

MAXIMAL UPPER ASYMPTOTIC DENSITY OF SETS OF
INTEGERS WITH MISSING DIFFERENCES FROM A GIVEN SET

RAM KRISHNA PANDEY, Roorkee

(Received December 12, 2012)

Abstract. Let M be a given nonempty set of positive integers and S any set of nonnegative integers. Let $\overline{\delta}(S)$ denote the upper asymptotic density of S . We consider the problem of finding

$$\mu(M) := \sup_S \overline{\delta}(S),$$

where the supremum is taken over all sets S satisfying that for each $a, b \in S$, $a - b \notin M$. In this paper we discuss the values and bounds of $\mu(M)$ where $M = \{a, b, a + nb\}$ for all even integers and for all sufficiently large odd integers n with $a < b$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

Keywords: upper asymptotic density; maximal density

MSC 2010: 11B05

1. INTRODUCTION

For any set S of nonnegative integers, we denote by $S(n)$ the number of elements $x \in S$ such that $x \leq n$. As usual, we define the upper and lower asymptotic densities of S (denoted by $\overline{\delta}(S)$ and $\underline{\delta}(S)$, respectively) by $\overline{\delta}(S) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(n)/n$ and $\underline{\delta}(S) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(n)/n$. If $\overline{\delta}(S) = \underline{\delta}(S)$, we denote the common value by $\delta(S)$, and say that S has density $\delta(S)$. Now suppose that M is a given nonempty set of positive integers. Motzkin [7] asks to determine the maximal upper asymptotic density defined by

$$\mu(M) := \sup_S \overline{\delta}(S),$$

where the supremum is taken over all sets S satisfying that for each $a, b \in S$, $a - b \notin M$. Such sets S are called M -sets in the literature.

Initial work on this problem is due to Cantor and Gordon [1], in which they show the existence of $\mu(M)$ for each M and also determine $\mu(M)$ when M has one or two

elements. They prove that if $|M| = 1$, then $\mu(M) = 1/2$ and if $M = \{a, b\}$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then $\mu(M) = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(a+b) \rfloor / (a+b)$. By a result of Cantor and Gordon it is sufficient to consider the problem only for those sets M whose elements are relatively prime. Furthermore, they give the following lower bound for $\mu(M)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $M = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots\}$ and let k, m be positive integers such that $\gcd(k, m) = 1$. Then*

$$\mu(M) \geq \sup_{(k,m)=1} \frac{1}{m} \min_i |km_i|_m,$$

where $|x|_m$ denotes the absolute value of the absolutely least remainder of $x \bmod m$.

The following remark by Haralambis [4] gives three equivalent definitions of the right hand side expression of the inequality in Lemma 1.1. Throughout this paper we use the third definition, i.e., $d_3(M)$.

Remark 1.1. Let $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(M) &= \sup_{x \in (0,1)} \min_i \|xm_i\|, \\ d_2(M) &= \sup_{(k,m)=1} \frac{1}{m} \min_i |km_i|_m, \\ d_3(M) &= \max_{\substack{m=m_j+m_i \\ 1 \leq k \leq m/2}} \frac{1}{m} \min |km_i|_m, \end{aligned}$$

where for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|x\|$ denotes the distance of x from the nearest integer and m_j, m_i represent distinct elements of M . Then $d_1(M) = d_2(M) = d_3(M)$, and we denote this common value by $d(M)$.

Thus we have $\mu(M) \geq d(M)$. At this stage we mention the very first conjecture on this problem by Haralambis [4].

Conjecture. *If $|M| = 3$, then $\mu(M) = d(M)$.*

The above conjecture holds true if $|M| \leq 2$ and is false if $|M| = 4$. The proofs and counter examples may be found in [4].

