

COEFFICIENT INEQUALITY FOR A FUNCTION WHOSE
DERIVATIVE HAS A POSITIVE REAL PART OF ORDER α

DEEKONDA VAMSHEE KRISHNA, Visakhapatnam,
THOUTREDDY RAMREDDY, Warangal

(Received December 12, 2012)

Abstract. The objective of this paper is to obtain sharp upper bound for the function f for the second Hankel determinant $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2|$, when it belongs to the class of functions whose derivative has a positive real part of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), denoted by $RT(\alpha)$. Further, an upper bound for the inverse function of f for the nonlinear functional (also called the second Hankel functional), denoted by $|t_2t_4 - t_3^2|$, was determined when it belongs to the same class of functions, using Toeplitz determinants.

Keywords: analytic function; upper bound; second Hankel functional; positive real function; Toeplitz determinant

MSC 2010: 30C45, 30C50

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of functions f of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

in the open unit disc $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. Let S be the subclass of A consisting of univalent functions.

In 1976, Noonan and Thomas [11] defined the q th Hankel determinant of f for $q \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ as

$$(1.2) \quad H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

This determinant has been considered by several authors. For example, Noor [12] determined the rate of growth of $H_q(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for the functions in S with a bounded boundary. Ehrenborg [3] studied the Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials. The Hankel transform of an integer sequence and some of its properties were discussed by Layman in [7]. One can easily observe that the Fekete-Szegő functional is $H_2(1)$. Fekete-Szegő then further generalized the estimate of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ with μ real and $f \in S$. Ali [2] found sharp bounds on the first four coefficients and sharp estimate for the Fekete-Szegő functional $|\gamma_3 - t\gamma_2^2|$, where t is real, for the inverse function of f defined as $f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n w^n$ to the class of strongly starlike functions of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) denoted by $\widetilde{ST}(\alpha)$. For our discussion in this paper, we consider the Hankel determinant in the case of $q = 2$ and $n = 2$, known as the second Hankel determinant, given by

$$(1.3) \quad H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2.$$

Janteng, Halim and Darus [6] have considered the functional $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$ and found a sharp bound for the function f in the subclass RT of S , consisting of functions whose derivative has a positive real part studied by MacGregor [8]. In their work, they have shown that if $f \in RT$ then $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 4/9$.

The same authors [5] also obtained the second Hankel determinant and sharp bounds for the familiar subclasses of S , namely, starlike and convex functions denoted by ST and CV and showed that $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1$ and $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1/8$, respectively. Similarly, the same coefficient inequality was calculated for certain subclasses of analytic functions by many authors ([1], [9], [10]).

Motivated by the results obtained by different authors in this direction mentioned above, in the present paper we obtain an upper bound for the nonlinear functional $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$ for the function f and its inverse belonging to the class $RT(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), defined as follows.

Definition 1.1. A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be a function whose derivative has a positive real part of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), denoted by $f \in RT(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f'(z)\} > \alpha, \quad \forall z \in E.$$

Observe that for $\alpha = 0$, we obtain $RT(0) = RT$.

We first state some preliminary lemmas required for proving our results.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions p analytic in E for which $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$,

$$(2.1) \quad p(z) = (1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots) = \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right], \quad \forall z \in E.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([13], [14]). *If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each $k \geq 1$.*

Lemma 2.2 ([4]). *The power series for p given in (2.1) converges in the unit disc E to a function in \mathcal{P} if and only if the Toeplitz determinants*

$$D_n = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_n \\ c_{-1} & 2 & c_1 & \dots & c_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{-n} & c_{-n+1} & c_{-n+2} & \dots & 2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and $c_{-k} = \bar{c}_k$, are all non-negative. They are strictly positive except for $p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \varrho_k p_0(\exp(it_k)z)$, $\varrho_k > 0$, t_k real and $t_k \neq t_j$, for $k \neq j$; in this case $D_n > 0$ for $n < m - 1$ and $D_n = 0$ for $n \geq m$.

