

The Principles of the 'History and Theory of Art' Commission for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants for the 'DSc.' Degree

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 5/2014, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertation and Person of the Applicant.

The applicant for the scientific degree of DSc. must demonstrate that he/she is a distinctive scientific person and that his/her work has brought original scientific results that are utilised by scientists abroad or built upon in their work. The fulfilment of this requirement will be judged on the bases of studies published in reviewed foreign journals or in peer-reviewed contributions at top international conferences, citations in foreign journals, references in monographs and scientific anthologies, invitations to present lectures at international conferences. The commission will therefore require all of these data.

The perspectives for evaluating the person of the applicant includes also his/her share in the development of the field surpassing the individual scientific activities, particularly participation in the formulation of the conceptions and directions of research and leadership of research teams or co-workers. The commission i.a. evaluates the engagement of the applicant in the investigation of research plans, grants and his/her share in university instruction and guidance of doctoral candidates.

A prerequisite of the granting of the DSc. degree is an outstanding scientific work that is a significant contribution to the field and also as such is accepted on the international scale.

The condition for the granting of the scientific degree of DSc. is the successful defence of a dissertation within the proceedings for the granting of this degree. The dissertation is understood to be:

- a) *an original published scientific monograph*, which resolves a weighty scientific problem and brings a new, important scientific discovery, or exceptionally:
- b) *a collection of published scientific works of an monothematic focus* with proven foreign responses, accompanied by a commentary of fundamental scientific importance.

The doctoral dissertation may not be a work that has been used for defending another scientific or scientific-pedagogical degree, e.g. the CSc., Ph.D. or Dr. or was the background material of the habilitation proceedings.

The dissertation is to be at least 200 printed pages in length.

In the theses of the dissertation, it is necessary to provide a list of the applicant's scientific works that are the basis of the dissertation and a list of the other publications by the author. A component of the theses of the dissertation is a résumé (at most two pages in length) in Czech and English, possibly another world language.

The prerequisite for the commencement of the proceedings is:

- at least one already published scientific monograph, besides the one defended

- at least forty (40) significant treatises published in scientific, if possible reviewed journals and anthologies, a part of which issued abroad, with positive reviews and if possible the citation responses at home and abroad recorded.

2. Materials Required for the Defence:

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,
- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

Besides the above-mentioned materials, the commission requires the presentation of the following supplementary materials:

A bibliography containing at least forty (40) studies in scientific journals and proceedings, of which a part has been published abroad. The list is structured in the following way:

A Scientific monographs

B Chapters in scientific monographs

C Articles in important professional journals issued abroad

D Articles in important professional journals issued in the CR

E Articles in important professional anthologies issued abroad

F Articles in important professional anthologies issued in the CR

G Other professional works (e.g. dissertations, contributions in other journals and anthologies, editorial and popularisation work, textbooks, etc. – the list should be structured)

H Review and according to the possibilities recorded citation responses to the applicant's work

29 January 2014

Prof. PhDr. Jiří Kuthan, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Commission

Discussed and approved on 5 July 2014 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree