=fl
=fl
=fl

1

Akademie věd České Republiky

Teze dizertace
k získání titulu "doktor věd"
ve skupině věd fyzikálně-matematických

Discontinuous Galerkin Method for Convection-Diffusion
Problems with Applications in Fluid Dynamics

Komise pro obhajoby doktorských disertací v oboru
"Matematická analýza a příbuzné obory"

Jméno uchazeče: Doc. RNDr. Vít Dolejší, Ph.D.
Pracoviště uchazeče: Univerzita Karlova v Praze, MFF

Místo a datum: Praha, 1. dubna 2008

Discontinuous Galerkin Method for
Convection-Diffusion Problems with
Applications in Fluid Dynamics

Vít Dolejší

Charles University Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Prague, Czech Republic

Contents

Summary

We deal with the numerical simulation of a motion of viscous compressible fluids. Neglecting their microstructure, we consider fluids as continuum and therefore, the conception of the mechanic of continuous can be applied. Taking into account the mathematical formulation of conservation laws and constitutive relations, we obtain the *compressible Navier-Stokes equations* (NSEs) which represent a nonlinear system of partial differential equations of hyperbolic-parabolic type.

Since there are fundamental obstacles to find an analytical solution of this problem, our aim is to develop a sufficiently robust, accurate and efficient *numerical method* for the solution of the NSEs. It follows from theoretical considerations, numerical experiments as well as practical measurements that a solution of the NSEs is piecewise regular but it can contain discontinuities and steep gradients as shock waves, contact discontinuities, boundary layers, wakes, etc. Therefore, we deduce that the *discontinuous Galerkin method* (DGM) seems to be a suitable approach for the numerical solution of the NSEs.

DGM is based on a piecewise polynomial but discontinuous approximation which provides robust and high-order accurate solvers, particularly in transport dominated regimes. Furthermore, there is considerable flexibility in the choice of the mesh design; indeed, DGM can easily handle non-matching and non-uniform grids, even anisotropic, and polynomial approximation degrees. This allows a simple treatment with *hp*-adaptation techniques. Additionally, orthogonal bases can easily be constructed which lead to diagonal mass matrices; this is particularly advantageous for unsteady problems. Finally, in combination with block-type preconditioners, DGMs can easily be parallelized.

We start with a numerical analysis of the DGM applied to a simplified model represented by a scalar nonlinear convection-diffusion equation. We introduce several variants of the DGM and show that a numerical solution converges to the exact one provided

that the mesh size tends to zero. Moreover, we derive a priori error estimates which give a dependence of the order of convergence of the DGM on the used degree of polynomial approximation and the regularity of the exact solution. Finally, presented classes of numerical experiments are in a good agreement with theoretical results.

In the second part, we apply the discontinuous Galerkin discretization to the system of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. A special attention is paid to the discretization of the diffusive terms and the choice of the boundary conditions where the situation is more complicated than in the scalar case. Moreover, we deal with a higher order temporal discretization in order to solve sufficiently precisely unsteady flow regimes. We present several numerical experiments of inviscid as well as viscous flows in subsonic, transonic and supersonic flow regimes. These numerical simulations indicate a great potential of DGM for industrial applications.

Finally, we present the so-called *anisotropic mesh adaptation* method which tries to optimise computational grids in order to minimise the number of degree of freedom for a given tolerance of the computational error. We develop a mathematical background of this approach which is based on a fulfilment of a necessary condition for a grid. Then we adapt this very general technique to simulation of viscous compressible flows.

A summary of the achieved results is enclosed.

Resumé

Zabýváme se numerickou simulací proudění vazkých stlačitelných tekutin. Zanedbáním jejich miktrostruktury můžeme považovat tekutiny za kontinuum a tedy lze použít nástroje mechaniky kontinua. Z matematického popisu zákonů zachování a z konstitutivních vztahů pak odvodíme *Navierovy-Stokesovy* (NS) rovnice pro stačitelné tekutiny, které představují soustavu nelinárních parciálních diferenciálních rovnic parabolicko-hyperbolického typu.

Vzhledem k tomu, že existují pricipiální potíže k nalezení analytického řešení tohoto problému, tak naším cílem je vývoj dostatečně robustní, přesné a efektivní *numerické metody* pro řešení Navierových-Stokesových rovnic. Z teoretických úvah, numerických experimentů a rovněž i experimelntálních meření plyne, že řešení NS rovnic je po částech hladké ale může obsahovat nespojitosti či strmé gradienty jako rázové vlny, kontaktní nespojitosti, mezní vrstvy a úplavy, atd. Z tohoto důvodu se domníváme, že *nespojitá Galerkinova* (DG) metoda je vhodná k numerickému řešení NS rovnic.

DG metoda je založena na po částech polynomiální, ale nespojité aproximaci, což vede k robustním řešičům vysokého řádu přesnosti, zejména v režimech s převládající konvekcí. Navíc je zde velká volnost ve volbě prostorových sítí, DG metoda umožňuje pracovat s neregulárnímí a neuniformními sítěmi (i anisotropními) a různými stupni polynomiálními aproximaci na různých elementech. To umožňuje snadnou realizaci *hp*-adaptivních metod. Dále lze snadno konstruhovat ortogonální báze a tedy diagonální matice hmotnosti, což má výhodu zejména při řešení nestacionárních problémů. Konečně, ve spojení s blokovými typy předpodmíňovačů, DG metodu lze snadno paralelizovat.

Věnujeme se numerické analýze DG metody aplikované na modelovou úlohu, která je reprezentována skalární nelineární konvektivně-difusní rovnicí. Představujeme několik variant DG metody a ukážeme, že numerické řešení konverguje k přesnému v případě, že krok sítě konverguje k nule. Dále odvozujeme a priorní

4

odhady chyb, které nám dávají závislost řádu konvergence DG metody na použitém stupni polynomiální aproximace a regularitě přesného řešení. Řada numerických experimentů dává velmi dobrou schodu s teoretickými výsledky.

V další části aplikujeme nespojitou Galerkinovu metodu na soustavu Navierových-Stokesových rovnic. Zvláštní pozornost je věnovýna diskretizaci difusních členů a volbě okrajových podmínek, kde je situace mnohem komplikovanější než-li pro skalární příklad. Dále se věnujeme časové diskretizaci vyššího řádu, což je třeba pro řešení nestacionárních problémů. Ukazujeme několik numerických experimentů proudění nevazké i vazké tekutiny v subsonických, transonických a supersonických režimech proudění. Tyto numerické simulace ukazují na velký potenciál použití DG metody pro průmyslové aplikace.

Nakonec se zabýváme *anizotropní adaptací sítí* (AMA), která optimalizuje výpočetní sítě ve smyslu, že minimalizuje počet stupňů volnosti pro danou toleranci na výpočetní chybu. Rozvíjíme matematickou teorii tohoto přístupu, který je založen na splnění nutné podmínky pro síť. Dále upravujeme tuto velice obecnou technologii pro případ simulací proudění vazkých stlačitelných tekutin.

Na závěr předkládáme shrnutí dosažených výsledků.

1 Introduction

The field of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) has already had a significant impact on science and engineering of fluid dynamics, ranging from a role of aircraft design to simulation of a spread pollution in the environment. The ultimate goal of the field of CFD is to understand the physical events that occur in the flow of fluids around and within designed objects. These events are related to the action and reaction of phenomena such as dissipation, diffusion, convection, shock waves, boundary layers and turbulence.

In the field of aerodynamics, all of these phenomena are governed by the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Many of the most important aspects of these relations are nonlinear and, as a consequence, often have no analytical solution. This, of course, motivates the numerical solution of the associated partial differential equations.

The numerical solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations frequently exhibit localised structures, such as propagating discontinuities and sharp transition layers whose reliable numerical approximation presents a challenging computational task. Indeed, in order to resolve such localised phenomena in an accurate and efficient way one has to use locally refined (adapted) computational meshes.

Therefore, an efficient tool for the numerical simulation of compressible flows has to contain a sufficiently robust and accurate solver for the system of governing equations as well as a suitable adaptive method. Within this thesis we develop an efficient technique for the numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations which is based on a combination of the *discontinuous Galerkin method* and the *anisotropic mesh adaptation* technique.

