

STABILITY IN LINEAR NEUTRAL DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS
WITH VARIABLE DELAYS

ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI, AHCENE DJOUDI, Annaba

(Received February 12, 2012)

Abstract. In this paper we use the fixed point method to prove asymptotic stability results of the zero solution of a generalized linear neutral difference equation with variable delays. An asymptotic stability theorem with a sufficient condition is proved, which improves and generalizes some results due to Y. N. Raffoul (2006), E. Yankson (2009), M. Islam and E. Yankson (2005).

Keywords: fixed point, stability, neutral difference equation, variable delay

MSC 2010: 39A30, 39A70

1. INTRODUCTION

The Lyapunov direct method has been one among the efficient tools for the study of stability properties of a large class of ordinary, functional, partial differential and difference equations. Nevertheless, the application of this method to problems of stability in differential and difference equations with delay has encountered serious difficulties if the delay is unbounded or if the equation has unbounded terms ([4], [5], [8]–[10], [12], [21]). Recently, Burton, Furumochi, Zhang and others have noticed that some of these difficulties vanish or might be overcome by means of the fixed point theory (see [1], [2], [4], [5], [13], [19], [20], [23]–[25]). The application of the fixed point theory to certain problems on stability has shown a significant advantage over Lyapunov's direct method. The conditions of the former are often averages but those of the latter are usually pointwise (see [4]). It is also worth adding that there is a wide number of investigators working on stability theory of difference equations,

The research has been supported by the Laboratory of Applied Mathematics (LMA) of UBM.

with or without delay, who have established and proved interesting results by using other ideas than Lyapunov's method, see the papers [2], [3], [6], [11], [15]–[18], [26].

Let $a, b, c, a_j, c_j: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau, \tau_j: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $n - \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $n - \tau_j(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here Δ denotes the forward difference operator $\Delta x(t) = x(n+1) - x(n)$ for any sequence $\{x(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$.

In [19], Raffoul studied the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta x(n) = -a(n)x(n - \tau(n)),$$

and proved the following theorem.

Theorem A (Raffoul [19]). *Suppose that $\tau(n) = r$ and $a(n+r) \neq 1$ and there exists a constant $\alpha < 1$ such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{s=n-r}^{n-1} |a(s+r)| + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left(|a(s+r)| \left| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} [1 - a(k+r)] \right| \sum_{u=s-r}^{s-1} |a(u+r)| \right) \leq \alpha$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} [1 - a(s+r)] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for every small initial sequence $\psi: [-r, 0] \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the solution $x(n) = x(n, 0, \psi)$ of (1.1) is bounded and tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In [23], Yankson studied the generalization of (1.1) as follows,

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta x(n) = - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(n)x(n - \tau_j(n)),$$

and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem B (Yankson [23]). *Suppose that $Q(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the inverse sequence g_j of $n - \tau_j(n)$ exists and there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |a_j(g_j(s))| + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left(|1 - Q(s)| \left| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \right| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| \right) \leq \alpha,$$

where $Q(n) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(g_j(n))$. Then the zero solution of (1.3) is asymptotically stable if $\prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} Q(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Obviously, Theorem B improves and generalizes Theorem A. On the other hand, Islam and Yankson in [13] considered the linear neutral difference equation

$$(1.5) \quad x(n+1) = a(n)x(n) + b(n)x(n - \tau(n)) + c(n)\Delta x(n - \tau(n)),$$

and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem C (Islam and Yankson [13]). *Suppose that $a(n) \neq 0$ and there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ for all $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$(1.6) \quad |c(n-1)| + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |b(s) - \varphi(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} a(u) \right| \leq \alpha,$$

where $\varphi(s) = c(s) - c(s-1)a(s)$. Then the zero solution of (1.5) is asymptotically stable if $\prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} a(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In this paper, we consider the generalization of a linear neutral difference equation with variable delays (1.5) of the form

$$(1.7) \quad \Delta x(n) = - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(n)x(n - \tau_j(n)) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(n)\Delta x(n - \tau_j(n))$$

with the initial condition

$$(1.8) \quad x(n) = \psi(n) \text{ for } n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\psi: [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded sequence and for $n_0 \geq 0$,

$$m_j(n_0) = \inf\{n - \tau_j(n), n \geq n_0\}, \quad m(n_0) = \min\{m_j(n_0), 1 \leq j \leq N\}.$$

Note that (1.7) becomes (1.5) for $N = 2$, $\tau_1 = 0$, $\tau_2 = \tau$, $a_1 = 1 - a$, $a_2 = -b$, $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = c$. Thus, we know that (1.7) includes (1.1), (1.3) and (1.5) as special cases.

