

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF THIRD ORDER DAMPED NONLINEAR
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

MIROSLAV BARTUŠEK, Brno,¹

MARIELLA CECCHI, Florence, ZUZANA DOŠLÁ, Brno,²

MAURO MARINI, Florence³

(Received October 15, 2009)

Abstract. We study solutions tending to nonzero constants for the third order differential equation with the damping term

$$(a_1(t)(a_2(t)x'(t))')' + q(t)x'(t) + r(t)f(x(\varphi(t))) = 0$$

in the case when the corresponding second order differential equation is oscillatory.

Keywords: third order differential equation, damping term, second order oscillatory equation, positive solution, asymptotic properties

MSC 2010: 34C10

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to investigate the third order nonlinear damped differential equation with deviating argument

$$(1.1) \quad (a_1(t)(a_2(t)x'(t))')' + q(t)x'(t) + r(t)f(x(\varphi(t))) = 0.$$

The following assumptions will be assumed:

¹ Author supported by the Research Project 0021622409 of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic and Grant 201/08/0469 of the Czech Grant Agency.

² Author supported by the Research Project 0021622409 of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic and Grant 201/08/0469 of the Czech Grant Agency.

³ Author supported by the Research Project PRIN07-Area 01, n. 37 of the Italian Ministry of Education.

hp₁) a_1, a_2, q , are continuous differentiable functions for $t \geq 0$, $a_i(t) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\inf_{[0, \infty)} q(t) = q_\infty > 0;$$

hp₂) r, φ are continuous functions for $t \geq 0$ such that $r(t) > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$;

hp₃) f is a continuous function satisfying $f(u)u > 0$ for $u \neq 0$.

In this paper we will restrict our attention to solutions x of (1.1) which are defined in a neighborhood of infinity and $\sup \{|x(s)| : s > t\} > 0$ for any t from this neighborhood. As usual, a solution of (1.1) is said to be *oscillatory* if it has a sequence of zeros converging to infinity; otherwise it is said to be *nonoscillatory*.

When $q \equiv 0$, the oscillation of the two-term equation

$$(1.2) \quad (a_1(t)(a_2(t)x')')' + r(t)f(x(\varphi(t))) = 0$$

has been considered in many papers, see, e.g., [2], [12], [13], [14] and references therein. Here oscillation means that any solution x of (1.2) is oscillatory or satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

When q is not identically zero, the special case

$$(1.3) \quad x''' + q(t)x'(t) + r(t)f(x(\varphi(t))) = 0$$

has been recently investigated, especially as regards the possible types of nonoscillatory solutions, see, e.g., [3], [6], [9]. In these papers the study is accomplished by assuming that the corresponding second order linear equation

$$(1.4) \quad y'' + q(t)y = 0$$

is nonoscillatory. This assumption plays a crucial role, because it is known that, in this case, (1.3) can be written in the disconjugate form, i.e. as a two-term equation, see [6].

For the more general equation (1.1) the oscillation and the asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions is examined in [1], [15], [16] by assuming that the second order equation

$$(1.5) \quad (a_1(t)y')' + \frac{q(t)}{a_2(t)}y = 0$$

is nonoscillatory. This equation plays an analogous role to that (1.4) plays for (1.3), as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 1.1. Assume (1.5) is nonoscillatory and let h be its solution, $h(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then we have for $t \geq t_0$

$$(a_1(t)(a_2(t)x')')' + q(t)x' = \frac{1}{h(t)} \left(a_1(t)h^2(t) \left(\frac{a_2(t)}{h(t)} x' \right)' \right)'.$$

Proof. For the sake of simplicity we omit the independent variable t . Denote by L the operator

$$Ly \equiv (a_1y')' + \frac{q}{a_2}y.$$

Then

$$hL(hu) = h(a_1h'u + a_1hu')' + \frac{q}{a_2}h^2u = (a_1h')'hu + a_1hh'u' + h(a_1hu')' + \frac{q}{a_2}h^2u.$$