The following lemma in [4] gives an upper bound for $\mu(M)$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let M be a given set of positive integers, α a real number in the interval $[0, 1]$, and suppose that for any M -set S with $0 \in S$ there exists a positive integer k (possibly dependent on S) such that $S(k) \leq (k+1)\alpha$. Then $\mu(M) \leq \alpha$.*

Haralambis [4] gives some general estimates and expressions for $\mu(M)$ for most members of the families $\{1, a, b\}$ and $\{1, 2, a, b\}$. Gupta and Tripathi [3] give the value of $\mu(M)$ when M is finite and the elements of M are in arithmetic progression. Liu and Zhu [5] compute the values of $\mu(M)$ for $M = \{a, 2a, \dots, (m-1)a, b\}$, $M = \{a, b, a+b\}$, and give bounds of $\mu(M)$ for $M = \{a, b, b-a, b+a\}$ using graph theoretic techniques. They further compute $\mu(M)$ for $M = [1, a] \cup [b, m+1]$, where $a < b$ in [6]. The present author in joint works with Tripathi ([8], [9], [10]) discusses the problem for the family $M = \{a, b, c\}$ with $a < b$, where $c = nb$ or na or $n(a+b)$, and for those families M which are related to finite arithmetic progressions. In the present paper we discuss the problem of finding $\mu(M)$ for $M = \{a, b, a+nb\}$ for all even integers n and for all sufficiently large odd integers n with $a < b$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. In Sections 2, 3 and 4, we give bounds or the exact values of $\mu(M)$.

2. NUMBERS a AND b ARE OF OPPOSITE PARITY AND $n \geq b - a + 2$ IS AN ODD INTEGER

In this section we study the family $M = \{a, b, a+nb\}$, where $a < b$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and n is a sufficiently large odd integer. Mainly, $d(M)$ is calculated, which is a lower bound of $\mu(M)$ and as we are working in the case where $|M| = 3$, $d(M)$ is conjecturally equal to $\mu(M)$.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $r, s \geq 0$, set*

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &= b - a + \{2r(a+b) + 2t : 1 \leq t \leq a\}, \\ B_s &= b - a + \{2(s+1)a + 2sb + 2t : 1 \leq t \leq b\}. \end{aligned}$$

The collection $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, B_0, B_1, \dots\}$ partitions $2\mathbb{N} - 1 \setminus \{1, 3, \dots, b-a\}$.

Proof. Clearly, $|A_r| = a$ and $|B_s| = b$ for each $r, s \geq 0$. Also, we have the recurrences $A_{r+1} = A_r + 2(a+b)$ and $B_{s+1} = B_s + 2(a+b)$. Notice that $\{A_0, B_0\}$ partitions the set $[b-a+2, b-a+2(a+b)] \cap (2\mathbb{N} - 1 \setminus \{1, 3, \dots, b-a\})$. Thus we have the lemma. \square

Theorem 2.1. *Let $M = \{a, b, a+nb\}$, where $a < b$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, a and b are of opposite parity and $n \geq b - a + 2$ is an odd integer. For each $r, s \geq 0$, let A_r and B_s be as given in Lemma 2.1. Then*

$$d(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{m - ((2r+1)b+1)}{2m} & \text{if } n \in A_r, \text{ where } m = a + (n+1)b; \\ \frac{m - ((2s+1)b+2t)}{2m} & \text{if } n \in B_s, \text{ where } m = 2a + nb. \end{cases}$$

Proof. *Case I* ($n \in A_r$). To calculate $d(M)$ we use $d_3(M)$. According to the definition of $d_3(M)$, the possible values of m may be $a + (n+1)b$, $2a + nb$, and $a + b$.

▷ (1) ($m = a + (n+1)b$). Since $\gcd(b, m) = 1$, we can choose an integer x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m - ((2r+1)b+1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} ax &\equiv -(n+1)bx \equiv -(n+1)\frac{m - ((2r+1)b+1)}{2} \\ &\equiv \frac{(n+1)((2r+1)b+1)}{2} \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(n+1)((2r+1)b+1) = (2r+1)(n+1)b+n+1 = (2r+1)m+(2r+1)b+1-2(a-t)$, therefore,

$$ax \equiv \frac{m + (2r+1)b + 1 - 2(a-t)}{2} \equiv -\frac{m - ((2r+1)b+1) + 2(a-t)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We also have that $(a+nb)x \equiv -bx \pmod{m}$. Thus

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a+nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m - ((2r+1)b+1)}{2}.$$

We now show that for all y such that $1 \leq y \leq m/2$ and $y \neq x$,

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m - ((2r+1)b+1)}{2}.$$

Let $l := (2r+1)b+1$, and $1 \leq y \leq m/2$. Suppose for some integer i ,

$$by \equiv \frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2} + i \pmod{m}.$$