The content of the thesis is the following. Except a general introduction to the problem of the numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, the thesis is a composition of twelve articles published in international journals within last 10 years. Each articles

corresponds to one section and all these sections are thematically organised into three chapters:

- Chapter 2 – Numerical analysis of DGM,

- Chapter 3 – Application of DGM to the Navier-Stokes equations,

- Chapter 4 – Adaptive methods.

The list of articles forming the thesis with their citations is presented in Section 4.

1.1 Compressible Navier-Stokes equations

Let $\Omega \subset I\!\!R^d$ be a bounded domain occupied by a viscous compressible fluid and $(0, T)$ a time interval of interest. A motion of this fluid is described by the system of the *compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, which can be written in the so-called conservation form

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \nabla \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{R}}(\boldsymbol{w}, \nabla \boldsymbol{w}) \qquad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \qquad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{w} : (0, T) \times \Omega \to I\!\!R^{d+2}$ is the state vector, $\vec{\boldsymbol{f}} = (\boldsymbol{f}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{f}_d)$, $\boldsymbol{f}_s : I\!\!R^{d+2} \to I\!\!R^{d+2}$, $s = 1, \ldots, d$ are the inviscid fluxes and $\vec{\boldsymbol{R}} = (\boldsymbol{R}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{R}_d)$, $\boldsymbol{R}_s : I\!\!R^{(d+2)\times(d+1)} \to I\!\!R^{d+2}$, $s = 1, \ldots, d$ are the viscous fluxes. The components of the state vector $\boldsymbol{w}$ are density, components of momentum and energy. The inviscid as well as viscous fluxes are nonlinear functions of their arguments. Symbols ∇ and $\nabla\cdot$ mean the gradient and divergence operators, i.e.,

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{w} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}}{\partial x_d} \right) \in I\!\!R^{d+2} \times \ldots \times I\!\!R^{d+2} \qquad (2)$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{w}) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}_s(\boldsymbol{w})}{\partial x_s} \in I\!\!R^{d+2}, \qquad (3)$$

respectively. The system of equations (1) follows form *conservation laws*, namely a conservation of *mass*, *momentum* and *energy*. In order to a close the problem, we consider thermodynamical relations (form of the stress tensor, state equation for perfect gas) and include a set of initial and boundary conditions. The complete derivation of the system of the Navier–Stokes equations can be found, e.g., in [21] or [43].

The compressible Navier-Stokes equations represent a nonlinear system of hyperbolic-parabolic type. During last teens years, a great progress was achieved in the question of the existence of the solution of problem (1). For a survey of theoretical results, see, e.g., monographs [35], [18], [33]. We only remark, that the existence of the solution of (1) accompanied by the state equation for perfect gas is still open. Nevertheless, we deal with a numerical solution of problem (1) in the rest of this thesis.

1.2   Numerical methods

In computational fluid dynamics, the finite volume method (FVM) is rather popular. (Cf., [15], [17], [19], [20], [21], [31] for references.) It seems that for conservation laws with discontinuous solutions the finite volume method, using piecewise constant approximations, is very suitable, because the FV approximations are discontinuous on interelement interfaces, which allows good resolution of shock waves and contact discontinuities. However, the increase of accuracy in finite volume schemes applied on unstructured and/or anisotropic meshes is problematic.

On the other hand, the most popular numerical method for a solution of partial differential equations is the finite element method (FEM). This technique is suitable for problems with sufficiently regular solutions. However, singularly perturbed problems or nonlinear conservation laws have solutions with steep gradients or discontinuities and their approximations by conforming finite elements may suffer from the Gibbs phenomenon manifested by spurious oscillations propagating from boundary or interior lay-

ers into the computational domain. One way how to avoid this drawback is to use a suitable stabilization as, e.g. the streamline diffusion method or Galerkin least squares method and shock capturing stabilization. (For a survey, see [21, Chapter 4].) If these techniques are applied to systems of partial differential equations, e.g. of compressible flow, the form of the stabilization terms is rather complex and several parameters have to be tuned.

A generalization of the FV and FE approaches is the *discontinuous Galerkin method* (DGM). This technique is based on the idea to approximate the solution of an initial-boundary value problem by piecewise polynomial functions over a FE mesh, without any requirement on interelement continuity. The original DGM method was introduced by Reed and Hill ([37]) for the solution of the neutron transport equation. The first analysis of this method was made by Le Saint and Raviart ([32]), later an improvement was achieved by Johnson and Pitkäranta ([27]). The DGM was applied to nonlinear conservation laws already in 1989 by Cockburn and Shu ([12]). Their approach uses advantages of FEM and FVM with an approximate Riemann solver. During several recent years the discontinuous Galerkin schemes have been extensively developed. For a survey, see e.g. [10], [11]. An important question is the discretization of diffusion terms in the framework of the DGMs. There exist various treatments of this problem. One possibility is to apply a mixed formulation, used, e.g., in [8]. Its disadvantage is a large number of unknowns. Another method is a direct discretization used, e.g., in [2], [36] and [26]. In [1], an excellent survey of the existing discontinuous Galerkin methods was presented and a unified analysis of DGMs for elliptic problems developed.

A great progress in the applications of DGM to the compressible flow simulation was achieved in the last 10 years, see [3], [4], [5], [6], [13], [16], [22], [23], [24], [25], [28], [29], [34], [40], [41] and the references cited therein. DGM allows on a given mesh to improve a prediction of crucial flow phenomena, such as boundary layers including transition, drag forces, wakes, vortical flows

9

and interaction phenomena like blade/vortex interaction. On the other hand, the disadvantage of discontinuous Galerkin techniques is a high computational complexity since DGM requires more degrees of freedom than standard FEM in order to achieve the same piecewise polynomial approximation. Nevertheless, we suppose that DGM has still an unused potential which should be used for industrial applications

2 Summary of the thesis

Within this section, we summarise this thesis dealing with an analysis of DGM applied to a convection-diffusion equation and its application to the compressible flow simulation. Let $\mathcal{T}_h$, $h > 0$ be a partition of the computational domain Ω into mutually disjoint elements K, i.e., $\overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} K$. We call $\mathcal{T}_h$ a *triangulation* of Ω and do not require the conforming properties from the finite element method, see [9], [39]. As usually, $h = \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \operatorname{diam}(K)$.

Over the triangulation $\mathcal{T}_h$, we define the so-called *broken Sobolev space*

$$H^s(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h) \equiv \{v; v|_K \in H(K) \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\} \qquad (4)$$

with the norm

$$\|v\|_{H^s(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)} \equiv \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|v\|_{H^s(K)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \qquad (5)$$

and the seminorm

$$|v|_{H^s(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)} \equiv \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |v|_{H^s(K)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \qquad (6)$$

where $s \geq 1$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(K)}$ and $|\cdot|_{H^s(K)}$ denotes norm and seminorm of the Sobolev space $H^s(K) \equiv W^{s,2}(K)$, respectively.

10

Furthermore, we define the space of discontinuous piecewise polynomial by

$$S_{hp} \equiv \{v; \ v \in L^2(\Omega), \ v|_K \in P_p(K) \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \qquad (7)$$

where $P_p(K)$ denotes the space of all polynomials on $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ of degree $\leq p$.

2.1 Chapter 2 – Numerical analysis

In order to simplified the numerical analysis, we consider the scalar nonstationary convection-diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{f}(u) = \varepsilon \Delta u + g, \qquad (8)$$

where $u : (0,T) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $\vec{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a nonlinear function of its argument, $\varepsilon > 0$ play role of the viscosity and $g \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$ is a source term. Moreover, we prescribe a Dirichlet and/or Neumann boundary conditions and an initial condition. The problem (8) represents a model problem of the Navier-Stokes equations. With the aid of techniques from [38] and [33], it is possible to prove that there exists a unique weak solution of (8).

