On explicit Ramsey graphs and estimates of the number of sums and products

Pavel Pudlák*

Abstract

We give an explicit construction of a three-coloring of $K_{N,N}$ in which no $K_{r,r}$ is monochromatic for $r = N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a constant.

1 Introduction

In finite combinatorics there are many proofs of the existence of certain combinatorial structures which do not provide us with any explicit example of such structures. To give an explicit construction is not only a mathematical challenge, but sometimes it is the only way to determine the extremal structures for a particular question, because probabilistic existence proofs do not give us structures with matching bounds.

One of such problems is to give an explicit construction of a two-coloring of the complete bipartite graph $K_{N,N}$ such that no subgraph $K_{r,r}$ is monochromatic for some small r. (Paul Erdős asked this problem for general graphs, [5]; the bipartite version that we consider here is also well-known and it is believed to be harder.) It is well-known that there exist such colorings for $r = (2 + o(1)) \log_2 N$, but until recently explicit constructions were only known for $r \approx \sqrt{N}$.[1] More precisely, no proofs of such bounds were known. It has been conjectured long ago that Paley's graphs have this property for suitable finite fields, but the best bound one can prove is still only of the order of $\sqrt{N}$.

In 2003 we proposed to construct two-colorings that beat this barrier by explicitly constructing a subset of $\mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$ which has small intersections with all

*Supported by grants IAA1019401 and 1M002162080

[1] Notice that the best lower bound is only $r = (1 - o(1)) \log_2 N$, which suggests that finding the extremal value of r may require an explicit construction.

subspaces of dimension m, see [8]. (We shall state this condition explicitly in the next section.) In that paper we gave a polynomial time construction of a two-coloring of $K_{N,N}$ with no monochromatic $K_{r,r}$ for $r = \sqrt{N}/2^{\sqrt{\log N}}$. Furthermore we suggested that the graphs of curves $y = x^3$ and $xy = 1$ in the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^q}$, for q prime, should give constructions beating the $\sqrt{N}$ barrier (using the natural isomorphism of the additive groups of $\mathbb{F}_{2^q}$ and $\mathbb{F}_2^q$).

Soon after that, Barak, Kindler, Shaltiel, Sudakov and Wigderson [1] found a polynomial construction of two-colorings of $K_{N,N}$ which leave no $K_{r,r}$ monochromatic for $r = N^{\varepsilon}$, where ε can be chosen arbitrarily small (in fact, $\varepsilon \approx 1/\log\log N$). Their result was a breakthrough not only in the field of Ramsey graphs, but they also succeeded in constructing extractors and other gadgets needed in derandomization with much better parameters than had been known before.

The construction of Barak et al. is very complicated and uses derandomization. (Namely, one step of the construction needs structures of small size with special properties; since the size is small enough such a structure can be found by a thorough search of all structures of this size.) For applications in complexity theory this poses no problem, since from the computational point of view their construction is very effective: one can compute the color of an edge from the codes of vertices in polynomial time. Yet it seems reasonable to continue the search for more explicit constructions, even if they have worse parameters.

In this paper we give a very explicit construction of a three-coloring of $K_{N,N}$ in which no $K_{r,r}$ is monochromatic for $r = N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, and some constant $\varepsilon > 0$. Our result is an application of the recently proved bounds on the number of sums and products in finite fields of Bourgain, Katz and Tao [4]. That result is also used as the main building block of the construction of Barak et al., but in a different way.

Our aim was also to prove the conjectures about curves $y = x^3$ and $xy = 1$ mentioned above. We have succeeded only partially, namely we can prove the corresponding statement for $y = x^2$ and for fields of characteristics different from 2. For fields of the characteristic 2 this curve is not good for our purpose. Since the number of colors is the size of the prime subfield, the smallest number of colors that we can get is 3. The most recent results of Bourgain [2, 3] in this area seem also to confirm our conjecture about curves $y = x^3$ and $xy = 1$. In [3] Bourgain defined three explicit two-colorings of $K_{N,N}$ in which no $K_{r,r}$ is monochromatic for $r = N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

2 The three-coloring of $K_{N,N}$

Let F be a field. Let $S \subseteq F^n$. We define a coloring γ of the complete bipartite graph $S' \times S''$, where $S' = \{1\} \times S$ and $S'' = \{2\} \times S$, by the formula

$$\gamma((1,u),(2,v)) = \langle u, v \rangle,$$

where $\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i$ is the inner product in F.