This gives

$$ay \equiv \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - (a-t) - (n+1)i \pmod{m}.$$

If $m/2 - l/2 + i$ modulo m is in $[m/2 - l/2, m/2 + l/2]$, then $0 \leq i \leq l$. Since we have that $(a+nb)y \equiv -by \pmod{m}$, the inequality will be valid if we show that $m/2 + l/2 - (a-t) - (n+1)i$ modulo m is in $[-(m/2 - l/2), m/2 - l/2]$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l$. First, let $i = l$. In this case, the congruences become

$$by \equiv \frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2} + l \equiv -\left(\frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2}\right) \pmod{m},$$

$$(a+nb)y \equiv -by \equiv \frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2} \pmod{m},$$

and

$$ay \equiv \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - (a-t) - (n+1)l \pmod{m}.$$

Since $(n+1)l = (2r+1)m + l - 2(a-t)$,

$$ay \equiv \frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2} + (a-t) \pmod{m}.$$

Therefore, we have the inequality in this case. Next, let $1 \leq i \leq l-1$. Observe that

$$\{1, 2, \dots, l-1\} \subseteq \bigcup_{p=0}^{2r} I_p,$$

where $I_p = [pb + ((p-1)a + t + l)/(n+1), (p+1)b + (pa + t)/(n+1)]$. Indeed, since the largest integer in I_p is $(p+1)b$, we only need to verify that $(p+1)b + 1$ is in I_{p+1} . Notice that $(pa + t + l)/(n+1) \leq 1$ if and only if $pa \leq n+1 - t - l = (2r-1)a + t \leq 2ra$, i.e., $p \leq 2r$, which is true. Hence $(pa + t + l)/(n+1) \leq 1$. This implies $(p+1)b + (pa + t + l)/(n+1) \leq (p+1)b + 1$, and hence $(p+1)b + 1$ is in I_{p+1} and it is the smallest integer of the interval.

As $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, therefore, for some $0 \leq p \leq 2r$, $i \in I_p$, i.e.,

$$pb + \frac{(p-1)a + t + l}{n+1} \leq i \leq (p+1)b + \frac{pa + t}{n+1},$$

therefore

$$\frac{pm + l - (a-t)}{n+1} \leq i \leq \frac{(p+1)m - (a-t)}{n+1}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - (a-t) - (n+1) \frac{(p+1)m - (a-t)}{n+1} &\leq \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - (a-t) - (n+1)i \\ &\leq \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - (a-t) - (n+1) \frac{pm + l - (a-t)}{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$-(p+1)m + \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} \leq \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - (a-t) - (n+1)i \leq -pm + \frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2},$$

thus

$$-pm - \left(\frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2}\right) \leq \frac{m}{2} + \frac{l}{2} - (a-t) - (n+1)i \leq -pm + \frac{m}{2} - \frac{l}{2}.$$

Therefore, $m/2 + l/2 - (a-t) - (n+1)i$ modulo m is in $[-(m/2 - l/2), m/2 - l/2]$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l-1$. Hence, we have the desired inequality. Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} (\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\}) = \frac{m - ((2r+1)b + 1)}{2}.$$

▷ (2) ($m = 2a + nb$). Choose an integer x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m - ((2r + 1)b + 2)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Such an x exists. For, let $d = \gcd(b, m)$, and $d \neq 1$. Then $d \mid 2a$. If b is odd, then as $d \mid b$, $d \geq 3$ hence $d \mid a$, which shows that $\gcd(a, b) \neq 1$, which is false. Hence, $d = 1$ and hence the congruence in this case is true. Now, let b be even. Since $d \mid 2a$ and a is odd with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, we have $d = 2$. Notice that $2 \mid (m - ((2r + 1)b + 2))/2$, and hence the congruence is again true. We have

$$2ax \equiv -nbx \equiv -n \frac{m - ((2r + 1)b + 2)}{2} \equiv -\frac{m - (2r + 1)nb - 2n}{2} \pmod{m},$$

which implies

$$2ax \equiv -\frac{m - (2r + 1)m + 2(2r + 1)a - 2n}{2} \equiv n - (2r + 1)a \pmod{m}.$$

