

The Principles of the 'Zoology and the Physiology of Animals' Commission for the Evaluation of Dissertations and the Person of the Applicant for the 'DSc.' Degree

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 5/2014, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants

The applicant must be the author or co-author of at least fifty (50) primary publication in international journals and the corresponding author of at least fifteen (15) primary publications.

The applicant should also be the author of either one monograph, an extensive synoptic article (not a mini-review) in an international journal or chapters in a domestic or foreign monograph.

2. Materials Required for the Defence:

Materials listed in the 'Rules':

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,
- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

Other than the materials listed above, the commission requests the fulfilment and submission of the following supplementary data:

The applicant must submit a list of the rate of citation of the individual works, namely in the form of references to the publications in which these works have been cited (in the format of the WOS or SCOPUS database). The total impact factor of the publications of each applicant must be greater than 30 (IF > 30). The total citation response (SCI) of the works by the applicant with self-citation, based predominantly on the WOS and SCOPUS databases, must be greater than 200x in theoretical

fields. In these numbers, it is possible to present also proven responses in non-impact book and other publications and also responses in textbooks, monographs and non-impact journals.

29 January 2014

Prof. RNDr. František Vyskočil DrSc.
Chairperson of the Commission

Discussed and approved on 5 July 2014 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree