

# Mathematical models of viscous heat conducting fluids

Eduard Feireisl

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague

Equadiff 2015, Lyon, 6 July - 10 July 2015

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council  
under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ ERC Grant Agreement 320078

# Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

## Equation of continuity

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

## Momentum equation

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

## Second law, entropy production

$$\partial_t(\varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta)) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta)}{\vartheta} \right) = \sigma, \quad \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

## First law, total energy balance

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) dx = 0$$

# Constitutive relations

## Gibbs' law, thermodynamics stability

$$\vartheta Ds(\varrho, \vartheta) = De(\varrho, \vartheta) + p(\varrho, \vartheta) D\left(\frac{1}{\varrho}\right), \quad \frac{\partial p(\varrho, \vartheta)}{\partial \varrho} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial e(\varrho, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} > 0$$

## Viscosity, Newton's law

$$\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \mathbf{u}) = \mu(\vartheta) \left( \nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \right) + \eta \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}$$

## Heat conductivity, Fourier's law

$$\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) = -\kappa(\vartheta) \nabla_x \vartheta$$

## Second law, entropy production

$$\sigma \stackrel{\geq}{=} \frac{1}{\vartheta} \left( \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right)$$

# Relative energy for NSF system

## Ballistic free energy [Ericksen]

$$H_{\Theta}(\varrho, \vartheta) = \varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) - \Theta \varrho s(\varrho, \vartheta)$$

## Relative NSF energy

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u} \mid r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}|^2 + H_{\Theta}(\varrho, \vartheta) - \frac{\partial H_{\Theta}(r, \Theta)}{\partial \varrho} (\varrho - r) - H_{\Theta}(r, \Theta) \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

## Relative entropy vs. relative energy

**Dafermos [1979]** - relative entropy for the full Euler system

factor  $\frac{1}{\Theta}$

# Relative energy inequality

## Relative entropy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \mathcal{E}(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u} \mid r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}) \right]_{t=0}^{\tau} \\ & + \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\Theta}{\vartheta} \left( \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} - \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta) \cdot \nabla_x \vartheta}{\vartheta} \right) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_0^{\tau} \mathcal{R}(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, r, \Theta, \mathbf{U}) dt \end{aligned}$$

## Test functions

$$r > 0, \Theta > 0$$

$\mathbf{U}$  satisfying the relevant natural boundary conditions

# Remainder

## Remainder

$$\mathcal{R}(\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}, r, \Theta, \mathbf{U})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \varrho \left( \partial_t \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{U} \right) \cdot (\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{u}) + \mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{U} \right) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( p(r, \Theta) - p(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} + \frac{\varrho}{r} (\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_x p(r, \Theta) \right] dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \left( \varrho \left( s(\varrho, \vartheta) - s(r, \Theta) \right) \partial_t \Theta + \varrho \left( s(\varrho, \vartheta) - s(r, \Theta) \right) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \Theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathbf{q}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \vartheta)}{\vartheta} \cdot \nabla_x \Theta \right) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{r - \varrho}{r} \left( \partial_t p(r, \Theta) + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_x p(r, \Theta) \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

# Global-in-time weak solutions, hypotheses

## Pressure - density, temperature state equation

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \vartheta^{5/2} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{3/2}}\right) + a\vartheta^4$$

$$\varrho e(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{3}{2}\vartheta^{5/2} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{3/2}}\right) + \frac{a}{3}\vartheta^4$$

$$\lim_{Z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(Z)}{Z^{5/3}} = p_\infty > 0$$

## Transport coefficients

$$\underline{\mu}(1 + \vartheta^\alpha) \leq \mu(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\mu}(1 + \vartheta^\alpha), \quad \eta(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\eta}(1 + \vartheta^\alpha), \quad \alpha \in (2/5, 1],$$

$$\underline{\kappa}(1 + \vartheta^3) \leq \kappa(\vartheta) \leq \bar{\kappa}(1 + \vartheta^3)$$

# Results

## **Existence of weak solutions [Indiana Univ. Math. J. 2004]**

Weak solutions exist (under certain constitutive restrictions) for any finite energy initial data and on an arbitrary time interval. Smooth weak solutions are strong solutions

## **Weak-strong uniqueness [with A.Novotný ARMA 2012]**

Weak and strong solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system emanating from the same initial data coincide as long as the latter exists. Strong solutions are unique in the class of weak solutions

## **Conditional regularity [with A.Novotný, Y. Sun ARMA 2014]**

A weak solution emanating from smooth initial data is smooth as soon as

$$\|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega)} < \infty$$



# Inviscid fluids?

## Euler-Fourier system

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x(\varrho \vartheta) = 0$$

$$\frac{3}{2} [\partial_t(\varrho \vartheta) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \vartheta \mathbf{u})] - \Delta \vartheta = -\varrho \vartheta \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}$$

## Existence of weak solutions [with E.Chiodaroli, O.Kreml AIHP 2014]

The Euler-Fourier system admits infinitely many global-in-time weak solutions for any smooth initial data  $\varrho_0, \vartheta_0, \mathbf{u}_0$ . Moreover, for any  $\varrho_0, \vartheta_0$  there exists a velocity field  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^\infty$  such that the problem admits infinitely many global *admissible* weak solutions.