Since h is a solution of (1.5) and $(a_1h^2u')' = a_1hh'u' + (a_1hu')'h$, we obtain

$$hL(hu) = (a_1h')'hu + (a_1h^2u')' + \frac{q}{a_2}h^2u = (a_1h^2u')'.$$

Setting $u = a_2x'/h$ we get

$$h(a_1(a_2x')')' + hqx' = \left(a_1h^2 \left(\frac{a_2}{h} x' \right)' \right)',$$

which gives the assertion. □

Hence, when equation (1.5) is nonoscillatory, equation (1.1) can be also written in the disconjugate form, i.e. without the damping term, and the existence of various types of nonoscillatory solutions of (1.1) can be obtained from results for the equation with the disconjugate operator, see e.g. [7], [12].

Very little is known when (1.4) or (1.5) is oscillatory. We refer only to [10] in which necessary and sufficient conditions for the oscillation of (1.3) are given, and to [4], [5] in which the asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of (1.3) is examined.

Our aim here is to give a sufficient condition for the existence of solutions of (1.1) which tend to a nonzero constant, in the case when the corresponding second order differential equation (1.5) is oscillatory. This result extends to (1.1) a previous one given in [4].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let x be a solution of (1.1) and let us denote its quasiderivatives

$$x^{[1]}(t) = a_2(t)x'(t), \quad x^{[2]}(t) = a_1(t)(x^{[1]}(t))'.$$

The following lemmas will be useful.

Lemma 2.1. *Let x be a solution (for large t) of the integral equation*

$$(2.1) \quad x(t) = c - \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{a_2(\tau)} \int_\tau^\infty r(s)f(x(\varphi(s)))(u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s)) \, ds \, d\tau$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and u, v are linearly independent solutions of (1.5) with the Wronskian

$$W = a_1(t)(u(t)v'(t) - u'(t)v(t)) \equiv 1.$$

Then x is a solution of (1.1) satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = c, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{[i]}(t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Let x be a solution of equation (2.1). By a standard calculation we obtain

$$x^{[1]}(t) = a_2(t)x'(t) = \int_t^\infty r(s)f(x(\varphi(s)))(u(s)v(t) - u(t)v(s)) \, ds.$$

Thus, differentiating and using the fact that u, v satisfy (1.5) with Wronskian $W \equiv 1$, we get

$$(a_2(t)x'(t))' = \int_t^\infty r(s)f(x(\varphi(s)))(u(s)v'(t) - u'(t)v(s)) \, ds,$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad x^{[2]}(t) = \int_t^\infty r(s)f(x(\varphi(s)))a_1(t)(u(s)v'(t) - u'(t)v(s)) \, ds.$$

Thus

$$(a_1(t)(a_2(t)x'(t))')' = -r(t)f(x(\varphi(t))) - q(t)x'(t),$$

i.e., we have the required assertion. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that all solutions y of (1.5) and their quasiderivatives defined as $y^{[1]}(t) = a_1(t)y'(t)$ are bounded for $t \geq 0$. Fix $T > t_0 \geq 0$, let $\bar{\varphi}$ be a continuous function such that $\bar{\varphi}(t) \geq t_0$ for $t \geq t_0$. Let u, v be two linearly independent solutions of equation (1.5) with the Wronskian $W \equiv 1$. Denote*

$$(2.4) \quad h(s, t) = u(s)v'(t) - u'(t)v(s)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad G_x(t) = - \int_t^T \frac{1}{a_2(\tau)} \int_\tau^\infty r(s)f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s)))(u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s)) \, ds \, d\tau,$$

where x is a continuous function on $[t_0, \infty)$ such that $r(\cdot)f(x(\bar{\varphi}(\cdot))) \in L^1[t_0, \infty)$. Then for $t \in I = [t_0, T]$ we have

$$q(t) \left(G_x(t) + \int_t^T q'(s)G_x(s) ds \right) = \int_t^T r(s)f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s)))(1 - a_1(t)h(s, t)) ds \\ + \int_T^\infty r(s)f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s))) (a_1(T)h(s, T) - a_1(t)h(s, t)) ds.$$

3. MAIN THEOREM

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that all solutions y of (1.5) and their quasiderivatives defined as $y^{[1]}(t) = a_1(t)y'(t)$ are bounded for $t \geq 0$. If*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^\infty r(t) dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^\infty |q'(t)| dt < \infty,$$

then for any $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a solution x of (1.1) satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = c, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{[i]}(t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. We prove the existence of solutions of (1.1) satisfying (3.2) for $c = 1$.

