), from the Minkowski and the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v_n - u_1|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_1|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} (b(x))^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{pq^*}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |v_n - u_1|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_1|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_1|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_1|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} (b(x))^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{pq^*}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_1 - v_n|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_1|^p dx = 1.$$

In particular,  $u_1 \neq 0$ . The property of the weakly convergent sequence  $(v_n)$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &\leq \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_1|^p dx = \|u_1\|_a^p \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_a^p \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla v_n|^p dx = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_1 + \delta_n) = \lambda_1, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_1 = \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_1|^p dx.$$

It follows from (3.7) that  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and it is easy to see that  $\lambda_1$  is the least eigenvalue of (3.4) with the corresponding eigenfunction  $u_1$ . Moreover, if  $u$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  then  $|u|$  is also an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ . Hence we can suppose that  $u_1 \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Remark.** It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.2 that  $v_n \rightharpoonup u_1$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  and  $\|v_n\|_a \rightarrow \|u_1\|_a$ . The uniform convexity of  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  (see Subsection 2.4) then implies the *strong convergence*  $v_n \rightarrow u_1$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ .

**3.4. Lemma.** *Let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ ,  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , be the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  of the eigenvalue problem (3.4). Then  $u \in L^r(\Omega)$  for any  $1 \leq r < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** The assertion of lemma is fulfilled automatically if  $ps \geq n(s+1)$  (see Subsection 2.2). Let us suppose that  $ps < n(s+1)$ . For  $M > 0$  define

$$v_M(x) = \inf\{u(x), M\} \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Let us choose  $\varphi = v_M^{\kappa p + 1}$  ( $\kappa \geq 0$ ) in

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx.$$

Obviously  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . It follows from (3.8) that

$$(3.9) \quad (\kappa p + 1) \int_{\Omega} a(x) v_M^{\kappa p} |\nabla v_M|^p dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) u^{p-1} v_M^{\kappa p + 1} dx.$$

Due to (3.1) and the imbedding  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\kappa p + 1) \int_{\Omega} a(x) v_M^{\kappa p} |\nabla v_M|^p dx \\ & \geq \frac{\kappa p + 1}{c_8} \int_{\Omega} w(x) v_M^{\kappa p} |\nabla v_M|^p dx \\ & = \frac{\kappa p + 1}{c_8 (\kappa + 1)^p} \int_{\Omega} w(x) |\nabla (v_M^{\kappa+1})|^p dx \geq c_9 \left( \int_{\Omega} (v_M^{\kappa+1})^q dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$



Hence it follows from (3.2), (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and the Hölder inequality that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\Omega} v_M^{(\kappa+1)q} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} &\leq c_{10} \int_{\Omega} b(x) u^{p-1} v_M^{\kappa p+1} dx \\ &\leq c_{10} \left( \int_{\Omega} b(x)^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{(\kappa+1)q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u \in L^r(\Omega)$  for any  $1 \leq r \leq q$  (see Subsection 2.2), we can choose  $\kappa$  in (3.11) in the following way:

$$(3.12) \quad (\kappa + 1)q^* = q.$$

Then substituting (3.12) into (3.11) we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} v_M^{(\kappa+1)q} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq c_{11} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^q dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}} \leq c_{12},$$

i.e.  $v_M \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$ ,  $q' = (\kappa + 1)q$ , for any  $M > 0$ . We have  $u(x) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} v_M(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ . Then the Fatou lemma and (3.13) yield

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} u^{q'} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq \liminf_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} v_M^{q'} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq c_{12},$$

i.e.  $u \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$ , where

$$q' = \frac{q}{q^*}q.$$

Repeating the same argument we can choose  $\kappa$  in (3.11) as  $(\kappa + 1)q^* = q'$  and get  $u \in L^{q''}(\Omega)$ ,  $q'' = q(\frac{q}{q^*})^2$ , etc. Since  $q > q^*$ , the bootstrap argument implies the assertion of lemma.  $\square$

**3.5. Lemma.** *Let  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ ,  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  be the eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  of the eigenvalue problem (3.4). Then  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k \geq 0$  be a real number. Set

$$\varphi(x) = \sup \{u(x), k\} - k$$

in (3.8). We obtain

$$\int_{\Omega(u>k)} a(x) |\nabla \varphi|^p dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega(u>k)} b(x) (\varphi + k)^{p-1} \varphi dx,$$

i.e.

$$(3.14) \quad \int_{\Omega(u>k)} w(x) |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \leq \lambda_1 c_8 \int_{\Omega(u>k)} b(x) (\varphi + k)^{p-1} \varphi dx.$$

Let us choose

$$r > \max \left\{ \frac{(p-1)qq^*}{p(q-q^*)}, q \right\}.$$

Due to the homogeneity of (3.8) and Lemma 3.4 we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\|u\|_{L^r(\Omega)} = \tilde{R} > 0.$$

The imbedding  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  implies

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{\Omega(u>k)} w(x) |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \geq c_{13} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^p.$$