In **Section 2.1** we develop the so-called *finite volume discontinuous Galerkin* (FVDG) method, where the approximate solution is sought in the space of piecewise linear discontinuous finite elements. Moreover, the convective terms are approximated with the aid of a *numerical flux* well-known from FVM, where a piecewise constant projection is employed. Then the FVDG method reads: Find $u_h : (0,T) \to S_{h1}$ such that

$$\text{i)} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(u_h, v_h) + a_h^N(u_h, v_h) + b_h(\pi_h^0 u_h, v_h) + J_h(u_h, v_h)$$
$$= \ell(v_h) \qquad \forall v_h \in S_{hp}, \ t \in (0,T),$$
$$\text{ii)} \qquad (u_h(0), v_h) = (u_0, v_h), \qquad (9)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the L^2-scalar product, the linear non-symmetric form $a_h^N(\cdot, \cdot)$ represents the discretization of the diffusive term

11

by the nonsymmetric variant of the DG method, the nonlinear form $b_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ represents the discretization of convective terms, the linear form $J_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ represents the interior and boundary penalties and $\ell(\cdot)$ is the right-hand-side containing source terms and terms arising from the boundary conditions. Moreover, π_h^0 is the L^2-projection into the space of piecewise constant functions and $u_0 : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is the initial condition. Problem (9) represents a system of ordinary differential equations which should be solved by suitable solver.

Within this section we analyse the FVDG scheme. Let u be the weak solution of problem (8) satisfying assumptions

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \qquad (10)$$

$u_h(t) \in S_{h1}$, $t \in (0, T)$ be the approximate piecewise linear solution obtained by (9) and $e_h(t) \equiv u - u_h$. We derive a priori error estimate in the form

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|e_h(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T |e_h(\vartheta)|_{H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)}^2 \mathrm{d}\vartheta \leq C_1 h^2 |u|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2, \qquad (11)$$

where $C_1 = O(\exp(1/\varepsilon)$ is independent of u and h. It is clear that estimate (11) cannot be used for $\varepsilon \to 0+$, because it blows up exponentially. The nonlinearity of the convective terms represents a serious obstacle for obtaining a uniform error estimate with respect to $\varepsilon \to 0+$.

Moreover, numerical experiments from Section 2.1 verify that the presented FVDG method has the first order of accuracy as the theoretical estimate (11). However, it is not possible to increase the order of accuracy by a higher order of polynomial approximation (i.e., S_{hp} with $p \geq 2$) since FVDG method uses the piecewise constant projection in the numerical flux approximating convective terms.

Therefore, in **Section 2.2** we develop the so-called *discontinuous Galerkin finite element* (DGFE) method. Similarly as in

12

FVDG method we employ the *nonsymmetric* treatment of the diffusive term with an *interior* and *boundary penalties*. This technique is in literature denoted as NIPG (nonsymmetric interior penalty Galerkin) method. On the other hand, the convective terms are approximated with the aid of numerical flux but without the piecewise constant projection. Then the DGFE method reads: Find $u_h : (0, T) \to S_{h1}$ such that

$$
\text{i)} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(u_h, v_h) + a_h^N(u_h, v_h) + b_h(u_h, v_h) + J_h(u_h, v_h)
$$
$$
= \ell(v_h) \qquad \forall v_h \in S_{hp}, \ t \in (0, T),
$$
$$
\text{ii)} \quad (u_h(0), v_h) = (u_0, v_h), \tag{12}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$, $a_h^N(\cdot, \cdot)$, $b_h(\cdot, \cdot)$, $J_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\ell(\cdot)$ denotes the forms introduced in (9).

We derive the following error estimate. Let u be the weak solution of problem (8) satisfying

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^{p+1}(\Omega)), \tag{13}
$$

$u_h(t) \in S_{hp}, \ t \in (0, T)$ be the approximate piecewise polynomial (degree $\leq p$) solution obtained by (12) and $e_h(t) \equiv u - u_h$. Then

$$
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|e_h(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T |e_h(\vartheta)|_{H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{T}_h)}^2 \mathrm{d}\vartheta \leq C_2 h^{2p} |u|_{H^{p+1}(\Omega)}^2, \tag{14}
$$

where $C_2 = O(\exp(1/\varepsilon)$ is independent of u and h. We observe that (14) is optimal ($O(h^p)$) with respect to the H^1-seminorm but sub-optimal ($O(h^p)$) with respect to the L^2-norm. This is caused by the use of the nonsymmetric variant (NIPG) which gives the corresponding diffusive form nonsymmetric and therefore it is not possible to use the well-known Aubin-Nitsch theorem. On the other hand, numerical experiments presented in Section 2.2 and also in [14] indicate that the odd degrees of polynomial approximations give the optimal experimental order of convergence in

13

the L^2-norm ($O(h^{p+1})$) whereas the even degrees of polynomial approximations only the suboptimal one ($O(h^p)$).

In order to achieve the optimal orders of convergence in the L^2-norm, we employ the *symmetric interior penalty Galerkin* (SIPG) variant of DGFE method in **Section 2.3** when the non-symmetric form $a_h^N(\cdot, \cdot)$ in (12) is replaced by its symmetric variant $a_h^S(\cdot, \cdot)$. Then with the aid of the Aubin-Nitsch theorem we obtain (provided that the weak solution satisfy (13)) a priori error estimates

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|e_h(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_3 h^{2p+2} |u|^2_{H^{p+1}(\Omega)}, \tag{15}$$

where $C_3 = O(\exp(1/\varepsilon)$ is independent of u and h. Numerical experiments presented within the same section verify the theoretical orders of convergence. Moreover, these numerical experiments indicate the error estimate

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|e_h(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_4 h^{2\mu} |u|^2_{H^s(\Omega)}, \tag{16}$$

where $C_4 > 0$, $\mu = \min(p + 1, s)$ and $H^s(\Omega)$, $s > 0$ denotes (in general) the Sobolev-Slobodetskii space of functions with "non-integer derivatives". This error estimate should be used in case when the weak solution is not sufficiently regular.

The previous theoretical results (11) – (15) are based on the assumptions of regularity of the exact solution. Nevertheless, it is interesting to observed how the DG schemes behaves in case when the exact solution is discontinuous or contains steep gradients (e.g., interior or boundary layers). In **Section 2.4** we apply the FVDG and DGFE methods from Sections 2.1 and 2.2, respectively, to the viscous Burgers equation whose solution contains interior layers. Whereas the piecewise constant projection employed in FVDG method give a reasonable numerical approximation, the DGFE method produces a numerical solution suffering from unphysical overshoots and undershoots in vicinity of the interior layers (this phenomenon is called the Gibbs effect). Therefore, we develop a limiting of degree of approximation based on

the so-called *jump indicator*. The presented numerical examples indicate that this technique does not decrease an order of accuracy in parts of the computational domain, where the solution is smooth, and moreover, it avoids the Gibbs effect. This limiting technique is extended to the solution of the system of the Navier-Stokes equations in Section 3.1.

In Sections 2.1 – 2.4, we consider the scalar equation (8), where the diffusion is linear. In **Section 2.5**, we deal with a more general case where the diffusion is *quasilinear*, i.e., we seek $u : (0, T) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \vec{f}(u) = \nabla \cdot \vec{R}(u, \nabla u) + g, \qquad (17)$$

where $\vec{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\vec{R} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$. The nonlinear dependence of the viscous terms $\vec{R}(u, \nabla u)$ on ∇u does not allow to employ the NIPG as well as SIPG variants of the DGFE method. Therefore, we use the so-called *incomplete interior penalty Galerkin* (IIPG) variant of the DGFE method and derive the same a priori error estimates as in (14). The IIPG technique does not allow to obtain the optimal L^2-error estimate as NIPG. On the other hand, the numerical experiments carried out is Section 2.5 give higher experimental orders of convergence than the theoretical ones.

Section 2.6 deals with the so-called *discrete Friedrichs inequality* for piecewise linear Crouziex-Raviart nonconforming finite elements. Let $\mathcal{T}_h$ be a triangular grid of a computational domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathcal{F}_h$ the corresponding set of all edges of triangles $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. We denote by x_Γ a centre of $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_h$. We define the following finite element spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} X_h &\equiv \{v_h \in L^2(\Omega);\ v_h|_K \in P^1(K),\ K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \qquad (18) \\ &\quad\ v_h \text{ is continuous at each } x_\Gamma,\ \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_h\}, \\ X_{h0} &\equiv \{v_h \in X_h;\ v_h(x_\Gamma) = 0\ \forall x_\Gamma \in \partial\Omega,\ \Gamma \in \mathcal{F}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the discrete Friedrichs inequality claims that there exists a

constant $\tilde{c} > 0$ independent of h such that

$$\|v_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{c}|v_h|_{H^1(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)} \qquad \forall v_h \in X_{h0}, \tag{19}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is the standard norm in the Lebesgue space $L^2(\Omega)$ and $|\cdot|_{H^1(\Omega,\mathcal{T}_h)}$ is the norm in the broken Sobolev space introduced by (6).

Within this section we prove the discrete Friedrich inequality (19) for the case when Ω is a *general polygonal nonconvex domain*. This results has applications in the numerical analysis of convection-diffusion problems approximated with the aid of nonconforming finite elements. Moreover, this inequality was generalized by some authors, see, e.g, [30], [42], [7].

2.2 Chapter 3 – Application to compressible flow simulations

In **Section 3.1**, we apply the DGFE method to the system of the Navier-Stokes equations (1). We implement a discontinuous piecewise linear approximation of the NIPG variant. A special attention is paid to the choice of the stabilization term, the boundary conditions, the limiting of the degree of approximation and a treatment of nonpolygonal parts of the boundary. We present numerical examples of subsonic, transonic and supersonic flow regimes and obtain a good comparison with reference solutions. The main drawback of this method is the explicit time discretization which is simple for implementation but the size of the time step is very limited. Therefore, it is necessary to carried out a high number of time steps in order to achieve a steady state solution.

In order to avoid the time step restriction mentioned in Section 3.1, it is suitable to use an implicit time discretization, e.g., [5], [24], [25]. However, a full implicit scheme leads to a necessity to solve a nonlinear system of algebraic equations at each time step which is rather expensive. Therefore, we propose in **Section 3.2** a *semi-implicit* method for the solution of the Euler equations. This technique is based on a suitable linearization of the

Euler fluxes. The linear terms are treated implicitly whereas the nonlinear ones explicitly which leads to a linear algebraic problem at each time step. The presented numerical examples indicate an enormous gain (from the point of view of the computational time) in comparison with an explicit discretization for steady-state computations.

In **Section 3.3**, we extend the semi-implicit time discretization to the viscous flow simulations, i.e., we carry out a linearization also of the viscous terms. Moreover, in order to increase the accuracy of the time discretization, we employ the so-called *backward difference formulae* (BDF). We call the resulting scheme the BDF-DGFE method, which is practically unconditionally stable, has a high order of accuracy with respect to the space and time coordinates and requires a solution only of one linear algebraic problem at each time step. Since we solve an evolution problem, it is suitable to employ an iterative solver for the solution of these linear algebraic problems. It is possible to use a solution from the previous time step as an approximation of the solution on the new time level and, moreover, a suitable preconditioner can be evaluated after several time steps. This strategy highly decrease the computational time. We present a set of numerical experiments of steady as well as unsteady flows using piecewise linear, quadratic and cubic polynomial approximations for the space semi-discretization and the two- and three-steps BDF for the time discretization. These numerical examples show a good agreement with reference results.

2.3   Chapter 4 – Adaptive methods

Most of the computations presented in Sections 3.1 – 3.3 were carried out on adaptively refined grids, which were obtained by the *anisotropic mesh adaptation* (AMA). This method exhibits a very efficient tool for compressible flow simulations and it is described in **Section 4.1**. AMA technique minimises a mesh quality parameter $Q_{\mathcal{T}_h}$ by an iterative process. The mesh quality

parameters $Q_{\mathcal{T}_h}$ is defined by

$$Q_{\mathcal{T}_h} \equiv \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \sum_{\Gamma \in \partial K} \left(|\Gamma|_{\mathcal{H}} - \omega \right)^2, \qquad (20)$$

where the sum is taken over all edges Γ of elements $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, $\#\mathcal{T}_h$ denotes the number of elements of $\mathcal{T}_h$, $\omega > 0$ is a given constant and $|\Gamma|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a size of edge Γ measured in the Riemann metric generated by the Hessian matrix $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(u_h)$. The matrix $\mathcal{H}(u_h)$ is evaluated for each Γ from a "smoothed" numerical solution u_h. The AMA technique is completely method-independent and problem-independent approach and, therefore, it can be applied to various finite element/volume solutions of partial differential equations. A drawback of this technique is that we have no bound for the computational error.

A theoretical background of AMA approach is developed in **Section 4.2**. Let us considered a general boundary value problem (BVP) defined on a computational domain Ω. Let $\mathcal{T}_h$ be a triangular grid of Ω, V and V_h be functional spaces where an exact and an approximate solutions u and u_h are sought, respectively.

We require that the computational error $e_h \equiv u - u_h$ is bounded by a given tolerance $\omega > 0$, i.e,

$$\|e_h\|_X = \|u - u_h\|_X \leq \omega, \qquad (21)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a suitable norm. We define a mapping $\Pi_h : V \to V_h$ by

$$v \in V, \ \Pi_h v \in V_h : \quad \|v - \Pi_h v\|_X = \min_{w_h \in V_h} \|v - w_h\|_X. \qquad (22)$$

Let us emphasise that the mapping Π_h depends on the exact solution u, the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, the finite dimensional space V_h and therefore on the mesh $\mathcal{T}_h$. On the other hand, the mapping Π_h is independent of the approximate solution u_h. Obviously,

$$\|u - \Pi_h u\|_X \leq \|u - u_h\|_X \qquad (23)$$

18

and therefore, the *necessary condition* to fulfil (21) is

$$\|u - \Pi_h u\|_X \le \omega. \tag{24}$$

The *main idea* of the AMA method is the following: to construct a mesh $\mathcal{T}_h$ such that

   i) the necessary condition (24) is satisfied,

   ii) the number of elements of mesh $\mathcal{T}_h$ is minimal.

The condition ii) follows from a natural requirement to use a smallest possible number of degree of freedom in order to save a computational time and memory of a computer.

    Based on several simplifications and assumptions we arrive to a definition of an *optimal mesh* $\mathcal{T}_h$ by the relation

$$Q_{\mathcal{T}_h} = 0, \tag{25}$$

where $Q_{\mathcal{T}_h}$ is the quality parameters defined by (20) with the Hessian matrices $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(u)$ of the exact solution. However, the exact solution u is not know a priori. Therefore, we apply a smoothing procedure to the approximate solution u_h and the mesh adaptation algorithm from Section 4.1. Moreover, we present several numerical experiments dealing with the Poisson equation where the efficiency of the AMA technique is demonstrated.

    Section 4.3 deals with an application of the AMA method to viscous compressible flow simulations. The presented numerical experiments indicate that the AMA technique is not able to capture thin boundary layers and wakes, namely for flows with high Reynolds numbers Re. Therefore, we take into account physical properties of viscous compressible flows, namely the thickness of boundary layers ($\approx 1/\sqrt{Re}$). Then, the AMA algorithm generates triangular grids where the low viscosity flows can be resolved very well. Moreover, we introduce two variants of a smoothing procedure which improve computational results.

3 Summary of the results

We dealt with the numerical solution of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations describing viscous compressible flows. This numerical scheme is based on the discontinuous Galerkin method employing a discontinuous piecewise polynomial approximation which is suitable for capturing of piecewise regular solutions containing discontinuities. The results achieved within this thesis are summarised in the following list.

Numerical analysis:

- In Sections 2.1 and 2.2, we presented and developed the discontinuous Galerkin method for the solution of a scalar time-dependent convection-diffusion equation with a nonlinear convection and a linear diffusion ($-\varepsilon\Delta u$). The presence of the nonlinear convection leads to error estimates of order $O(\exp(1/\varepsilon))$ which blow up exponentially for $\varepsilon \to 0$.

- In Section 2.2, we derived a priori error estimates of order $O(h^p)$ in the L^2-norm and the H^1-seminorm, where h is the step of the mesh and p is the degree of polynomial approximation. The sub-optimality of the error estimates in the L^2-norm is caused by the nonsymmetric treatment of the diffusive terms which leads to a nonsymmetric bilinear form and then it is not possible to employ the Aubin-Nitsch theorem. On the other hand, numerical experiments show the optimal order of convergence $O(h^{p+1})$ in the L^2-norm for odd degrees of polynomial approximations.

- The symmetric treatment of the diffusive term from Section 2.3 leads to optimal error estimates ($O(h^{p+1})$) also in the L^2-norm. Nevertheless, a regularity assumption of the solution of the dual problem is required. This results were verified by numerical experiments.

