



INSTITUTE of MATHEMATICS

ACADEMY of SCIENCES of the CZECH REPUBLIC

## **Means on scattered compacta**

*Taras Banakh*

*Robert Bonnet*

*Wiesław Kubiś*

Preprint No. 30-2013

PRAHA 2013



# MEANS ON SCATTERED COMPACTA

T. BANAKH, R. BONNET, W. KUBIŚ

ABSTRACT. We prove that a separable Hausdorff topological space  $X$  containing a cocountable subset homeomorphic to  $[0, \omega_1]$  admits no separately continuous mean operation and no diagonally continuous  $n$ -mean for  $n \geq 2$ .

In this paper we construct a scattered compact space admitting no continuous mean operation, thus answering Problem 5 of [4]. By a *mean operation* on a set  $X$  we understand any binary operation  $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$  such that  $\mu(x, x) = x$  and  $\mu(x, y) = \mu(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If, in addition, the mean operation is associative, then it is called a *semilattice operation*.

The mean operation is a partial case of an  $n$ -mean operation. A function  $\mu : X^n \rightarrow X$  defined on the  $n$ th power of a space  $X$  is called an  *$n$ -mean operation* (or briefly an  *$n$ -mean*) if

- (1)  $\mu(x, \dots, x) = x$  for every  $x \in X$  and
- (2)  $\mu$  is  $S_n$ -invariant in the sense that  $\mu(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for any permutation  $\sigma$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and any vector  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ .

It is clear that a mean is the same as a 2-mean.

The problem of detecting topological spaces with (or without) a continuous mean is classical in Algebraic Topology, see [1], [2], [3], [6], [7], [10]. In particular, due to Aumann [1], we know that for every  $n \geq 1$  the  $n$ -dimensional sphere admits no continuous mean. On the other hand, the 0-dimension sphere  $S^0 = \{-1, 1\}$  trivially possesses such a mean. More generally, each zero-dimensional metrizable separable space, being homeomorphic to a subspace of the real line, admits a continuous semilattice operation.

On the other hand, there are non-metrizable scattered compact Hausdorff spaces admitting no separately continuous semilattice operation. The simplest example is the compactification  $\gamma\mathbb{N}$  of the discrete space  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers whose remainder  $\gamma\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  is homeomorphic to the ordinal segment  $[0, \omega_1]$ . The existence of such a compactification  $\gamma\mathbb{N}$  follows from the famous Parovichenko theorem [9] (saying that any compact space of weight  $\leq \aleph_1$  is a continuous image of  $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ).

Another way to construct  $\gamma\mathbb{N}$  is as follows. Consider a family  $\mathcal{A} = (A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_\alpha \subset^* A_\beta$  for any ordinals  $\alpha < \beta$ . The almost inclusion  $A_\alpha \subset^* A_\beta$  means that  $A_\alpha \setminus A_\beta$  is finite. Now, consider the subalgebra  $B$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  generated by  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\{n\}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $\gamma\mathbb{N}$  is the space of ultrafilters on  $B$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54G12, 54H10, 22A26, 22A30, 54D30, 54D65.

*Key words and phrases.* Scattered compact space, mean operation.

Research of the second author was supported by the European Science Foundation, “New Frontiers of Infinity”, Short Visit Grant No. 4696. Research of the third author was supported by the GACR grant P 201/12/0290 (Czech Republic).

A bit stronger notion than the separate continuity is the diagonal continuity. A function  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$  is called *diagonally continuous* if for any map  $g = (g_i)_{i=1}^n : X \rightarrow X^n$  whose components  $g_i : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are constant or identity functions the composition  $f \circ g : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. It is clear that for a function  $f : X^n \rightarrow Y$  we get the implications:

$$\text{continuous} \Rightarrow \text{diagonally continuous} \Rightarrow \text{separately continuous.}$$

A subset  $A$  of a set  $X$  is called *cocountable* if its complement  $X \setminus A$  is at most countable. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.** *If a separable Hausdorff topological space  $X$  contains a cocountable subset homeomorphic to  $[0, \omega_1]$ , then for every  $n \geq 2$  the space  $X$  admits no diagonally continuous  $n$ -mean  $\mu : X^n \rightarrow X$ .*