Since  $r > q$ , the Hölder inequality yields

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega(u>k)} b(x) (\varphi + k)^{p-1} \varphi dx \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\Omega(u>k)} b(x)^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega(u>k)} (\varphi + k)^{\frac{p-1}{p}q^*} \varphi^{\frac{q^*}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}} \\ & \leq c_{14} \left( \int_{\Omega(u>k)} (\varphi + k)^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega(u>k)} \varphi^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \\ & \leq c_{14} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^r dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} (\text{meas } \Omega(u > k))^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}(1-\frac{q^*}{r})} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} (\text{meas } \Omega(u > k))^{\frac{1}{q^*}(1-\frac{q^*}{q})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.14)–(3.16) that

$$(3.17) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{p-1} \leq c_{15}(\tilde{R}) (\text{meas } \Omega(u > k))^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}(1-\frac{q^*}{r}) + \frac{1}{q^*}(1-\frac{q^*}{q})}.$$

On the other hand, for  $h > k$  we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{p-1} &= \left( \int_{\Omega(u>k)} |u - k|^q dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \\ &\geq \left( \int_{\Omega(u>h)} |u - k|^q dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \geq (h - k)^{p-1} (\text{meas } \Omega(u > h))^{\frac{p-1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\zeta(t) = \text{meas}\Omega(u > t)$ . Then  $\zeta(t)$  is a nonnegative and nonincreasing function and it follows from (3.17), (3.18) that

$$\zeta(h)^{\frac{p-1}{q}} \leq \frac{c_{15}(\tilde{R})}{(h-k)^{p-1}} (\zeta(k))^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}(1-\frac{q^*}{r}) + \frac{1}{q^*}(1-\frac{q^*}{q})},$$

i.e.

$$\zeta(h) \leq \tilde{c}_{15}(\tilde{R})(h-k)^{-q} (\zeta(k))^\delta,$$

where

$$\sigma = q, \quad \delta = \frac{q}{p-1} \left[ \frac{p-1}{q^*} \left(1 - \frac{q^*}{r}\right) + \frac{1}{q^*} \left(1 - \frac{q^*}{q}\right) \right].$$

Due to the choice of  $r$  we have  $\delta > 1$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that there exists  $d = d(r, q, \tilde{R}, \text{meas}\Omega) > 0$  such that  $\zeta(d) = 0$ . Hence  $u(x) \leq d$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ .  $\square$

**3.6. Proposition.** *There exists precisely one nonnegative eigenfunction  $u_1$ ,  $\|u_1\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1$ , corresponding to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 > 0$  of the eigenvalue problem (3.4).*

*Proof.* Due to the variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$  the function  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^p dx = 0 \\ & = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla v|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v|^p dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if  $u_1, u_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  are two eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  then also

$$v_1(x) = \max_{x \in \Omega} \{u_1(x), u_2(x)\}, \quad v_2(x) = \min_{x \in \Omega} \{u_1(x), u_2(x)\}$$

are eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  provided that  $v_2 \not\equiv 0$ . Indeed, we have  $v_1, v_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla v_1|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v_1|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla v_2|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v_2|^p dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_1|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u_1|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_2|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u_2|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla v_1|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v_1|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla v_2|^p dx - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x)|v_2|^p dx = 0.$$

Let  $u_1 \geq 0$  and  $u_2 \geq 0$  be two eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  such that  $u_1 \neq u_2$ ,  $\min_{x \in \Omega} \{u_1(x), u_2(x)\} \neq 0$  and

$$\|u_1\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = \|u_2\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Denote  $v_3(x) = k_1 v_2(x) = k_1 \min_{x \in \Omega} \{u_1(x), u_2(x)\}$ , where  $k_1 > 0$  is chosen in such a way that

$$\|v_3\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Then  $v_3 \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is again an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  such that  $v_3 \neq u_1$ . Moreover,

$$\{x \in \Omega; u_1(x) = 0\} \subseteq \{x \in \Omega; v_3(x) = 0\}.$$

Set  $v_5(x) = k_2 v_4(x) = k_2 \max_{x \in \Omega} \{u_1(x), v_3(x)\}$ , where  $k_2 > 0$  is chosen such that

$$\|v_5\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Then  $v_5 \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  such that  $v_5 \neq u_1$  and

$$\{x \in \Omega; v_5(x) = 0\} = \{x \in \Omega; u_1(x) = 0\}.$$

Let, now,  $u_1 \geq 0$  and  $u_2 \geq 0$  be two eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  such that  $u_1 \neq u_2$ ,  $\|u_1\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = \|u_2\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1$  and

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} \{u_1(x), u_2(x)\} \equiv 0.$$

Denote  $\tilde{u}_1 = k_3 \max\{u_1(x), u_2(x)\}$ , where  $0 < k_3 < 1$  is chosen such that

$$\|\tilde{u}_1\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1,$$

and  $\tilde{u}_2 = k_4 \max\{u_1(x), \tilde{u}_1(x)\}$ , where  $0 < k_4 < 1$  is such that

$$\|\tilde{u}_2\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Then  $\tilde{u}_1$  and  $\tilde{u}_2$  are eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  such that  $\tilde{u}_1 \neq \tilde{u}_2$  and

$$\{x \in \Omega; \tilde{u}_1 = 0\} = \{x \in \Omega; \tilde{u}_2 = 0\}.$$

We will prove the assertion of proposition via contradiction. Due to the argument presented above we assume that  $u \geq 0$  and  $v \geq 0$  are eigenfunctions corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  such that

$$(3.19) \quad \|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = \|v\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = 1, \quad u \neq v,$$

and vanishing in  $\Omega$  on the same set (almost everywhere in the sense of the Lebesgue measure). Then