Now $n - (2r + 1)a = b - a + 2r(a + b) + 2t - (2r + 1)a = (2r + 1)b - 2(a - t) = (2r + 1)b + 2 - 2(a - t + 1)$. This gives

$$2ax \equiv (2r + 1)b + 2 - 2(a - t + 1) \equiv -(m - ((2r + 1)b + 2) + 2(a - t + 1)) \pmod{m},$$

therefore,

$$ax \equiv -\frac{m - ((2r + 1)b + 2) + 2(a - t + 1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Since $(a + nb)x \equiv -ax \pmod{m}$, we have

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m - ((2r + 1)b + 2)}{2}.$$

Also, as in (1), it can be shown that for all y such that $1 \leq y \leq m/2$ and $y \neq x$,

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m - ((2r + 1)b + 2)}{2}.$$

Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} (\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\}) = \frac{m - ((2r + 1)b + 2)}{2}.$$

▷ (3) ($m = a + b$). Choose an integer x such that

$$ax \equiv -bx \equiv \frac{a + b - 1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$(a + nb)x \equiv (n - 1)bx \equiv \frac{n - 1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Thus we see that if $n = (2r + 1)(a + b)$ (which is obtained by taking $t = a$ in A_r) then

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{a + b - 1}{2}.$$

Moreover, it can be shown that if $n = (2r + 1)(a + b)$ then

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a + b - 1}{2}$$

for all y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$. Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} (\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\}) = \frac{a + b - 1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, if $n \neq (2r + 1)(a + b)$ then it is obvious that

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a + b - 3}{2}$$

for each y . Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} (\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\}) = \frac{a + b - 3}{2}.$$

To calculate $d(M)$ we apply the definition $d_3(M)$. Let us denote m values in (1), (2), and (3) by m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 , respectively, i.e., $m_1 = a + (n + 1)b$, $m_2 = 2a + nb$, and $m_3 = a + b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(M) &= \max\left(\frac{m_1 - ((2r + 1)b + 1)}{2m_1}, \frac{m_2 - ((2r + 1)b + 2)}{2m_2}, \frac{a + b - \varepsilon}{2m_3}\right) \\ &= \frac{m_1 - ((2r + 1)b + 1)}{2m_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\varepsilon = 1$ if $n = (2r + 1)(a + b)$ and $\varepsilon = 3$ if $n \neq (2r + 1)(a + b)$.

Case II ($n \in B_s$). To calculate $d(M)$ we use $d_3(M)$ and hence as in the previous case we consider the following values of m .

▷ (1) ($m = a + (n + 1)b$). Choose x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m - ((2s + 1)b + 1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} ax &\equiv -(n+1)bx \equiv -(n+1)\frac{m - ((2s+1)b+1)}{2} \\ &\equiv \frac{(n+1)((2s+1)b+1)}{2} \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(n+1)((2s+1)b+1) = (2s+1)m - (2s+1)a + n + 1 = (2s+1)m + (2s+1)b + 1 + 2t$,

$$ax \equiv \frac{m + (2s+1)b + 1 + 2t}{2} \equiv -\frac{m - ((2s+1)b + 1 + 2t)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We also have that $(a + nb)x \equiv -bx \pmod{m}$. Thus

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m - ((2s+1)b + 1 + 2t)}{2}.$$

Moreover, it can also be shown as in the Case I that

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m - ((2s+1)b + 1 + 2t)}{2}$$

for each y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$. Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} = \frac{m - ((2s+1)b + 1 + 2t)}{2}.$$

▷ (2) ($m = 2a + nb$). Choose an integer x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m - ((2s+1)b + 2)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Such an x exists. For, arguments are similar to (2) of Case I. We have

$$2ax \equiv -nbx \equiv -n\frac{m - ((2s+1)b + 2)}{2} \equiv -\frac{m - (2s+1)nb - 2n}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

This implies

$$2ax \equiv -\frac{m - (2s+1)m + 2(2s+1)a - 2n}{2} \equiv n - (2s+1)a \pmod{m}.$$

Since $n - (2s+1)a = b - a + 2(s+1)a + 2sb + 2t - (2s+1)a = (2s+1)b + 2t$,

$$2ax \equiv (2s+1)b + 2t \equiv -(m - ((2s+1)b + 2t)) \pmod{m}.$$

Therefore,

$$ax \equiv -\frac{m - ((2s+1)b + 2t)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Since $(a + nb)x \equiv -ax \pmod{m}$, we have

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m - ((2s + 1)b + 2t)}{2}.$$

Also, it can be shown that for all y such that $1 \leq y \leq m/2$ and $y \neq x$,

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m - ((2s + 1)b + 2t)}{2}.$$

Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} = \frac{m - ((2s + 1)b + 2t)}{2}.$$

▷ (3) ($m = a + b$). Choose an integer x such that

$$ax \equiv -bx \equiv \frac{a + b - 1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$(a + nb)x \equiv (n - 1)bx \equiv \frac{n - 1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Thus we see that if $n = (2s + 1)(a + b) + 2$ (which is obtained by taking $t = 1$ in B_s) then

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{a + b - 1}{2}.$$

Moreover, it can be shown that if $n = (2s + 1)(a + b) + 2$ then

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a + b - 1}{2}$$

for all y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$. Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} = \frac{a + b - 1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, if $n \neq (2s + 1)(a + b) + 2$ then it is obvious that

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a + b - 3}{2}$$

for each y . Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} = \frac{a + b - 3}{2}.$$

To calculate $d(M)$ we again apply the definition $d_3(M)$. Let us denote m values in (1), (2), and (3) by m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 , respectively, i.e., $m_1 = a + (n+1)b$, $m_2 = 2a + nb$, and $m_3 = a + b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(M) &= \max \left(\frac{m_1 - ((2s+1)b + 1 + 2t)}{2m_1}, \frac{m_2 - ((2s+1)b + 2t)}{2m_2}, \frac{a + b - \varepsilon}{2m_3} \right) \\ &= \frac{m_2 - ((2s+1)b + 2t)}{2m_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\varepsilon = 1$ if $n = (2s+1)(a+b) + 2$ and $\varepsilon = 3$ if $n \neq (2s+1)(a+b) + 2$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 2.1. *Let $M = \{a, b, a + nb\}$, where $a < b$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, a and b are of opposite parity and $n \in \{(2r+1)(a+b), (2s+1)(a+b) + 2\}$. Then $\mu(M) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-1)/(a+b)$.*

Proof. If $n \in \{(2r+1)(a+b), (2s+1)(a+b) + 2\}$ then it follows from the theorem that $\mu(M) \geq d(M) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-1)/(a+b)$. On the other hand, we always have $\mu(M) \leq \mu(\{a, b\}) = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(a+b) \rfloor / (a+b)$. Thus we have the corollary. \square

3. NUMBERS a AND b ARE OF OPPOSITE PARITY AND n IS AN EVEN INTEGER

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M = \{a, b, a + nb\}$, where $a < b$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, a and b are of opposite parity and n is even. For each $r, s \geq 0$, set*

$$A'_r = \{2(ra + rb + t) : 1 \leq t \leq b\}, \quad \text{and} \quad B'_s = \{2(sa + (s+1)b + t) : 1 \leq t \leq a\}.$$

Then

$$d(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{m - 2(rb + t)}{2m} & \text{if } n \in A'_r, \text{ where } m = 2a + nb; \\ \frac{m - (2(s+1)b + 1)}{2m} & \text{if } n \in B'_s, \text{ where } m = a + (n+1)b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As in Lemma 2.1 it can be shown that the collection $\{A'_0, A'_1, \dots, B'_0, B'_1, \dots\}$ partitions the set $2\mathbb{N}$.

The method of proof of this theorem is similar to that of the previous theorem. Therefore, we omit the similar calculations here.

Case I ($n \in A'_r$). To calculate $d(M)$ we consider the following three values of m .