*Proof.* This theorem will be proved by induction on  $n \geq 2$ . More precisely, by induction we shall prove that  $X$  admits no diagonally continuous  $n$ -amean. A function  $\mu : X^n \rightarrow X$  will be called an *almost  $n$ -mean operation* (briefly, an  *$n$ -amean*) if  $\mu$  is  $S_n$ -invariant and the set  $\{x \in X : x \neq \mu(x, \dots, x)\}$  is at most countable.

Since the space  $X$  is separable, we can assume that  $[\omega, \omega_1] \subset X$  has countable dense complement  $D = X \setminus [\omega, \omega_1]$  that we denote by  $\omega$ . So  $X = \omega \cup [\omega, \omega_1] \cup \{\omega_1\}$ .

The following lemma will allow us to start the inductive proof of the theorem.

**Lemma 1.** *The space  $X$  admits no separately continuous 2-amean.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mu : X^2 \rightarrow X$  is a separately continuous 2-amean on  $X$ .

Given two points  $a, b \in X$  consider the closed subsets

$$b/a = \{x \in [\omega, \omega_1] : b = \mu(a, x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \downarrow b = \{x \in [\omega, \omega_1] : \mu(b, x) = x\}$$

of  $[\omega, \omega_1] \subset X$ . Let

$$A = \{(a, b) \in \omega^2 : |b/a| = \aleph_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{b \in \omega : |\downarrow b| = \aleph_1\}.$$

Find an ordinal  $\alpha_0 \in [\omega, \omega_1] \subset X$  such that

- $\mu(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ;
- $b/a \subset [\omega, \alpha_0]$  for all  $(a, b) \in \omega^2 \setminus A$ ;
- $\downarrow b \subset [\omega, \alpha_0]$  for all  $b \in \omega \setminus B$ .

If the set  $B$  has countable closure  $\bar{B}$  in  $X$ , then we will additionally assume that  $\bar{B} \cap [\omega, \omega_1] \subset [\omega, \alpha_0]$ .

Consider the closed unbounded subset

$$C = [\alpha_0, \omega_1) \cap \bigcap_{(a,b) \in A} b/a \cap \bigcap_{b \in B} \downarrow b$$

in  $[\omega, \omega_1)$  and also the open subset

$$W = \{x \in (\alpha_0, \omega_1) : \exists c \in C \ \mu(c, x) \neq x\}$$

of  $[0, \omega_1)$ . Observe that  $W \supset C \setminus C_0$  where  $C_0 = \{c \in C : \forall x \in C \ \mu(x, c) = c\}$  is a subset of  $C$  containing at most one point. So,  $W$  is uncountable.

Let  $W_0$  stand for the dense open subset of  $W$  consisting of isolated points of  $W$ .

**Claim 1.** *Any point  $\alpha \in W_0$  has a neighborhood  $V_\alpha \subset X$  such that  $\mu(\{\alpha\} \times V_\alpha) = \{\alpha\}$ .*