Equation (1.7) can be viewed as a discrete analogue of the linear neutral differential equation

$$(1.9) \quad x'(t) = - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(t)x(t - \tau_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(t)x'(t - \tau_j(t)).$$

In [1], the authors investigated (1.9) and obtained

Theorem D (Ardjouni and Djoudi [1]). *Suppose that τ_j is twice differentiable and $\tau_j'(t) \neq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and there exist continuous functions $h_j: [m_j(t_0), \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $t \geq 0$*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t H(s) ds > -\infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^N \left| \frac{c_j(t)}{1 - \tau_j'(t)} \right| + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t |h_j(s)| ds \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t H(u) du} | -a_j(s) + h_j(s - \tau_j(s))(1 - \tau_j'(s)) - r_j(s) | ds \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t H(u) du} |H(s)| \left(\int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |h_j(u)| du \right) ds \leq \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(t) \quad \text{and} \quad r_j(t) = \frac{[c_j(t)H(t) + c_j'(t)](1 - \tau_j'(t)) + c_j(t)\tau_j''(t)}{(1 - \tau_j'(t))^2}.$$

Then the zero solution of (1.9) is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$\int_0^t H(s) ds \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Our purpose here is to give, by using the contraction mapping principle, asymptotic stability results for the generalized linear neutral difference equation with variable delays (1.7). For details on the contraction mapping principle we refer the reader to [22] and for more on the calculus of difference equations, we refer the reader to [7] and [14]. The results presented in the present paper improve and generalize the main results in [13], [19], [23].

2. MAIN RESULTS

For a fixed n_0 , we denote by $D(n_0)$ the set of bounded sequences $\psi: [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the norm $|\psi|_0 = \max\{|\psi(n)|: n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}\}$. Also, let $(\mathbb{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be the Banach space of bounded sequences $x: [m(n_0), \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the maximum norm $\|\cdot\|$. For each $(n_0, \psi) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times D(n_0)$, a solution of (1.7) through (n_0, ψ) is a sequence $x: [m(n_0), \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that x satisfies (1.7) on $[n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $x = \psi$ on $[m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. We denote such a solution by $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \psi)$. For each $(n_0, \psi) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times D(n_0)$, there exists a unique solution $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \psi)$ of (1.7) defined on $[m(n_0), \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $h_j: [m(n_0), \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary sequence. Rewrite (1.7) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad \Delta x(n) = & - \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(n)x(n) + \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} h_j(s)x(s) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N \{h_j(n - \tau_j(n)) - a_j(n)\}x(n - \tau_j(n)) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(n)\Delta x(n - \tau_j(n)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_n indicates that the difference is taken with respect to n . If we let $H(n) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(n)$ then (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad x(n+1) = & H(n)x(n) + \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} h_j(s)x(s) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N \{h_j(n - \tau_j(n)) - a_j(n)\}x(n - \tau_j(n)) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(n)\Delta x(n - \tau_j(n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the process, for any sequence x we denote

$$\sum_{k=a}^b x(k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{k=a}^b x(k) = 1 \quad \text{for any } a > b.$$

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $H(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Then x is a solution of equation (1.7) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad x(n) = & \left\{ x(n_0) - \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(n_0 - 1)x(n_0 - \tau_j(n_0)) \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0 - \tau_j(n_0)}^{n_0 - 1} h_j(s)x(s) \right\} \prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(n-1)x(n - \tau_j(n)) + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n - \tau_j(n)}^{n-1} h_j(s)x(s) \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \{h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)\} x(s - \tau_j(s)) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \{1 - H(s)\} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \sum_{v=s - \tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v)x(v),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_j(n) = c_j(n) - c_j(n-1)H(n).$$