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi \, dx$$

for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ , and

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi \, dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v|^{p-2} v \psi \, dx$$

for any  $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  set

$$u_{\varepsilon} = u + \varepsilon \text{ and } v_{\varepsilon} = v + \varepsilon.$$

Substitute

$$\varphi = \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^p - v_{\varepsilon}^p}{u_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}}$$

into (3.20) and

$$\psi = \frac{v_{\varepsilon}^p - u_{\varepsilon}^p}{v_{\varepsilon}^{p-1}}$$

into (3.21). Since  $\frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}}, \frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{u_{\varepsilon}} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and

$$\nabla \varphi = \left[ 1 + (p-1) \left( \frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{u_{\varepsilon}} \right)^p \right] \nabla u - p \left( \frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{u_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p-1} \nabla v,$$

$$\nabla \psi = \left[ 1 + (p-1) \left( \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} \right)^p \right] \nabla v - p \left( \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p-1} \nabla u,$$

we have  $\varphi, \psi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . Adding (3.20) and (3.21) (with  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  chosen above) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left\{ \left[ 1 + (p-1) \left( \frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{u_{\varepsilon}} \right)^p \right] |\nabla u|^p + \left[ 1 + (p-1) \left( \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} \right)^p \right] |\nabla v|^p \right\} dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left\{ p \left( \frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{u_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p-1} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + p \left( \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{v_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p-1} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla u \right\} dx \\ & = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) \left[ \left( \frac{u}{u_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p-1} - \left( \frac{v}{v_{\varepsilon}} \right)^{p-1} \right] (u_{\varepsilon}^p - v_{\varepsilon}^p) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\nabla \log u_\varepsilon| = \frac{|\nabla u|}{u_\varepsilon}$ , the last equality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad & \int_{\Omega} a(x)(u_\varepsilon^p - v_\varepsilon^p)[|\nabla \log u_\varepsilon|^p - |\nabla \log v_\varepsilon|^p] dx \\
& - \int_{\Omega} a(x)pv_\varepsilon^p |\nabla \log u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla \log u_\varepsilon (\nabla \log v_\varepsilon - \nabla \log u_\varepsilon) dx \\
& - \int_{\Omega} a(x)pu_\varepsilon^p |\nabla \log v_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla \log v_\varepsilon (\nabla \log u_\varepsilon - \nabla \log v_\varepsilon) dx \\
& = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) \left[ \left( \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} - \left( \frac{v}{v_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} \right] (u_\varepsilon^p - v_\varepsilon^p) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $p \geq 2$ . We use (2.13) in order to estimate the left hand side of (3.22) (we first set  $t_1 = \nabla \log u_\varepsilon$ ,  $t_2 = \nabla \log v_\varepsilon$  and then  $t_1 = \nabla \log v_\varepsilon$ ,  $t_2 = \nabla \log u_\varepsilon$ ). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad & \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) \left[ \left( \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} - \left( \frac{v}{v_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} \right] (u_\varepsilon^p - v_\varepsilon^p) dx \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1} - 1} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla \log u_\varepsilon - \nabla \log v_\varepsilon|^p (u_\varepsilon^p + v_\varepsilon^p) dx \\
& = \frac{1}{2^{p-1} - 1} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left( \frac{1}{v_\varepsilon^p} + \frac{1}{u_\varepsilon^p} \right) |v_\varepsilon \nabla u - u_\varepsilon \nabla v|^p dx \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $1 < p < 2$ . We use (2.14) in order to estimate the left hand side of (3.22) (similarly as above) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.24) \quad & \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) \left[ \left( \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} - \left( \frac{v}{v_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} \right] (u_\varepsilon^p - v_\varepsilon^p) dx \\
& \geq \frac{3p(p-1)}{16} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \left( \frac{1}{u_\varepsilon^p} + \frac{1}{v_\varepsilon^p} \right) \frac{|v_\varepsilon \nabla u - u_\varepsilon \nabla v|^2}{(v_\varepsilon |\nabla u| + u_\varepsilon |\nabla v|)^{2-p}} dx \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have  $u, v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  (see Lemma 3.5) and

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{v}{v_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+)$$

a.e. in  $\Omega$  where  $u > 0$  and  $v > 0$ , respectively;

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \frac{v}{v_\varepsilon} = 0 \quad (\text{for any } \varepsilon > 0)$$

elsewhere (since  $u$  and  $v$  vanish on the same set in  $\Omega$ ). Hence it follows from (3.25), (3.26) and the Lebesgue theorem that for any  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} b(x) \left[ \left( \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} - \left( \frac{v}{v_\varepsilon} \right)^{p-1} \right] (u_\varepsilon^p - v_\varepsilon^p) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+).$$

This together with (3.23), (3.24) and the Fatou lemma implies

$$|v \nabla u - u \nabla v| = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

for any  $1 < p < \infty$ . Hence there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that  $u = kv$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . But (3.19) yields  $k = 1$ , i.e.  $u = v$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The proof of Proposition 3.6 follows the lines of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in Lindqvist [12] for the nondegenerate case ( $a(x) \equiv 1$  in  $\Omega$ ).

**3.7. Lemma.** *Let  $J: W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \rightarrow [W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$  be an operator defined by*

$$\langle J(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx$$

for any  $u, \varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  (here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality between  $[W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$  and  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ ). Then  $J$  is surjective and  $J^{-1}: [W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^* \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  is bounded and continuous.