*Proof.* Using the definition of  $W$ , find  $c \in C$  with  $\mu(c, \alpha) \neq \alpha$ . Choose disjoint neighborhoods  $U_{\mu(c, \alpha)}, U_\alpha \subset X$  of the points  $\mu(c, \alpha)$  and  $\alpha$ . Replacing  $U_\alpha$  by a smaller neighborhood we can assume that  $\mu(\{c\} \times U_\alpha) \subset U_{\mu(c, \alpha)}$  and  $U_\alpha \cap [\omega, \omega_1] = \{\alpha\}$ . Finally, by the separate continuity of the operation  $\mu$ , find a neighborhood  $V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$  such that  $\mu(\{\alpha\} \times V_\alpha) \subset U_\alpha$ . We claim that  $\mu(\alpha, a) = \alpha$  for all  $a \in V_\alpha$ . This is clear if  $a = \alpha$ . If  $a \neq \alpha$ , then  $a \in \omega$  because  $V_\alpha \cap [\omega, \omega_1] = \{\alpha\}$ . If  $b = \mu(a, \alpha) \in \omega$ , then  $\alpha_0 < \alpha \in b/a$  and consequently,  $(a, b) \in A$ . It follows from  $c \in C$  and  $(a, b) \in A$  that  $c \in b/a$ , which means that  $\mu(a, c) = b$ . The latter equality cannot hold because  $\mu(c, a) \in \mu(\{c\} \times V_\alpha) \in U_{\mu(c, \alpha)}$  while  $b = \mu(\alpha, a) \in \mu(\{\alpha\} \times V_\alpha) \subset U_\alpha$ . This contradiction shows that  $b = \mu(a, \alpha) \in [\omega, \omega_1] \cap U_\alpha = \{\alpha\}$  and hence  $\mu(\alpha, a) = \mu(a, \alpha) = \alpha$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.** *The set  $B$  has uncountable closure  $\bar{B}$  in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming that  $\bar{B}$  is countable, we get  $\bar{B} \cap [\omega, \omega_1) \subset [\omega, \alpha_0)$  by the choice of  $\alpha_0$ . By Claim 1, each ordinal  $\alpha \in W_0$  has a neighborhood  $V_\alpha \subset X$  such that  $\mu(\{\alpha\} \times V_\alpha) = \{\alpha\}$ . Since  $\alpha \notin \bar{B}$  and the set  $\omega$  is dense in  $X$ , we can pick a point  $v_\alpha \in \omega \cap V_\alpha \setminus \bar{B}$ . By the Dirichlet Principle, for some point  $v \in \omega$  the set  $W_v = \{\alpha \in W_0 : v_\alpha = v\}$  is uncountable. It follows that  $\mu(\alpha, v) = \mu(\alpha, v_\alpha) = \alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in W_v$ . Consequently,  $v \in B$ , which contradicts the choice of  $v = v_\alpha \notin \bar{B}$  for  $\alpha \in W_v$ .  $\square$

Observe that for any  $c \in C$  and any  $b \in B$  we get  $\mu(c, b) = c$ . By the separate continuity of the amean  $\mu$ , we get  $\mu(c, b) = c$  for all  $b \in \bar{B}$ . Since  $C$  and  $\bar{B} \cap [\omega, \omega_1)$  are closed uncountable subsets of  $[0, \omega_1)$  the intersection  $C \cap \bar{B}$  is uncountable and thus we can choose two distinct points  $x, y \in C \cap \bar{B}$ , for which we get  $x = \mu(x, y) = \mu(y, x) = y$ , which is a desired contradiction completing the proof of Lemma 1.  $\square$

The inductive step of the inductive proof of Theorem 1 is fulfilled in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** *If for some  $n \geq 2$  the space  $X$  admits no diagonally continuous  $n$ -amean, then it admits no diagonally continuous  $(n + 1)$ -amean.*

*Proof.* To derive a contradiction, assume that  $X$  admits a diagonally continuous  $(n + 1)$ -amean  $\mu : X^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ .

For points  $\vec{a} \in X^n$  and  $b \in X$  consider the closed subsets

$$b/\vec{a} = \{x \in [\omega, \omega_1) : b = \mu(\vec{a}, x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \downarrow \vec{a} = \{x \in [\omega, \omega_1) : \mu(\vec{a}, x) = x\}$$

of  $[\omega, \omega_1)$ . Let

$$A = \{(\vec{a}, b) \in \omega^n \times \omega : |b/\vec{a}| = \aleph_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{\vec{b} \in \omega^n : |\downarrow \vec{b}| = \aleph_1\}.$$

Find a countable ordinal  $\alpha_0 \in [\omega, \omega_1)$  such that

- $\mu(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) = \alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \omega_1)$ ;
- $b/\vec{a} \subset [\omega, \alpha_0)$  for every  $(\vec{a}, b) \in (\omega^n \times \omega) \setminus A$ , and
- $\downarrow \vec{b} \subset [\omega, \alpha_0)$  for every  $\vec{b} \in \omega^n \setminus B$ .