- We showed in Section 2.4 that DGM produces numerical solution suffering from the Gibbs phenomenon in case when

the exact solution contains discontinuities and/or steep gradients. Therefore we developed a technique based on limiting of the order of polynomial approximation which avoids the Gibbs effect and does not decrease an order of accuracy in region where the exact solution is smooth.

- In Section 2.5 we apply the DGM to a scalar convection-diffusion equation with a nonlinear diffusion $\nabla \cdot \vec{R}(u, \nabla u)$, where $\vec{R}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a nonlinear function of its arguments. The presence of the nonlinear diffusive term does not allow to use the nonsymmetric as well as symmetric variants of DGM (Sections 2.2 and 2.3) hence we employed the so-called incomplete variant of DGM. We derived hp error estimate of order $O(h^\mu)$, where $\mu = \min(p, s)$, p is the degree of polynomial approximation and $s \geq 2$ represents a regularity of the exact solution, i.e., $u \in H^s(\Omega)$.

- The discrete Friedrichs inequality proved in Section 2.6 for non-convex domains plays an important role in numerical analysis of nonconforming finite element. It allows estimate the L^2-norm by a discrete variant of the H^1-seminorm.

Solution of the Navier-Stokes equations

- We apply the discontinuous Galerkin method analysed in Chapter 2 to the Navier-Stokes equations. In Section 3.1, we presented an original treatment of diffusive terms and boundary conditions within the DGM framework. The resulting numerical scheme gives an accurate numerical simulations of steady state flows in subsonic, transonic and supersonic flow regimes. The main drawback of this approach is a strong restriction to the size of the time step since the explicit temporal discretization was used.

- In order to avoid the time step restriction, we developed in Sections 3.2 and 3.3 an original semi-implicit scheme, where

the inviscid as well as fluxes are formally linearized and, consequently, the linear terms are treated implicitly and the nonlinear ones by an higher order explicit extrapolation. The resulting scheme, called backward difference formulae - discontinuous Galerkin finite element (BDF-DGFE) method, is practically unconditionally stable, has a high order of accuracy with respect to the space and time coordinates and requires a solution only of one linear algebraic problem at each time step. The numerical experiments are in a good agreement with reference results for steady as well as unsteady flow regimes.

Adaptive method

- The anisotropic mesh adaptation (AMA) method presented in Sections 4.1 – 4.3 represents an efficient tool for the numerical solution of partial differential equations. Although this approach does not give an error bound, the flexibility and universality of this technique allow a wide use of AMA in the computational sciences. This method is a base of the software package ANGENER which was implemented by the author and it is freely available. Till now, there are more than 50 registered ANGENER users over the world, see the enclosed list.

Although the BDF-DGFE method give promising results there is still a lot of open problems which are the subject of further research.

4   List of articles (sections)

The thesis is formed by the following list of articles published in international journals. Bolted numbers denote the number of sections, the symbol IF denotes the value of the impact factor of the corresponding journal. The list of citations of each article is accompanied in a small font.

Sec. 2.1 V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, C. Schwab: A Finite Volume Discontinuous Galerkin Scheme for Nonlinear Convection-Diffusion Problems. *Calcolo* , **39**:1–40, 2002. IF = 0.375

> [Q1] P. Chatzipantelidis, V. Ginting, R.D. Lazarov: A finite volume element method for a non-linear elliptic problem, Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications 12 (5-6): 515-546, 2005
>
> [Q2] F. Coquel, P. Helluy, J. Schneider: Second-order entropy diminishing scheme for the Euler equations, Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids 50 (9): 1029-1061, 2006

Sec. 2.2 V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, V. Sobotíková: Analysis of the Discontinuous Galerkin Method for Nonlinear Convection–Diffusion Problems, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, **194**: 2709-2733, 2005. IF = 1.553

> [Q1] P. Houston, J. Robson, E. Süli: Discontinuous Galerkin finite element approximation of quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems I: The scalar case. IMA J. Numer. Anal. 25, No. 4, 726-749, 2005
>
> [Q2] Y. Xu, C.W. Shu: Error estimates of the semi-discrete local discontinuous Galerkin method for nonlinear convection - diffusion and KdV equations, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng, 196 (37-40): 3805-3822, 2007
>
> [Q3] T. Gudi, N. Nataraj, A. K. Pani: An *hp*-local discontinuous Galerkin method for some quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems of nonmonotone type, Mathematics of Computations, 77 (262): 731-756, 2008

Sec. 2.3 V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, V. Kučera, V. Sobotíková: An optimal $L^\infty(L^2)$-error estimate of the discontinuous Galerkin method for a nonlinear nonstationary convection-diffusion problem, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*,
published online 10.1093/imanum/drm023, 2007. IF = 1.159

Sec. 2.4 V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer and C. Schwab: On some aspects of the Discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for conservation laws, *Math. Comput. Simul.*, **61**:333–346, 2003. IF = 0.558

> [Q1] R. Hartmann, P. Houston: Adaptive discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods with interior penalty for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, In M. Feistauer, V. Dolejší, P. Knobloch, K. Najzar (eds): *Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications, ENUMATH 2003*, pages 410-419, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
>
> [Q2] N. B. Petrovskaya: Two types of solution overshoots in Discontinuous Galerkin discretizaiton schemes, Communications in Mathematical Sciences 3 (2): 235-249, 2005
>
> [Q3] H. Li, M.W. Farthing, C. T. Miller: Adaptive local discontinuous Galerkin approximation to Richards' equation Advances in Water Resources 30 (9): 1883-1901, 2007

Sec. 2.5 V. Dolejší: Analysis and application of IIPG method to quasilinear nonstationary convection-diffusion problems, *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, published online doi:10.1016/j.cam.2007.10.055, 2007. IF=0.759

Sec. 2.6 V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer and J. Felcman: On the discrete Friedrichs inequality for nonconforming finite elements, *Numer. Func. Anal. and Optimiz.*, **20**(5&6):437-447, 1999. IF = 0.405

> [Q1] P. Knobloch: Uniform validity of Friedrichs inequality for general nonconforming finite element spaces, *Numer. Func. Anal. and Optimiz.*, **22**(1&2):107-126, 2001.

[Q2] S. C. Brenner: Poincare-Friedrichs inequalities for piecewise H-1 functions, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, **41** (1): 306-324, 2003

[Q3] M. Vohralík: Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Elliptic and Parabolic Equations, Doctoral Thesis, Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, France and Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic.

[Q4] M. Vohralík: On the discrete Poincare-Friedrichs inequalities for nonconforming approximations of the Sobolev space H-1, *Numer. Func. Anal. and Optimiz.*, **26**(7&8):925-952, 2005.

[Q5] S. P. Mao, S. C. Chen, D. Y. Shi: Convergence and superconvergence of a nonconforming finite element on anisotropic meshes, International Journal of Numerical Analysis and Modelling, 4 (1): 16-38 2007

Sec. 3.1 V. Dolejší: On the Discontinuous Galerkin Method for the Numerical Solution of the Navier–Stokes Equations, *Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids*, **45**:1083–1106, 2004. IF =0.476

[Q1] R. Hartmann, P. Houston: Adaptive discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods with interior penalty for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, In M. Feistauer, V. Dolejší, P. Knobloch, K. Najzar (eds): *Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications, ENUMATH 2003*, pages 410-419, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.

[Q2] J.A. Ekaterinaris: High-order accurate, low numerical diffusion methods for aerodynamics Progress in Aerospace Science 41 (3-4): 192-300 APR-MAY, 2005

[Q3] L. Cueto-Felgueroso Landeira: Partículas, Volúmenes Finitos y Mallas no Estructuradas: Simulación Numérica de Problemas de Dinámica de Fluidos, PhD Thesis, Universidade de Coruña, Spain, 2005.

[Q4] R. Hartmann, P. Houston: Symmetric interior penalty DG methods for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations I: Method formulation, Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model. 1: 1-20 2006

25

[Q5] R. Hartmann, P. Houston: Symmetric interior penalty DG methods for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations II: Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimation. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model. 3, No. 2, 141-162, 2006.

[Q6] C. M. Klaij, J. J. W. van der Vegt, H. van der Ven H: Space-time discontinuous Galerkin method for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, J. Comput. Phys. 217 (2): 589-611, 2006.