Proof. Let x be a solution of (1.7). By multiplying both sides of (2.2) by $\prod_{u=n_0}^n [H(u)]^{-1}$ and by summing from n_0 to $n-1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \Delta \left[\prod_{u=n_0}^{s-1} [H(u)]^{-1} x(s) \right] &= \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=n_0}^s [H(u)]^{-1} \Delta_s \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{v=s - \tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v)x(v) \\
 &+ \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=n_0}^s [H(u)]^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \{h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s)\} x(s - \tau_j(s)) \\
 &+ \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=n_0}^s [H(u)]^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(s) \Delta x(s - \tau_j(s)).
 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we arrive at

$$\prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} [H(u)]^{-1} x(n) - \prod_{u=n_0}^{n_0-1} [H(u)]^{-1} x(n_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=n_0}^s [H(u)]^{-1} \Delta_s \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v)x(v) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=n_0}^s [H(u)]^{-1} \{h_j(s-\tau_j(s)) - a_j(s)\} x(s-\tau_j(s)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=n_0}^s [H(u)]^{-1} c_j(s) \Delta x(s-\tau_j(s)).
\end{aligned}$$

By dividing both sides of the above expression by $\prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} [H(u)]^{-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad x(n) &= x(n_0) \prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \Delta_s \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v)x(v) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \{h_j(s-\tau_j(s)) - a_j(s)\} x(s-\tau_j(s)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) c_j(s) \Delta x(s-\tau_j(s)).
\end{aligned}$$

By performing a summation by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad &\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \Delta_s \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v)x(v) \\
&= \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} h_j(s)x(s) - \prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) \sum_{s=n_0-\tau_j(n_0)}^{n_0-1} h_j(s)x(s) \\
&\quad - \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \{1 - H(s)\} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v)x(v),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.7) \quad &\sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) c_j(s) \Delta x(s-\tau_j(s)) \\
&= -c_j(n_0-1)x(n_0-\tau_j(n_0)) \prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) + c_j(n-1)x(n-\tau_j(n)) \\
&\quad - \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \varphi_j(s)x(s-\tau_j(s)),
\end{aligned}$$

where φ_j is given by (2.4). Finally, substituting (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.5) completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.2. The zero solution of (1.7) is Lyapunov stable if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $n_0 \geq 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\psi(n)| \leq \delta$ for $n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ implies $|x(n, n_0, \psi)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $H(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$, and there exist a positive constant M and a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \leq M,$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j(n-1)| + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |h_j(s)| \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |1 - H(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |h_j(v)| \leq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Then the zero solution of (1.7) is stable.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(M + \alpha M)\delta + \alpha\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let $\psi \in D(n_0)$ be such that $|\psi(n)| \leq \delta$ for $n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon = \{\varphi \in \mathbb{B} : \varphi(n) = \psi(n) \text{ for } n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \|\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Then $(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon, \|\cdot\|)$ is a complete metric space where $\|\cdot\|$ is the maximum norm.

Use (2.3) to define the operator $P: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ by $(P\varphi)(n) = \psi(n)$ for $n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad (P\varphi)(n) &= \left\{ \psi(n_0) - \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(n_0 - 1)\psi(n_0 - \tau_j(n_0)) - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0-\tau_j(n_0)}^{n_0-1} h_j(s)\psi(s) \right\} \\
&\times \prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j(n-1)\varphi(n - \tau_j(n)) + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} h_j(s)\varphi(s) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \{h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)\} \varphi(s - \tau_j(s)) \\
&- \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \{1 - H(s)\} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v)\varphi(v)
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $P\varphi$ is bounded by (2.9). We first show that P maps \mathbb{S}_ε into \mathbb{S}_ε . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(P\varphi)(n)| &\leq M\delta + \alpha M\delta + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j(n-1)| + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |h_j(s)| \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |1 - H(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |h_j(v)| \right\} \|\varphi\| \\
&\leq (M + \alpha M)\delta + \alpha\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.8) and (2.9). Thus P maps \mathbb{S}_ε into itself. We next show that P is a contraction. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(P\varphi_1)(n) - (P\varphi_2)(n)| \\
&\leq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N |c_j(n-1)| + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |h_j(s)| \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |1 - H(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |h_j(v)| \right\} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \\
&\leq \alpha \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|,
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.8). This shows that P is a contraction with contraction constant α . Thus, by the contraction mapping principle ([22], p. 2), P has a unique fixed point x in \mathbb{S}_ε which is a solution of (1.7) with $x = \psi$ on $[m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and $|x(n)| = |x(n, n_0, \psi)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. This proves that the zero solution of (1.7) is stable. \square

Definition 2.4. The zero solution of (1.7) is asymptotically stable if it is Lyapunov stable and if for any integer $n_0 \geq 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|\psi(n)| \leq \delta$ for $n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ implies $x(n, n_0, \psi) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 hold. Also assume that

$$(2.11) \quad \prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the zero solution of (1.7) is asymptotically stable.

