

## SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCES IN A VECTOR LATTICE

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received August 5, 1996)

*Abstract.* In the present paper we deal with sequential convergences on a vector lattice  $L$  which are compatible with the structure of  $L$ .

*Keywords:* vector lattice, sequential convergence, archimedean property, Brouwerian lattice

*MSC 2000:* 46A19

In this paper we will investigate the system  $\text{Conv } L$  of all sequential convergences in a vector lattice  $L$ . The analogously defined notions of sequential convergences in a lattice ordered group or in a Boolean algebra were studied in [3]–[12].

The following results will be established.

The set  $\text{Conv } L$  is nonempty if and only if  $L$  is archimedean. Let  $L$  be archimedean. Then  $\text{Conv } L$  has the least element (it need not have, in general, a greatest element). Each interval of  $\text{Conv } L$  is a Brouwerian lattice. If  $L$  is  $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -distributive, then  $\text{Conv } L$  is a complete lattice. There is a convex vector sublattice  $L_1$  of  $L$  such that (i)  $\text{Conv } L_1$  is a complete lattice; (ii) if  $L_2$  is a convex vector sublattice of  $L$  such that  $\text{Conv } L_2$  is a complete lattice, then  $L_2 \subseteq L_1$ . Let  $X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be archimedean vector lattices; if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are isomorphic as lattices and if  $\text{Conv } X_1$  is a complete lattice, then  $\text{Conv } X_2$  is a complete lattice as well. If  $L$  is a direct sum of linearly ordered vector lattices, then  $\text{Conv } L$  is a complete lattice and has no atom. Some further results (concerning orthogonal sequences and strong units) are also proved.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

The notion of a vector lattice is applied here in the same sense as in [1], Chap. XV. (In [16], the term “Riesz space” is used; in [13] vector lattices are called  $K$ -lineals.)

Let  $L$  be a vector lattice and let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers. The direct product  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_n$ , where  $L_n = L$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , will be denoted by  $L^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The elements of  $L^{\mathbb{N}}$  are denoted, e.g., as  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , or simply  $(x_n)$ ; instead of  $n$ , sometimes other indices will be applied.  $(x_n)$  is said to be a sequence in  $L$ . If  $x \in L$  and  $x_n = x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we denote  $(x_n) = \text{const } x$ . The notion of a subsequence has the usual meaning.

If  $\alpha \subseteq L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$ , then instead of  $((x_n), x) \in \alpha$  we also write  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ .

If the partial order (as defined in  $L$ ) is not taken into account, then we obtain a linear space which will be denoted by  $\ell(L)$ ; similarly, if we disregard the multiplication of elements of  $L$  by reals, then we get a lattice ordered group; we denote it by  $G(L)$ .

The set of all reals will be denoted by  $\mathbb{R}$ . The symbol 0 denotes both the real number zero and the neutral element of  $L$ ; the meaning of this symbol will be clear from the context. For  $(a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  the symbol  $a_n \rightarrow a$  has the usual meaning.

**1.1. Definition.** (Cf., e.g., [15].) A nonempty subset  $\alpha$  of  $L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$  will be said to be a *convergence in  $\ell(L)$*  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) If  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$  and if  $(y_n)$  is a subsequence of  $(x_n)$ , then  $y_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ .
- (ii) If  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$  and  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} y$ , then  $x = y$ .
- (iii) If  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} y$ , then  $x_n + y_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x + y$ .
- (iv) If  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $ax_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} ax$ .
- (v) If  $x \in L$ ,  $(a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a_n \rightarrow a$ , then  $a_n x \rightarrow_{\alpha} ax$ .

The system of all convergences in  $\ell(L)$  will be denoted by  $\text{Conv}_{\ell} L$ .

**1.2. Definition.** (Cf. [3].) A nonempty subset  $\alpha$  of  $L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$  will be said to be a *convergence in  $G(L)$*  if it satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) from 1.1, and if also the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i<sub>1</sub>) If  $((x_n), x) \in L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$  and if each subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence  $(z_n)$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ .
- (ii<sub>1</sub>) If  $x \in L$  and  $(x_n) = \text{const } x$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ .
- (iii<sub>1</sub>) If  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ , then  $-x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} -x$ .
- (iv<sub>1</sub>) If  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} y$ , then  $x_n \wedge y_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x \wedge y$  and  $x_n \vee y_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x \vee y$ .
- (v<sub>1</sub>) If  $x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ ,  $(z_n) \in L^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $x_n \leq z_n \leq y_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $z_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x$ .

The system of all convergences in  $G(L)$  will be denoted by  $\text{Conv}_g L$ .

Let us remark that in the paper [14] the Urysohn property (i<sub>1</sub>) (which will be systematically applied below) was not assumed to be valid when investigating a sequential convergence in a lattice ordered group.

We denote by  $d$  the system of all elements  $((x_n), x) \in L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$  having the property that there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n = x$  for each  $n \geq m$ . It is easy to verify that  $d$  belongs to  $\text{Conv}_g L$ , hence  $\text{Conv}_g L$  is nonempty. The system  $\text{Conv}_g L$  will be considered to be partially ordered by inclusion. It is obvious that  $d$  is the least element of  $\text{Conv}_g L$ .