[Q7] C. M. Klaij, J. J. W. van der Vegt, H. van der Ven H: Pseudo-time stepping methods for space-time discontinuous Galerkin discretizations of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, J. Comput. Phys. 219 (2): 622-643, 2006.

[Q8] C. M. Klaij: Space-time discontinuous Galerkin method for compressible flow, PhD Thesis, University of Twente, The Netherlands, 2006.

[Q9] R. Hartmann: Adaptive discontinuous Galerkin methods with shock-capturing for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids 51, No. 9-10, 1131-1156, 2006.

[Q10] B. Q. Li: Discontinuous Finite Elements in Fluids and Heat Transfer, Springer Verlag London, 2006.

[Q11] M. Feistauer, V. Kučera: On a robust discontinuous Galerkin technique for the solution of compressible flow, J. Comput. Phys. 224:208-221, 2007.

[Q12] M. Feistauer, V. Sobotíková: Effect of numerical integration in the DGFEM for nonlinear convection-diffusion problems, Numer. Methods. Partial Diff. Eqs., 23(6): 1368-1395, 2007.

[Q13] Z. J. Wang: High-order methods for the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations on unstructured grids, Progress in Aerospace Science 43 (1-3): 1-41 Jan-Apr 2007.

[Q14] C. M. Klaij, M. H. van Raalte, H. van der Ven H, J. J. W. van der Vegt: h-Multigrid for space-time discontinuous Galerkin discretizations for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations, J. Comput. Phys. 227: 1024-1045, 2007.

Sec. 3.2 V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer: A Semi-implicit Discontinuous Galerkin Finite Element Method for the Numerical Solution of Inviscid Compressible Flow, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **198**(2): 727-746, 2004. IF = 1.777

> [Q1] J. Iannelli: Charecteristics Finite Element Methods in Computational Fluid Dynamics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006

> [Q2] C. Liang, R. Kannan, Z. J. Wang: A p-multigrid spectral difference method with explicit and implicit smoothers on unstructured grids, Comp. Fluids (to appear)

> [Q3] L. Wang, D. J. Mavriplis: Implicit solution of the unsteady Euler equations for high-order accurate discontinuous Galerkin discretizations, J. Comput. Phys.Volume 225: 1994-2015, 2007

> [Q4] M. Restelli, F. Giraldo: A conservative discontinuous Galerkin semi-implicit formulation for the Navier-Stokes equations in nonhydrostatic mesoscale modeling, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., (to appear).

Sec. 3.3 V. Dolejší: Semi-implicit Interior Penalty Discontinuous Galerkin Methods for Viscous Compressible Flows, *Commun. Comput. Phys.*, (in press) IF > 0

Sec. 4.1 V. Dolejší: Anisotropic mesh adaptation for finite volume and finite element methods on triangular meshes. *Comput. Visual. Sci.*, **1**(3):165–178, 1998.

> [Q1] M. Berzins: Mesh quality: A function of geometry, error estimates or both? Engineering with Computers **15**: (3) 236-247, 1999

> [Q2] M. Sabanca, G. Brenner, F. Durst: Error control and adaptivity for low-Mach-number compressible flows, AIAA Journal 40 (11): 2234-2240, 2002

> [Q3] G. Kunert: Toward anisotropic mesh construction and error estimation in the finite element method, Numer. Meth. Par. Diff. Eqs. 18 (5): 625-648, 2002

[Q4] T. Apel, S. Grosman, P. K. Jimack, A. Meyer: A New Methodology for Anisotropic Mesh Refinement Based Upon Error Gradients, Appl. Numer. Math. 50 (3-4): 329-341, 2004

[Q5] T. Apel, H. M. Randrianarivony: Stability of discretizations of the Stokes problem on anisotropic meshes, Math. Comput. Simul. 61 (3-6): 437-447, 2003

[Q6] M. Feistauer: Discontinuous Galerkin method: Compromise between FV and FE schemes. In R. Herbin and D. Kröner, editors, *Finite Volumes for Complex Applications III (Problems and Perspectives)*, pages 81–95, Hermes, 2002.

[Q7] J. Felcman: Grid refinement/alignment in 3D flow computations, Math. Comput. Simul. 61 (3-6): 317-331, 2003

[Q8] L. Chen, P. T. Sun, J. C. Xu: Optimal anisotropic meshes for minimizing interpolation errors in L-p-norm, Mathematics of Computation 76 (257): 179-204, 2006

[Q9] W. Z. Huang: Measuring mesh qualities and application to variational mesh adaptation, SIAM J. Sci. Comp. 26 (5): 1643-1666 2005

[Q10] W. Z. Huang: Mathematical principles of anisotropic mesh adaptation, Communications in Computational Physics, 1 (2): 276-310, 2006

[Q11] T. Jurczyk, B. Glut: Metric 3D surface mesh generation using Delaunay criteria, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3992: 302-309, 2006

[Q12] B. Glut, T. Jurczyk: Mesh adaptation based on discrete data, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3911: 559-566 2006

[Q13] J. C. Aguilar, J.B. Goodman JB: Anisotropic mesh refinement for finite element methods based on error reduction J. Comput. Appl. Math. 193 (2): 497-515, 2006.

[Q14] X. Jiao, A. Colombi, X. Ni, J.C. Hart: Anisotropic mesh adaptation for evolving triangulated surfaces. In P. Pébay (ed), Proceeding of the 15-th International Meshing Roundtable, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2006

[Q15] W. Huang: Mathematical principles of anisotropic mesh adaptation, Commun. Comput. Phys., 1: 276-310, 2006

[Q16] P. T. Sun, R. D. Russell RD, J. C. Xu: A new adaptive local mesh refinement algorithm and its application on fourth order thin film flow problem, J. Comput. Phys. 224 (2): 1021-1048, 2007

[Q17] J. John, P. Knobloch: On spurious oscillations at layers diminishing (SOLD) methods for convection-diffusion equations: Part I - A review, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 196 (17-20): 2197-2215, 2007

Sec. 4.2 V. Dolejší and J. Felcman: Anisotropic mesh adaptation for numerical solution of boundary value problems. *Numer. Meth. Part Differ. Eqs.*, **20**:576–608, 2004. IF = 0.631

[Q1] J. C. Aguilar, J.B. Goodman JB: Anisotropic mesh refinement for finite element methods based on error reduction J. Comput. Appl. Math. 193 (2): 497-515, 2006.

Sec. 4.3 V. Dolejší: Anisotropic Mesh Adaptation Technique for Viscous Flow Simulation. *East-West Journal of Numerical Mathematics*, **9**(1):1–24, 2001

[Q1] M. B. Giles, E. Sülli: Adjoint methods for PDEs: a posteriori error analysis and postprocessing by duality, Acta Numerica, Cambridge Uiniversity Press, 145-236, 2002.

[Q2] T. Apel, H. M. Randrianarivony: Stability of discretizations of the Stokes problem on anisotropic meshes, Math. Comput. Simul. 61 (3-6): 437-447, 2003

[Q3] V. Heuveline: On higher-order mixed FEM for low Mach number flows: application to a natural convection benchmark problem, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids, 41 (12): 1339-1356, 2003

[Q4] J. Felcman: Grid refinement/alignment in 3D flow computations, Math. Comput. Simul. 61 (3-6): 317-331, 2003

[Q5] M. Randrianarivony: Anisotropic finite elements for the Stokes problem: a posteriori error estimator and adaptive mesh, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 169 (2): 255-275, 2004

[Q6] K. Kozel, P. Louda, P. Sváček: Numerical solution of flow in backward facing step, In M. Feistauer, V. Dolejší, P. Knobloch, K. Najzar (eds): *Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications, ENUMATH 2003*, pages 596-604, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.

[Q7] P. Sváček: On stabilized finite element method in problems of aeroelasticity, In proceeding of Programs and Algorithms of Numerical Mathematics, 2004.

[Q8] P. Sváček: Stabilized finite element method application on incompressible viscous flow around a vibrating airfoil, In I. Zolotarev, editor, Interaction and feedbacks 2004, pages 143-150, Prague, 2004. Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Institute of Thermomechanics.