In plain words, we take two copies of the subset S of the vector space and color every pair of vertices, with u in one copy and v in the other copy, by the element of the field F equal to the inner product of the two vertices. Thus if $N = |S|$ and $c = |F|$, γ is a coloring of $K_{N,N}$ by c colors.

In [8] we proved the following simple proposition only for the two-element field, but the proof is completely general. Hint: think of A as a set of equations and B as a set of solutions.

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose every vector space $V \subseteq F^n$ of dimension $\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$ intersects S in less than r elements. Then no complete bipartite subgraph $K_{r,r}$ is monochromatic with respect to γ, ie., for no two subsets $A \subseteq S_1$, $B \subseteq S_2$, $|A| = |B| = r$ the value of $\gamma(a,b)$ is the same for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.*

We shall consider the following construction of S. Let $p > 2$ be a prime and $n = 2q$. Put

$$S_{p,q} = \{(x, x^2);\ x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^q}\}.$$

In order to define a coloring on the product of two copies of $S_{p,q}$, think of $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ as a q-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Thus $S_{p,q} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^n$ and we can define $\gamma_{p,q}$ using the scalar product in F_p. Hence $\gamma_{p,q}$ is a coloring of $K_{N,N}$, $N = p^q$, by p colors.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *For every prime $p > 2$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every sufficiently large prime q, the coloring $\gamma_{p,q}$ of $K_{N,N}$ has no monochromatic subgraph $K_{r,r}$ for $r > N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$.*

We shall first explain the main ideas of the proof. By Proposition 2.1 it suffices to estimate from above the size of the intersections $S_{p,q} \cap V$ for subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ of dimension $q = n/2$. Notice that if we view $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ as $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}^2$ then it is an affine plain and $S_{p,q}$ is a parabola in it. A line intersects a parabola in at most two points. A line in $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}^2$ is a q-dimensional subspace if we view

it in $\mathbb{F}_p^n$. There are many more subspaces of this dimension, but our hope is the their intersections with $S_{p,q}$ are also small.

Now instead of estimating the intersections, we shall consider subsets S of $S_{p,q}$ of certain size and show that they span dimension bigger than q. This we also do not do directly. We first estimate the size of $S+S+S$ and take the logarithm. (We could take more than three terms, but the gain would not be significant.) For estimating the size of $S+S+S$ we use some standard techniques and their recent extensions to finite fields.

Now we proceed with a formal proof. Let the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ be fixed for the proof of this theorem. The following is a finite field version of Theorem 1 of Elekes, Nathanson and Ruzsa [6] originally proved for the real numbers and $S \subseteq \{(x, f(x));\ x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for every strictly convex function f in place of x^2.

Lemma 2.3 *For every $\alpha > 0$ there exist $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for every sufficiently large prime q, every subset $S \subseteq S_{p,q}$ and every set $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^{2q}$, if $p^{\alpha q} \le |T| \le p^{(2-\alpha)q}$ then*

$$|S+T| \ge \varepsilon_0 |S| \cdot |T|^{1/2+\varepsilon_1}.$$

We shall first prove the theorem using this lemma. Let V be a vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_p^{2q}$ of dimension $q+1$. Put $S = S_{p,q} \cap V$ and $T = S+S$. Then $|T| \ge \binom{|S|+1}{2}$, since the pair $(x+y, x^2+y^2)$ uniquely determines the set $\{x, y\}$. We can apply the previous lemma to T, since $T \subseteq V$, hence $|T| \le p^{q+1}$. According to the lemma we thus have

$$|S+S+S| \ge \varepsilon_0 |S| \cdot \binom{|S|+1}{2}^{1/2+\varepsilon_1} \ge \varepsilon_0 |S|^{2+\varepsilon_1}/2.$$

Hence the dimension of the vector space spanned by S is at least $\log_p(\varepsilon_0 |S|^{2+\varepsilon_1}/2)$. This must be at most the dimension of V, hence

$$\log_p(\varepsilon_0 |S|^{2+\varepsilon_1}/2) \le q+1,$$

from which we get

$$|S| \le \left(2\varepsilon_0^{-1} p^{q+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2+\varepsilon_1}} \le p^{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)q}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. ∎

To prove Lemma 2.3 we shall use the following an estimate on the number of incidences of points and lines in a finite plane proved by Bourgain, Katz and Tao in [4] as Theorem 6.2.