This necessary and sufficient condition due to Carathéodory and Toeplitz, can be found in [4]. We may assume without restriction that $c_1 > 0$. Using Lemma 2.2 for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, respectively, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 \\ \bar{c}_1 & 2 & c_1 \\ \bar{c}_2 & \bar{c}_1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = [8 + 2 \operatorname{Re}\{c_1^2 c_2\} - 2|c_2|^2 - 4c_1^2] \geq 0,$$

$$2c_2 \equiv \{c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)\} \quad \text{for some } x, |x| \leq 1;$$

$$D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ \bar{c}_1 & 2 & c_1 & c_2 \\ \bar{c}_2 & \bar{c}_1 & 2 & c_1 \\ \bar{c}_3 & \bar{c}_2 & \bar{c}_1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_3 \geq 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad |(4c_3 - 4c_1 c_2 + c_1^3)(4 - c_1^2) + c_1(2c_2 - c_1^2)^2| \leq 2(4 - c_1^2)^2 - 2|(2c_2 - c_1^2)|^2.$$

From the relations (2.2) and (2.3), after simplifying, we get

$$(2.4) \quad 4c_3 \equiv \{c_1^3 + 2c_1(4 - c_1^2)x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z\}$$

for some real value of z with $|z| \leq 1$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in RT(\alpha)$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ then*

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{4}{9}(1 - \alpha)^2$$

and the inequality is sharp.

Proof. Since $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in RT(\alpha)$, by virtue of Definition 1.1 there exists an analytic function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ in the unit disc E with $p(0) = 1$ and $[\operatorname{Re} p(z)] > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left\{ \frac{f'(z) - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right\} = p(z) \Rightarrow \{f'(z) - \alpha\} = (1 - \alpha)p(z).$$

Replacing $f'(z)$ and $p(z)$ by their equivalent series expressions in (3.1), we have

$$\left[\left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1} \right) - \alpha \right] = (1 - \alpha) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \right\}.$$

Upon simplification, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad [2a_2 + 3a_3 z + 4a_4 z^2 + \dots] = (1 - \alpha)[c_1 + c_2 z + c_3 z^2 + \dots].$$

Equating the coefficients of the like powers of z^0 , z and z^2 , respectively, on both sides of (3.2) and simplifying, we get

$$(3.3) \quad \left\{ a_2 = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} c_1; a_3 = \frac{1 - \alpha}{3} c_2; a_4 = \frac{1 - \alpha}{4} c_3 \right\}.$$

Substituting the values of a_2 , a_3 and a_4 from (3.3) in the second Hankel functional $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$ for the function $f \in RT(\alpha)$, upon simplification we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| = \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{72} \times |9c_1 c_3 - 8c_2^2|.$$

Substituting the values of c_2 and c_3 from (2.2) and (2.4), respectively, from Lemma 2.2 in the right hand side of (3.4), we have

$$(3.5) \quad |9c_1 c_3 - 8c_2^2| = \left| 9c_1 \times \frac{1}{4} \{c_1^3 + 2c_1(4 - c_1^2)x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z\} - 8 \times \frac{1}{4} \{c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2)\}^2 \right|.$$

Using the facts $|z| < 1$ and $|xa + yb| \leq |x||a| + |y||b|$, where x, y, a and b are real numbers, in the expression (3.5), after simplifying we get

$$(3.6) \quad 4|9c_1c_3 - 8c_2^2| \leq |c_1^4 + 18c_1(4 - c_1^2) + 2c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)|x| - (c_1 + 2)(c_1 + 16)(4 - c_1^2)|x|^2.$$

Since $c_1 \in [0, 2]$, using the result $(c_1 + a)(c_1 + b) \geq (c_1 - a)(c_1 - b)$, where $a, b \geq 0$ on the right hand side of (3.6), upon simplification we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad 4|9c_1c_3 - 8c_2^2| \leq |c_1^4 + 18c_1(4 - c_1^2) + 2c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)|x| - (c_1 - 2)(c_1 - 16)(4 - c_1^2)|x|^2.$$