*Proof.* The operator  $J$  is bounded, strictly monotone, continuous and coercive. Then it follows from the Browder theorem (see e.g. Fučík, Kufner [8]) that  $J$  is surjective. It follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$(3.27) \quad \langle J(v) - J(u), v - u \rangle \geq (\|v\|_a^{p-1} - \|u\|_a^{p-1})(\|v\|_a - \|u\|_a)$$

for any  $u, v \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . The boundedness of  $J^{-1}$  follows immediately from (3.27). Let us suppose to the contrary that  $J^{-1}$  is not continuous. Then there exists a sequence  $(f_n)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $[W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$  and  $\|J^{-1}(f_n) - J^{-1}(f)\|_a \geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Denote  $u_n = J^{-1}(f_n)$ ,  $u = J^{-1}(f)$ . It follows from (3.27) that

$$\|f_n\|_* \cdot \|u_n\|_a \geq \langle f_n, u_n \rangle = \langle J(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq \|u_n\|_a^p,$$

i.e.

$$\|u_n\|_a^{p-1} \leq \|f_n\|_*$$

( $\|\cdot\|_*$  denotes the norm in the dual space  $[W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$ ). Then  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  and we can assume that there exists  $\tilde{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . Hence we have

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle J(u_n) - J(\tilde{u}), u_n - \tilde{u} \rangle = \\ & = \langle J(u_n) - J(u), u_n - \tilde{u} \rangle + \langle J(u) - J(\tilde{u}), u_n - \tilde{u} \rangle \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $J(u_n) \rightarrow J(u)$  in  $[W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$ . It follows from (3.27) (where we set  $v = u_n$ ,  $u = \tilde{u}$ ) and (3.28) that  $\|u_n\|_a \rightarrow \|\tilde{u}\|_a$ . The uniform convexity of  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_a$  (see Subsection 2.4) implies  $u_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . This convergence together with the convergence  $J(u_n) \rightarrow J(u)$  in  $[W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$  implies  $\tilde{u} = u$  which is a contradiction. The continuity of  $J^{-1}$  is proved.  $\square$

**4.1. Weak formulation.** In this section we will consider the *nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a(x, u)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= \lambda b(x, u)|u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  be a nondecreasing function,  $\alpha(x) \in L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}}(\Omega)$  for  $q > q^* > p$ ,  $\alpha(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  for  $q^* = p$  (for  $q, q^*$  see Subsection 3.1),  $\beta > 0$  a constant. We assume that  $a(x, s), b(x, s)$  are Carathéodory functions (i.e. continuous in  $s$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and measurable in  $x$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{w(x)}{c_8} \leq a(x, s) \leq c_8 g(|s|)w(x),$$

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq b(x, s) \leq \alpha(x) + \beta|s|^{q^*-p}$$

hold for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Moreover, assume that

$$(4.4) \quad \operatorname{meas} \{x \in \Omega; b(x, v(x)) > 0\} > 0$$

for any  $v \in L^{q^*}(\Omega), v \not\equiv 0$ . (Note that the condition (4.4) is fulfilled e.g. if  $b(x, s) > 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $s \neq 0$ .)

We will say that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an *eigenvalue* and  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega), u \not\equiv 0$ , is the corresponding *eigenfunction* of the eigenvalue problem (4.1) if

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} a(x, u(x))|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x, u(x))|u|^{p-2}u\varphi \, dx$$

holds for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ .

**4.2. Proposition (a priori estimate).** *Let  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega), \|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R > 0$ ,  $u \geq 0$  be any eigenfunction of (4.1) corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then there exists  $d(R) > 0$  (independent of  $g$ ) such that  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq d(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\varphi = u^{\kappa p+1}$  in (4.5) with  $\kappa \geq 0$ . We obtain

$$(\kappa p + 1) \int_{\Omega} a(x, u(x))u^{\kappa p}|\nabla u|^p \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x, u(x))u^{(\kappa+1)p} \, dx, \text{ i.e.}$$



$$(4.6) \quad \frac{\kappa p + 1}{(\kappa + 1)^p} \int_{\Omega} a(x, u(x)) |\nabla(u^{\kappa+1})|^p dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} b(x, u(x)) u^{(\kappa+1)p} dx.$$

It follows from (4.2) and the imbedding  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} a(x, u(x)) |\nabla(u^{\kappa+1})|^p dx &\geq \frac{1}{c_8} \int_{\Omega} w(x) |\nabla(u^{\kappa+1})|^p dx \\ &\geq c_{16} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{(\kappa+1)q} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

with  $c_{16} > 0$  independent of  $\kappa, R$  and  $g$ .