It follows that

$$C = [\alpha_0, \omega_1) \cap \left( \bigcap_{(\vec{a}, b) \in A} b/\vec{a} \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{\vec{b} \in B} \downarrow \vec{b} \right)$$

is a closed unbounded subset of  $[\omega, \omega_1)$ .

Since the space  $X$  admits no diagonally continuous  $n$ -amean, the set

$$W = \{\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \omega_1) : \mu(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \omega_1) \neq \alpha\}$$

is uncountable (in the opposite case the function  $\nu : X^n \rightarrow X$ ,  $\nu : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \mu(x_1, \dots, x_n, \omega_1)$ , is a diagonally continuous  $n$ -amean on  $X$ , which does not exist according to our assumption).

The diagonal continuity of the function  $\mu$  guarantees that the set  $W$  is open in  $[\alpha_0, \omega_1)$ . Consequently, the set  $W_0$  of all isolated points of  $W$  is uncountable too.

**Claim 3.** *Each point  $\alpha \in W_0$  has a neighborhood  $V_\alpha \subset X$  such that for any point  $x \in \omega \cap V_\alpha$  there is a neighborhood  $V'_\alpha \subset X$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $\mu(\{x\}^{n-1} \times \{V'_\alpha\} \times \{\alpha\}) = \{\alpha\}$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $W \supset W_0 \ni \alpha$ , the point  $z = \mu(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \omega_1)$  differs from  $\alpha$ , which allows us to choose disjoint open neighborhoods  $U_z$  and  $U_\alpha$  of the points  $z$  and  $\alpha$  in  $X$ , respectively. Since  $\alpha$  is an isolated point of  $[\omega, \omega_1]$ , we can additionally assume that  $U_\alpha \cap [\omega, \omega_1] \subset \{\alpha\}$ . It follows from  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  that  $\mu(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) = \alpha$ . The diagonal continuity of the operation  $\mu$  yields a neighborhood  $V_\alpha \subset X$  of  $\alpha$  such that for any  $x \in \omega \cap V_\alpha$  we get  $\mu(x, \dots, x, \alpha, \alpha) \in U_\alpha$  and  $\mu(x, \dots, x, \alpha, \omega_1) \in U_z$ . For every  $x \in V_\alpha$  the separate continuity of  $\mu$  yields a neighborhood  $V'_\alpha \subset X$  of  $\alpha$  such that for every  $y \in V'_\alpha$  we get  $\mu(x, \dots, x, y, \alpha) \in U_\alpha$  and  $\mu(x, \dots, x, y, \omega_1) \in U_z$ . Choose any  $y \in V'_\alpha \cap \omega$ . We claim that the point  $u = \mu(x, \dots, x, y, \alpha) \in U_\alpha$  belongs to  $[\omega, \omega_1]$ . Assuming the converse, we conclude that  $((x, \dots, x, y), u) \in A$  and hence  $\mu(x, \dots, x, y, c) = u$  for all  $c \in C$ . On the other hand, the separate continuity of  $\mu$  and the inclusion  $\mu(x, \dots, x, y, \omega_1) \in U_z$  yields a point  $c \in C$  with  $\mu(x, \dots, x, y, c) \in U_z$ . Then  $u = \mu(x, \dots, x, y, c) \in U_z \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$ , which is a desired contradiction showing that  $\mu(x, \dots, x, y, \alpha) = u \in [\omega, \omega_1] \cap U_\alpha = \{\alpha\}$ .  $\square$

**Claim 4.** *There is a point  $x \in \omega$  such that the set*

$$B(x) = \{y \in [\omega, \omega_1) : \forall c \in C \ \mu(x, \dots, x, y, c) = c\}$$