Theorem 2.4 *Let $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then there exist constants $0 < \beta < 1$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and C such that for every finite field F, set of points P and set of lines L in the projective plane over F, if $|P|, |L| \leq N = |F|^\alpha$ and F does not contain a subfield of size bigger than $|F|^\beta$, then*

$$I_{P,L} \leq CN^{3/2-\varepsilon_2},$$

where $I_{P,L} = |\{(p,l) \in P \times L;\ p \in l\}|$ denotes the number of incidences.

In [4] the theorem is proven only for prime fields and a stronger statement which implies the theorem above is stated without a proof. However it is easy to verify the stronger statement by inspecting the proof in [4]. In fact, there is only one step in their proof that needs the assumption that the field is prime, which is Lemma 4.1. One can immediately see that the proof of this lemma works perfectly if we only assume that the field does not contain a large subfield.

We shall need an estimate for the case when the number of lines and the number of points is different.

Corollary 2.5 *For every $0 < \alpha' < \alpha < 2$, there exist constants $0 < \beta < 1$, $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ and C' such that for every F, P, L, if $|F|^{\alpha'} \leq |L| \leq |P| \leq |F|^\alpha$ and F does not contain a subfield of size bigger than $|F|^\beta$, then*

$$I_{P,L} \leq C'|P| \cdot |L|^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon_3}.$$

Proof. Let $P' \subseteq P$ be a random subset of P of size $|L|$. Then the expected value of the number of incidences $I_{P',L}$ is $I_{P,L}|L|/|P|$. Thus there exists P' such that $I_{P',L} \geq I_{P,L}|P'|/|P| = I_{P,L}|L|/|P|$. Applying the theorem to P' and L, we get

$$I_{P,L}|L|/|P| \leq I_{P',L} \leq C'|L|^{3/2-\varepsilon_3},$$

for some $\varepsilon_3 > 0$ and C', whence we get the statement of the corollary. ∎

Now we shall prove Lemma 2.3. Let $S \subseteq S_{p,q}$ and $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^{2q}$ be given. Put $Q = \{S_{p,q} + t;\ t \in T\}$. We think of $S_{p,q}$ as a parabola in the affine plane and Q as the set of all shifts of this parabola by vectors $t \in T$. Put $P = S + T$. So P is a set of points on parabolas Q. We want to use the estimate on the number of incidences in Corollary 2.5. The corollary speaks only about sets of lines, but we can show that a suitable one-to-one transformation maps our parabolas on lines. This mapping is defined by $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v - u^2)$, and it maps the parabola $S_{p,q} + (a, b)$ onto the line

$$\{(x + a, 2ax - a^2 + b);\ x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^q}\}.$$

The number of incidences is $|S| \cdot |T|$, since we have $|T|$ parabolas in Q, and on each parabola $Q + t$ we have $|S|$ points, namely the points $S + t$. Thus by Corollary 2.5, we have

$$|S| \cdot |T| = I_{P,Q} \leq C'|P| \cdot |Q|^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon_3} = C'|S + T| \cdot |T|^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon_3},$$

whence Lemma 2.3 follows. ∎

Proposition 2.6 *For $p > 2$ prime and q arbitrary positive integer, $K_{N,N}$ colored by $\gamma_{p,q}$ contains a monochromatic subgraph $K_{r,r}$ for $r = \varepsilon_4 N^{1/4}$, for some $\varepsilon_4 > 0$.*

Proof. Represent the elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^q}$ as polynomials modulo an irreducible polynomial of degree q over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let A be the set of all polynomials of degree less than $q/4$ and let B be the set of all polynomials that have nonzero coefficients only at terms of degree n for $q/4 \leq n < q/2$. Then the polynomials that represent the squares of elements of A are polynomials of degree less than $q/2$ and the polynomials that represent the squares of elements of B are polynomials that have nonzero coefficients at terms of degree n for $q/2 \leq n < q$. Hence the scalar product of every pair $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ is zero. ∎

We do not know other monochromatic subgraphs $K_{r,r}$.