\triangleright (1) ($m = a + (n+1)b$). Since $\gcd(b, m) = 1$, we can choose an x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m - (2rb + 1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} ax &\equiv -(n+1)bx \equiv -(n+1)\frac{m-(2rb+1)}{2} \\ &\equiv -\frac{m-(n+1)(2rb+1)}{2} \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(n+1)(2rb+1) = 2rm + 2rb + 1 + 2t$,

$$ax \equiv -\frac{m-(2rb+1+2t)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We also have that $(a+nb)x \equiv -bx \pmod{m}$. Thus

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a+nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m-(2rb+1+2t)}{2}.$$

Moreover, for all y such that $1 \leq y \leq m/2$ and $y \neq x$,

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m-(2rb+1+2t)}{2}.$$

Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} = \frac{m-(2rb+1+2t)}{2}.$$

▷ (2) ($m = 2a + nb$). Choose an integer x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m-2(rb+1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$2ax \equiv -nbx \equiv -n\frac{m-2(rb+1)}{2} \equiv n(rb+1) \pmod{m}.$$

Since $n(rb+1) = rm + 2rb + 2t$,

$$2ax \equiv 2rb + 2t \equiv -(m - 2(rb+t)) \pmod{m},$$

therefore,

$$ax \equiv -\frac{m-2(rb+t)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We also have $(a+nb)x \equiv -ax \pmod{m}$. Thus

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a+nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m-2(rb+t)}{2}.$$

Also, it can be shown that for all y such that $1 \leq y \leq m/2$ and $y \neq x$,

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m-2(rb+t)}{2}.$$

Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} = \frac{m-2(rb+t)}{2}.$$

▷ (3) ($m = a + b$). Choose an integer x such that

$$ax \equiv -bx \equiv \frac{a+b-1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$(a+nb)x \equiv (n-1)bx \equiv \frac{n+a+b-1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Thus we see that if $n = 2r(a+b) + 2$ (which is obtained by taking $t = 1$ in A'_r) then

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a+nb)x|_m\} = \frac{a+b-1}{2}.$$

Moreover, it can be shown that if $n = 2r(a+b) + 2$ then

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a+b-1}{2}$$

for all y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$. Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} (\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\}) = \frac{a+b-1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, if $n \neq 2r(a+b) + 2$ then it is obvious that

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a+b-3}{2}$$

for each y . Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} = \frac{a+b-3}{2}.$$

To calculate $d(M)$ we apply the definition $d_3(M)$. Let us denote m values in (1), (2), and (3) by m_1, m_2 , and m_3 , respectively. Then

$$d(M) = \max\left(\frac{m_1 - (2rb + 1 + 2t)}{2m_1}, \frac{m_2 - 2(rb + t)}{2m_2}, \frac{a + b - \varepsilon}{2m_3}\right) = \frac{m_2 - 2(rb + t)}{2m_2}.$$

Here $\varepsilon = 1$ if $n = 2r(a+b) + 2$ and $\varepsilon = 3$ if $n \neq 2r(a+b) + 2$.

Case II ($n \in B'_s$). To calculate $d(M)$ we use $d_3(M)$.

▷ (1) ($m = a + (n + 1)b$). Choose x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m - (2(s + 1)b + 1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} ax &\equiv -(n + 1)bx \equiv -(n + 1) \frac{m - (2(s + 1)b + 1)}{2} \\ &\equiv - \frac{m - (2(s + 1)b + 1)(n + 1)}{2} \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(n + 1)(2(s + 1)b + 1) = 2(s + 1)(m - a) + n + 1 = 2(s + 1)m + 2(s + 1)b + 1 - 2(a - t)$,

$$ax \equiv - \frac{m - (2(s + 1)b + 1) + 2(a - t)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We also have that $(a + nb)x \equiv -bx \pmod{m}$. Thus

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m - (2(s + 1)b + 1)}{2}.$$

Moreover, it can also be shown that

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m - (2(s + 1)b + 1)}{2}$$

for each y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$. Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} = \frac{m - (2(s + 1)b + 1)}{2}.$$

▷ (2) ($m = 2a + nb$). Choose an integer x such that

$$bx \equiv \frac{m - 2((s + 1)b + 1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$2ax \equiv -nbx \equiv -n \frac{m - 2((s + 1)b + 1)}{2} \equiv (s + 1)nb + n \pmod{m}.$$