Let us remark that the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (i<sub>1</sub>), (ii<sub>1</sub>) and (iii<sub>1</sub>) define a convergence group in the sense of [18] or a FLUSH convergence on the corresponding group (cf. [17]).

**1.3. Definition.** A nonempty subset  $\alpha$  of  $L^{\mathbb{N}} \times L$  will be said to be a *convergence in  $L$*  if  $\alpha \in \text{Conv}_\ell L \cap \text{Conv}_g L$ . The system of all convergences in  $L$  will be denoted by  $\text{Conv} L$ . If  $\text{Conv} L \neq \emptyset$ , then the set  $\text{Conv} L$  will be partially ordered by inclusion.

The vector lattice  $L$  is said to be *archimedean* if, whenever  $x, y \in L$  and  $0 \leq nx \leq y$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x = 0$ .

**1.4. Lemma.** *Let  $L$  be non-archimedean. Then  $\text{Conv} L = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* There exist  $x, y \in L$  such that  $0 < nx \leq y$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By way of contradiction, assume that  $\alpha \in \text{Conv} L$ . Because  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , in view of 1.1, (v) we infer that  $\frac{1}{n}y \rightarrow_\alpha 0$ . Since  $0 < x \leq \frac{1}{n}y$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , according to (ii<sub>1</sub>) and (v<sub>1</sub>) of 1.2 the relation  $x_n \rightarrow_\alpha x$  is valid, where  $(x_n) = \text{const } x$ . Thus in view of (ii<sub>1</sub>) and (ii) we have arrived at a contradiction.  $\square$

**1.5. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv}_g L$ . Then  $\alpha$  satisfies the condition (iv) from 1.1.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \rightarrow_\alpha x$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . There is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $|a| \leq m$ . We have

$$x_n \rightarrow_\alpha x \Rightarrow |x_n - x| \rightarrow_\alpha 0,$$

whence in view of (iii) and by induction we get  $m|x_n - x| \rightarrow_\alpha 0$ . Since

$$0 \leq |ax_n - ax| = |a| |x_n - x| \leq m|x_n - x|,$$

according to (v<sub>1</sub>) we obtain  $|ax_n - ax| \rightarrow_\alpha 0$ , thus  $ax_n \rightarrow_\alpha ax$ .  $\square$

**1.6. Corollary.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv}_g L$ . Then  $\alpha \in \text{Conv} L$  if and only if  $\alpha$  satisfies the condition (v) from 1.1.*

If  $L \neq \{0\}$ , then the element  $d$  of  $\text{Conv}_g L$  does not satisfy the condition (v) of 1.1. Hence if  $L \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\text{Conv}_g L$  fails to be a subset of  $\text{Conv} L$ .

The positive cone  $\{x \in L: x \geq 0\}$  of  $L$  will be denoted by  $L^+$ . Under the inherited partial order and the operation  $+$ ,  $L^+$  is a lattice ordered semigroup.

**1.7. Definition.** A convex subsemigroup  $\beta$  of  $(L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  will be said to be a 0-convergence in  $G(L)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (I) If  $(g_n) \in \beta$ , then each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  belongs to  $\beta$ .
- (II) If  $(g_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  and if each subsequence of  $(g_n)$  has a subsequence belonging to  $\beta$ , then  $(g_n)$  belongs to  $\beta$ .
- (III) Let  $x \in L^+$ . Then  $\text{const } x$  belongs to  $\beta$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

The system of all 0-convergences in  $G(L)$  will be denoted by  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$ . Let  $d_0$  be the set of all  $(x_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $((x_n), 0) \in d$ . Then  $d_0 \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$ . Hence  $0\text{-Conv}_g G \neq \emptyset$ . The system  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  is partially ordered by inclusion.

Let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv}_g L$ . Put

$$(1) \quad \varphi_1(\alpha) = \{(|x_n - x|) : x_n \rightarrow_{\alpha} x\}.$$

Conversely, let  $\beta \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$ . Denote

$$(2) \quad \varphi_2(\beta) = \{((x_n), x) : (|x_n - x|) \in \beta\}.$$

**1.8. Lemma.** (Cf. [4], Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 1.6.)  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are inverse isomorphisms of  $\text{Conv}_g L$  onto  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$ , or of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  onto  $\text{Conv}_g L$ , respectively.

**1.9. Definition.** A nonempty subset  $\beta$  of  $(L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  will be said to be a 0-convergence in  $L$  if  $\beta \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$  and if, moreover, the following condition is satisfied:

- (IV) If  $x \in L$  and  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $(a_n x) \in \beta$ .

Let  $0\text{-Conv } L$  be the set of all 0-convergences in  $L$ . If this set is nonempty, then it will be considered to be partially ordered by inclusion.

Now let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  run over the set  $\text{Conv } L$  or  $0\text{-Conv } L$ , respectively, and let  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be defined as in (1) and (2). Then by a routine proof and by using 1.5 we obtain the following result which is analogous to 1.8:

**1.10. Lemma.** (i)  $\text{Conv } L = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow 0\text{-Conv } L = \emptyset$ . (ii) If  $\text{Conv } L \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are inverse isomorphisms of  $\text{Conv } L$  onto  $0\text{-Conv } L$ , or of  $0\text{-Conv } L$  onto  $\text{Conv } L$ , respectively.

As we remarked in the introduction, we are interested in studying the partially ordered system  $\text{Conv } L$ . Now, in view of 1.10, it suffices to investigate the system  $0\text{-Conv } L$ . Next, according to 1.4, it suffices to consider the case when  $L$  is archimedean.

## 2. REGULAR SETS

In what follows we assume that  $L$  is an archimedean vector lattice.

Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The set  $A$  will be said to be regular with respect to  $G(L)$  (or  $L$ , respectively) if there is  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$  (or  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv} L$ ) such that  $A \subseteq \alpha$ .

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$A$  fails to be regular with respect to  $G(L)$ .*
- (ii) *There exist  $0 < z \in L$ , positive integers  $m, k$ , elements  $(y_n^1), \dots, (y_n^k)$  of  $A$  and subsequences  $(x_n^1)$  of  $(y_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k)$  of  $(y_n^k)$  such that*

$$z \leq m(x_n^1 \vee x_n^2 \vee \dots \vee x_n^k) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious. Let (i) be valid. In view of the results of [4] (cf. also [10], Proposition 2.1) there exist  $0 < z \in L$ , positive integers  $m_1, k$ , elements  $(y_n^1), \dots, (y_n^k)$  of  $A$  and subsequences  $(x_n^1)$  of  $(y_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k)$  of  $(y_n^k)$  such that

$$z \leq m_1(x_n^1 + x_n^2 + \dots + x_n^k) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence according to Lemma 2.4, [10] there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$z \leq m(x_n^1 \vee x_n^2 \vee \dots \vee x_n^k) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

Let  $A_0$  be the set of all sequences  $(x_n)$  in  $L$  having the property that there are  $0 \leq x \in L$  and  $(a_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x_n = a_n x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**2.2. Lemma.** *The set  $A_0$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$  and also with respect to  $L$ .*

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, assume that  $A_0$  fails to be regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . Then the condition (ii) from 2.1. holds for  $A_0$ .

For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  there are  $0 < x^i \in L$  and  $(a_n^i) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $a_n^i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$x_n^i = a_n^i x^i \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we put  $a_n = \max\{a_n^1, a_n^2, \dots, a_n^k\}$ . Then  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < z &\leq m(x_n^1 \vee x_n^2 \vee \dots \vee x_n^k) = m(a_n^1 x^1 \vee \dots \vee a_n^k x^k) \\ &\leq m a_n (x^1 \vee \dots \vee x^k) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $n(1) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $ma_{n(1)} < \frac{1}{n}$ , hence

$$0 < z < \frac{1}{n}(x^1 \vee \dots \vee x^k) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus  $nz < x^1 \vee \dots \vee x^k$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is impossible, because  $L$  is archimedean. Thus there is  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$  with  $A_0 \subseteq \alpha$ . Then  $\alpha$  fulfils the condition (IV), hence  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv } L$ .  $\square$

**2.3. Theorem.** *Let  $L$  be an archimedean vector lattice. Then  $\text{Conv } L \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* In view of 2.2 there is  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv } L$  with  $A_0 \subseteq \alpha$ . Hence  $0\text{-Conv } L \neq \emptyset$ . Thus according to 1.10 we have  $\text{Conv } L \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**2.4. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv } L$ . Then  $A_0 \subseteq \alpha$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the fact that  $\alpha$  satisfies the condition (IV) of 1.9.  $\square$

**2.5. Corollary.** *Let  $I$  be a nonempty set and for each  $i \in I$  let  $\alpha_i \in 0\text{-Conv } L$ . Then  $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{i \in I} \alpha_i \in 0\text{-Conv } L$ .*

Let us denote by  $d^0$  the intersection of all  $\alpha_i \in 0\text{-Conv } L$  with  $A_0 \subseteq \alpha_i$  (such  $\alpha_i$  do exist in view of 2.2). According to 2.4 and 2.5 we obtain:

**2.6. Corollary.**  *$d^0$  is the least element of  $0\text{-Conv } L$ . If  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv } L$ , then the interval  $[d^0, \alpha]$  of the partially ordered set  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is a complete lattice.*

**2.7. Proposition.**  *$d^0 = A_0$ .*

*Proof.* In view of the definition of  $d^0$  we have  $A_0 \subseteq d^0$ . Let  $(z_n) \in d^0$ . Then in view of [10], Proposition 2.1, and according to 2.4 there are  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , elements  $(y_n^1), \dots, (y_n^k)$  of  $A_0$  and subsequences  $(x_n^1)$  of  $(y_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k)$  of  $(y_n^k)$  such that

$$z_n \leq m(x_n^1 \vee \dots \vee x_n^k).$$

For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  there are  $x^i \in L^+$  and  $(a_n^i) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $a_n^i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x_n^i = a_n^i x^i$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $a_n = \max\{a_n^1, \dots, a_n^k\}$ . Hence  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$z_n \leq a_n(mx^1 \vee \dots \vee mx^k).$$

Thus  $(z_n) \in A_0$  and therefore  $d^0 \subseteq A_0$ .  $\square$

For each  $X \subseteq (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  let us denote by  $X^*$  the set of all  $(x_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that each subsequence of  $(x_n)$  has a subsequence which belongs to  $X$ .