# Solutions via convex integration

## Step 1: density and acoustic potential

$$\rho \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} + \nabla_x \Psi, \quad \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \partial_t \rho + \Delta \Psi = 0$$

## Step 2: temperature as a function of $\mathbf{v}$

$$\frac{3}{2} \left( \partial_t(\rho \vartheta) + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \vartheta (\mathbf{v} + \nabla_x \Psi) \right) \right) - \Delta \vartheta = -\rho \vartheta \operatorname{div}_x \left( \frac{\mathbf{v} + \nabla_x \Psi}{\rho} \right)$$

## Step 3: Euler system with nonconstant coefficients

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \operatorname{div}_x \left( \frac{(\mathbf{v} + \nabla_x \Psi) \otimes (\mathbf{v} + \nabla_x \Psi)}{\rho} \right) + \nabla_x (\partial_t \Psi + \rho \vartheta [\mathbf{v}]) = 0$$

$$e = \chi(t) - \frac{3}{2} \rho \vartheta [\mathbf{v}]$$

# Vanishing dissipation limit

## Pressure

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) = p_M(\varrho, \vartheta) + p_R(\varrho, \vartheta), \quad p_M = \vartheta^{5/2} P\left(\frac{\varrho}{\vartheta^{3/2}}\right), \quad p_R(\varrho, \vartheta) = \frac{a}{3} \vartheta^4$$

## Viscous stress

$$\mathbb{S}(\vartheta, \nabla_x \mathbf{u}) = \boxed{\nu} \left[ \mu(\vartheta) \left( \nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \right) + \eta(\vartheta) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \right]$$

## Heat flux

$$\mathbf{q} = -\boxed{\omega} \kappa(\vartheta) \nabla_x \vartheta$$

## Brinkman type “damping”

$$D = -\boxed{\lambda} \mathbf{u}$$

# Target system

## Full Euler system

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p_M(\varrho, \vartheta) = 0$$

$$\partial_t \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e_M(\varrho, \vartheta) \right)$$

$$+ \operatorname{div}_x \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e_M(\varrho, \vartheta) \right) \mathbf{u} + p_M(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathbf{u} \right] = 0$$

## Slip boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

# Vanishing dissipation limit

## Theorem EF [2015]

Let  $[\varrho_E, \vartheta_E, \mathbf{u}_E]$  be the classical solution of the Euler system in a time interval  $(0, T)$ , with the initial data  $[\varrho_{0,E}, \vartheta_{0,E}, \mathbf{u}_{0,E}]$ . Let  $[\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}]$  be a weak (dissipative) solution of the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system, with the initial data  $[\varrho_0, \vartheta_0, \mathbf{u}_0]$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E} \left( \varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u} \mid \varrho_E, \vartheta_E, \mathbf{u}_E \right) (\tau) \\ & \leq c_1(T, \text{data}) \mathcal{E} \left( \varrho_0, \vartheta_0, \mathbf{u}_0 \mid \varrho_{0,E}, \vartheta_{0,E}, \mathbf{u}_{0,E} \right) \\ & + c_2(T, \text{data}) \max \left\{ a, \nu, \omega, \lambda, \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{a}}, \frac{\omega}{a}, \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{\nu^3 \lambda}} \right)^{1/3} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for a.a.  $\tau \in (0, T)$ .

# Numerics

## Equation of continuity

$$\partial_t \varrho + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0$$

## Momentum balance

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p = \operatorname{div}_x \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u})$$

## Thermal energy balance

$$c_v (\partial_t(\varrho \vartheta) + \operatorname{div}_x(\varrho \vartheta \mathbf{u})) + \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{q} \stackrel{\square}{\geq} \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} - p_\vartheta \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}$$

## Total energy balance

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \varrho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho e \right) dx \stackrel{\square}{\leq} 0$$

# Numerical analysis

## Numerical analysis with T.Karper, A.Novotný, R.Hošek, M.Michálek [2014]

- A mixed finite-volume finite element implicit scheme converges to a weak solution
- Convergence is unconditional provided the numerical solutions  $\varrho_h, \vartheta_h, \mathbf{u}_h$  and  $\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}_h$  remain uniformly bounded

# Blow-up criterion

## Blow-up of smooth solutions [E.F., Y.Sun 2014]

Suppose that the initial data  $\varrho_0$ ,  $\vartheta_0$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_0$  are smooth ( $W^{2,3}$ ). Then the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system admits a strong solution defined on a (possibly short) time interval  $(0, T)$ .

If

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} [\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vartheta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}] < \infty,$$

then the solution can be extended beyond  $T$ .



# Regularity criterion

## Regularity for weak solutions [E.F., Y.Sun 2014]

Suppose that the initial data  $\varrho_0$ ,  $\vartheta_0$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_0$  are smooth ( $W^{2,3}$ ). Let  $[\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}]$  be a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system such that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} [\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vartheta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}] < \infty.$$

Then  $[\varrho, \vartheta, \mathbf{u}]$  is regular.

# Synergy analysis - numerics, assumptions

## Numerical solutions with regular initial data

Suppose that  $[\varrho_h, \vartheta_h, \mathbf{u}_h]$  is a sequence of numerical solutions for regular initial data

## Boundedness

Suppose that

$$\varrho_h^k, \vartheta_h^k, \mathbf{u}_h^k, \operatorname{div}_h \mathbf{u}_h^k$$

are bounded independently of the order of discretization  $h$ .

# Synergy analysis - numerics, conclusion

## Conclusion

The numerical solutions converge to a weak solution with

$$\sup_{t \in (0, T)} [\|\varrho\|_{L^\infty} + \|\vartheta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}] < \infty.$$

Consequently:

- the limit solution is smooth
- the limit solution is unique
- the numerical scheme converges unconditionally
- error estimates (?)