Since $(s + 1)nb + n = (s + 1)(m - 2a) + 2sa + 2(s + 1)b + 2t = (s + 1)m + 2(s + 1)b - 2(a - t)$,

$$2ax \equiv 2(s + 1)b - 2(a - t) \equiv -(m - 2((s + 1)b + 1) + 2(a - t + 1)) \pmod{m},$$

therefore,

$$ax \equiv -\frac{m - 2((s+1)b + 1) + 2(a - t + 1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We also have $(a + nb)x \equiv -ax \pmod{m}$. Thus

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m - 2((s+1)b + 1)}{2}.$$

Also, it can be shown that for all y such that $1 \leq y \leq m/2$ and $y \neq x$,

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m - 2((s+1)b + 1)}{2}.$$

Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} = \frac{m - 2((s+1)b + 1)}{2}.$$

▷ (3) ($m = a + b$). Choose an integer x such that

$$ax \equiv -bx \equiv \frac{a + b - 1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

We have

$$(a + nb)x \equiv (n - 1)bx \equiv \frac{n + a + b - 1}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Thus we see that if $n = 2(s + 1)(a + b)$ (which is obtained by taking $t = a$ in B'_s) then

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a + nb)x|_m\} = \frac{a + b - 1}{2}.$$

Moreover, it can be shown that if $n = 2(s + 1)(a + b)$ then

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a + b - 1}{2}$$

for all y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$. Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} = \frac{a + b - 1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, if $n \neq 2(s + 1)(a + b)$ then it is obvious that

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a + nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{a + b - 3}{2}$$

for each y . Thus we see that

$$\max_{1 \leq y \leq m/2} \min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} = \frac{a+b-3}{2}.$$

To calculate $d(M)$ we apply the definition $d_3(M)$. Let us denote m values in (1), (2), and (3) by m_1, m_2 , and m_3 , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(M) &= \max\left(\frac{m_1 - (2(s+1)b+1)}{2m_1}, \frac{m_2 - 2((s+1)b+1)}{2m_2}, \frac{a+b-\varepsilon}{2m_3}\right) \\ &= \frac{m_1 - (2(s+1)b+1)}{2m_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\varepsilon = 1$ if $n = 2(s+1)(a+b)$ and $\varepsilon = 3$ if $n \neq 2(s+1)(a+b)$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let $M = \{a, b, a+nb\}$, where $a < b$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, a and b are of opposite parity and $n \in \{k(a+b), k(a+b)+2 : k \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$. Then $\mu(M) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-1)/(a+b)$.*

Proof. If $n \in \{k(a+b), k(a+b)+2 : k \in 2\mathbb{N}\}$ then it follows from the theorem that $\mu(M) \geq d(M) = \frac{1}{2}(a+b-1)/(a+b)$. On the other hand, we always have $\mu(M) \leq \mu(\{a, b\}) = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(a+b) \rfloor / (a+b)$. Thus we have the corollary. \square

4. BOTH a AND b ARE ODD INTEGERS

Theorem 4.1. *Let $M = \{a, b, a+nb\}$, where $a < b$, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, and a, b are odd integers. Then*

$$d(M) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} = \mu(M) & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{a+nb}{2\{a+(n+1)b\}} & \text{if } n \geq \frac{(b-2)(a+b)}{2b} \text{ and odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that n is even. Observe that all three elements of M are odd. Therefore, any set S of nonnegative integers which contains elements of the same parity is an M -set and hence $\bar{\delta}(S) \leq 1/2$. On the other hand, if we take $S = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ then $\bar{\delta}(S) = 1/2$. Hence $\mu(M) = 1/2$. Now taking $x = 1/2$ in the definition of $d_1(M)$ we get $1/2 \leq d_1(M) = d(M)$. But we always have $d(M) \leq \mu(M) = 1/2$. Consequently, $d(M) = 1/2$. Next, suppose that $n \geq \frac{1}{2}(b-2)(a+b)/b$ and odd. To calculate $d(M)$ we consider the following possible values of m .

▷ (1) ($m = 2a + nb$). Choose x such that $x \equiv (m-1)/2 \pmod{m}$. This gives $bx \equiv (m-b)/2 \pmod{m}$, and $ax \equiv (m-a)/2 \pmod{m}$. Since $(a+nb)x \equiv -ax \pmod{m}$, therefore

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a+nb)x|_m\} = \frac{m-b}{2}.$$

Also it can be seen that

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m-b}{2}$$

for each y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$.

