

## **The Principles of the 'Legal Science' Commission for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants for the 'DSc.' Degree**

The work of the commissions proceeds from the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree in the ASCR issued as the Guidelines of the Academic Council of the ASCR No. 5/2014, from the General Principles for the Evaluation of Dissertations and Persons of an Applicant binding for all commissions issued by the Panel for the Granting of a Scientific Title of 'Doctor of Sciences', and governed by the Regulatory Procedure to the Rules for the Granting of the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

### **1. General Criteria for the Evaluation of the Dissertations and Persons of the Applicants**

The dissertation is in Czech and at least 200 printed pages in length. In the theses of the dissertation, it is necessary to provide a list of his/her scientific works that are the background material of the dissertation as well as a list of the other publications by the author. A component of the theses of the dissertation is a résumé (at most two pages in length) in and Czech and English, possibly in another world language.

### **2. Materials Required for the Defence:**

Materials listed in the 'Rules':

- a) certified proof of the successful completion of university education (diploma);
- b) certified proof of the granting of the academic Ph.D. degree position or proof of the granting of the scientific degree of Candidate of Sciences or proof of the granting of a title of the same standing;
- c) a curriculum vitae with an overview of the scientific work so far;
- d) the list of publications that form the background materials of the dissertation (according to the requirements defined in the 'Rules');
- e) the theses of the dissertation in ten (10) copies,
- f) the dissertation in five (5) copies,
- g) a statement of in what labour relation the dissertation was created,
- h) an expression of your own scientific contribution and share of the work if the scientific results listed in the dissertation were acquired with co-authors,
- i) written opinions of two Doctors of Sciences or Professors (See Art. III, Sect. 4),
- j) if the applicant presents a new dissertation in the same field in a new proceedings for the granting of a scientific title, a statement of who issued the denial decision, the title of the original dissertation and the definition of the differences between.

If there was a review published of the dissertation, it must also be attached.

29 January 2014

prof. JUDr. Pavel Šturma, DrSc.  
Chairperson of the Commission

Discussed and approved on 5 July 2014 by the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree.

prof. Ing. Karel Ulbrich, DrSc.  
Chairperson of the Panel for the 'Doctor of Sciences' Degree