Let u and v be two linearly independent solutions of (1.5) with the Wronskian $W \equiv 1$ and consider the function h given by (2.4). Since all solutions of (1.5) and their quasiderivatives are bounded, there exists $M > 0$ such that for any $(s, t) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$

$$a_1(t)|h(s, t)| \leq M.$$

Put

$$(3.3) \quad \beta = \max_{1/2 \leq u \leq 3/2} f(u)$$

and let k_1 be such that $1 + 2M < k_1/\beta$. Hence

$$(3.4) \quad 2M \leq k_1/\beta, \quad 1 + M \leq k_1/\beta.$$

Let t_0 be so large that

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{2k_1}{q_\infty} \int_{t_0}^\infty r(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty |q'(s)| ds < \frac{1}{2}q_\infty,$$

and choose $\bar{t} \geq t_0$ such that $\varphi(t) \geq t_0$ for $t \geq \bar{t}$. Define

$$\bar{\varphi}(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t) & \text{if } t \geq \bar{t}, \\ \varphi(\bar{t}) & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq \bar{t}. \end{cases}$$

In the Fréchet space $C[t_0, \infty)$ of all continuous functions on $[t_0, \infty)$, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subintervals of $[t_0, \infty)$, consider the set $\Omega \subset C[t_0, \infty)$ given by

$$\Omega = \left\{ x \in C[t_0, \infty) : \frac{1}{2} \leq x(t) \leq \frac{3}{2} \right\}.$$

Fix $T \in [t_0, \infty)$ and let $I = [t_0, T]$. For any $x \in \Omega$, consider the “cut” function given by (2.5). Applying Lemma 2.2, in view of (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain for $t \in I$

$$|G_x(t)| \leq \frac{1}{q_\infty} \left(k_1 \int_t^\infty r(s) \, ds + \frac{1}{2} q_\infty \max_{t \leq s \leq T} |G_x(s)| \right),$$

or

$$(3.6) \quad |G_x(t)| = \left| \int_t^T \frac{1}{a_2(\tau)} \int_\tau^\infty r(s) f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s))) (u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s)) \, ds \, d\tau \right| \\ \leq \max_{t \leq \sigma \leq T} |G_x(\sigma)| \leq \frac{2k_1}{q_\infty} \int_t^\infty r(s) \, ds.$$

Hence, using the Cauchy criterion, the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^T \frac{1}{a_2(\tau)} \int_\tau^\infty r(s) f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s))) (u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s)) \, ds \, d\tau$$

exists and it is finite for any fixed t and

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{a_2(\tau)} \int_\tau^\infty r(s) f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s))) (u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s)) \, ds \, d\tau \right| \leq \frac{2k_1}{q_\infty} \int_t^\infty r(s) \, ds.$$

This fact means that the operator

$$\mathcal{T}(x)(t) = 1 - \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{a_2(\tau)} \int_\tau^\infty r(s) f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s))) (u(s)v(\tau) - u(\tau)v(s)) \, ds \, d\tau$$

is well defined for any $x \in \Omega$. Clearly

$$\mathcal{T}'(x)(t) = \frac{1}{a_2(t)} \int_t^\infty r(s) f(x(\bar{\varphi}(s))) (u(s)v(t) - u(t)v(s)) \, ds.$$

Hence, this together (3.7) yields

$$(3.8) \quad |\mathcal{T}(x)(t) - 1| = \left| \int_t^\infty \mathcal{T}'(x)(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq \frac{2k_1}{q_\infty} \int_t^\infty r(\tau) d\tau.$$

So, in view of (3.5), \mathcal{T} maps Ω into itself. Moreover, for any $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$(3.9) \quad |\mathcal{T}'(x)(t)| \leq \frac{2m^2\beta}{a_2(t)} \int_t^\infty r(s) ds,$$

where $m = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \{|u(t)|, |v(t)|\}$, and so $\mathcal{T}(\Omega)$ is relatively compact, i.e. $\mathcal{T}(\Omega)$ consists of functions which are equibounded and equicontinuous on every compact subinterval of $[t_0, \infty)$.