Choosing $c_1 = c \in [0, 2]$, applying the triangle inequality and replacing x by μ on the right hand side of the above inequality, we have

$$(3.8) \quad 4|9c_1c_3 - 8c_2^2| \leq [c^4 + \{18c + 2c^2\mu + (c - 2)(c - 16)\mu^2\} \times (4 - c^2)] = F(c, \mu), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu = |x| \leq 1.$$

We next maximize the function $F(c, \mu)$ on the closed region $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Differentiating $F(c, \mu)$ partially with respect to μ , we get

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu} = 2[c^2 + (c - 2)(c - 16)\mu] \times (4 - c^2).$$

For $0 < \mu < 1$ and for fixed c with $0 < c < 2$, from (3.9) we observe that $\partial F / \partial \mu > 0$. Therefore, $F(c, \mu)$ is an increasing function of μ and hence it cannot have the maximum value in the interior of the closed region $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Moreover, for fixed $c \in [0, 2]$ we have

$$(3.10) \quad \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq 1} F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) = G(c).$$

Therefore, replacing μ by 1 in $F(c, \mu)$, upon simplification we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad G(c) = (-2c^4 - 20c^2 + 128),$$

$$(3.12) \quad G'(c) = (-8c^3 - 40c).$$

From (3.12), we observe that $G'(c) \leq 0$ for every $c \in [0, 2]$. Therefore, $G(c)$ is a decreasing function of c in the interval $c \in [0, 2]$, whose maximum value occurs at $c = 0$. From (3.11), at $c = 0$ we obtain the G -maximum as

$$(3.13) \quad G_{\max} = G(0) = 128.$$

From the relations (3.8) and (3.13), after simplifying, we get

$$(3.14) \quad |9c_1c_3 - 8c_2^2| \leq 32.$$

From the expressions (3.4) and (3.14), upon simplification, we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{4}{9}(1 - \alpha)^2.$$

By setting $c_1 = c = 0$ and selecting $x = -1$ in the expressions (2.2) and (2.4), we find that $c_2 = -2$ and $c_3 = 0$, respectively. Using these values in (3.14), we observe that equality is attained, which shows that our result is sharp. This completes the proof of our Theorem 3.1. \square

Remark 3.2. For the choice of $\alpha = 0$, we get $RT(0) = RT$, for which, from (3.15), we obtain $|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 4/9$. This inequality is sharp and the result coincides with that of Janteng, Halim and Darus [6].

Theorem 3.2. *If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in RT(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1/4$) and $f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t_n w^n$ near $w = 0$ is the inverse function of f , then*

$$|t_2t_4 - t_3^2| \leq \left[\frac{(1 - \alpha)^2(432\alpha^2 - 312\alpha - 137)}{144(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)} \right].$$

Proof. Since $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in RT(\alpha)$, from the definition of the inverse function of f we have

$$(3.16) \quad w = f\{f^{-1}(w)\} \Leftrightarrow \{(t_2 + a_2)w^2 + (t_3 + 2a_2t_2 + a_3)w^3 + (t_4 + 2a_2t_3 + a_2t_2^2 + 3a_3t_2 + a_4)w^4 + \dots\} = 0.$$

Equating the coefficients of the like powers of w^2 , w^3 and w^4 on both sides of (3.16), respectively, after simplifying we get

$$(3.17) \quad \{t_2 = -a_2; t_3 = \{-a_3 + 2a_2^2\}; t_4 = \{-a_4 + 5a_2a_3 - 5a_2^3\}\}.$$

Using the values of a_2 , a_3 and a_4 in (3.3) along with (3.17), upon simplification we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \left\{ t_2 = -\frac{(1 - \alpha)}{2}c_1; t_3 = -\frac{(1 - \alpha)}{6}\{3(1 - \alpha)c_1^2 - 2c_2\}; \right. \\ \left. t_4 = -\frac{(1 - \alpha)}{24}\{-6c_3 + 20(1 - \alpha)c_1c_2 - 15(1 - \alpha)^2c_1^3\} \right\}.$$