*is uncountable.*

*Proof.* Assume conversely that for every  $x \in \omega$  the set  $B(x)$  is at most countable. Then we can find an ordinal  $\beta \in [\alpha_0, \omega_1)$  such that  $[\beta, \omega_1) \cap \bigcup_{x \in \omega} B(x) = \emptyset$ . By Claim 3, every ordinal  $\alpha \in W_0 \cap [\beta, \omega_1)$  has a neighborhood  $V_\alpha \subset X$  such that for each point  $v \in \omega \cap V_\alpha$  there is a neighborhood  $V'_\alpha \subset X$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $\mu(\{v\}^{n-1} \times V'_\alpha \times \{\alpha\}) = \{\alpha\}$ . For every ordinal  $\alpha \in W_0 \cap [\beta, \omega_1)$  choose a point  $v_\alpha \in \omega \cap V_\alpha$ . By the Dirichlet Principle, for some point  $v \in \omega$  the set  $W_v = \{\alpha \in W_0 \cap [\beta, \omega_1) : v_\alpha = v\}$  is uncountable. So, we can choose an ordinal  $\alpha \in W_v \setminus B(v)$ . For the ordinal  $\alpha$  and the point  $v = v_\alpha \in V_\alpha$  there is a neighborhood  $V'_\alpha \subset X$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $\mu(\{v\}^{n-1} \times V'_\alpha \times \{\alpha\}) = \{\alpha\}$ .

Since the set  $B(v)$  is closed (by the separate continuity of  $\mu$ ) and does not contain  $\alpha$ , we can choose a point  $y \in \omega \cap V'_\alpha \setminus B(v)$ . For this point  $y$  we get  $\mu(v, \dots, v, y, \alpha) = \alpha \geq \alpha_0$ , which implies  $(v, \dots, v, y) \in B$  and  $\mu(v, \dots, v, y, c) = c$  for all  $c \in C$ . The latter means that  $y \in B(v)$ , which contradicts the choice of  $y$ .  $\square$

By Claim 4, for some  $x \in \omega$  the closed set  $B(x)$  is uncountable. Then  $C \cap B(x)$  is a closed unbounded set in  $[\omega, \omega_1)$ , which allows us to find two distinct points

$y, c \in C \cap B(x)$ . For these points by the  $S_{n+1}$ -invariance of  $\mu$  we get

$$c = \mu(v, \dots, v, y, c) = \mu(v, \dots, v, c, y) = y,$$

which is a desired contradiction, completing the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

By induction, Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that for every  $n \geq 2$  the space  $X$  admits no diagonally continuous  $n$ -amean and hence no diagonally continuous  $n$ -mean.  $\square$

Since each separately continuous mean  $\mu : X^2 \rightarrow X$  is diagonally continuous, (the proof of) Lemma 1 implies the following corollary answering Problem 5 in [4].

**Corollary 1.** *If a separable Hausdorff topological space  $X$  contains a cocountable subset homeomorphic to  $[0, \omega_1)$ , then  $X$  admits no separately continuous mean  $\mu : X^2 \rightarrow X$ .*

**Problem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Hausdorff topological space  $X$  containing a cocountable subset homeomorphic to  $[0, \omega_1)$ . Does  $X$  admit a separately continuous  $n$ -mean  $\mu : X^n \rightarrow X$  for some  $n \geq 3$ ?*

By the  $n$ -th symmetric power  $SP^n(X)$  of a topological space  $X$  we understand the quotient space of  $X^n$  by the equivalence relation  $\sim: (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  if there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$ . The space  $X$  is identified with the subspace  $\{(x, \dots, x) : x \in X\}$  of  $SP^n(X)$ .

Observe that  $X$  is a retract of its  $n$ th symmetric power  $SP^n(X)$  if and only if  $X$  admits a continuous  $n$ -mean. This observation combined with Theorem 1 implies:

**Corollary 2.** *If a separable Hausdorff topological space  $X$  contains a cocountable subset homeomorphic to  $[0, \omega_1]$ , then for every  $n \geq 2$  the space  $X$  is not a retract of its  $n$ -th symmetric power  $SP^n(X)$ .*

The  $n$ -th symmetric power  $SP^n(X)$  is a partial case of the  $n$ -th  $G$ -symmetric power  $SP_G^n(X)$  where  $G$  is a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_n$ . The space  $SP_G^n(X)$  is the quotient space of  $X^n$  by the equivalence relation  $\sim_G: (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim_G (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  if there is a permutation  $\sigma \in G$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$ . The space  $X$  is identified with the subspace  $\{(x, \dots, x) : x \in X\}$  of  $SP_G^n(X)$ .