Proof. We have already proved that the zero solution of (1.7) is stable. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\psi \in D(n_0)$ be such that $|\psi(n)| \leq \delta$ for $n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ where $\delta > 0$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^* = \{ \varphi \in \mathbb{B} : \varphi(n) = \psi(n) \text{ for } n \in [m(n_0), n_0] \cap \mathbb{Z}, \\ \|\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } \varphi(n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $P: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^* \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^*$ by (2.10). From the proof of Theorem 2.3, the map P is a contraction with the contraction constant α and for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^*$, $\|P\varphi\| \leq \varepsilon$.

We next show that $(P\varphi)(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. There are five terms on the right hand side in (2.10). Denote them, respectively, by I_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. It is obvious that the first term I_1 tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, by condition (2.11). Also, due to the facts that $\varphi(n) \rightarrow 0$ and $n - \tau_j(n) \rightarrow \infty$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the second term I_2 tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. What is left is to show that each one of the remaining terms in (2.10) goes to zero at infinity.

Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^*$ be fixed. For a given $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon)$, we choose $N_0 > n_0$ large enough such that $n - \tau_j(n) \geq N_0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, implies $|\varphi(s)| < \varepsilon_1$ if $s \geq n - \tau_j(n)$. Therefore, the third term I_3 in (2.10) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} h_j(s)\varphi(s) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |h_j(s)||\varphi(s)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_1 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |h_j(s)| \leq \alpha\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $I_3 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now for a given $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon)$, there exists an $N_1 > n_0$ such that $s \geq N_1$ implies $|\varphi(s - \tau_j(s))| < \varepsilon_2$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Thus, for $n \geq N_1$, the term I_4 in (2.10) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |I_4| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \{h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)\} \varphi(s - \tau_j(s)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| |\varphi(s - \tau_j(s))| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| |\varphi(s - \tau_j(s))| \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma \geq m(n_0)} |\varphi(\sigma)| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_2 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11), we can find $N_2 > N_1$ such that $n \geq N_2$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\sigma \geq m(n_0)} |\varphi(\sigma)| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| \\ &= \sup_{\sigma \geq m(n_0)} |\varphi(\sigma)| \left| \prod_{u=N_2}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{N_2-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s) - \varphi_j(s)| \\ &< \varepsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, apply (2.9) to obtain $|I_4| < \varepsilon_2 + \alpha\varepsilon_2 < 2\varepsilon_2$. Thus, $I_4 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, by using (2.9), then, if $n \geq N_2$, the term I_5 in (2.10) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \{1 - H(s)\} \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} h_j(v) \varphi(v) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma \geq m(n_0)} |\varphi(\sigma)| \left| \prod_{u=N_2}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} |1 - H(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{N_2-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |h_j(v)| \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_2 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} |1 - H(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |h_j(v)| \\ &< \varepsilon_2 + \alpha\varepsilon_2 < 2\varepsilon_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $I_5 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In conclusion $(P\varphi)(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as required. Hence P maps \mathbb{S}_ε^* into \mathbb{S}_ε^* .

By the contraction mapping principle, P has a unique fixed point $x \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^*$ which solves (1.7). Therefore, the zero solution of (1.7) is asymptotically stable. \square

Letting $N = 2$, $\tau_1 = 0$, $\tau_2 = \tau$, $a_1 = 1 - a$, $a_2 = -b$, $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = c$, we have

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose that $H(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$*

$$(2.12) \quad |c(n-1)| + \sum_{s=n-\tau(n)}^{n-1} |h_2(s)| \\ + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| (|h_1(s) - 1 + a(s)| + |h_2(s - \tau(s)) + b(s) - \varphi(s)|) \\ + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |1 - H(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{v=s-\tau(s)}^{s-1} |h_2(v)| \leq \alpha,$$

where $H(n) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 h_j(n)$ and $\varphi(n) = c(n) - c(n-1)H(n)$. Then the zero solution of (1.5) is asymptotically stable if

$$\prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 2.7. When $h_1(s) = 1 - a(s)$ and $h_2(s) = 0$, Corollary 2.6 reduces to Theorem C.