Let  $A_1$  be the set of all  $(x_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  which have the following property: there exist  $0 \leq x \in L$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \leq \frac{1}{n}x$  for each  $n \geq m$ .

Another constructive characterization of  $d^0$  is given by the following lemma.

**2.8. Lemma.**  $d^0 = A_1^*$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A_1 \subseteq A_0$ , we clearly have  $A_1^* \subseteq d^0$ . Let  $(x_n) \in d^0$ . In view of 2.7 there are  $x \in L^+$  and  $(a_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $x_n = a_n x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(y_n)$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  and let  $(b_n)$  be the corresponding subsequence of  $(a_n)$ ; hence  $y_n = b_n x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists a subsequence  $(c_n)$  of  $(b_n)$  such that  $c_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $z_n = c_n x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(c_n x)$  is a subsequence of  $(y_n)$  and  $(c_n x) \in A_1$ . Hence  $(x_n) \in A_1^*$  and thus  $d^0 \subseteq A_1^*$ .  $\square$

**2.9. Proposition.** *There exists an archimedean vector lattice  $L$  such that  $0\text{-Conv } L$  has no greatest element.*

*Proof.* It suffices to apply an analogous example as in [3], Section 5 (with the distinction that the real functions under consideration in the example are not assumed to be integer valued).  $\square$

**2.10. Theorem.** *Let  $L$  be an archimedean vector lattice. Suppose that  $L$  is  $(\mathbb{N}_0, 2)$ -distributive. Then  $0\text{-Conv } L$  possesses a greatest element.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of 2.6 and of the fact that  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  has a greatest element (cf. [12]).  $\square$

Lemma 1.10 and Lemma 2.6 yield that each interval of the partially ordered set  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is, at the same time, an interval of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$ . Hence in view of [5], Theorem 2.5 we obtain:

**2.11. Proposition.** *Each interval of  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is a Brouwerian lattice.*

### 3. THE SETS OF THE FORM $\alpha \cup A_0$

Let  $\emptyset \neq \alpha \subseteq (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  be such that  $\alpha$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . We shall investigate the problem whether the set  $\alpha \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $L$ .

First we shall deal with the case when  $L$  is a projectable vector lattice. (Projectable lattice ordered groups and vector lattices were studied by several authors; cf. e.g., [2] and [16].)

For the sake of completeness we recall the following notions.

Let  $L$  be a vector lattice and  $X \subseteq L$ . We put

$$X^d = \{y \in L: |y| \wedge |x| = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

Then  $X^d$  is said to be a polar of  $L$ . The vector lattice  $L$  is called projectable if for each  $x \in L$ , the set  $\{x\}^d$  is a direct factor of  $L$ .

An element  $e \in L$  is called a strong unit of  $L$  if for each  $x \in L$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \leq ne$ .

Since each strong unit of an archimedean vector lattice  $L_1$  is, at the same time, a strong unit of the Dedekind completion of  $L_1$ , we have

**3.1. Proposition.** (Cf., e.g., [19], Theorem V.3.1.) *Let  $L_1$  be an archimedean vector lattice having a strong unit. Then there is a set  $I$  such that there exists an isomorphism of  $L_1$  into the vector lattice  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ , where  $R_i = \mathbb{R}$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

**3.2. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{Conv}_g L$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The set  $\alpha \cup A_0$  fails to be regular with respect to  $G(L)$ .*
- (ii) *There are  $t, z \in L$  and  $(z_n) \in \alpha$  such that  $0 < z \leq t$  and*

$$z = z_n \vee (z \wedge \frac{1}{n}t) \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* According to 2.1, (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that (i) is valid. Thus in view of 2.7 and 2.8, the set  $\alpha \cup A_1$  fails to be regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . Hence the condition (ii) from 2.1 holds, where  $A = \alpha \cup A_1$ .

If  $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k) \in \alpha$ , then  $\alpha$  would not be regular with respect to  $G(L)$ , which is a contradiction. If  $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k) \in A_1$ , then we obtain a contradiction with respect to 2.2. Hence without loss of generality we can suppose that there is  $k(1) \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 < k(1) < k$  such that

$$(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^{k(1)}) \in \alpha \text{ and } (x_n^{k(1)+1}), \dots, (x_n^k) \in A_1.$$

Put  $z_n = m(x_n^1 \vee \dots \vee x_n^{k(1)})$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(z_n) \in \alpha$ .

For each  $j \in \{k(1)+1, \dots, k\}$  there are  $0 < y^j \in L$  and  $(a_n^j) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $a_n^j \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $y_n^j = a_n^j y^j$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote

$$a_n = \max\{a_n^{k(1)+1}, \dots, a_n^k\}, \quad t = y^{k(1)+1} \vee \dots \vee y^k.$$