**Problem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a separable compact space containing a cocountable subset homeomorphic to  $[0, \omega_1]$ . Is  $X$  a retract of  $SP_G^n(X)$  for some  $n \geq 2$  and some non-trivial subgroup  $G \subset S_n$ ?*

Let us recall that a topological space  $X$  is called *scattered* if each subspace  $A \subset X$  has an isolated point.

**Problem 3.** *Assume that a scattered compact space  $X$  admits a continuous  $n$ -mean for some  $n \geq 2$ . Does  $X$  admit a continuous  $n$ -mean for every  $n \geq 2$ ?*

If  $\vee : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is a semilattice operation on a set  $X$ , then for every  $n \geq 2$  the map  $\mu : X^n \rightarrow X$ ,  $\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n$  is an  $n$ -mean on  $X$ . So, a topological space admitting a continuous semilattice operation admits continuous  $n$ -means for all  $n \geq 2$ .

**Problem 4.** *Assume that a scattered compact space  $X$  admits a continuous  $n$ -mean for every  $n \geq 2$ . Does  $X$  admit a continuous semilattice operation?*

It is known that each separately continuous semilattice operation on a zero-dimensional compact space is jointly continuous, see [8, II.1.5].

**Problem 5.** *Assume a scattered compact space  $X$  admits a separately continuous  $n$ -mean. Does  $X$  admit a continuous  $n$ -mean?*

**Problem 6.** *Is a normal functor  $F$  a power functor if each (scattered) compact space  $X$  is a retract of  $F(X)$ ?*

According to [4] and [5], another example of a scattered compact space admitting no separately continuous semilattice operation is the (one-point compactification of the) Mrówka space  $\psi\mathbb{N}$ . By definition, the *Mrówka space* is the Stone space of the Boolean algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\{n\}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for some maximal almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  of infinite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Problem 7.** *Does the Mrówka space  $\psi\mathbb{N}$  admit a (separately) continuous  $n$ -mean for some  $n \geq 2$ ?*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Aumann, *Aufbau von Mittelwerten mehrerer Argumente. II. (Analytische Mittelwerte)*, Math. Ann. **111**:1 (1935), 713–730.
- [2] G. Aumann, *Über Räume mit Mittelbildungen*, Math. Ann. **119** (1944), 210–215.
- [3] G. Aumann, C. Carathéodory, *Ein Satz über die konforme Abbildung mehrfach zusammenhängender ebener Gebiete*, Math. Ann. **109** (1934), 756–763.
- [4] T. Banakh, O. Gutik, M. Rajagopalan, *On algebraic structures on scattered compacta*, Topology Appl. **153**:5-6 (2005), 710–723.
- [5] R. Bonnet, W. Kubis, *Semilattices*, unpublished note.
- [6] B. Eckmann, *Räume mit Mittelbildung*, Comm. Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 329–340.
- [7] P. Hilton, *A new look at means on topological spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **20**:4 (1997), 617–620.
- [8] K. Hofmann, M. Mislove, A. Stralka, *The Pontryagin duality of compact 0-dimensional semilattices and its applications*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 396. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [9] I. Parovichenko, *On a universal bicomactum of weight  $\aleph$* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **150** (1963), 36–39.
- [10] F. Trigos-Arrieta, M. Turzanski, *On Aumann's theorem that the circle does not admit a mean*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. **46**:2 (2005), 77–82.

T.BANAKH: JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY IN KIELCE, POLAND *and* IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, UKRAINE  
*E-mail address:* t.o.banakh@gmail.com

R.BONNET: LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE SAVOIE, LE BOURGET-DU-LAC, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* bonnet@univ-savoie.fr

W.KUBIŚ: INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC *and* JAN KOCHANOWSKI UNIVERSITY IN KIELCE, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* kubisw@gmail.com