For the special case $c_j = 0$, we can get

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that $H(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ and there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $n \in [n_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$*

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |h_j(s)| + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| |h_j(s - \tau_j(s)) - a_j(s)| \\ + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} |1 - H(s)| \left| \prod_{u=s+1}^{n-1} H(u) \right| \sum_{v=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |h_j(v)| \leq \alpha.$$

Then the zero solution of (1.3) is asymptotically stable if

$$\prod_{u=n_0}^{n-1} H(u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 2.9. When $h_j(s) = a_j(g_j(s))$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, Corollary 2.8 reduces to Theorem B.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his remarks and for drawing our attention to some related results on stability.

References

- [1] *A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi*: Fixed points and stability in linear neutral differential equations with variable delays. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods* *74* (2011), 2062–2070. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *L. Berezansky, E. Braverman*: On exponential dichotomy, Bohl-Perron type theorems and stability of difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *304* (2005), 511–530. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, E. Liz*: Sufficient conditions for the global stability of nonautonomous higher order difference equations. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* *11* (2005), 785–798. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *T. A. Burton*: *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*. Dover Publications, Mineola, 2006. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *T. A. Burton, T. Furumochi*: Fixed points and problems in stability theory for ordinary and functional differential equations. *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* *10* (2001), 89–116. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *G. E. Chatzarakis, G. N. Miliaras*: Asymptotic behavior in neutral difference equations with variable coefficients and more than one delay arguments. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* *1* (2011), 32–52. [MR](#)
- [7] *S. Elaydi*: *An Introduction to Difference Equations*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 1999. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [8] *S. Elaydi*: Periodicity and stability of linear Volterra difference systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *181* (1994), 483–492. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] *S. Elaydi, S. Murakami*: Uniform asymptotic stability in linear Volterra difference equations. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* *3* (1998), 203–218. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *P. Eloe, M. Islam, Y. N. Raffoul*: Uniform asymptotic stability in nonlinear Volterra discrete systems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* *45* (2003), 1033–1039. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *I. Gyori, F. Hartung*: Stability in delay perturbed differential and difference equations. *Topics in Functional Differential and Difference Equations* (T. Faria, ed.). Papers of the conference on functional differential and difference equations, Lisbon, Portugal, July 26–30, 1999, AMS, Providence. *Fields Inst. Commun.* *29*, 2001, pp. 181–194. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [12] *M. Islam, Y. N. Raffoul*: Exponential stability in nonlinear difference equations. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* *9* (2003), 819–825. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *M. Islam, E. Yankson*: Boundedness and stability in nonlinear delay difference equations employing fixed point theory. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2005, electronic only (2005), 18 p. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [14] *W. G. Kelly, A. C. Peterson*: *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*. Academic Press, San Diego, 2001. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [15] *E. Liz*: Stability of non-autonomous difference equations: simple ideas leading to useful results. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* *17* (2011), 203–220. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [16] *E. Liz*: On explicit conditions for the asymptotic stability of linear higher order difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *303* (2005), 492–498. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [17] *V. V. Mal'ygina, A. Y. Kulikov*: On precision of constants in some theorems on stability of difference equations. *Func. Differ. Equ.* *15* (2008), 239–248. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [18] *M. Pituk*: A criterion for the exponential stability of linear difference equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* *17* (2004), 779–783. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [19] *Y. N. Raffoul*: Stability and periodicity in discrete delay equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *324* (2006), 1356–1362. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

- [20] *Y. N. Raffoul*: Periodicity in general delay nonlinear difference equations using fixed point theory. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* *10* (2004), 1229–1242. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [21] *Y. N. Raffoul*: General theorems for stability and boundedness for nonlinear functional discrete systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *279* (2003), 639–650. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [22] *D. R. Smart*: *Fixed Point Theorems*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 66. Cambridge University Press, London, 1974. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [23] *E. Yankson*: Stability in discrete equations with variable delays *Electronic J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2009, electronic only 2009, 7 p. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [24] *E. Yankson*: Stability of Volterra difference delay equations. *Electronic J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2006, electronic only (2006), 14 p. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [25] *B. Zhang*: Fixed points and stability in differential equations with variable delays. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* *63* (2005), e233–e242. [zbl](#)
- [26] *B. G. Zhang, C. J. Tian, P. J. Y. Wong*: Global attractivity of difference equations with variable delay. *Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impulsive Syst.* *6* (1999), 307–317. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

Authors' address: Abdelouaheb Ardjouni, Ahcene Djoudi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Annaba, P.O. Box 12 Annaba, Annaba 23000, Algeria, e-mail: abd_ardjouni@yahoo.fr, adjoudi@yahoo.com.