Now we prove the continuity of \mathcal{T} on Ω . Let $\{x_n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence in Ω which uniformly converges on every compact subinterval of $[t_0, \infty)$ to $x \in \Omega$. Because $\mathcal{T}(\Omega)$ is relatively compact, the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}(x_n)\}$ admits a subsequence, denoted again by $\{\mathcal{T}(x_n)\}$ for the sake of simplicity, which is convergent to $\bar{x} \in \Omega$. In virtue of (3.9), by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, the sequence $\{G_{x_n}(t)\}$ pointwise converges to $G_x(t)$ on $I = [t_0, T]$, i.e.

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{x_n}(t) = G_x(t).$$

Choosing a sufficiently large T , in view of (3.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}(x_n)(t) - \mathcal{T}(x)(t)| &= \left| \int_t^\infty \mathcal{T}'(x_n)(\tau) d\tau - \int_t^\infty \mathcal{T}'(x)(\tau) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_T^\infty \mathcal{T}'(x_n)(\tau) d\tau \right| + \left| \int_T^\infty \mathcal{T}'(x)(\tau) d\tau \right| + |G_{x_n}(t) - G_x(t)| \\ &\leq \frac{4k_1}{q_\infty} \int_T^\infty r(\tau) d\tau + |G_{x_n}(t) - G_x(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}(x_n)\}$ pointwise converges to $\mathcal{T}(x)$. In view of the uniqueness of the limit, $\mathcal{T}(x) = \bar{x}$ is the only cluster point of the compact sequence $\{\mathcal{T}(x_n)\}$, which means the continuity of \mathcal{T} in Ω . Applying the Tychonov fixed point theorem, there exists a solution x of the integral equation

$$x(t) = \mathcal{T}(x)(t)$$

which, in view of Lemma 2.1, is a solution of (1.1) with the required properties. From (3.8) we get that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$. Moreover, from (3.9) we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{[1]}(t) = 0$ and in a similar way from (2.3) the conclusion for $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^{[2]}(t) = 0$ follows. \square

The following result gives sufficient conditions for the boundedness of each solution of (1.5) together with its quasiderivative.

Lemma 3.1. *If*

$$(3.11) \quad \log q(t) \frac{a_1(t)}{a_2(t)} \text{ is of bounded variation on } [0, \infty),$$

then for each solution y of (1.5), y and $y^{[1]}$ are bounded.

Proof. Consider equation (1.5) in the form

$$y'' + \frac{a_1'(t)}{a_1(t)}y' + \frac{q(t)}{a_1(t)a_2(t)}y = 0.$$

Then for each solution y of (1.5) the function

$$E(t) = \frac{q(t)}{a_1(t)a_2(t)}y^2 + y'^2$$

satisfies

$$E'(t) \leq \frac{p'(t)}{p(t)}E(t), \quad p(t) = \frac{q(t)}{a_1(t)a_2(t)},$$

see [11, Theorem 3] and its proof. Hence we obtain

$$\frac{q(t)}{a_1(t)a_2(t)}y^2(t) + y'^2(t) \leq \bar{k} \frac{q(t)}{a_1(t)a_2(t)},$$

where \bar{k} is a positive constant. Thus y is bounded and

$$(y^{[1]}(t))^2 \leq \bar{k}q(t) \frac{a_1(t)}{a_2(t)}.$$

In virtue of (3.11), the function qa_1/a_2 is bounded and the assertion follows. \square

Remark. Lemma 3.1 follows also from [8, Theorem 4] with minor changes.

From Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.1 we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.2. *If (3.1) holds and*

$$\log \frac{a_1(t)}{a_2(t)} \text{ is of bounded variation on } [0, \infty),$$

then for any $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a solution x of (1.1) satisfying (3.2).