[Q9] P. Sváček, M. Feistauer, J. Horáček: Numerical simulation of flow induced airfoil vibrations with large amplitudes, Journal of Fluids and Structures 23 (3): 391-411, 2007

[Q10] L. Chen, P. T. Sun, J. C. Xu: Optimal anisotropic meshes for minimizing interpolation errors in L-p-norm, Mathematics of Computation 76 (257): 179-204, 2006

[Q11] J. C. Aguilar, J. B. Goodman: Anisotropic mesh refinement for finite element methods based on error reduction J. Comput. Appl. Math. 193 (2): 497-515, 2006.

[Q12] M. Randrianarivony: Geometric processing of CAD data and meshes as input of integral equation solvers, PhD Thesis, Technische Universität Chemnitz, Computer Science Faculty, 2006

[Q13] P. Sváček, M. Feistauer, J. Horáček: Numerical simulation of flow induced airfoil vibrations with large amplitudes J. Fluid. Struct. 23 (3): 391-411, 2007

List or registered users of the software ANGENER

1. Klikova Alice, Charles University, Malostranske nam. 25, 118 00 Praha, Czech Republic, klikova@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

2. Krska Filip, Charles Univesity of Prague,Malostranske nam. 25, 118 00 Praha, Czech Republic, krska@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

3. Kotalik Pavel, Institute of Plasma, Physisc Acad. Sci. Czech Rep., Za Slovankou 3, P.O. Box 17, 182 21 Praha 8, Czech Republic, kotalik@ipp.cas.cz

4. Stoll Sven-Olaf, IWRMM, University of Karlsruhe, Engesserstr. 6, D-76131 Karlsruhe, Germany, stoll@iwrmm.math.uni-karlsruhe.de

5. Ing. Patrizia Ercoli, c/o DISTART, Universita' di Bologna Viale Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna,Italy patrizia.ercoli@mail.ing.unibo.it

6. Ana Munoz, Madrid, Spain, ana.munoz@sener.es

7. Dominique GIRARDOT, SERMA/LENR, France, girardot@soleil.serma.cea.fr

8. Suomin Cui, cuism@UDel.Edu

9. Esam Yosry, HTI, e_yosry@engineer.com

10. Wang, ly008767@online.sh.cn

11. Cao Wei, USST,China, 516 jungong rd, Shanghai, China, cwflying@hotmail.com

12. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Konrad Vogeler Dresden University of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Institut of Fluidmechanics, Professor for Turbomachinery and Jetpropulsion, Mommsenstr. 13, D-01069 Dresden, Germany, kvogeler@metfs1.mw.tu-dresden.de

13. Shalini Bakrania, Faculty of Science, Kingston University, Penrhyn Road, Kingston-upon-Thames, Surrey, KT1 2EE, K993085@kingston.ac.uk

14. Rajagopal A Research Scholar, Indian Institute Of Technolgy - Madras, Chennai 600036 India, kalya76@yahoo.com, gopal@cfead.iitm.ernet.in

15. Shankar Krishnan Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN-47907, USA, 1288, Mechanical Engg. Bldg., #181 Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN- 47907, USA, kshankar@ecn.purdue.edu

16. Derek Kane, DEKA Research and Development, 340 Commercial St., Manchester, NH 03101, USA, dkane@dekaresearch.com

17. Cristina Barcan, National Research Institute for Turbomachinery COMOTI, BARCANVASILICA@YAHOO.COM

18. JaeKyu Lee, fishing boat company in Seoul, Korea, leejaekyu@yahoo.com

19. Petr Svacek, Charles University, Sokolovska 83, Prague, svacek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

20. Sun Dongya, China Institute of Water Resources and Hydropower Research P.O. Box 366, Beijing 100044, China, sundy@iwhr.com, sun8841@sohu.com

21. Julio Cesar, julliuscj@bol.com.br

22. David Svoboda, xsvobod2@informatics.muni.cz

23. Dr. Carlos Lozano Rodríguez, Ph.D., INTA, Area Dinšmica de Fluidos/Fluid Dynamics Dept., Carretera de Ajalvir, Km 4, 28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, lozanorc@inta.es

24. Liu Lisheng, Wuhan tehchnology of Universify, Wuhan, China, liuyifei@public.wh.hb.cn

25. Dr. C.S. Upadhyay, Asst. Professor, Dept. of Aerospace Engg., Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, shekhar@iitk.ac.in

26. JY Yen, yen@quartz.gly.fsu.edu

27. Irina Nakhova, Glasgow Caledonian University, Mathematics Department, I.Nakhova@gcal.ac.uk

28. Wenjun Li, Postdoc fellow CSM-TICAM, 201 E 24th St, C0200, ACES 5.330, UT-Austin,, Austin, TX, USA, 78712, lwj@ticam.utexas.edu

29. Prof.Dr. Manfred Dobrowolski, Institut fuer Angewandte Mathematik und Statistik, Universitaet Wuerzburg, 97074 Wuerzburg,

Germany,
dobro@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de

30. Joao Batista Campos Silva (Prof. Dr.), Depto. de Engenharia Mecanica, UNESP - Campus de Ilha Solteira, 15385-000 Ilha Solteira -SP, Brasil,d jbcampos@dem.feis.unesp.br

31. Ruperto P. Bonet Chaple, Univ. Politecnica de Barcelona/LIM, ruperto.bonet@upc.es

32. Niksa Kovac, University of Split, R. Boskovica bb, 21000 Split, Croatia, nkovac@fesb.hr

33. Jack Widman, PhD , Core Architecture Group Bioinformatics CuraGen Corporation, 555 Long Wharf Drive, New Haven, CT 06511, jwidman@curagen.com

34. Rehab Osman Abdel Rahman, Institution Atomic Energy Authority of Egypt, Hot Lab Center Atomic Energy Authority of Egypt Inshas Cairo Egypt, alaarehab@yahoo.com

35. Marcelo Krajnc Alves, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Campus da Trindade, Departamento de Engenharia Mecanica, CP 476, CEP 88010-970, Florianópolis, SC, Brasil, krajnc@ns.grante.ufsc.br

36. Omar Montano Rivas, ITESM, revolución #2000 Int. A7 col. Buenos Aires. Monterrey, NL, Mexico, al782110@mail.mty.itesm.mx

37. Mustafa Mazhar Basa, Middle East Technical University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Civil Engineering, Baglar Cadc 11/3 06660, SeyranBaglari Ankara Turkey, mbasa@myrealbox.com

38. Fabiano R Barbosa, Federal University of Uberlandia (UFU - Universidade Federal de Uberlandia), Av. Joao Naves de Avila, 2160 - Bloco B - Campus Santa Monica 38400-902 Uberlandia-MG BRASIL E-mail : fabiano@comp.ufu.br

39. Mahdi SHAHVERDI ,Studied in Msc Mechanical eng. in K.N.T. Univrsity , Tehran-Iran, mahdishahverdi@yahoo.com

40. Harold Conrad conrad.harold@spartan.ab.ca

41. Spartan Controls Ltd 305 27Street S.E. Calgary, Alberta T2A 7V2 Canada

42. Roman Jobava Associate Prof. Dr, Electromagnetic Consulting and Software Group Tbilisi State University 3 Chavchavadze Ave. 380028 Tbilisi Georgia, jobava@ieee.org

43. Wang Jiancheng, wjianch@x263.net

44. mahdi shahverdi, mahdishahverdi@yahoo.com

45. Prof. Lavinia Sanabio A. Borges LMS - Laboratory of Solids Mechanics Department of Mechanical Engineering -EE/COPPE Federal University of Rio de Janeiro - UFRJ BOX - 68503 - CEP -21945-970 Rio de Janeiro - Brazil

46. Ryuta Suzuki, Institution: University of Minnesota, Department of Aerospace Engineering, 107 Akerman Hall 110 Union St. SE Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA, suzu0037@aem.umn.edu

47. Guillaume Lehnasch PhD Student Laboratoire de Combustion et de Détonique CNRS - UPR9028, France

48. ZhiQiang Chen, UC Davis Dept. Civil and Environmental Engineering University of California Davis, California, USA, zqchen@ucdavis.edu

49. Fayssal Benkhaldoun Professor at Paris 13 University, benfay@freesurf.fr

50. Gianni, gianni.orlati1@virgilio.it

51. Guillermo Marshall, Universisity of Buenos Aires, Argentina, marshallg@arnet.com.ar

52. Harbin Engneer Univercity,China. yijin li, liyijin_2008@yahoo.com.cn

53. Jerome Arunakumaren Senior Groundwater Modelling Engineer KBR Kellogg Brown & Root Pty Ltd 555 Coronation Drive, Toowong Qld Australia 4066, Jerome.Arunakumaren@halliburton.com

54. Rafik Djigouadi P-G student in Mech. Eng., LMA FGMGP, USTHB 16023 Algiers, DZ rafik.djigouadi@lycos.com

55. Dr Decheng Wan Institute for Applied Mathematics, LS III University of Dortmund Vogelpothsweg 87 D-44227 Dortmund Germany

56. Stephanos Tsinopoulos Position: Post doc researcher Institution: Civil Engineering Dept., University of Patras, Greece, stsinop@upatras.gr

57. Chuan-bing Rong Institute of Physics State Key lab. of magnetism P.O.Box 603 Beijing 100080 China, E-mail:rongcb@g203.iphy.ac.cn

58. H. Wang, School of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Civil Engineering, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China, E-mails: whxiansh@sjtu.edu.cn, whxiansh46@hotmail.com

References

[1] D. N. Arnold, F. Brezzi, B. Cockburn, and L. D. Marini. Unified analysis of discontinuous Galerkin methods for elliptic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 39(5):1749–1779, 2002.