Applying the Hölder inequality, (4.3) and the Minkowski inequality we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} b(x, u(x)) u^{(\kappa+1)p} dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} (b(x, u(x)))^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{(\kappa+1)q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}} \\ &\leq \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \alpha(x)^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} + \beta \left( \int_{\Omega} u^q dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \right] \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{(\kappa+1)q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} u^{(\kappa+1)q} dx \\ &\leq c_{17} \frac{(\kappa + 1)^q}{(\kappa p + 1)^{\frac{q}{p}}} \left[ \|\alpha\|_{L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}}(\Omega)} + \beta R^{q^*-p} \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \cdot \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{(\kappa+1)q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $c_{17} > 0$  independent of  $\kappa, R$  and  $g$ . Let  $j$  be a nonnegative integer. Substitute  $\kappa = \frac{q^j - (q^*)^j}{(q^*)^j}$  into (4.9):

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{q^{j+1}}{(q^*)^j}} dx &\leq c_{17} \frac{\left[ \frac{q^j}{(q^*)^j} \right]^q}{\left[ \frac{q^j - (q^*)^j}{(q^*)^j} p + 1 \right]^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \|\alpha\|_{L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}}(\Omega)} + \beta R^{q^*-p} \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{q^j}{(q^*)^{j-1}}} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q^{j+1}}{(q^*)^j} = \infty,$$

there exists the least  $j_0$  such that

$$r = \frac{q^{j_0+1}}{(q^*)^{j_0}} > \max \left\{ \frac{(p-1)qq^*}{p(q-q^*)}, q \right\}.$$

It follows from (4.9), (4.10) (setting  $j = j_0, j_0 - 1, \dots, 1$ ) that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} u^r \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \tilde{R}(R),$$

where  $\tilde{R} > 0$  is independent of  $g$ .

Now we set  $a(x) := a(x, u(x))$  and  $b(x) := b(x, u(x))$  in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Following the lines of this proof we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq d(R),$$

where  $d = d(R)$  is independent of  $g$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

**4.3. Truncation in the principal part.** Let  $R > 0$  and  $d = d(R) > 0$  be as above. We define

$$(4.11) \quad \tilde{a}(x, s) = \begin{cases} a(x, s) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, |s| \leq d(R), \\ a(x, d(R)) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, s > d(R), \\ a(x, -d(R)) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, s < -d(R). \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\tilde{a}(x, u) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) &= \lambda b(x, u) |u|^{p-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Proposition 4.2 that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ ,  $\|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ ,  $u \geq 0$  is an eigenfunction of (4.12) *if and only if* it is an eigenfunction of (4.1).

**4.4. Application of the fixed point theorem.** For a given  $v \in L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  set  $a_v(x) = \tilde{a}(x, v(x))$ ,  $b_v(x) = b(x, v(x))$ . It follows from (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and (4.11) that  $a_v(x)$  and  $b_v(x)$  fulfil (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) for any fixed  $v \in L^{q^*}(\Omega)$ . Let us consider the homogeneous eigenvalue problem

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a_v(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) &= \lambda b_v(x) |u|^{p-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

for any fixed  $v \in L^{q^*}(\Omega)$ . Due to the results of Section 3 there exists the *least* eigenvalue  $\lambda_v > 0$  of (4.13) and *precisely one* corresponding eigenfunction  $u_v$  such

that  $u_v \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $u_v \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $\|u_v\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ . Hence we can define the operator

$$S: L^{q^*}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q^*}(\Omega)$$

which associates with  $v \in L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  the first nonnegative eigenfunction  $u_v$  of (4.13) such that  $\|u_v\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ .

Let us assume for a moment that  $S$  is a compact operator. Since it maps the ball  $B_R = \{u \in L^{q^*}(\Omega), \|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} \leq R\}$  into itself it follows from the Schauder fixed point theorem (see e.g. Fučík, Kufner [8]) that  $S$  has a fixed point  $u \in B_R$ . Hence there exists  $\lambda_u > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a_u(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) &= \lambda_u b_u(x)|u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows from the considerations in Subsection 4.3 that  $\lambda_u > 0$  is the least eigenvalue of (4.1) and  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , is the corresponding eigenfunction satisfying  $\|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ .

The main result of this paper follows from the considerations presented above.

**4.5. Theorem.** *Let the assumptions from Subsection 4.1 be fulfilled. Then for a given real number  $R > 0$  there exists the least eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and the corresponding eigenfunction  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  of the nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem (4.1) such that  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\|u\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ .*

In the forthcoming subsections it remains to prove the compactness of the operator  $S$  in order to justify our assumption in Subsection 4.4.

**4.6. The Nemytskii operators.** Let us define the Nemytskii operators

$$G_1: u \mapsto |u|^{p-2}u, \quad G_2: u \mapsto |u|^p, \quad G_3: u \mapsto b(x, u(x)).$$

Then  $G_i$  is a bounded and continuous operator from  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  into  $L^{\frac{q^*}{p-1}}(\Omega)$  for  $i = 1$ , from  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  into  $L^{\frac{q^*}{p}}(\Omega)$  for  $i = 2$ , and from  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  into  $L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}}(\Omega)$  for  $i = 3$  (see e.g. Vajzberg [15], Fučík, Kufner [8]). The Nemytskii operator

$$G_4: (u, z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto \tilde{a}(x, u(x))(z_1^2(x) + \dots + z_n^2(x))^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

is bounded and continuous from  $L^{q^*}(\Omega) \times L^p(w, \Omega) \times \dots \times L^p(w, \Omega)$  into  $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}, \Omega)$  (see e.g. Drábek, Kufner, Nicolosi [7], Kufner, Sändig [11]).

**4.7. Lemma.** Let  $z, z_n \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} a_v(x) |\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi(x) \, dx,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla z_n|^{p-2} \nabla z_n \nabla \psi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \psi(x) \, dx$$

for any  $\varphi, \psi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  and let  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$ ,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $[W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$ . Then  $z_n \rightarrow z$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ .

*P r o o f.* Define operators  $J, J_n : W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \rightarrow [W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$  by

$$\langle J(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a_v(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx,$$

$$\langle J_n(u), \psi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \psi \, dx$$

for any  $\varphi, \psi, u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . Hence  $J(z) = f$  and  $J_n(z_n) = f_n$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. Consider the equation

$$J_n(u) = h.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla u|^p \, dx = \int_{\Omega} h(x) u(x) \, dx,$$

$$\|u\|_w^p \leq c_{18} \|h\|_* \|u\|_w,$$

$$(4.14) \quad \|J_n^{-1}(h)\|_w \leq c_{18} \|h\|_*^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

for any  $h \in [W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)]^*$ , where  $c_{18} > 0$  is independent of  $n$  and  $h$ . Analogously

$$(4.15) \quad \|J^{-1}(h)\|_w \leq c_{18} \|h\|_*^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

(cf. Lemma 3.7). Applying Lemma 3.7 for  $a(x) := a_v(x)$  we obtain continuity of  $J^{-1}$  (with  $J$  defined in this subsection).

Assume that  $(u_n)$  is a sequence satisfying  $u_n \rightarrow z$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . It follows from the continuity of the Nemytskii operator  $G_4$  that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|J_n(u_n) - J(u_n)\|_* &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_w \leq 1} |\langle J_n(u_n) - J(u_n), \varphi \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_w \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} (a_{v_n}(x) - a_v(x)) |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|\varphi\|_w \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} [a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - a_v(x) |\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z] \nabla \varphi \, dx \right| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_w \leq 1} \left| \int_{\Omega} [a_v(x) |\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z - a_v(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n] \nabla \varphi \, dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{\|\varphi\|_w \leq 1} \left( \int_{\Omega} w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} |a_{v_n}(x)| |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - a_v(x) |\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z \Big|^{p-1} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} w(x) |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&+ \sup_{\|\varphi\|_w \leq 1} \left( \int_{\Omega} w(x)^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} |a_v(x)| |\nabla z|^{p-2} \nabla z - a_v(x) |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \Big|^{p-1} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} w(x) |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Set  $u_n = J^{-1}(f_n)$ . Then the assumptions of lemma and the continuity of  $J^{-1}$  imply

$$(4.17) \quad u_n \rightarrow z \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega).$$

The relations (4.14)–(4.17) and the continuity of  $J^{-1}$  now yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_n - z\|_w &\leq \|J_n^{-1}(f_n) - J^{-1}(f_n)\|_w + \|J^{-1}(f_n) - J^{-1}(f)\|_w \\
&\leq \|J_n^{-1}(J_n - J)J^{-1}(f_n)\|_w + \|J^{-1}(f_n) - J^{-1}(f)\|_w \\
&\leq c_{18} \|J_n(u_n) - J(u_n)\|_*^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \|J^{-1}(f_n) - J^{-1}(f)\|_w \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**4.8. Proposition.** *The operator  $S: L^{q^*}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  defined in Subsection 4.4 is compact.*

*Proof.* We prove that  $S$  is a continuous operator from  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  into  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . The assertion then follows from the compact imbedding  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  (see Subsection 2.2). Let  $u_{v_n} = S(v_n)$ ,  $u_v = S(v)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  and

$$(4.18) \quad \|u_{v_n} - u_v\|_w \geq \delta$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . We have

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{\Omega} a_v(x) |\nabla u_v|^{p-2} \nabla u_v \nabla \varphi dx = \lambda_v \int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u_v|^{p-2} u_v \varphi dx,$$

$$(4.20) \quad \int_{\Omega} a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla u_{v_n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{v_n} \nabla \psi dx = \lambda_{v_n} \int_{\Omega} b_{v_n}(x) |u_{v_n}|^{p-2} u_{v_n} \psi dx$$

for any  $\varphi, \psi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . It follows from Lemma 3.7 that for any  $v_n \in L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  there exists  $z_n \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  such that

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{\Omega} a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla z_n|^{p-2} \nabla z_n \nabla \varphi \, dx = \lambda_v \int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u_v|^{p-2} u_v \varphi \, dx$$

for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . Lemma 4.7 yields  $z_n \rightarrow u_v$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  (and hence also in  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$ ). Applying the Hölder inequality, (4.3) and the Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} b(x, v(x)) |u_v|^{p-2} u_v (z_n - u_v) \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} (b(x, v(x)))^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-1}} |u_v|^{\frac{q^*(p-1)}{q^*-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-1}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |z_n - u_v|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} (b(x, v(x)))^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_v|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |z_n - u_v|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \\ & \leq \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \alpha(x)^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} + \beta \left( \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \right] \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_v|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |z_n - u_v|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Applying the Hölder inequality, (4.3), the Minkowski inequality and the continuity of the Nemytskii operators  $G_2, G_3$  we obtain

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} [b(x, v_n(x)) |z_n|^p - b(x, v(x)) |u_v|^p] \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} b(x, v_n(x)) [|z_n|^p - |u_v|^p] \, dx \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\Omega} [b(x, v_n(x)) - b(x, v(x))] |u_v|^p \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} \alpha(x)^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} + \beta \left( \int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \right] \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} ||z_n|^p - |u_v|^p|^{\frac{q^*}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}} \\ & \quad + \left( \int_{\Omega} |b(x, v_n(x)) - b(x, v(x))|^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_v|^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from the variational characterization of  $\lambda_{v_n}$ , (4.19)–(4.23) that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{v_n} &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla z_n|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} b_{v_n}(x) |z_n|^p dx} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_v \int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u_v|^{p-2} u_v z_n dx}{\int_{\Omega} b_{v_n}(x) |z_n|^p dx} \rightarrow \lambda_v \frac{\int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u_v|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u_v|^p dx} = \lambda_v.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.24) \quad \limsup \lambda_{v_n} \leq \lambda_v.$$