3 Concluding remarks

We observe that our construction possess a symmetry property which implies a slightly stronger result than stated above. We construct a three-coloring of K_N such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ independent of N the coloring has the following property. There are no two subsets of vertices X and Y of size at least $N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ (disjoint or not disjoint) such that all edges between X and Y have the same color.

The most interesting open problem related to our result is whether we can get a two-coloring in such a way. If $p = 2$, then we cannot use $S_{p,q}$, because x^2 is an additive function in fields of characteristic 2, thus $S_{p,q}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $\gamma_{2,q}$ is 0 for all edges. In [8] we proposed to use

$$\{(x, x^{-1});\ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^q}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \{(x, x^3);\ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^q}\}.$$

We conjecture that the same statement as our Theorem 2.2 holds for $p = 2$ and the sets above. One could prove it in the same way if we had a

generalization of the bound on the number of incidences of points and lines (Theorem 2.4) to hyperbolas and cubics. The corresponding result has been proven in the Euclidean plane for a much broader class of curves. Let us note that the graphs defined using the curve $y = x^3$ contain a monochromatic $K_{r,r}$ for $r = \varepsilon_5 N^{1/6}$, for some $\varepsilon_5 > 0$ (the proof is the same as in Proposition 2.6). For $y = x^{-1}$ we do not have any such result and we conjecture that they do not contain $K_{N^\varepsilon,N^\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

The bound on the number of incidences in a finite plane is an application of the lower bound on the number of sums and products

$$|A + A| \cdot |A \cdot A| \geq \delta |A|^{2+\varepsilon}$$

for some constants $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$, provided that A is not too small or too big in the finite field. (The first restriction has been removed in a paper of Konyagin [7] at least for prime fields.) The transformation of the parametrized set of parabolas to lines used above can also be applied to prove a similar estimate

$$|A + A| \cdot |A^2 + A^2| \geq \delta |A|^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

in finite fields. For hyperbolas, ie.,

$$|A + A| \cdot |A^{-1} + A^{-1}| \geq \delta |A|^{2+\varepsilon},$$

this was recently proved by Bourgain [2]. For cubics such a bound is not known, but it is very likely to be true. In [3] Bourgain proved another bound related to these problems

$$|\{xy(x + y);\ x, y \in A\}| \geq \delta |A|^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

For comparison with our construction we state the definition of one of the two-colorings of Bourgain [3] mentioned in the introduction. For a prime p, $f : \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p \to \{\pm 1\}$ is defined by

$$f(x, y) = \operatorname{sgn} \sin \frac{2\pi}{p}(xy + x^2 y^2),$$

with the convention that $\operatorname{sgn} 0 = 1$. Bourgain proved a stronger property of f, namely that f defines a *two source randomness extractor*, which means that for every subgraph $K_{r,r}$, $r = N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ the discrepancy between the number of edges colored 1 and edges colored -1 is $p^{-\gamma} r^2$ for some $\gamma > 0$.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank to Jiří Matoušek for explaining me a proof of Elekes and to Jiří Sgall for suggesting an idea for Proposition 2.6. I also appreciate helpful remarks of the anonymous referees.

References

[1] B. Barak, G. Kindler, R. Shaltiel, B. Sudakov and A. Wigderson, *Simulating Independence: New Constructions of Condensers, Ramsey Graphs, Dispersers, and Extractors,* ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing 2005, 1-10.

[2] J. Bourgain, *More on the sum-product phenomenon in prime fields and its applications*, preprint 2005, to appear in IJNT.

[3] J. Bourgain, *On the construction of affine extractors*, preprint 2005.

[4] J. Bourgain, N. Katz and T. Tao, *A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications,* GAFA, 14:1 (2004), 27-57.

[5] F. Chung and R. Graham, *Erdős on Graphs, His Legacy of Unsolved Problems.* A K Peters, 1999.

[6] G. Elekes, M.B. Nathanson and I.Z. Ruzsa, *Convexity and Sumsets.* J. of Number Theory **83**, (1999), 194-201.

[7] S.V. Konyagin, *A sum-product estimate in fields of prime order,* arXiv:math.CO/0301343 v2, 2003.

[8] P. Pudlák and V. Rödl, *Pseudorandom sets and explicit constructions of Ramsey graphs.* Quaderni di Matematica, vol. 13, Seconda Università di Napoli, 2004, 327-46