Substituting the values of t_2, t_3 and t_4 from (3.18) in the second Hankel functional $|t_2t_4 - t_3^2|$ for the inverse function of $f \in RT(\alpha)$, after simplifying we get

$$|t_2t_4 - t_3^2| = \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{144} \times |18c_1c_3 - 12(1-\alpha)c_1^2c_2 - 16c_2^2 + 9(1-\alpha)^2c_1^4|.$$

The above expression is equivalent to

$$(3.19) \quad |t_2t_4 - t_3^2| = \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{144} \times |d_1c_1c_3 + d_2c_1^2c_2 + d_3c_2^2 + d_4c_1^4|$$

where

$$(3.20) \quad \{d_1 = 18; d_2 = -12(1-\alpha); d_3 = -16; d_4 = 9(1-\alpha)^2\}.$$

Substituting the values of c_2 and c_3 from (2.2) and (2.4), respectively, from Lemma 2.2 in the right hand side of (3.19), applying the same procedure as described in Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad |d_1c_1c_3 + d_2c_1^2c_2 + d_3c_2^2 + d_4c_1^4| \leq |(d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4)c_1^4 + [2d_1c_1 + 2(d_1 + d_2 + d_3)c_1^2|x| - \{(d_1 + d_3)c_1^2 + 2d_1c_1 - 4d_3\}|x|^2] \times (4 - c_1^2)|.$$

Using the values of d_1, d_2, d_3 and d_4 from the relation (3.20), upon simplification we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad \{(d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4) = (18\alpha^2 - 24\alpha + 7); \quad d_1 = 18;$$

$$(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) = (12\alpha - 10)\},$$

$$(3.23) \quad \{(d_1 + d_3)c_1^2 + 2d_1c_1 - 4d_3\} = \{(c_1 - 2)(c_1 - 16)\}.$$

Substituting the calculated values from (3.22) and (3.23) in the right hand side of (3.21), we have

$$2|d_1c_1c_3 + d_2c_1^2c_2 + d_3c_2^2 + d_4c_1^4| \leq |(18\alpha^2 - 24\alpha + 7)c_1^4 + \{18c_1 + (12\alpha - 10)c_1^2|x| - (c_1 - 2)(c_1 - 16)|x|^2\} \times (4 - c_1^2)|.$$

Choosing $c_1 = c \in [0, 2]$, applying the triangle inequality and replacing $|x|$ by μ on the right hand side of the above inequality, we get

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2|d_1c_1c_3 + d_2c_1^2c_2 + d_3c_2^2 + d_4c_1^4| \\ & \leq [(18\alpha^2 - 24\alpha + 7)c^4 + \{18c + (10 - 12\alpha)c^2\mu + (c - 2)(c - 16)\mu^2\}(4 - c^2)] \\ & = F(c, \mu), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu = |x| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.25) \quad F(c, \mu) = [(18\alpha^2 - 24\alpha + 7)c^4 + \{18c + (10 - 12\alpha)c^2\mu + (c - 2)(c - 16)\mu^2\} \times (4 - c^2)].$$

Applying the same procedure as described in Theorem 3.1, we get

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mu} = [(10 - 12\alpha)c^2 + 2\{(c - 2)(c - 16)\}\mu] \times (4 - c^2).$$

For $0 < \mu < 1$, for fixed c with $0 < c < 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1/4$, from (3.26) we observe that $\partial F/\partial \mu > 0$. Therefore, $F(c, \mu)$ is an increasing function of μ and hence it cannot have the maximum value at any point in the interior of the closed region $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Further, for a fixed $c \in [0, 2]$, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq 1} F(c, \mu) = F(c, 1) = G(c).$$

Therefore, from (3.25) and (3.27), upon simplification, we obtain

$$(3.28) \quad G(c) = \{2(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)c^4 + 12(1 - 4\alpha)c^2 + 128\},$$

$$(3.29) \quad G'(c) = \{8(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)c^3 + 24(1 - 4\alpha)c\},$$

$$(3.30) \quad G''(c) = \{24(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)c^2 + 24(1 - 4\alpha)\}.$$

For the extreme values of $G(c)$, consider $G'(c) = 0$. From (3.29), we get

$$(3.31) \quad 8c\{(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)c^2 + 3(1 - 4\alpha)\} = 0.$$

We now discuss the following cases.