There is a subsequence  $(n(1))$  of the sequence  $(n)$  such that

$$ma_{n(1)} < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$



Hence we have

$$m(x_{n(1)}^{k(1)+1} \vee \dots \vee x_{n(1)}^k) \leq \frac{1}{n}t \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore

$$0 < z \leq z_{n(1)} \vee \frac{1}{n}t \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Because  $(z_{n(1)}) \in \alpha$ , it suffices to write  $z_n$  instead of  $z_{n(1)}$ . Thus

$$(1) \quad z = z \wedge (z_n \vee \frac{1}{n}t) = (z \wedge z_n) \vee (z \wedge \frac{1}{n}t) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $z \wedge t = 0$ , then  $z \wedge \frac{1}{n}t = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whence  $z \leq z_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and thus  $\alpha$  fails to be regular, which is a contradiction. Therefore  $z \wedge t > 0$  and then, without loss of generality, we can take  $z \wedge t$  instead of  $z$ ; hence we have  $z \leq t$ . Next,  $(z \wedge z_n) \in \alpha$ , thus without loss of generality we can take  $(z \wedge z_n)$  instead of  $(z_n)$ . Hence in view of (1) we infer that (ii) is valid.  $\square$

**3.3. Proposition.** *Assume that  $L$  is projectable. Let  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$ . Then  $\alpha \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $L$ .*

*Proof.* In view of 2.7 it suffices to verify that  $\alpha \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ .

By way of contradiction, suppose that  $\alpha \cup A_0$  fails to be regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . Then the condition (ii) from 3.2 is valid. There exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $z \not\leq \frac{1}{m}t$ . Thus

$$(1') \quad z^0 = (z - \frac{1}{m}t)^+ > 0.$$

Let us denote by  $P$  the polar of  $L$  generated by  $z^0$ ; i.e.,  $P = \{z^0\}^{dd}$ . Since  $L$  is projectable,  $P$  is a direct factor in  $L$ . For each  $g \in L$  let  $g(P)$  be the component of  $g$  in  $P$ . In view of the condition (ii) of 3.2 we have

$$(2) \quad z(P) = z_n(P) \vee (z(P) \wedge \frac{1}{n}t(P)) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $z(P) = 0$ , then  $z^0 = z^0(P) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $z(P) > 0$ . Next, from  $z \leq t$  we infer that  $z(P) \leq t(P)$ .

Let  $L_1$  be the convex  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G(P)$  generated by the element  $t(P)$ . Then  $t(P)$  is a strong unit of  $L_1$  and  $L_1$  is a linear subspace of  $L$ . Let  $I$  and  $\varphi$  be as in 3.2. For each  $i \in I$  we have  $\varphi(z(P))(i) \geq 0$ . According to the definition of  $P$  we obtain

$$(z - \frac{1}{m}t)^- \in P^d$$

whence  $(z - \frac{1}{m}t)(P) = z_0(P)$ . In view of (1'),

$$(3) \quad 0 < z^0 = z^0(P) = z(P) - \frac{1}{m}t(P),$$

hence the set  $I_1 = \{i \in I : \varphi(z(P))(i) > 0\}$  is nonempty.

Let  $i \in I_1$  and  $n > m$ . According to (3),

$$(4) \quad \varphi(z(P))(i) \geq \frac{1}{n}\varphi(t(P))(i).$$

Also, in view of (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z(P))(i) &= \varphi(z_n(P))(i) \vee (\varphi(z(P))(i) \wedge \frac{1}{n}\varphi(t(P))(i)) \\ &= \max\{\varphi(z_n(P))(i), \min\{\varphi(z(P))(i), \frac{1}{n}\varphi(t(P))(i)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus according to (4),

$$\varphi(z(P))(i) = \max\{\varphi(z_n(P))(i), \frac{1}{n}\varphi(t(P))(i)\}.$$

By applying (4) again we get

$$\varphi(z(P))(i) = \varphi(z_n(P))(i).$$

Therefore  $\varphi(z(P))(i) = \varphi(z_n(P))(i)$  for each  $i \in I$ . Hence

$$(5) \quad 0 < z(P) = z_n(P) \quad \text{for each } n > m.$$

Since  $z_n(P) \leq z_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and since  $(z_n)$  is regular with respect to  $L$ , we infer that  $(z_n(P))$  is regular with respect to  $L$ . Thus in view of (5) we have arrived at a contradiction.  $\square$

Now let us drop the assumption that  $L$  is projectable. We denote by  $L'$  the Dedekind completion of  $L$ . It is well-known that  $L'$  is projectable.

**3.4. Lemma.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq \alpha \subseteq (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is regular with respect to  $G(L')$ .*

*P r o o f.* By way of contradiction, assume that  $\alpha$  fails to be regular with respect to  $G(L')$ . Then the condition (ii) from 2.1 holds (with the distinction that  $z \in L'$  and  $A$  is replaced by  $\alpha$ ). There exists  $0 < z_1 \in L$  with  $z_1 \leq z$ . But by applying 2.1 again we infer that  $\alpha$  fails to be regular with respect to  $L$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**3.5. Lemma.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq \alpha \subseteq (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ .*

*P r o o f.* This is an immediate consequence of 2.1.  $\square$

**3.6. Theorem.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq \alpha \subseteq (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . Then  $\alpha \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$  and with respect to  $L$ .*

*Proof.* In view of 3.4,  $\alpha$  is regular with respect to  $G(L')$ . Because  $G(L')$  is projectable, according to 3.3 we obtain that  $\alpha \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $G(L')$ . Thus 3.5 yields that  $\alpha \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ . Now it follows from 2.7 that  $\alpha \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $L$ .  $\square$

**3.7. Corollary.** *Let  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$ . Then  $\alpha \vee d^0$  does exist in  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  and in  $0\text{-Conv} L$ .*

**3.8. Proposition.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $0\text{-Conv} L$  has the greatest element.
- (ii)  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  has the greatest element.