Applying the Hölder inequality, the Minkowski inequality and the assumptions (4.2), (4.3) we obtain from (4.20) (with  $\psi = u_{v_n}$ ):

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned}\frac{1}{c_8} \|u_{v_n}\|_w^p &\leq \int_{\Omega} a_{v_n}(x) |\nabla u_{v_n}|^p dx = \lambda_{v_n} \int_{\Omega} b_{v_n}(x) |u_{v_n}|^p dx \\ &\leq \lambda_{v_n} \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} |\alpha(x)|^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} + \beta \left( \int_{\Omega} |v_n(x)|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_{v_n}|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{q^*}}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the assumption  $\|u_{v_n}\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ , from  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  and from (4.25) that

$$(4.26) \quad \|u_{v_n}\|_w \leq \text{const}$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Due to (4.26) we have

$$(4.27) \quad u_{v_n} \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$$

(at least for some subsequence) for some  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  and hence  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{q^*}(\Omega)$ .

The Hölder inequality, the Minkowski inequality, (4.3) and the continuity of the Nemytskii operators  $G_1$  and  $G_3$  imply

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{aligned}&\left| \int_{\Omega} [b(x, v_n(x)) |u_{v_n}|^{p-2} u_{v_n} - b(x, v(x)) |u|^{p-2} u] \varphi dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} [b(x, v_n(x)) - b(x, v(x))] |u_{v_n}|^{p-2} u_{v_n} \varphi dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\Omega} b(x, v(x)) [|u_{v_n}|^{p-2} u_{v_n} - |u|^{p-2} u] \varphi dx \right| \leq\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |b(x, v_n(x)) - b(x, v(x))|^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_{v_n}|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}} \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \\
&\quad + \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} |\alpha(x)|^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} + \beta \left( \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*-p}{q^*}} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} ||u_{v_n}|^{p-2} u_{v_n} - |u|^{p-2} u|^{\frac{q^*}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{q^*}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . Passing to suitable subsequences we can assume that

$$(4.29) \quad \lambda_{v_n} \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, \lambda_v]$$

(see (4.24)).

Let  $\bar{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  be the unique solution of

$$(4.30) \quad \int_{\Omega} a_v(x) |\nabla \bar{u}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{u} \nabla \varphi dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx$$

for any  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$  (Lemma 3.7 guarantees the existence of  $\bar{u}$ ). It follows from (4.28)–(4.30) and from Lemma 4.7 that

$$(4.31) \quad u_{v_n} \rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega).$$

Now, (4.27), (4.31) imply  $u = \bar{u}$  and  $u_{v_n} \rightarrow u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_v \geq \lambda &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} a_v(x) |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u|^p dx} \geq \inf_{\substack{\tilde{u} \neq 0 \\ \tilde{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega)}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} a_v(x) |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |\tilde{u}|^p dx} \\
&= \frac{\int_{\Omega} a_v(x) |\nabla u_v|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} b_v(x) |u_v|^p dx} = \lambda_v.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\lambda = \lambda_v$  and  $u = u_v$  (see the uniqueness of  $u_v \geq 0$ ,  $\|u_v\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$  in Section 3).

In particular, this means that

$$u_{v_n} \rightarrow u_v \text{ in } W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega),$$

which contradicts (4.18). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.8.  $\square$



4.9. Remark. The proofs in Section 4 can be performed in the same way working with  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  instead of  $L^{\frac{q^*}{q^*-p}}(\Omega)$  in the case  $q^* = p$ . Hence we obtain the following *special version* of Theorem 4.5.

**4.10. Theorem.** *Let (4.2)–(4.4) be fulfilled with  $\alpha(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $q^* = p$ . Then for a given real number  $R > 0$  there exists the least eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and the corresponding eigenfunction  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \cup L^\infty(\Omega)$  of (4.1) such that  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = R$ .*

4.11. Remark. Since the eigenvalue problem (4.13) is *homogeneous*, we can define the operator  $\tilde{S}: L^{q^*}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  which associates with  $v \in L^{q^*}(\Omega)$  the first nonpositive eigenfunction  $-u_v$  of (4.13) such that  $\|-u_v\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ . It is clear from the above considerations that  $\tilde{S}$  has the *same properties* as  $S$  defined in Subsection 4.4. Hence repeating the same arguments as in Subsections 4.2–4.4, 4.6–4.8 we prove the following *dual version* of Theorem 4.5.

**4.12. Theorem.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 be fulfilled. Then for a given real number  $R > 0$  there exists the least eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$  and the corresponding eigenfunction  $\tilde{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  of the nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem (4.1) such that  $\tilde{u} \leq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^{q^*}(\Omega)} = R$ .*

4.13. Remark. Let  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  be the least eigenvalues guaranteed by Theorem 4.5 and 4.12, respectively, for a given fixed  $R > 0$ . Then  $\lambda \neq \tilde{\lambda}$  may hold due to the fact that the eigenvalue problem (4.1) is not homogeneous in general.