Case 1. If $c = 0$, then, from (3.30), we obtain

$$G''(c) = 24(1 - 4\alpha) > 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{4}.$$

From the second derivative test, $G(c)$ has the minimum value at $c = 0$.

Case 2. If $c \neq 0$, then, from (3.31), we get

$$(3.32) \quad c^2 = \left\{ -\frac{3(1 - 4\alpha)}{(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)} \right\} \in [0, 2] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Using the value of c^2 given in (3.32) in (3.31), upon simplification we obtain

$$G''(c) = -48(1 - 4\alpha) < 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{4}.$$

By the second derivative test, $G(c)$ has the maximum value at c , where c^2 given in (3.32). Using the value of c^2 in (3.28), after simplifying we get

$$(3.33) \quad \max_{0 \leq c \leq 2} G(c) = \left[\frac{2(432\alpha^2 - 312\alpha - 137)}{(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)} \right].$$

Considering the maximum value of $G(c)$ only at c^2 , from (3.24) and (3.33), upon simplification we obtain

$$(3.34) \quad |d_1c_1c_3 + d_2c_1^2c_2 + d_3c_2^2 + d_4c_1^4| \leq \left[\frac{(432\alpha^2 - 312\alpha - 137)}{(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)} \right].$$

From (3.19) and (3.34) we get

$$(3.35) \quad |t_2t_4 - t_3^2| \leq \left[\frac{(1 - \alpha)^2(432\alpha^2 - 312\alpha - 137)}{144(9\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 2)} \right].$$

This completes the proof of our theorem. □

Remark 3.4. Choosing $\alpha = 0$, we have $RT(0) = RT$, for which, from (3.35), we get $|t_2t_4 - t_3^2| \leq 137/288$.

Acknowledgement. The authors express sincere thanks to the esteemed referee(s) for their careful reading, valuable comments and suggestions, which helped to improve the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] *A. Abubaker, M. Darus*: Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions involving a generalized linear differential operator. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* *69* (2011), 429–435. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *R. M. Ali*: Coefficients of the inverse of strongly starlike functions. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) *26* (2003), 63–71. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *R. Ehrenborg*: The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials. *Am. Math. Mon.* *107* (2000), 557–560. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *U. Grenander, G. Szegő*: *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1984. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, M. Darus*: Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions. *Int. J. Math. Anal., Ruse* *1* (2007), 619–625. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, M. Darus*: Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* (electronic only) *7* (2006), Article 50, 5 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [7] *J. W. Layman*: The Hankel transform and some of its properties. *J. Integer Seq.* (electronic only) *4* (2001), Article 01.1.5, 11 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [8] *T. H. MacGregor*: Functions whose derivative has a positive real part. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* *104* (1962), 532–537. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

- [9] *A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat*: Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivative. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* *2008* (2008), Article ID 153280, 10 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *G. Murugusundaramoorthy, N. Magesh*: Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant. *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* *1* (2009), 85–89. [MR](#)
- [11] *J. W. Noonan, D. K. Thomas*: On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* *223* (1976), 337–346. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [12] *K. I. Noor*: Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* *28* (1983), 731–739. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *C. Pommerenke*: Univalent Functions. With a Chapter on Quadratic Differentials by Gerd Jensen. *Studia Mathematica/Mathematische Lehrbücher. Band 25*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [14] *B. Simon*: Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 1: Classical Theory. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 54, AMS, Providence, 2005. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

Authors' addresses: *D. Vamshee Krishna* (corresponding author), Department of Mathematics, Institut of Technology, GITAM University Visakhapatnam, 530045 Andhra Pradesh, India, e-mail: vamsheekrishna1972@gmail.com; *T. Ramreddy*, Department of Mathematics, Vidyananyapuri, Kakatiya University, Warangal, 506009 Andhra Pradesh, India, e-mail: reddytr2@yahoo.com.