*Proof.* We obviously have (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let (i) hold and let  $\beta$  be the greatest element of  $0\text{-Conv} L$ . Let  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$ . According to 3.7, the element  $\alpha \vee d^0$  does exist in  $0\text{-Conv} L$ . Thus  $\alpha \leq \alpha \vee d^0 \leq \beta$ . Hence  $\beta$  is the greatest element of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$ .  $\square$

**3.9. Corollary.** *Let  $0\text{-Conv} L$  have the greatest element. Then  $0\text{-Conv} L$  is a complete lattice and  $0\text{-Conv} L$  is a principal dual ideal of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  generated by the element  $d^0$ .*

Let us remark that if  $L_1$  is a convex  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G(L)$ , then it is a linear subspace of  $L$ .

**3.10. Theorem.** *There exists a convex  $\ell$ -subgroup  $L_1$  of  $G(L)$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\text{Conv} L_1$  is a complete lattice.
- (ii) If  $L_2$  is a convex  $\ell$ -subgroup of  $G(L)$  such that  $\text{Conv} L_2$  is a complete lattice, then  $L_2 \leq L_1$ .

*Proof.* This follows from 3.8 and from [10], Theorem 5.5.  $\square$

Let  $L_1$  be a vector lattice. If neither the operation  $+$  nor the multiplication of elements of  $L_1$  by reals is taken into account, then we obtain a lattice which will be denoted by  $L_1^0$ .

**3.11. Theorem.** *Let  $L_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be archimedean vector lattices. Assume that the lattices  $L_1^0$  and  $L_2^0$  are isomorphic and that  $\text{Conv} L_1$  possesses a greatest element. Then  $\text{Conv} L_2$  possesses a greatest element as well.*

Proof. According to 1.10,  $0\text{-Conv } L_1$  possesses a greatest element. Then in view of 3.8,  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  has a greatest element. Since  $L_1^0$  is isomorphic to  $L_2^0$ , by applying [10], Theorem 3.5 we conclude that  $0\text{-Conv}_g L_2$  has a greatest element as well. Now according to 3.8 and 1.10,  $\text{Conv } L_2$  possesses a greatest element.  $\square$

#### 4. DISJOINT SEQUENCES

A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $L$  will be said to be disjoint (or orthogonal) if  $x_n \wedge x_m = 0$  whenever  $n$  and  $m$  are distinct positive integers.

The following assertion follows from the results proved in [4].

- (A) Assume that  $L$  possesses a disjoint sequence all members of which are strictly positive. Then there exist infinitely many elements  $\alpha_i$  of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  such that each  $\alpha_i$  is generated by a disjoint sequence.

**4.1. Lemma.** (Cf. [4].) *Let  $(x_n)$  be a disjoint sequence in  $L$ . Then the set  $(x_n)$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$ .*

**4.2. Lemma.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be a disjoint sequence in  $L$ . Then the set  $\{(x_n)\} \cup A_0$  is regular with respect to  $G(L)$  and with respect to  $L$ .*

Proof. This is a consequence of 4.1 and 3.6.  $\square$

If  $(x_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  and the set  $\{(x_n)\}$  is regular in  $G(L)$  then the least element  $\alpha$  of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  satisfying the relation  $\{(x_n)\} \cup A_0 \subseteq \alpha$  will be denoted by  $\alpha(x_n)$ .

Let  $(x_n)$  be a disjoint sequence in  $L$  such that  $x_n > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(x_n) \notin d_0$ . On the other hand,  $(x_n)$  can belong to  $d^0$  (cf. Proposition 4.6 below).

**4.3. Lemma.** *Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be disjoint sequences in  $L$  such that  $x_n \wedge y_m = 0$  for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $y_n > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(y_n) \notin d^0$ . Then  $(y_n) \notin \alpha(x_n)$ .*

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that  $y_n \in \alpha(x_n)$ . Then in view of [10], Lemma 2.3 there are  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(z_n^1), \dots, (z_n^k) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that each  $(z_n^i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) is a subsequence of a sequence belonging to  $\{(x_n)\} \cup A_0$  and

$$0 < y_n \leq m(z_n^1 \vee \dots \vee z_n^k) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $(y_n) \notin A_0$ , without loss of generality we can assume that  $(z_n^1), \dots, (z_n^{k-1})$  are subsequences of  $(x_n)$  and that  $(z_n^k)$  is a subsequence of  $(\frac{1}{n}x)$  for some  $0 < x \in L$ . Thus

$$0 < y_n \leq (mz_n^1 \vee \dots \vee mz_n^{k-1}) \vee \frac{1}{n}x' \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $x' = mx$ . But  $y_n \wedge (mz_n^1 \vee \dots \vee mz_n^{k-1}) = 0$ , whence  $y_n \leq \frac{1}{n}x'$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(y_n) \notin d^0$ , we have arrived at a contradiction.  $\square$

**4.4. Theorem.** *Assume that  $L$  possesses an infinite orthogonal subset. Next, suppose that no disjoint sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $L$  with  $x_n > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  belongs to  $d^0$ . Then  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* In view of the assumption there are disjoint sequences  $(x_n^i)$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in  $L$  such that  $x_n^i > 0$  for each  $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x_n^i \wedge x_m^j = 0$  whenever  $m, n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \neq j$ . In view of 4.2 we have  $\alpha(x_n^i) \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $i, j$  be distinct elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . According to 4.3,  $\alpha(x_n^i) \neq \alpha(x_n^j)$ .  $\square$

For a relevant result concerning convergences in a lattice ordered group cf. [4].