## 5. EXAMPLES

5.1. Example. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $w(x)$  be positive and measurable in  $\Omega$  satisfying  $w(x) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\frac{1}{w(x)} \in L^s(\Omega)$  for  $s > \max\{\frac{n}{p}, \frac{1}{p-1}\}$ . Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(w(x)e^{u^2} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) &= \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In this case we have

$$a(x, s) = w(x)e^{s^2}, b(x, s) \equiv 1$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It follows from Theorem 4.10 that *for any given real number  $R > 0$  there exists the least eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and the corresponding eigenfunction  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(w, \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  of (5.1) such that  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = R$ .*

5.2. Example. Let us consider for  $\Omega$  the plane domain  $\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$  (i.e.  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ). For  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$  set

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x_1 \leq 0, \\ x_2^\nu(1-x_1)^\gamma, & x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, \\ |x_2|^\mu(1-x_1)^\gamma, & x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $\nu, \mu, \gamma$  real numbers. Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(w(x)(1+u^4)|\nabla u|^2 \nabla u) &= \lambda u^9 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In this case we have  $p = 4$ ,

$$a(x, s) = w(x)(1+s^4), b(x, s) = s^6$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  and for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus the principal part of the differential operator has a *degeneration* (or *singularity*) which is concentrated on a part  $\Gamma_1$  of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$\Gamma_1 = \{x = (x_1, x_2); x_1 = 1, x_2 \in (-1, 1)\},$$

as well as on a segment  $\Gamma_2$  in the interior of  $\Omega$ ,

$$\Gamma_2 = \{x = (x_1, x_2); x_1 \in (0, 1), x_2 = 0\}.$$

Condition (2.1) indicates that we have to choose  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  from the interval  $(-1, 3)$  with no condition on  $\gamma$ . Let us assume that

$$(5.3) \quad \nu, \mu \in \left(-1, \frac{4}{3}\right), \quad \gamma \in \left(-\infty, \frac{4}{3}\right).$$

It follows from (5.3) that  $\frac{1}{w(x)} \in L^{\frac{3}{4}}(\Omega)$  and  $q = 12$  (see Subsection 2.2). Hence the growth condition (4.3) is fulfilled e.g. with  $q^* = 10$ . Applying Theorem 4.5 we have the following assertion.

*Let us assume (5.3). Then for a given real number  $R > 0$  there exists the least eigenvalue  $\lambda > 0$  and the corresponding eigenfunction  $u \in W_0^{1,4}(w, \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  of (5.2) such that  $u \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  and  $\|u\|_{L^{10}(\Omega)} = R$ .*

Note that for  $\nu, \mu$  and  $\gamma$  *positive* we have a *degeneration* of the same extent at  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . On the other hand, the *singularity* can occur in a limited extent at  $\Gamma_2$  (for  $\nu$  or  $\mu$  *negative*, but bigger than  $-1$ ), but big enough at  $\Gamma_1$  (for any  $\gamma < 0$ ).

### References

- [1] *R. A. Adams*: Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1975.
- [2] *A. Anane*: Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du  $p$ -laplacien avec poids. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. *305* (1987), 725–728.
- [3] *G. Barles*: Remarks on uniqueness results of the first eigenvalue of the  $p$ -Laplacian. Ann. Fac. des Sc. de Toulouse IX (1988), no. 1, 65–75.
- [4] *T. Bhattacharya*: Radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction for the  $p$ -Laplacian in the ball. Proc. Amer. Math Society *104* (1988), 169–174.
- [5] *L. Boccardo*: Positive eigenfunctions for a class of quasi-linear operators. Bollettino U. M. I. (5) *18-B* (1981), 951–959.
- [6] *P. Drábek, M. Kučera*: Generalized eigenvalue and bifurcations of second order boundary value problems with jumping nonlinearities. Bull. Austral. Math. Society *37* (1988), 179–187.
- [7] *P. Drábek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi*: On the solvability of degenerated quasilinear elliptic equations of higher order. J. Differential Equations *109* (1994), 325–347.
- [8] *S. Fučík, A. Kufner*: Nonlinear Differential Equations. Elsevier, The Netherlands, 1980.
- [9] *J. García Azorero, I. Peral Alonso*: Existence and non-uniqueness for the  $p$ -Laplacian: Non-linear eigenvalues. Comm. Partial Differential Equations *12* (1987), 1389–1430.
- [10] *A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučík*: Function Spaces. Academia, Prague, 1977.
- [11] *A. Kufner, A. M. Sändig*: Some Applications of Weighted Sobolev Spaces. Teubner, Band 100, Leipzig, 1987.
- [12] *P. Lindqvist*: On the equation  $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$ . Proc. Amer. Math. Society *109* (1990), 157–164.
- [13] *M. K. V. Murthy, G. Stampacchia*: Boundary value problems for some degenerate elliptic operators. Annali di Matematica (4) *80* (1968), 1–122.
- [14] *M. Otani, T. Teshima*: The first eigenvalue of some quasilinear elliptic equations. Proc. Japan Academy *64*, sér. A (1988), 8–10.
- [15] *M. M. Vainberg*: Variational Methods for the Study of Nonlinear Operators. Holden-Day, Inc. San Francisco, 1964.

*Author's address: Pavel Drábek, Department of Mathematics, University of West Bohemia, P. O. Box 314, 306 14 Plzeň, Czech Republic, e-mail: pdrabek@kma.zcu.cz.*