▷ (2) ($m = a + (n+1)b$). The proof is identical to the one in (1), and therefore omitted. We have

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m-b}{2}$$

for each y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$.

▷ (3) ($m = a + b$). Observe that m is even. Now we claim that

$$\min\{|ax|_m, |bx|_m, |(a+nb)x|_m\} \neq \frac{m}{2}$$

for any x .

Suppose that for some x , $ax \equiv -bx \equiv m/2 \pmod{m}$. This gives $(a+nb)x \equiv m/2 - nm/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. Hence the claim is true in this case. The other possibility we can have is that for some x , $(a+nb)x \equiv m/2 \pmod{m}$. The claim will be false only if $ax \equiv -bx \equiv m/2 \pmod{m}$. But this is not possible. Therefore, we have the claim and hence,

$$\min\{|ay|_m, |by|_m, |(a+nb)y|_m\} \leq \frac{m-2}{2} = \frac{a+b-2}{2}$$

for each y ; $1 \leq y \leq m/2$.

To calculate $d(M)$ we apply the definition $d_3(M)$. Let us denote m values in (1), (2), and (3) by m_1, m_2 , and m_3 , respectively. Then

$$d(M) = \max\left(\frac{m_1-b}{2m_1}, \frac{m_2-b}{2m_2}, \frac{m_3-2}{2m_3}\right) = \frac{m_2-b}{2m_2} = \frac{a+nb}{2\{a+(n+1)b\}}.$$

For, we always have $\frac{1}{2}(m_2-b)/m_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(m_1-b)/m_1$, and $\frac{1}{2}(m_2-b)/m_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(m_3-2)/m_3$ if and only if $2m_2 \geq b(a+b)$ if and only if $n \geq \frac{1}{2}(b-2)(a+b)/b$. Thus we have the theorem. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARK

Using $\mu(M)$ for $M = \{a, b, a + nb\}$ is a generalization of $\mu(M)$ for $M = \{a, b, a + b\}$ which was discussed earlier by Rabinowitz and Proulx [11], Gupta [2], and Liu and Zhu [5]. We are unable to calculate the values or bounds of $\mu(M)$ for some finite number of odd integers n .

Acknowledgement. I am very much thankful to the anonymous referee for his/her useful remarks for the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] *D. G. Cantor, B. Gordon*: Sequences of integers with missing differences. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* *14* (1973), 281–287. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *S. Gupta*: Sets of integers with missing differences. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* *89* (2000), Article ID jcta.1999.3003, 55–69. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *S. Gupta, A. Tripathi*: Density of M -sets in arithmetic progression. *Acta Arith.* *89* (1999), 255–257. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *N. M. Haralambis*: Sets of integers with missing differences. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* *23* (1977), 22–33. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *D. D. Liu, X. Zhu*: Fractional chromatic number and circular chromatic number for distance graphs with large clique size. *J. Graph Theory* *47* (2004), 129–146. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *D. D. Liu, X. Zhu*: Fractional chromatic number of distance graphs generated by two-interval sets. *Eur. J. Comb.* *29* (2008), 1733–1743. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [7] *T. S. Motzkin*: Unpublished problem collection.
- [8] *R. K. Pandey, A. Tripathi*: A note on a problem of Motzkin regarding density of integral sets with missing differences. *J. Integer Seq.* (electronic only) *14* (2011), Article 11.6.3, 8 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] *R. K. Pandey, A. Tripathi*: On the density of integral sets with missing differences from sets related to arithmetic progressions. *J. Number Theory* *131* (2011), 634–647. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [10] *R. K. Pandey, A. Tripathi*: On the density of integral sets with missing differences. *Combinatorial Number Theory. Proceedings of the 3rd ‘Integers Conference 2007’*, Carrollton, USA, 2007 (B. Landman et al., eds.). Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2009, pp. 157–169. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *J. H. Rabinowitz, V. K. Proulx*: An asymptotic approach to the channel assignment problem. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* *6* (1985), 507–518. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

Author’s address: Ram Krishna Pandey, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee-Haridwar Highway, Roorkee 247667, Uttarakhand, India, e-mail: ramkpfma@iitr.ac.in.