[2] I. Babuška, C. E. Baumann, and J. T. Oden. A discontinuous *hp* finite element method for diffusion problems: 1-d analysis. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 37:103–122, 1999.

[3] F. Bassi, A. Crivellini, S. Rebay, and M. Savini. Discontinuous Galerkin solution of the Reynolds averaged Navier-Stokes and k-ω turbulence model equations. *Comput. Fluids*, 34:507–540, 2005.

[4] F. Bassi and S. Rebay. A high-order accurate discontinuous finite element method for the numerical solution of the compressible Navier–Stokes equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 131:267–279, 1997.

[5] F. Bassi and S. Rebay. A high order discontinuous Galerkin method for compressible turbulent flow. In B. Cockburn, G. E. Karniadakis, and C.-W. Shu, editors, *Discontinuous Galerkin Method: Theory, Computations and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering 11, pages 113–123. Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[6] C. E. Baumann and J. T. Oden. A discontinuous *hp* finite element method for the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids*, 31(1):79–95, 1999.

[7] S. C. Brenner. Poincare-Friedrichs inequalities for piecewise H-1 functions. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 41(1):306–324, 2003.

[8] P. Castillo, B. Cockburn, D. Schötzau, and C. Schwab. Optimal a priori estimates for the hp–version of the local discontinuous Galerkin method for convection–difussion problems. *Math. Comp.*, 71(238):455–478, 2002.

[9] P. G. Ciarlet. *The Finite Elements Method for Elliptic Problems.* North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1979.

[10] B. Cockburn. Discontinuous Galerkin methods for convection dominated problems. In T. J. Barth and H. Deconinck, editors, *High–Order Methods for Computational Physics*, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering 9, pages 69–224. Springer, Berlin, 1999.

[11] B. Cockburn, G. E. Karniadakis, and C.-W. Shu, editors. *Discontinuous Galerkin Methods.* Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[12] B. Cockburn and C. W. Shu. TVB Runge–Kutta local projection discontinuous Galerkin finite element for conservation laws II: General framework. *Math. Comput.*, 52:411–435, 1989.

[13] V. Dolejší. On the discontinuous Galerkin method for the numerical solution of the Navier–Stokes equations. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids*, 45:1083–1106, 2004.

[14] V. Dolejší and M. Feistauer. Error estimates of the discontinuous Galerkin method for nonlinear nonstationary convection-diffusion problems. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 26(25-26):2709–2733, 2005.

[15] V. Dolejší, M. Feistauer, J. Felcman, and A. Kliková. Error estimates for barycentric finite volumes combined with nonconforming finite elements applied to nonlinear convection–diffusion problems. *Applications of Mathematics*, 47(4):301–340, 2002.

[16] M. Dumbser and C.-D. Munz. Building blocks for arbitrary high-order discontinuous Galerkin methods. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 27:215–230, 2006.

[17] R. Eymard, T. Gallouët, and R. Herbin. *Solution of equations in R^n (Part 3). Techniques of scientific computing (Part 3).*, chapter Finite volume methods, pages 713–1020. Handbook of numerical analysis. Amsterdam: North-Holland/ Elsevier, 2000.

[18] E. Feireisel. *Dynamics of Viscous Compressible Fluids*, volume 26 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, 2004.

[19] M. Feistauer. *Mathematical Methods in Fluid Dynamics*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993.

[20] M. Feistauer. Numerical methods for compressible flow. In J. Neustupa and P. Penel, editors, *Mathematical Fluid Mechanics. Recent Results and Open Questions*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

[21] M. Feistauer, J. Felcman, and I. Straškraba. *Mathematical and Computational Methods for Compressible Flow*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.

[22] R. Hartmann. Adaptive discontinuous galerkin methods with shock-capturing for the compressible navier-stokes equations. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids*, 51:1131–1156, 2006.

[23] R. Hartmann and P. Houston. Adaptive discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods for the compressible Euler equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 183(2):508–532, 2002.

[24] R. Hartmann and P. Houston. Symmetric interior penalty DG methods for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations I: Method formulation. *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model.*, 1:1–20, 2006.

[25] R. Hartmann and P. Houston. Symmetric interior penalty DG methods for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations II: Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimation. *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model.*, 3:141–162, 2006.

[26] P. Houston, C. Schwab, and E. Süli. Discontinuous *hp*-finite element methods for advection-diffusion problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 39(6):2133–2163, 2002.

[27] C. Johnson and J. Pitkäranta. An analysis of the discontinuous Galerkin method for a scalar hyperbolic equation. *Math. Comp.*, 46:1–26, 1986.

[28] C. M. Klaij, J.J.W. van der Vegt, and H. Van der Ven. Pseudo-time stepping for space-time discontinuous Galerkin discretizations of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 219(2):622–643, 2006.

[29] C. M. Klaij, J.J.W. van der Vegt, and H. Van der Ven. Space-time discontinuous Galerkin method for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 217(2):589–611, 2006.

[30] P. Knobloch. Uniform validity of friedrichs inequality for general nonconforming finite element spaces. *Numer. Func. Anal. and Optimiz.*, 22(1-2):107–126, 2001.

[31] D. Kröner. *Numerical Schemes for Conservation Laws.* Wiley Teubner, Stuttgart, 1997.

[32] P. Le Saint and P.-A. Raviart. On a finite element method for solving the neutron transport equation. In C. de Boor, editor, *Mathematical Aspects of Finite Elements in Partial Differential Equations*, pages 89–145. Academic Press, 1974.

[33] P. L. Lions. *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics.* Oxford Science Publications, 1996.

[34] I. Lomtev, C. B.Quillen, and G. E. Karniadakis. Spectral/hp methods for viscous compressible flows on unstructured 2d meshes. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 144(2):325–357, 1998.

[35] A. Novotný and I. Straškraba. *Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.

[36] J. T. Oden, I. Babuška, and C. E. Baumann. A discontinuous hp finite element method for diffusion problems. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 146:491–519, 1998.

[37] W. H. Reed and T. R. Hill. Triangular mesh methods for the neutron transport equation. Technical Report LA–UR–73–479, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, 1973.

[38] K. Rektorys. *The Method of Discretization in Time and Partial Differential Equations*. Reidel, Dodrecht, 1982.

[39] R. Temam. *Navier–Stokes Equations. Theory and numerical analysis*. North–Holland, Amsterdam–New York–Oxford, 1977.

[40] J. J. W. van der Vegt and H. van der Ven. Space-time discontinuous Galerkin finite element method with dynamic grid motion for inviscid compressible flows. I: General formulation. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 182(2):546–585, 2002.

[41] H. van der Ven and J. J. W. van der Vegt. Space-time discontinuous Galerkin finite element method with dynamic grid motion for inviscid compressible flows II. efficient flux quadrature. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 191:4747–4780, 2002.

[42] M. Vohralik. On the discrete poincare-friedrichs inequalities for nonconforming approximations of the sobolev space h-1. *Numer. Func. Anal. and Optimiz.*, 26(7-8):925–952, 2005.

[43] P. Wesseling. *Principles of Computational Fluid Dynamics*. Springer, Berlin, 2001.