**4.5. Theorem.** *Assume that  $L$  possesses no infinite orthogonal subset. Then  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is a one-element set.*

*Proof.* The case  $L = \{0\}$  is trivial; let  $L \neq \{0\}$ . The system  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  was described in [4], Section 6. According to [4], if  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv}_g L$  and  $(\frac{1}{n}x) \in \alpha$  for each  $0 < x \in L$ , then  $\alpha$  is the greatest element of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$ ; hence only this greatest element of  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  can belong to  $0\text{-Conv } L$ .  $\square$

**4.6. Proposition.** *Assume that  $L$  is orthogonally complete. Then each disjoint sequence in  $L$  belongs to  $d^0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a disjoint sequence in  $L$ . Then  $(nx_n)$  is disjoint as well. Since  $L$  is orthogonally complete, there exists  $x = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} nx_n$  in  $L$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $0 \leq x_n \leq \frac{1}{n}x$ , whence  $(x_n) \in d^0$ .  $\square$

**4.7. Corollary.** *The assertion (A) does not hold in general if  $0\text{-Conv}_g L$  is replaced by  $0\text{-Conv } L$ .*

**4.8. Proposition.** *Assume that  $L \neq \{0\}$  has a strong unit and that  $(x_n)$  is a disjoint sequence in  $L$  such that  $x_n > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  having the property that  $(a_n x_n) \notin d^0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be a strong unit in  $L$ . Since  $L$  is archimedean, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(1) \quad a_n x_n \not\leq e.$$

By way of contradiction, assume that  $(a_n x_n) \in d^0$ . Hence in view of 2.8 there is a subsequence  $(b_n y_n)$  of  $(a_n x_n)$  such that  $(b_n y_n) \in A_1$ . Thus there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < x \in L$  such that  $b_n y_n \leq \frac{1}{n}x$  for each  $n \geq m$ . Next, since  $e$  is a strong unit in  $L$ , there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x \leq ke$ . Thus

$$b_n y_n \leq \frac{k}{n}e \quad \text{for each } n \geq m.$$

Hence for  $n > \max\{m, k\}$  we have  $b_n y_n \leq e$ . But in view of (1) the relation  $b_n y_n \not\leq e$  is valid for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**4.9. Proposition.** *Assume that  $L$  has a strong unit. Then (A) is valid with  $\text{Conv}_g L$  replaced by  $\text{Conv } L$ .*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of 4.3 and 4.8.  $\square$

## 5. DIRECT SUMS OF LINEARLY ORDERED VECTOR LATTICES

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the class of all archimedean vector lattices which can be expressed as the direct sum of linearly ordered vector lattices. Next, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the class of all linearly ordered vector lattices.

In this section it will be shown that if  $L \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is a complete lattice which has no atom.

The case  $L = \{0\}$  being trivial, we assume in the present section that  $L$  is a nonzero archimedean vector lattice which can be represented as

$$(1) \quad L = \sum_{i \in I} L_i, \quad \text{where } L_i \in \mathcal{L} \text{ for each } i \in I.$$

Also, without loss of generality we can suppose that  $L_i \neq \{0\}$  for each  $i \in I$ .

**5.1. Proposition.**  *$0\text{-Conv } L$  is a complete lattice.*

*Proof.* From (1) it follows that  $L$  is completely distributive. Hence in view of 2.10,  $0\text{-Conv } L$  possesses a greatest element. Thus  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is a complete lattice.  $\square$

**5.2. Lemma.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be a disjoint sequence in  $L$  such that  $x_n > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(x_n)$  is not upper-bounded in  $L$ .*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of (1).  $\square$

In view of 5.2 and 2.8 we obtain

**5.3. Corollary.** *Let  $(x_n)$  be as in 5.2. Then  $(x_n)$  does not belong to  $d^0$ .*

**5.4. Proposition.** *Let  $I$  be finite. Then  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is a one-element set.*

*Proof.* From (1) we infer that  $L$  has no infinite orthogonal subset. Hence in view of 4.5,  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is a one-element set.  $\square$

**5.5. Proposition.** *Let  $I$  be infinite. Then  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* According to (1),  $L$  possesses an infinite orthogonal subset. Then 4.4 and 5.3 yield that  $0\text{-Conv } L$  is infinite.  $\square$