Proof. In virtue of (3.1), we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|q'(t)|}{q(t)} dt \leq \frac{1}{q_\infty} \int_0^\infty |q'(t)| dt$$

and so $\log q(t)$ is of bounded variation on $[0, \infty)$. Since

$$\log q(t) \frac{a_1(t)}{a_2(t)} = \log q(t) + \log \frac{a_1(t)}{a_2(t)},$$

applying Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1 we get the assertion. \square

Example. Consider the equation

$$(a(t)(a(t)x'(t))')' + x'(t) + r(t)f(x(\varphi(t))) = 0,$$

where $\int_0^\infty r(s) ds < \infty$. By Theorem 3.2 this equation has solutions satisfying (3.2) for any $c \neq 0$. If $a(t) \equiv 1$, we get the result from [10].

References

- [1] *R. Agarwal, M. F. Aktas, A. Tiryaki*: On oscillation criteria for third order nonlinear delay differential equations. *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 45 (2009), 1–18. [zbl](#)
- [2] *B. Baculikova, E. M. Elabbasy, S. H. Saker, J. Dzurina*: Oscillation criteria for third-order nonlinear differential equations. *Math. Slovaca* 58 (2008), 201–202. [zbl](#)
- [3] *M. Bartušek, M. Cecchi, Z. Došlá, M. Marini*: On nonoscillatory solutions of third order nonlinear differential equations. *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 9 (2000), 483–500. [zbl](#)
- [4] *M. Bartušek, M. Cecchi, Z. Došlá, M. Marini*: Oscillation for third order nonlinear differential equations with deviating argument. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2010, Article ID 278962, 19 p. (2010). [zbl](#)
- [5] *M. Bartušek, M. Cecchi, M. Marini*: On Kneser solutions of nonlinear third order differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 261 (2001), 72–84. [zbl](#)
- [6] *M. Cecchi, Z. Došlá, M. Marini*: On third order differential equations with property A and B. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 231 (1999), 509–525. [zbl](#)
- [7] *M. Cecchi, Z. Došlá, M. Marini*: On nonlinear oscillations for equations associated to disconjugate operators. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* 30 (1997), 1583–1594. [zbl](#)
- [8] *W. J. Coles*: Boundedness of solutions of two-dimensional first order differential systems. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 4 (1971), 225–231. [zbl](#)
- [9] *J. Jaroš, T. Kusano, V. Marić*: Existence of regularly and rapidly varying solutions for a class of third order nonlinear ordinary differential equations. *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd* 79 (2006), 51–64. [zbl](#)
- [10] *I. Kiguradze*: An oscillation criterion for a class of ordinary differential equations. *Diff. Urav.* 28 (1992), 201–214. [zbl](#)
- [11] *M. Marini*: Criteri di limitatezza per le soluzioni dell'equazione lineare del secondo ordine. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 11 (1975), 154–165. [zbl](#)
- [12] *I. Mojsej*: Asymptotic properties of solutions of third-order nonlinear differential equations with deviating argument. *Nonlinear Analysis* 68 (2008), 3581–3591. [zbl](#)
- [13] *I. Mojsej, J. Ohriska*: Comparison theorems for noncanonical third order nonlinear differential equations. *Central Eur. J. Math.* 5 (2007), 154–16. [zbl](#)
- [14] *S. H. Saker*: Oscillation criteria of Hille and Nehari types for third order delay differential equations. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* 11 (2007), 451–468. [zbl](#)
- [15] *A. Tiryaki, M. F. Aktas*: Oscillation criteria of a certain class of third order nonlinear delay differential equations with damping. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 325 (2007), 54–68. [zbl](#)
- [16] *C. Tunc*: On the non-oscillation of solutions of some nonlinear differential equations of third order. *Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory* 7 (2007), 419–430. [zbl](#)

Authors' addresses: Miroslav Bartušek, Zuzana Došlá, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, CZ-611 37 Brno, Czech Republic, e-mail: bartusek@math.muni.cz, dosla@math.muni.cz; Mariella Cecchi, Mauro Marini, Department of Electronic and Telecommunications, University of Florence, I-50139 Florence, Italy, e-mail: mariella.cecchi@unifi.it, mauro.marini@unifi.it.