**5.6. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha \in 0\text{-Conv } L$ . Assume that  $(x_n) \in \alpha$ ,  $x_n > 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is disjoint. Then  $\alpha$  fails to be an atom of  $0\text{-Conv } L$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the sequences  $(x_{2n})$  and  $(x_{2n+1})$ . In view of 5.3,  $(x_{2n}) \notin d^0$  and  $(x_{2n+1}) \notin d^0$ . Hence by applying the notation from Section 4 we have

$$d^0 < \alpha(x_{2n}) \leq \alpha, \quad d^0 < \alpha(x_{2n+1}) \leq \alpha.$$

Next, according to 4.3,  $\alpha(x_{2n}) \neq \alpha(x_{2n+1})$ . Hence  $\alpha$  cannot be an atom of  $0\text{-Conv } L$ .  $\square$

For  $x \in L$  and  $i \in I$ , let  $x(i)$  be the component of  $x$  in  $L_i$ . We put  $\text{Sup } x = \{i \in I: x(i) \neq 0\}$ . If  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $L$ , then we denote

$$\text{Sup}(x_n) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Sup } x_n.$$

**5.7. Lemma.** *Let  $(x_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  be such that  $\{(x_n)\}$  is regular and suppose that  $\text{Sup}(x_n)$  is finite. Then  $\alpha(x_n) = d^0$ .*

*Proof.* In view of the assumption there is a finite subset  $I(1)$  of  $I$  such that  $x_n \in L(1) = \sum_{i \in I(1)} L_i$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then according to 4.5,  $(x_n)$  belongs to the least element of  $0\text{-Conv } L(1)$ . Next, in view of 2.8,  $(x_n)$  belongs to  $d^0$ . Hence  $\alpha(x_n) = d^0$ .  $\square$

**5.8. Lemma.** *Let  $(x_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  be such that  $\{(x_n)\}$  is regular and suppose that  $\text{Sup}(x_n)$  is infinite. Then  $\alpha(x_n)$  contains a disjoint sequence with strictly positive elements.*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Sup}(x_n)$  is infinite and (1) holds, there is a subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{Sup } y_n$  is not a subset of the set

$$\text{Sup } y_1 \cup \dots \cup \text{Sup } y_{n-1}.$$

Therefore the sequence  $(y_n)$  is disjoint and belongs to  $\alpha(x_n)$ .  $\square$

**5.9. Theorem.** *Let  $L \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $0\text{-Conv } L$  has no atom.*

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, assume that  $\alpha$  is an atom of  $0\text{-Conv } L$ . Then there is  $(x_n) \in (L^+)^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\alpha = \alpha(x_n)$ . If  $\text{Sup}(x_n)$  is finite, then 5.7 yields a contradiction. If  $\text{Sup}(x_n)$  is infinite, then by means of 5.8 and 5.6 we arrive at a contradiction.  $\square$

### References

- [1] *G. Birkhoff*: Lattice Theory. Third edition, Providence, 1967.
- [2] *P. Conrad*: Lattice Ordered Groups. Tulane University, 1970.
- [3] *M. Harminc*: Sequential convergences on abelian lattice ordered groups. Convergence Structures, Proc. Conf. Bechyně 1984, Mathematical Research 24 (1985), 153–158.
- [4] *M. Harminc*: The cardinality of the system of all sequential convergences on an abelian lattice ordered group. Czechoslovak Math. J. 37 (1987), 533–546.
- [5] *M. Harminc*: Sequential convergences on lattice ordered groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 39 (1989), 232–238.
- [6] *M. Harminc, J. Jakubík*: Maximal convergences and minimal proper convergences in  $\ell$ -groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 39 (1989), 631–640.
- [7] *J. Jakubík*: Convergences and complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups. Math. Slovaca 38 (1988), 269–272.
- [8] *J. Jakubík*: On summability in convergence  $\ell$ -groups. Časopis Pěst. Mat. 113 (1988), 286–292.
- [9] *J. Jakubík*: On some types of kernels of a convergence  $\ell$ -group. Czechoslovak Math. J. 39 (1989), 239–247.
- [10] *J. Jakubík*: Lattice ordered groups having a largest convergence. Czechoslovak Math. J. 39 (1989), 717–729.
- [11] *J. Jakubík*: Sequential convergences in Boolean algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 38 (1988), 520–530.
- [12] *J. Jakubík*: Convergences and higher degrees of distributivity in lattice ordered groups and Boolean algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 40 (1990), 453–458.
- [13] *L. V. Kantorovich, B. Z. Vulikh, A. G. Pinsker*: Functional Analysis in Semiordeed Spaces. Moskva, 1950. (In Russian.)
- [14] *S. Leader*: Sequential convergence in lattice groups. In: Problems in analysis, Sympos. in Honor of S. Bochner. Princeton Univ. Press, 1970, pp. 273–290.
- [15] *L. A. L'usternik, V. I. Sobolev*: Elements of Functional Analysis. Moskva, 1951. (In Russian.)
- [16] *W. A. J. Luxemburg, A. C. Zaanen*: Riesz Spaces, Vol. 1. Amsterdam-London, 1971.
- [17] *P. Mikusiński*: Problems posed at the conference. Proc. Conf. on Convergence, Szczyrk 1979. Katowice, 1980, pp. 110–112.
- [18] *J. Novák*: On convergence groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 20 (1970), 357–374.
- [19] *B. Z. Vulikh*: Introduction to the Theory of Semiordeed Spaces. Moskva, 1961. (In Russian.)

*Author's address: Ján Jakubík, Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: musavke@linux1.saske.sk.*